

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 57 (1982)

Artikel: On the quantitative boundary behavior of conformal maps.
Autor: Pommerenke, Ch. / Warschawski, S.E.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-43876>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 07.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

On the quantitative boundary behavior of conformal maps

CH. POMMERENKE* and S. E. WARSCHAWSKI*

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a closed Jordan curve in \mathbf{C} and let f map the unit disk \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of Γ . For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$, let $\Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ denote the arc (of smaller diameter) of Γ between ω_1 and ω_2 . We shall study the relation between the geometric quantity

$$\eta(\delta) = \sup_{|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \leq \delta} \sup_{\omega \in \Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)} \left(\frac{|\omega_2 - \omega| + |\omega - \omega_1|}{|\omega_2 - \omega_1|} - 1 \right)^{1/2} \quad (1.1)$$

and the analytic quantity

$$\beta(\delta) = \sup_{1-\delta \leq |\zeta| < 1} (1 - |\zeta|) \left| \frac{f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} \right| \quad (0 < \delta \leq 1). \quad (1.2)$$

The relation between $\eta(\delta)$ and other properties of f has been investigated in two papers by F. D. Lesley and the second author [4][5], and our main theorem is based in part on these results.

The curve Γ (which need not be rectifiable) is called *asymptotically conformal* if $\eta(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$; this holds [7, Th. 1] if and only if $\beta(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The connection with quasiconformal mappings was studied in a paper with J. Becker [2].

THEOREM 1. *Let f map \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of the asymptotically conformal curve Γ . Then, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, there exists $\delta_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$c\eta(\delta^{1+\varepsilon}) < \beta(\delta) < M[\eta(\delta^{1-\varepsilon}) + \delta^{\varepsilon/6}] \quad (0 < \delta < \delta_0(\varepsilon)) \quad (1.3)$$

where $c > 0$ and M depend only on f .

*This research was in part supported by the National Science Foundation.

This theorem gives the best result if Γ is “not too smooth.” For instance, if $c_1(\log 1/\delta)^{-a} < \eta(\delta) < M_1(\log 1/\delta)^{-a}$ for $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ and some $a > 0$ then Theorem 1 (with $\varepsilon = 1/4$) shows that

$$c_2\eta(\delta) < \beta(\delta) < M_2\eta(\delta) \quad (0 < \delta < \delta_2). \quad (1.4)$$

We shall study $\beta(\delta)$ and $\eta(\delta)$ in Section 2 and prove the lower estimate (1.3). The much more difficult proof of the upper estimate (1.3) will be given in Section 3.

In the last section, we derive some consequences of Theorem 1 and construct examples (using lacunary series):

(a) The curve Γ is smooth if [4, Prop. 3]

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

and we shall see that this condition is best possible and that it does not imply that Γ is Dini-smooth. It follows from (1.5) that

$$c_3\eta(\delta) < \beta(\delta) < M_3 \int_0^\delta \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + M_3\delta \int_\delta^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t^2} dt \quad (0 < \delta < \delta_3), \quad (1.6)$$

and this estimate is better than (1.3) if $\eta(\delta)$ behaves like δ^a . It also follows [4, Th. 3] from (1.5) that $\log f'$ is continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$, and we shall improve the estimate for the modulus of continuity.

(b) The curve Γ is rectifiable and even asymptotically smooth if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)^2}{t} dt < \infty, \quad (1.7)$$

and this condition is again best possible. Hence $\log f' \in \text{VMOA}$ [7, Th. 2], and we shall show that

$$\log f' \in \text{BMO}_{\partial\mathbf{D}}(\rho)$$

for a certain $\rho(\delta)$; see Sarason’s lecture notes [11, Chapter 5] for a discussion of these function classes.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots$, by c, c_1, \dots and by M, M_1, \dots positive constants that depend only on the function f and possibly on displayed parameters, while K, K_1, \dots will denote absolute constants.

2. The lower estimate

2.1. *Some properties of β .* let $\beta(\delta)$ be defined by (1.2). The maximum principle shows that

$$(1-|\zeta|) \left| \frac{f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} \right| \leq (t+\delta) \frac{\beta(\delta)}{\delta} \quad \text{for } 1-t-\delta \leq |\zeta| \leq 1-\delta.$$

Hence $\delta\beta(t+\delta) \leq (t+\delta)\beta(\delta)$ and similarly $t\beta(t+\delta) \leq (t+\delta)\beta(t)$, and it follows that

$$\beta(t+\delta) \leq \beta(t) + \beta(\delta) \quad \text{for } t, \delta > 0, \quad t+\delta \leq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus β is increasing and subadditive.

It follows from (1.2) by integration that

$$\left| \log \frac{f'(pe^{it})}{f'((1-\delta)e^{it})} \right| \leq \beta(\delta) \log \frac{\delta}{1-\rho} \quad \text{for } 1-\delta \leq \rho < 1.$$

If $\beta(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(1-|\zeta|)^\epsilon \leq |f'(\zeta)| \leq (1-|\zeta|)^{-\epsilon} \quad (1-\delta_1(\epsilon)) \leq |\zeta| < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let f map \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of an asymptotically conformal curve. If $|\zeta| = 1-\delta < 1$ and $a \geq 1$ then*

$$\frac{\beta(\delta)}{2} \leq \max_{\substack{z \in \bar{\mathbf{D}} \\ |z-\zeta| \leq a\delta}} \left| \frac{f(z)-f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)f'(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \leq 60a^3\beta(\delta) \quad (2.3)$$

for $0 < \delta < \delta_2(a)$.

Proof. (a) Since

$$\frac{f(z)-f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)f'(\zeta)} - 1 = \frac{f''(\zeta)}{2f'(\zeta)}(z-\zeta) + O(|z-\zeta|^2) \quad (z \rightarrow \zeta),$$

the left-hand inequality (2.3) follows from a well-known coefficient estimate applied to $\{|z-\zeta| = \delta\}$.

(b) Let $z \in \mathbf{D}$ and $|z-\zeta| \leq a\delta$. We see from (2.1) that $\beta((a+1)\delta) \leq$

$(a+2)\beta(\delta) \leq 3\beta(\delta)$. Hence, by definition (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \frac{f'(z)}{f'(\zeta)} \right| &= \left| \int_{\zeta}^z \frac{f''(t)}{f'(t)} dt \right| \leq \int_{\zeta}^z \frac{6a\beta(\delta)}{1-|t|^2} |dt| \\ &= 3a\beta(\delta) \log \frac{1+|s|}{1-|s|} \leq 6a\beta(\delta) \log \frac{1}{1-|s|} \end{aligned}$$

where we integrated along the non-euclidean segment from ζ to z and where $s = (z - \zeta)/(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)$. Writing $\beta = \beta(\delta)$ we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{f'(\zeta)} - 1 \right| \leq \exp \left| \log \frac{f'(z)}{f'(\zeta)} \right| - 1 \leq (1 - |s|)^{-6a\beta} - 1.$$

Since $|dz/ds| = |1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^2/(1 - |\zeta|^2) \leq 5a^2\delta$ we obtain by another integration that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)f'(\zeta)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{5a^2\delta}{|z - \zeta|} \int_0^{|s|} [(1 - \sigma)^{-6a\beta} - 1] d\sigma \\ &= \frac{5a^2\delta}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|} \left(\frac{1 - (1 - |s|)^{1-6a\beta}}{(1 - 6a\beta)|s|} - 1 \right) \leq 60a^3\beta \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ if δ_0 is chosen so small that $6a\beta(\delta) < 1/2$. This proves the right-hand inequality (2.3).

