Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 55 (1980)

Artikel: Poincaré duality groups of dimension two.

Autor: Eckmann, Beno / Müller, Heinz

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42391

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 08.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Poincaré duality groups of dimension two

BENO ECKMANN and HEINZ MÜLLER

In this paper we prove that 2-dimensional Poincaré duality groups with positive first Betti number β_1 are surface groups. As a corollary it follows that a connected Poincaré 2-complex with $\beta_1 > 0$ is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface, and so is any finite connected Poincaré 2-complex.

1. Statement of algebraic results

1.1. A Poincaré duality group of dimension n, in short PD^n -group, is a group G acting on \mathbb{Z} such that there are natural duality isomorphisms

$$H^{k}(G; A) \cong H_{n-k}(G; \mathbf{Z} \otimes A) \tag{1}$$

for all integers k and all G-modules A (where G acts diagonally on $\mathbb{Z} \otimes A$); the isomorphisms (1) can be given by the cap-product $e \cap -$ with an element $e \in H_n(G; \mathbb{Z})$ called fundamental class. If (1) holds, the "formal dimension" n (= cohomology dimension of G) and the G-module \mathbb{Z} ($\cong H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$) are determined by G. A PD^n -group G is called orientable or non-orientable according to whether \mathbb{Z} is a trivial G-module or not.

The fundamental group $\pi_1(M^n)$ of a closed connected aspherical n-dimensional manifold is a PDⁿ-group. In particular, if M^2 is a closed surface of genus ≥ 1 , then $\pi_1(M^2)$ is a PD²-group. We will call such a group $\pi_1(M^2)$ a "surface group"; it admits a finite presentation of well-known canonical type. It has been conjectured that these surface groups are the only PD²-groups. We will show that this is so except in a very special case which remains open.

1.2. From general arguments [5], [2] it is known that PDⁿ-groups are of type (FP); this means that there exists a **Z**G-projective resolution of the trivial G-module **Z**, of finite length and finitely generated over **Z**G. In particular, a PDⁿ-group G is finitely generated, and its Betti numbers $\beta_i(G)$ and the Euler characteristic $\chi(G) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \beta_i$ are defined. Our main result is

THEOREM 1. Let G be a PD²-group with $\beta_1(G) > 0$. Then G is a surface group.

The condition $\beta_1(G) > 0$ means, in the orientable case, that $\beta_1(G)$ is an even integer ≥ 2 ; in the non-orientable case, an integer ≥ 1 . Thus $\beta_1(G) > 0$ is equivalent to $\chi(G) \leq 0$ (since $\chi(G) = 2 - \beta_1(G)$ in the orientable, $1 - \beta_1(G)$ in the non-orientable case). If G is non-orientable, it contains an orientable PD²-group G_1 as subgroup of index 2. By the multiplicative property of the Euler characteristic (which holds for groups of type (FP), cf. [6]) one has $\chi(G_1) = 2\chi(G)$; hence $\beta_1(G) > 0$ if and only if $\beta_1(G_1) > 0$.

1.3. A group G is said to be of type (FF) if it admits a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -free resolution of finite length and finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}G$. Obviously surface groups are of type (FF). It is not known whether there exist groups of type (FP) which are not of type (FF).

COROLLARY 1. A PD²-group G of type (FF) is a surface group.

Proof. We first assume G orientable. Then the method of proof used by J. Cohen [7] is valid for any (FF)-resolution and shows that the assumption $\beta_1(G) = 0$ (i.e. $H_1(G; \mathbf{Z}) = 0$) leads to a contradiction. Hence $\beta_1(G) > 0$, and the assertion follows from Theorem 1.

If G is non-orientable, let G_1 be the orientable subgroup of index 2; it is also of type (FF), and thus $\beta_1(G_1) > 0$. The Euler characteristic argument above then shows that $\beta_1(G) > 0$.

- 1.4. We thus see that the case $\beta_1(G) = 0$ not covered by Theorem 1 is equivalent to the existence of a PD²-group G not of type (FF), but of course of type (FP). We further note that, by Theorem 1, the condition $\beta_1(G) > 0$ not only implies type (FF) but also finite presentability.
 - 1.5. A further corollary concerns the "Nielsen conjecture" for surface groups.

COROLLARY 2. Let G be a torsion-free group containing a surface group G_1 as a subgroup of finite index. Then G itself is a surface group.

Proof. Any torsion-free group G containing a PD²-group G_1 as subgroup of finite index is itself a PD²-group (cf. [1], [2]). Since $\beta_1(G_1) > 0$, i.e., $\chi(G_1) \le 0$, the multiplicative property of the Euler characteristic, $\chi(G_1) = |G:G_1|\chi(G)$, yields $\chi(G) \le 0$. Hence $\beta_1(G) > 0$, and the assertion follows from Theorem 1.

1.6. The relative analogue of a PDⁿ-group is a PDⁿ-pair, cf. Bieri-Eckmann [3]. A group pair $(G; S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ consists of a group G and a family of subgroups $\underline{S} = (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$, $m \ge 0$; it is called a PDⁿ-pair if for some G-action on \mathbb{Z} there are duality isomorphisms between the cohomology of G and the relative homology of $(G; \underline{S})$, analogous to (1) and also given by the cap product

 $e \cap -$ with a fundamental class $e \in H_n(G, \S; \mathbb{Z})$. The duality is, of course, of exactly the same form as that of compact manifolds-with-boundary. Examples of PD²-pairs are obtained by taking for G the fundamental group of a closed surface with m+1 discs removed $(m \ge 0)$, and $m \ge 1$ if the surface is the sphere) together with the family of infinite cyclic subgroups generated by the circles bounding the discs. These PD²-pairs of groups are called "geometric".

THEOREM 2. All PD²-pairs of groups are geometric.

This result is actually a consequence of Corollary 1. Indeed it is shown in [3] that it is implied by the assertion that one-relator PD^2 -groups are surface groups. Since one-relator PD^2 -groups are of type (FF), Corollary 1 tells that this is the case.

However, Theorem 2 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1 and therefore requires a direct proof.

1.7. The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 4, of Theorem 1 in Section 5. In Section 3 we describe the procedure of proof and list some auxiliary results, in particular the "decomposition theorems for group pairs" (H. Müller [10]). Section 2 deals with the topological aspect.

2. Topological application: Poincaré 2-complexes

2.1. A Poincaré *n*-complex is a CW-complex X dominated by a finite complex and fulfilling Poincaré duality for arbitrary local coefficients, with respect to a dualizing $\pi_1(X)$ -module \mathbb{Z} and a formal dimension n. We will always assume here that it is *connected*.

The study of Poincaré complexes was initiated by Wall in the 60-s. In [15] Wall proved, in particular, that if X is a Poincaré 2-complex with $\pi_1(X)$ finite, then X is homotopy equivalent to S^2 or $\mathbb{R}P^2$; if $\pi_1(X)$ is infinite, then X is aspherical, i.e., it is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex K(G,1) for $G = \pi_1(X)$. In the latter case the investigation is thus reduced to the study of finitely presented PD^2 -groups. Later J. Cohen [7] showed that if X is a finite Poincaré 2-complex with $\beta_1(X) = 0$ then the conclusion is the same as for $\pi_1(X)$ finite; and that a Poincaré 2-complex X with $\beta_1(X) = 1$ or 2 is homotopy equivalent to the appropriate closed surface.

2.2. As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

COROLLARY 