2.2. Geometric properties of η . By elementary geometry, the definition (1.1) of η means that $\Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ lies in an ellipse with loci ω_1 and ω_2 and with minor half axis $(2 + \eta(\delta)^2)^{1/2}\eta(\delta)\delta/2$; this is $< \eta(\delta)\delta$ for small δ . We need a somewhat different description in terms of the width of a strip; this result was independently proved by C. FitzGerald.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $\eta(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ ($\delta \rightarrow 0$) then, for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$,*

$$\frac{\eta(\delta)}{3} < \sup_{|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \leq \delta} \sup_{\omega \in \Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)} \left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\omega - \omega_1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1} \right| < \eta(\delta). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. The right-hand inequality follows at once from the remark about the enclosing ellipse. We prove now that $\eta(\delta) < 3\eta^*(\delta)$ where $\eta^*(\delta)$ denotes the middle term in (2.5).

Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma$ with $|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \leq \delta$ and let $\omega \in \Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)$. We may assume that

$$\omega_1 = 0, \quad \omega_2 = \delta; \quad \omega = re^{i\theta}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < \pi, \quad r \cos \theta \leq \delta/2. \quad (2.6)$$

If δ is sufficiently small then $\eta^*(\delta) < \eta(\delta) < 1/2$. Hence $r \sin \theta \leq \delta \eta^*(\delta) < \delta/2$ which, together with $r \cos \theta \leq \delta/2$, shows that $r < \delta/\sqrt{2}$. Since $\sqrt{x+y} - \sqrt{x} \leq y/(2\sqrt{x})$ for $x > 0, y > 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\omega_2 - \omega| + |\omega - \omega_1|}{|\omega_2 - \omega_1|} - 1 &= \frac{[(\delta - r)^2 + 4r\delta \sin^2(\theta/2)]^{1/2} - (\delta - r)}{\delta} \\ &\leq \frac{2r\delta \sin^2(\theta/2)}{\delta - r} \leq \frac{2\delta \eta^*(\delta)^2}{\delta(1 - 1/\sqrt{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus that $\eta^*(\delta)^2 < 8\eta(\delta)^2$ for small δ .

Remark 2.1. The last result implies that $\eta(2\delta) \leq K\eta(\delta)$. We only indicate the proof. With the convention (2.6), choose $\omega'_1, \omega'_2 \in \Gamma(0, \delta)$ such that $|\omega'_1 - \omega'_2| = \delta/2$, $\omega \in \Gamma(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$ and $[\omega'_1, \omega'_2]$ is parallel to $[0, \delta]$. Let $\omega \in \Gamma(\omega'_1, \omega'_2)$ be a point on the perpendicular bisector of $[\omega'_1, \omega'_2]$. We consider now the pairs $\{0, \omega\}$, $\{\omega, \delta\}$, $\{\omega'_1, \omega'_2\}$ and see by elementary geometry that

$$\delta\eta^*(\delta) = \max |\operatorname{Im} \omega| < 3\eta^*(\delta/\sqrt{3})$$

for small δ . Applying this twice we obtain $\eta^*(\delta) < 9\eta^*(\delta/3) < 9\eta^*(\delta/2)$.

2.3. Proof of the lower estimate (1.3). Let $z_1, z_2 \in \partial\mathbf{D}$, $|z_1 - z_2| = \delta$ and choose $\zeta \in \mathbf{D}$ on the perpendicular bisector of $[z_1, z_2]$ such that $|\zeta| = 1 - \delta$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 with $a = 2$ that, for z on $\partial\mathbf{D}$ between z_1 and z_2 ,

$$\frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} = (z - \zeta) + b \quad \text{with} \quad |b| \leq K_1 \delta \beta(\delta). \quad (2.7)$$

Writing b_i instead of b for the cases $z = z_i$, we thus see that

$$\frac{f(z) - f(z_1)}{f(z_2) - f(z_1)} = \frac{(z - z_1) + (b - b_1)}{(z_2 - z_1) + (b - b_2)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since $|\operatorname{Im}[(z - z_1)(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_1)]| \leq \delta^3$ we deduce from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \frac{f(z) - f(z_1)}{f(z_2) - f(z_1)} \right| \leq \frac{\delta^3 + K_2 \delta^2 \beta(\delta)}{[\delta - 2K_1 \delta \beta(\delta)]^2} \leq M_2 \beta(\delta) \quad (2.9)$$

for sufficiently small δ because $\delta \leq M_1 \beta(\delta)$ by (2.1).

Since Γ is a quasiconformal curve it follows [6, p. 315] that

$$\frac{|f(z_1) - f(z_2)|}{(1 - |\zeta|)|f'(\zeta)|} \geq c_1 \frac{\operatorname{diam} \Gamma(f(z_1), f(z_2))}{(1 - |\zeta|)|f'(\zeta)|} \geq c_2. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence (2.2) with $\epsilon/2$ instead of ϵ shows that

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \geq c_2(1 - |\zeta|)^{1+\epsilon/2} = c_2 \delta^{1+\epsilon/2} \geq \delta^{1+\epsilon} \quad (2.11)$$

if $0 < \delta < \delta_0(\epsilon)$, and the lower estimate (1.3) follows from (2.9) and Lemma 2.1.

3. The upper estimate

3.1. *Connection with conformal mapping of strips.* To obtain an upper bound for $\beta(\delta)$ we map \mathbf{D} and the inner domain of Γ conformally onto infinite strips. Let, as above, f denote a univalent function in \mathbf{D} , as well as its continuous extension to $\partial\mathbf{D}$, which maps \mathbf{D} onto Ω , and let $f(\zeta_0) = \omega_0 (|\zeta_0| = 1)$. The functions

$$z = x + iy = h(\zeta) = \operatorname{Log} \frac{\zeta_0 + \zeta}{\zeta_0 - \zeta} \quad \text{and} \quad w = u + iv = H(\omega) = -\log(\omega - \omega_0), \quad (3.1)$$

where Log denotes the principal value for $\zeta \in \mathbf{D}$ and \log is a determination of the logarithm for $\omega \in \Omega$ obtained by fixing a branch at a point of $\bar{\Omega} - \{\omega_0\}$, map \mathbf{D} onto the strip $\Sigma = \{z \mid -\infty < x < +\infty, |y| < \pi/2\}$ and Ω onto a striplike domain S , depending on ω_0 . Its boundary is a closed Jordan curve C with a point, w_∞ , at $w = \infty$. Then $F = h \circ f^{-1} \circ H^{-1}$ is a conformal map of S onto Σ . Let $f(-\zeta_0) = \omega'_0$ and $w'_0 = H(\omega'_0)$; then $\lim_{w \rightarrow w_\infty} \operatorname{Re} F(w) = \infty$ and $\lim_{w \rightarrow w'_0} \operatorname{Re} F(w) = -\infty$. The points w'_0 and w_∞ decompose C into two subarcs C_+ and C_- , where the notation is so chosen that, under the mapping F , C_+ corresponds to $\{y = \pi/2\}$ and C_- to $\{y = -\pi/2\}$.

A simple calculation leads to the equation ($w = -\log(f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0))$)

$$\frac{F''(w)}{[F'(w)]^2} + \frac{1}{F'(w)} - 1 + \frac{\zeta_0 - \zeta}{\zeta_0} = -\frac{\zeta_0^2 - \zeta^2}{2\zeta_0} \frac{f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} \quad (|\zeta| < 1). \quad (3.2)$$

3.2. *A comparison strip.* Let $0 < \epsilon < 1/10$. We assume in the following that Γ is an asymptotically conformal curve and use the notations of Section 3.1; K, K_1, K_2, \dots denote absolute constants, M, M_1, M_2, \dots depend only on f and

parameters. We write

$$\tilde{\eta}(u) = \eta(2e^{-u}) + 2e^{-ue}. \quad (3.3)$$

LEMMA 3.1. *There exists a constant a_1 which depends only on f (but not on ω_0) and a strip*

$$S_1 = \{w = u + iv \mid v > a_1, \varphi_-(u) < v < \varphi_+(u)\} \subset S$$

where φ_- and φ_+ are continuous, piecewise linear functions in $[a_1, \infty)$ with the following properties:

- (i) The corners of both curves $\{v = \varphi_{\pm}(u)\}$ occur at most at points $u = u_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) with $u_{n+1} - u_n = 1/2$.
- (ii) If for $u \geq a_1$

$$\varepsilon(u) = \sup_{t \geq u} \{|\varphi'_+(t)|, |\varphi'_-(t)|\}$$

then

$$\varepsilon_1(u) \equiv \varepsilon(u) + 2e^{-ue} \leq K_1 \tilde{\eta}(u-1) \quad (u \geq a_1 + 1). \quad (3.4)$$

(iii) For $u > a_1$, let θ_u denote the crosscut $\{\operatorname{Re} w = u, \varphi_-(u) < v < \varphi_+(u)\}$ of S_1 and $\theta(u) = \varphi_+(u) - \varphi_-(u)$ its length. Then there exists exactly one crosscut Θ_u of S which contains θ_u and joins a point of C_+ to one on C_- . If $\Theta(u)$ is the length of Θ_u then

$$\Theta(u) - \theta(u) + 2e^{-ue} \leq K_2 \tilde{\eta}(u-1) \quad (u \geq a_1 + 1) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|\theta(u) - \pi| \leq K_3 \tilde{\eta}(u-1) \quad (u \geq a_1 + 1). \quad (3.6)$$

It should be noted that, while S and S_0 change with $\omega_0 \in \Gamma$, a_1 is independent of ω_0 .

The proof of this lemma is contained in Section 2.2 of [4]. Note the difference in the definition of $\tilde{\eta}(u)$ here in (3.3) and in [4]. The strip S_0 constructed there is denoted here by S_1 . The fact that for $u > a_1$ the region S has one and only one crosscut Θ_u is stated in Section 1.2 of [4] which is referred to in 2.2.

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists an $a > a_1 + 1$ which depends only on f which the following properties. Let $S_0 = \{w = u + iv \mid u > a, \varphi_-(u) < v < \varphi_+(u)\}$ where φ_+ and φ_- are the functions in the definition of S_1 of Lemma 1; thus $S_0 \subset S$. Let $F_0 = X_0 + iY_0: S_0 \rightarrow \Sigma$ denote the one-to-one conformal map of S_0 onto Σ such that, for $w \in S_0$, $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \operatorname{Re} F_0(w) = +\infty$ and $F_0(a + i\varphi_{\pm}(a)) = \pm i\pi/2$. Then for $Y(w) = \operatorname{Im} F(w)$*

$$|Y(w) - Y_0(w)| < M_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } w \in S_0, u < M_2(\varepsilon). \quad (3.7)$$

Again we note that our constants are independent of ω_0 .

Proof. We refer to the proof of Lemma 2 in Section 2.6 of [4] up to and including equation (2.6.14). There an a is determined such that S_0 satisfies the hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 2 of [5] with $L = 2\pi$, $l = 1/8$, c replaced by c_0 , an absolute constant defined in ([4], (2.6.13)), $\mu = 1/2$, $\alpha_+(u) = \alpha_-(u) = 2\sqrt{2} \tilde{\eta}(u)/\pi$, and, by ([4], (2.6.14)),

$$\lambda(u) = \left[1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \tilde{\eta}(u) \right]^{-1}.$$

Note that a depends only on η and thus on f , but not on ε . Furthermore, in the notation of this theorem, $\varepsilon(u) \leq \varepsilon_1(u) \equiv \varepsilon(u) + 2e^{-ue} \leq K_1 \tilde{\eta}(u-1)$ by (3.4) and $\delta(u) \leq \delta_1(u) \equiv \delta(u) + 2e^{-ue} \leq K_2 \tilde{\eta}(u-1)$ by (3.5). (Theorem 2 of [5] assumes that $\varepsilon(u) \geq 2e^{-pu}$ and $\delta(u) \geq e^{-pu}$ for some $p > 0$. However, if this condition is not satisfied for any $p > 0$, $\varepsilon(u)$ and $\delta(u)$ may be replaced by $\varepsilon_1(u) \equiv \varepsilon(u) + 2e^{-pu}$ and $\delta_1(u) \equiv \delta(u) + e^{-pu}$ for some $p > 0$.) Hence we can apply the result of Part (i) of the proof of Theorem 2 in [5], namely, the inequality (4.5). Here we take $p = \varepsilon$, $p_1 = 5\varepsilon/4$, $\nu_1 = 1 + 2 \cdot 5\varepsilon/4 = 1 + 5\varepsilon/2$ and we obtain for $w \in S_0$

$$|Y(w) - Y_0(w)| \leq M_3 [\tilde{\eta}(u/\nu_1 - 1)]^{\lambda(u/\nu_1 - 1)}$$

for $u \geq q_3 \nu_1$ (see [5], (4.5)). We now determine $M_2 > q_3 \nu_1$ such that

$$\frac{u}{1 + \frac{5}{2}\varepsilon} - 1 > \frac{u}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) < e^{-1} \quad \text{for } u > M_2(\varepsilon).$$

Since $[\tilde{\eta}(u)]^{\lambda(u)}$ increases with decreasing u , the factor of M_3 is

$$\leq \left[\tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) \right]^{\lambda(u/(1+3\varepsilon))} = \tilde{\eta} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}} \right]^{K\tilde{\eta}/(1+K\tilde{\eta})} \leq \eta \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}} \right]^{K\tilde{\eta}} \left(K = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \right)$$

For $u > M_2(\varepsilon)$ we have $\tilde{\eta}(u/(1+3\varepsilon)) < e^{-1}$ and, therefore $[1/\tilde{\eta}]^{K\tilde{\eta}} \leq \exp(2\sqrt{2}/\pi e)$. Hence we obtain (3.7) with $M_1 = M_3 \exp(2\sqrt{2}/\pi e)$.

3.3. Estimates for $F'_0(w)$ and $F''_0(w)$. The following Lemma is in part a quantitative version of a known result on L -strips [13, Theorem X] adapted to our special situation.

We choose an absolute constant α with $3/4 < \alpha^3 < 1$, say

$$\alpha = (4/5)^{1/3}$$

and use the notation of Lemma 3.3. Let $\psi(u) = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi_+(u) + \varphi_-(u)]$ and $\Lambda = \{u \geq a, v = \psi(u)\}$.

LEMMA 3.3. *There exists $a(\varepsilon)$ and $x_0(\varepsilon)$ depending only on ε and f such that, with $S(\alpha) = \{u \geq a(\varepsilon), |v - \psi(u)| \leq \alpha^2 \pi/2\}$,*

$$\{|w - w^*| \leq \alpha \pi/2\} \subset S_0 \quad \text{for } w^* \in \Lambda, \quad \operatorname{Re} w^* \geq a(\varepsilon), \quad (3.8)$$

$$|F'_0(w) - 1| \leq K\tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+4\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } w \in S(\alpha), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\left| \frac{F''_0(w)}{F'_0(w)} \right| \leq K\tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+4\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } w \in S(\alpha), \quad (3.10)$$

$$F^{-1}([x_0(\varepsilon), +\infty)) \subset S(\alpha). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be the sequence of points, $u_{n+1} - u_n = 1/2$, $u_n \geq a$, at which possible corners of the graphs represented by φ_+ and φ_- occur. By considering the module of the quadrilateral formed by the crosscuts θ_{u_n} and $\theta_{u_{n+1}}$ and the arcs $\{u_n \leq u \leq u_{n-1}, v = \varphi_{\pm}(u)\}$ with respect to the family of curves joining these arcs, we obtain by a known argument (see e.g. [8, pp. 598–599]) that, for $w_n = u_n + i\psi(u_n)$, $X_0(w) \equiv X_0(u, v)$,

$$X_0(w_{n+1}) - X_0(w_n) \leq \pi \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{du}{\theta(u)} + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{\varphi_+'^2 + \varphi_-'^2}{\theta(u)} du + \sigma(u_n) + \sigma(u_{n+1}) \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$X_0(w_{n+1}) - X_0(w_n) \geq \pi \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{du}{\theta(u)} - [\sigma(u_n) + \sigma(u_{n+1})]. \quad (3.12)$$

Here

$$\sigma(u) = \max_{w_i \in \theta_u} \operatorname{Re} (F_0(w_2) - F_0(w_1)),$$

the oscillation of $\operatorname{Re} F_0(w)$ on θ_u . We note that (using $\theta(u) \leq 2\pi$)

$$\pi \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{du}{\theta(u)} \geq \pi \frac{u_{n+1} - u_n}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (3.13)$$

Now, integrating along Λ , we have

$$X_0(w_{n+1}) - X_0(w_n) = \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \left[\frac{\partial X_0}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial X_0}{\partial v} \psi'(u) \right] du$$

and by use of the (generalized) mean value theorem we obtain

$$Q_n = \frac{X_0(w_{n+1}) - X_0(w_n)}{\pi \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{du}{\theta(u)}} = \frac{\theta(u'_n)}{\pi} \left[\frac{\partial X_0}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial X_0}{\partial v} \psi'(u) \right]_{u=u'_n, v=\psi(u'_n)}$$

since $\psi'(u)$ is continuous (even constant) on (u_n, u_{n+1}) ; $u_n < u'_n < u_{n+1}$. If we write $A(w) = \operatorname{Arg} F'_0(w)$, we obtain

$$Q_n = \frac{\theta(u'_n)}{\pi} |F'_0(w'_n)| (\cos A(w'_n) - \sin A(w'_n) \psi'(u'_n)), \quad w'_n = u'_n + i\psi(u'_n). \quad (3.14)$$

We now use estimates from [5] for $|\operatorname{Arg} F'_0(w)|$ in the Remark to Theorem 1 (at the end of its proof) and for $\sigma(u)$ in [5], (2.3). We apply these inequalities with $L = 2\pi$, $p = \varepsilon$, $p' = 5\varepsilon/4$ and obtain using (3.4) in Lemma 3.1 of the present paper

$$|A(w)| \leq 2K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+5\varepsilon/2} - 1 \right) \leq 2K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } u \geq M_4(\varepsilon) \geq M_2(\varepsilon). \quad (3.15)$$

We can also choose $M_4(\varepsilon)$ so large that, by [5], (2.3),

$$\sigma(u) \leq 4\pi \cdot K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right), \quad u \geq M_4(\varepsilon). \quad (3.16)$$

Furthermore $|\psi'(u)| \leq \varepsilon(u) \leq K_1 \tilde{\eta}(u-1) \leq K_1 \tilde{\eta}(u/(1+3\varepsilon))$ for $u \geq M_2(\varepsilon)$. Writing $\cos A = 1 - 2 \sin^2(A/2)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - K_5 \tilde{\eta}^2 \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) &\leq \cos A(w'_n) - \sin A(w'_n) \psi'(u'_n) \\ &\leq 1 + K_5 \tilde{\eta}^2 \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right), \quad u \geq M_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We can determine $M_5(\varepsilon) > M_4(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$1 - K_5 \tilde{\eta}^2 \left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } u \geq M_5. \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.11), (3.12), and (3.13) we have

$$1 - 4[\sigma(u_n) + \sigma(u_{n+1})] \leq Q_n \leq 1 + \tilde{\eta}^2(u_{n-1}) + 4[\sigma(u_n) + \sigma(u_{n+1})]$$

and using (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18) we obtain for $u_n \geq M_5$

$$1 - K_6 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u_n}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) \leq \frac{\theta(u'_n)}{\pi} |F'_0(w'_n)| \leq 1 + K_6 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u_n}{1+3\varepsilon} \right),$$

and we may assume $1 - K_6 \tilde{\eta}(u/(1+3\varepsilon)) > 0$ for $u > M_5$. Finally, by (3.6) we find

$$1 - K_7 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u_n}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) \leq |F'_0(w'_n)| \leq 1 + K_7 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u_n}{1+3\varepsilon} \right), \quad u'_n > u_n \geq M_5(\varepsilon).$$

or

$$|F'_0(w'_n)| - 1 \leq K_7 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u_n}{1+3\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } u_n > M_5(\varepsilon). \quad (3.19)$$

Now we come to the proof of (3.8) and (3.9). Here we make use of Lemma 2 in [5]. According to this lemma we can determine an $M_6 > M_5 + \pi$ such that for any $w^* = u^* + iv^* \in \Lambda$ with $u^* \geq M_6$ there is an $r = r(u^*)$ such that the disk $\{|w - w^*| < r\} \subset S_0$. Moreover, we can, because of (3.4) and (3.6) and the expression for $r(u^*)$, assume that

$$r(u^*) \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \theta = r_0 \quad \text{for } u^* > M_6(\varepsilon).$$

Thus the disk $\{|w - w^*| \leq \theta\pi/2\} \subset S_0$ for $w^* \in \Lambda$, $u^* \geq M_6(\varepsilon)$.

We consider now $g(w) = \log(F'_0(w)/|F'_0(w^*)|)$ with $\operatorname{Im} g(w) = \operatorname{Arg} F'_0(w)$, $w^* \in \Lambda$, $u^* > M_6(\varepsilon)$. By (3.15),

$$|\operatorname{Im} g(w)| \leq 2K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad |w - w^*| \leq r_0$$

and, therefore, we have in $|w - w^*| \leq \alpha r_0 = \alpha^2(\pi/2)$.

$$\left| \log \left| \frac{F'_0(w)}{F'_0(w^*)} \right| \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} 2K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) \log \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (3.20)$$

Given $w^* \in \Lambda$ we can find a u'_n in the sequence determined above such that $|u'_n - u^*| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $|\psi'(u)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ in the interval between u'_n and u^* (except when u is a corner-point), we have $|w'_n - w^*| < \sqrt{5}/4 < \alpha r_0$ for $\alpha > 3/4$. Hence we may apply (3.20) for $w = w'_n$ and obtain thus

$$\left| \log \left| \frac{F'_0(w'_n)}{F'_0(w^*)} \right| \right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi} K_1 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) \log \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (3.21)$$

From (3.20) and (3.21) we have for $|w - w^*| \leq \alpha r_0$, $|w'_n - w^*| \leq \sqrt{5}/4$:

$$\left| \log \left| \frac{F'_0(w)}{F'_0(w'_n)} \right| \right| \leq K_8 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right) \left(K_8 = \frac{8K_1}{\pi} \log \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right)$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{F'_0(w)}{F'_0(w'_n)} - 1 \right| \leq e^{K_8} K_8 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right).$$

We use (3.19) to estimate $|F'_0(w'_n)|$. We have $u_n \geq u^* - \frac{1}{2} > u^* - \pi$. We choose now $M_7(\varepsilon) > M_6(\varepsilon)$ so large that $K_7 \tilde{\eta}(u^* - \pi/(1 + 3\varepsilon)) < 1$ for $u^* > M_7$. Then we have $|F'_0(w'_n)| \leq 2$ and therefore

$$|F'_0(w)| - |F'_0(w'_n)| \leq 2K_9 \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{u^* - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon} \right), \quad K_9 = e^{K_8} K_8. \quad (3.22)$$

We take now $\operatorname{Re} w = \operatorname{Re} w^* = u$. Writing

$$w \in S(\alpha) = \left\{ u \geq M_7, \psi(u) - \alpha^2 \frac{\pi}{2} < v < \psi(u) + \alpha^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \quad (3.23)$$

we have by (3.22) and (3.19)

$$\begin{aligned} |F'_0(w) - 1| &\leq |F'_0(w) - |F'_0(w'_n)|| + |F'_0(w'_n)| - 1| \\ &\leq (2K_9 + K_7)\tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using this in conjunction with (3.15) we obtain

$$|F'_0(w) - 1| \leq K\tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u - \pi}{1 + 3\varepsilon}\right) \leq K\tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u}{1 + 4\varepsilon}\right)$$

for $w \in S(\alpha)$ and $u \geq M_8(\varepsilon)$. If we now set $a(\varepsilon) = \max(M_7(\varepsilon), M_8(\varepsilon))$ we obtain (3.9).

To prove (3.10) note that for $|w - w^*| \leq \alpha r_0$, $u^* > a(\varepsilon)$

$$\left|\frac{F''_0(w)}{F'_0(w)}\right| \leq \frac{2}{r_0(1 - \alpha)^2} \max_{|w - w^*| \leq r_0} |\operatorname{Arg} F'_0(w)| \leq \frac{8K_1}{\pi\alpha(1 - \alpha)^2} \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u^*}{1 + 4\varepsilon}\right)$$

by (3.15). Taking here $\operatorname{Re} w = u = u^*$ we obtain the inequality (3.10).

Let $\Lambda_{\pm} = \{u > a(\varepsilon), v = \psi(u) \pm \alpha^2\pi/2\}$. For $w = u + iv_+ \in \Lambda_+$ and $w = u + iv_- \in \Lambda_-$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0(u, v_+) - Y_0(u, v_-) &= \int_{v_-}^{v_+} \frac{\partial Y_0(u, v)}{\partial v} dv = \int_{v_-}^{v_+} \frac{\partial X_0(u, v)}{\partial u} dv \\ &= \int_{v_-}^{v_+} |F'_0(u + iv)| \cos A(u + iv) dv. \end{aligned}$$

By the first inequality in (3.9) and (3.15) the integrand

$$|F'_0(u + iv)| \left(1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{A(u + iv)}{2}\right) \geq \left(1 - K\tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u}{1 + 4\varepsilon}\right)\right) \left(1 - 2K_1^2\tilde{\eta}^2\left(\frac{u}{1 + 3\varepsilon}\right)\right) > \alpha$$

for $u \geq M_9$ for a sufficiently large $M_9 > a(\varepsilon)$. Hence for $u \geq M_9$,

$$Y_0(u, v_+) - Y_0(u, v_-) \geq (v_+ - v_-)\alpha = \pi\alpha^2 \cdot \alpha = \pi\alpha^3.$$

Since $\alpha^3 = \frac{4}{5}$ this implies that, for $u \geq M_9$, $F_0(u + iv_+)$ lies *above* the line $y = -\pi/2 + 4\pi/5 = 3\pi/10$ and $F_0(u + iv_-)$ lies *below* the line $y = \pi/2 - 4\pi/5 = -3\pi/10$.

By Lemma 3.2 we can determine an $M_{10}(\varepsilon) \geq M_9(\varepsilon)$ so large that

$|Y(w) - Y_0(w)| < \pi/20$ for $\operatorname{Re} w > M_{10}(\varepsilon)$ ($w \in S$). If $w = u + iv_x$, $u > M_{10}(\varepsilon)$, then $F(u + iv_+)$ lies *above* the line $y = (3\pi/10) - \pi/20 = \pi/4$ and $F(u + iv_-)$ lies *below* the line $y = -\pi/4$. Hence the substrip $\{u > M_{10}(\varepsilon), \psi(u) - \alpha^2\pi/2 < v < \psi(u) + \alpha^2\pi/2\}$ of $S(\alpha)$ contains the image C_0 of a part of the real axis $\{x \geq x_0(\varepsilon), y = 0\}$ under the mapping $z \mapsto F^{-1}(z)$. That this $x_0(\varepsilon)$ can be determined uniformly for all $\omega_0 \in \Gamma$ and depends only $M_{10}(\varepsilon)$ and f follows from the uniform continuity of f on $\partial\mathbf{D}$ and the application of the mappings (3.1).

3.4. Proof of the upper estimate (1.3). We consider $F(w) - F_0(w)$ in the disk $\{|w - w^*| \leq \alpha\pi/2 = r_0\}$, where $w^* \in \Lambda$ and $\operatorname{Re} w^* > a(\varepsilon)$ so that this disk is in S_0 . By Lemma 3.2, if $w \in S_0$ and $u > M_2(\varepsilon)$, then $|\operatorname{Im}(F(w) - F_0(w))| \leq M_1 \tilde{\eta}(u/(1+3\varepsilon))$. Hence by the Schwarz–Poisson representation we have in the disk $\{|w - w^*| \leq \alpha r_1 = \alpha^2\pi/2\}$

$$|F'(w) - F'_0(w)| \leq \frac{4M_1}{\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)^2} \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon}\right) \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$|F''(w) - F''_0(w)| \leq \frac{16M_1}{(\pi\alpha)^2(1-\alpha)^3} \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u}{1+3\varepsilon}\right).$$

We set $\delta_1(\varepsilon) = 2e^{-x_0(\varepsilon)}/(1 + e^{-x_0(\varepsilon)})$. Subtracting from and adding to the left-hand side of (3.2) the term

$$\frac{F''_0(w)}{[F'_0(w)]^2} + \left[\frac{1}{F'_0(w)} - 1 \right]$$

and using (3.24), (3.25) and (3.9) we obtain for $\zeta = \rho\zeta_0$, $0 < \rho < 1$, $1 - \rho \leq \delta_1(\varepsilon)$

$$\frac{1-\rho}{2} \left| \frac{f''(\zeta)}{f'(\zeta)} \right| \leq (1-\rho) + M \tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{u}{1+4\varepsilon}\right) = 1 - \rho + M [\eta(2e^{-u/(1+4\varepsilon)}) + 2e^{-\varepsilon u}].$$

Here M depends only on the function η . Since $e^{-u} = |f(\zeta) - f(\zeta_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\rho)^{1-\varepsilon}$ if $1 - \rho \leq \delta_0(\varepsilon) \leq \delta_1(\varepsilon)$ by (2.2) we have

$$2e^{-u/(1+4\varepsilon)} \leq (1-\rho)^{(1-\varepsilon)/(1+4\varepsilon)} < (1-\rho)^{1-5\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 1 - \rho \leq \delta_0(\varepsilon).$$

(Note that $\delta_0(\varepsilon)$ is independent of ζ_0, ω_0 .) Hence, for $1 - \rho = \delta$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\beta(\delta) \leq \delta + M[\eta(\delta^{1-5\varepsilon}) + 2\delta^{5\varepsilon/6}] \quad \text{for } \delta \leq \delta_0(\varepsilon),$$

because $\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) > 5\varepsilon/6$. If we replace now ε by $\varepsilon/5$ we obtain the upper estimate.

4. Consequences and examples

4.1. *Smooth curves.* We derive now some results of Lesley and the second author [4] from Theorem 1.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let f map \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of Γ and let*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Then Γ is smooth, $\log f'$ has a continuous extension to $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ and

$$\max_{|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leq \delta} |\log f'(\zeta_1) - \log f'(\zeta_2)| \leq M \int_0^{\delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + M(\varepsilon) \delta^{\varepsilon/6} \quad (4.2)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

As Rubel, Shields and Taylor have shown [9], it does not matter whether the maximum is taken for $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ or for $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \bar{\mathbf{D}}$. The upper bound in [4, Application 1] is, instead of (4.2),

$$M(\varepsilon) \int_0^{\delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\eta(t)}{t} \log \frac{1}{\eta(t)} dt + M(\varepsilon) \delta^{1-\varepsilon} \int_{\delta^{1-\varepsilon}}^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t^2} \log \frac{1}{\eta(t)} dt.$$

Integration of (1.6) gives the same bound with $\delta^{1-\varepsilon}$ replaced by δ . The estimate (4.2) is still better for “not too smooth” curves.

Proof. It follows from (1.2) that, for $\zeta_1 \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\int_{(1-\delta)\zeta_1}^{\zeta_1} \left| \frac{d}{dz} \log f'(z) \right| |dz| \leq \int_{1-\delta}^1 \frac{\beta(1-r)}{1-r} dr.$$

By Theorem 1, this is

$$\leqq M_1 \int_0^\delta \frac{\eta(t^{1-\varepsilon}) + t^{\varepsilon/6}}{t} dt = \frac{M_1}{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{\delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + \frac{6M_1}{\varepsilon} \delta^{\varepsilon/6}.$$

Hence (4.1) implies that $\log f'$ is continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ so that Γ is smooth. If $\zeta_2 \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ and $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \leqq \delta$, a similar argument shows that

$$\int_{(1-\delta)\zeta_1}^{\zeta_2} \left| \frac{d}{dz} \log f'(z) \right| |dz| \leqq \frac{2M_1}{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{\delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + \frac{12M_1}{\varepsilon} \delta^{\varepsilon/6}.$$

Adding these two estimates we obtain (4.2); the range $\delta_0(\varepsilon) \leqq \delta < 1$ is trivial.

We prove now that (1.5) implies (1.6). Since $c_1 \leqq |f'(\zeta)| \leqq M_1$ for $\zeta \in \bar{\mathbf{D}}$ by Theorem 4.1, it follows (see (2.10)) that

$$c_2 \delta \leqq |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leqq M_2 \delta \quad \text{for } |z_1 - z_2| = \delta, z_1, z_2 \in \partial\mathbf{D}. \quad (4.3)$$

The lower estimate (1.6) is proved as in 2.3 with (2.11) replaced by (4.3). Furthermore, it was shown in [4, Cor. 1] that

$$|\arg f'(\zeta_1) - \arg f'(\zeta_2)| \leqq M_3 \int_0^\delta \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + \delta; \quad (4.4)$$

we have used Remark 2.1 to bring that result to this form. Now the upper estimate (1.6) follows by applying (4.4) to the derivative of the Poisson–Schwarz formula; see [14, Lemma 3].

Remark 4.1. The condition that $\log f'$ is continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ does not conversely imply (4.1). To see this, let h be analytic in \mathbf{D} and continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ with $h(\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{D}$ such that

$$\int_0^1 |h'(x)| dx = \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

The function f defined by $\log f' = 2 + h$ satisfies $|\arg f'(z)| < \pi/4$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$. Hence f is one-to-one in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ and Γ is a Jordan curve. The proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that (4.1) does not hold because of (4.5).

4.2. Asymptotically smooth curves. The Jordan curve Γ is called *asymptotically*

smooth if it is rectifiable and if

$$\sup_{|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \leq \delta} \frac{l(\Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2))}{|\omega_1 - \omega_2|} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.6)$$

where l denotes the length. This is equivalent [7, Th. 2] to $\log f' \in \text{VMOA}$ (vanishing mean oscillation [10]). If ρ is a positive increasing function with $\rho(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow +0$, let $\text{BMO}_{\partial\mathbf{D}}(\rho)$ denote the space of all $g \in L^1(\partial\mathbf{D})$ such that

$$\frac{1}{l(I)} \int_I |g(z) - g_I| |dz| \leq M\rho(\delta), \quad g_I = \frac{1}{l(I)} \int g(\zeta) |d\zeta| \quad (4.7)$$

for all arcs $I \subset \partial\mathbf{D}$ with $l(I) \leq \delta$. The space $H^1 \cap L^1(\partial\mathbf{D})$ is a subspace of VMOA . See [11, Chapter 5] for a discussion of these concepts.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let f map \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of Γ . If*

$$\int_0^1 t^{-1} \eta(t)^2 dt < \infty \quad (4.8)$$

then Γ is asymptotically smooth and

$$\log f' \in \text{BMO}_{\partial\mathbf{D}}(\rho_\epsilon) \quad (4.9)$$

for $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$ where

$$\rho_\epsilon(\delta) = \int_0^{\delta^{1-\epsilon}} t^{-1} \eta(t)^2 dt + \delta^{\epsilon/5} \quad (0 < \delta < 1). \quad (4.10)$$

We need a lemma on functions of bounded mean oscillation.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let g be analytic in \mathbf{D} and let*

$$|g'(z)| \leq \varphi(\delta) \quad (|z| \leq 1 - \delta) \quad (4.11)$$

for $0 < \delta < 1$. If

$$\rho(\delta) \equiv \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t\delta}{t+\delta} \varphi(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty \quad (4.12)$$

then $g \in \text{BMO}_{\partial\mathbf{D}}(\rho)$ and moreover, for $1 - \delta \leq |\zeta| < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\mathbf{D}} |g(z) - g(\zeta)|^2 \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} |dz| \leq K_1 \rho(\delta)^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. For $\zeta \in \mathbf{D}$, let

$$g_\zeta(z) = g\left(\frac{z + \zeta}{1 + \bar{\zeta}z}\right) - g(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbf{D}). \quad (4.14)$$

It easily follows from Parseval's formula that

$$\|g_\zeta\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |g'_\zeta(z)|^2 dx dy. \quad (4.15)$$

Substituting $z \mapsto (z - \zeta)/(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)$ we therefore obtain from (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_\zeta\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^2} |g'(z)|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2) \varphi(1 - r)^2 \left(\frac{4}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{|1 - r\bar{\zeta}e^{it}|^2} \right) r dr \end{aligned}$$

by (4.11). Hence it follows from the Poisson integral formula that, for $|\zeta| \geq 1 - \delta$,

$$\|g_\zeta\|_2^2 \leq K_1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - r)\delta}{1 - r + \delta} \varphi(1 - r)^2 dr.$$

Another substitution shows that this estimate is equivalent to (4.13).

Given an arc $I \subset \partial\mathbf{D}$ we choose $\zeta \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ such that $1 - |\zeta| = 2l(I)$ and $\zeta/|\zeta|$ is the midpoint of I . Then we obtain from (4.13) that

$$\frac{1}{l(I)} \int_I |g(z) - g(\zeta)|^2 |dz| \leq K_2 \int_I |g(z) - g(\zeta)|^2 \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|z - \zeta|^2} |dz| \leq K_3 \rho(\delta)^2$$

for $|\zeta| \geq 1 - \delta$. Since the left-hand side is not increased if we replace $g(\zeta)$ by the mean value g_I we see that (4.7) holds.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $g = \log f'$. It follows from Theorem 1 that, for

$|z| \leq 1 - \delta$,

$$|g'(z)| = \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{M(\varepsilon)}{\delta} (\eta(\delta^{1-\varepsilon}) + \delta^{\varepsilon/6}).$$

Hence the function defined in (4.12) satisfies

$$\rho(\delta)^2 \leq 2M(\varepsilon)^2 \int_0^1 \frac{\delta}{t(t+\delta)} [\eta(t^{1-\varepsilon})^2 + t^{\varepsilon/3}] dt.$$

Writing $\tau = \delta^{1-\varepsilon/3}$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\delta)^2 &\leq M_1(\varepsilon) \int_0^\tau \left[\frac{\eta(t^{1-\varepsilon})^2}{t} + t^{\varepsilon/3-1} \right] dt + M_2(\varepsilon) \int_\tau^1 \frac{\delta}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq M_3(\varepsilon) \int_0^{\tau^{1-\varepsilon}} \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt + M_4(\varepsilon) \left[\tau^{\varepsilon/3} + \frac{\delta}{\tau} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1-\varepsilon/3)(1-\varepsilon) > 1 - 4\varepsilon/3$ we obtain (4.10) replacing ε by $3\varepsilon/4$.

Remark 4.2. We mention that (4.8) implies

$$\sup_{|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \leq \delta} \frac{l(\Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2))}{|\omega_1 - \omega_2|} \leq 1 + M \int_0^\delta \frac{\eta(t)^2}{t} dt. \quad (4.16)$$

We shall not give the proof; it is purely geometric and proceeds by successive subdivisions of $\Gamma(\omega_1, \omega_2)$.

4.3. A class of examples. We show now that β can be prescribed up to multiplicative bounds and that the assumptions (4.1) of Theorem 4.1 and (4.8) of Theorem 4.2 cannot be replaced by weaker conditions of the same general type. Note that β is subadditive, by (2.1).

THEOREM 4.3. *For every increasing subadditive function $\varphi(\delta)$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$), a univalent function $f(z)$ ($z \in \mathbf{D}$) can be constructed such that*

- (i) $c\varphi(\delta) \leq \beta(\delta) \leq M\varphi(\delta)$ for $0 < \delta \leq 1$;
- (ii) $\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt < \infty \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ smooth $\Leftrightarrow \log f'$ continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$;
- (iii) $\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)^2}{t} dt < \infty \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$ rectifiable $\Leftrightarrow \log f' \in \text{VMOA}$.

Proof. Let b be a positive constant to be chosen later. We define

$$b_0 = b\varphi(1), \quad b_k = b\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right) - \frac{b}{2} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots); \quad (4.17)$$

it follows from the subadditivity that $b_k \geq 0$. Induction shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n 2^{k-n} b_k = b\varphi(2^{-n}) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (4.18)$$

We define now f by $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\log f'(z) = g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^{2^k} \quad (z \in \mathbf{D}); \quad (4.19)$$

this is a lacunary series with Hadamard gaps. If $0 < r < 1$ then

$$\max_{|z|=r} \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| = g'(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k b_k r^{2^k-1}. \quad (4.20)$$

Let $2^{-n-1} \leq 1-r < 2^{-n}$ ($n \geq 1$). We see from (4.20) and (4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r)rg'(r) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k-n} b_k (1-2^{-n-1})^{2^k} \\ &\leq b\varphi(2^{-n}) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{k-n} b_k \exp(-2^{k-n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_k \leq b\varphi(2^{-k}) \leq b\varphi(1-r)$ for $k \geq n+1$, we conclude that

$$(1-r)rg'(r) \leq 2b \left[1 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j+1} \exp(-2^j) \right] \varphi(1-r). \quad (4.21)$$

Hence we see from (4.20) that $(1-|z|^2) |f''(z)/f'(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ for $z \in \mathbf{D}$ if b is chosen sufficiently small, and Becker's criterion [2] shows that f maps \mathbf{D} conformally onto the inner domain of a quasiconformal Jordan curve Γ . We verify now that this function f satisfies (i)–(iii).

(i) It follows from (4.20) and (4.21) that

$$\beta(\delta) = \sup_{1-\delta \leq r < 1} (1-r)g'(r) \leq M \sup_{1-\delta \leq r < 1} \varphi(1-r) = M\varphi(\delta).$$

Furthermore we see from (4.20) that, if $2^{-n-1} < 1-r \leq 2^{-n}$ ($n \geq 1$),

$$(1-r)rg'(r) \geq \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{k-n-1} b_k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2 \geq \frac{b}{8} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) \geq \frac{b}{8} \varphi(1-r).$$

Hence the lower estimate (i) also holds.

(ii) In view of Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to show that the smoothness of Γ implies (4.1). If Γ is smooth then, by Lindelöf's theorem [6, p. 295],

$$\arg f'(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k r^{2^k} \sin(2^k \theta) \quad (z = r e^{i\theta})$$

is continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$. Hence Szidon's theorem [1, p. 246] shows that $\sum b_k < \infty$. Since $\eta(t) \leq M_1 \beta(t^{1/2}) \leq M_2 \varphi(t^{1/2})$ by Theorem 1 and by (i), we see from (4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt &\leq 2M_2 \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} dt \leq 2M_2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\right) \\ &= \frac{4M_2}{b} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Because of Theorem 4.2 and the remarks preceding it, we have only to show that the rectifiability of Γ implies (4.8). If Γ is rectifiable then $\log f'(r e^{i\theta})$ has a limit as $r \rightarrow 1-0$ for almost all θ [6, p. 320]. Hence it follows from Zygmund's theorem [1, p. 237] applied to the lacunary series (4.19) that $\sum b_k^2 < \infty$. As above we deduce that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)^2}{t} dt \leq M_3 \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(t)^2}{t} dt \leq M_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi(2^{-n})^2,$$

and, by (4.18) and Schwarz's inequality, this is

$$\leq 2M_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k-n} b_k^2 \right) = 4M_3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k^2 < \infty.$$

Remark 4.3. A smooth curve Γ is called *Dini-smooth* if the modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$ of the tangent angle (as a function of arc length) satisfies

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty. \tag{4.22}$$

It is easy to see that $\eta(\delta) \leq K_1 \omega(\delta)$. Hence (4.22) implies (4.1). This gives a new proof of the well-known fact [12] that $\log f'$ is continuous in $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ if Γ is Dini-smooth.

We show now that (4.1) does not conversely imply (4.22). Let f again be defined by (4.19) where $b_k > 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k b_k = \infty. \quad (4.23)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.3(ii) shows that (4.1) holds. If $\omega^*(t)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of $\arg f'(e^{i\theta})$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $\omega(t) \geq \omega^*(c_1 t)$. By Szidon's theorem [1, p. 246],

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^*(t) &= \sup_{\theta} |\operatorname{Im} [\log f'(e^{i\theta+it/2}) - \log f'(e^{i\theta-it/2})]| \\ &= 2 \sup_{\theta} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \cos(2^k \theta) \sin(2^{k-1} t) \right| \geq c_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k |\sin(2^{k-1} t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega^*(t)}{t} dt \geq c_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \int_0^1 \frac{|\sin(2^{k-1} t)|}{t} dt \geq c_3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k b_k = \infty$$

because of (4.23), so that (4.22) does not hold.

REFERENCES

- [1] BARY, N. K., *A treatise on trigonometric series*, vol. II, Pergamon Press, New York, 1964.
- [2] BECKER, J. *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 255 (1972), 23–43.
- [3] —— and POMMERENKE, CH., *Über die quasikonforme Fortsetzung schlichter Funktionen*, Math. Z. 161 (1978), 69–80.
- [4] LESLEY, F. D. and WARSCHAWSKI, S. E., *Boundary behavior of the Riemann mapping function of asymptotically conformal curves*, preprint, Math. Z., to appear.
- [5] —— and ——, *Oscillation on vertical crosscuts in the conformal mapping of infinite strips*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [6] POMMERENKE, CH., *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [7] —— *On univalent functions, Bloch functions and VMOA*, Math. Ann. 236 (1978), 199–208.
- [8] RODIN, B., *The method of extremal length*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 587–606.
- [9] RUBEL, L. R., SHIELDS, A. L. and TAYLOR, B. A., *Mergelyan sets and the modulus of continuity of analytic functions*, J. Approximation Th. 5 (1975), 23–40.

- [10] SARASON, D., *Functions of vanishing mean oscillation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 207 (1975), 391–405.
- [11] ——, *Function theory on the unit circle*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, 1978.
- [12] WARSCHAWSKI, S. E., *Über das Randverhalten der Ableitung der Abbildungsfunktion bei konformer Abbildung*, Math. Z. 35 (1932), 321–456.
- [13] ——, *On conformal mapping of infinite strips*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1942), 280–335.
- [14] ——, *Boundary derivatives of minimal surfaces*, Arch. Rational Mech. Analy. 38 (1970), 241–256.

*Fachbereich Mathematik
Technische Universität
D1000 Berlin 12
West Germany*

*Department of Mathematics
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
U.S.A.*

Received August 26, 1981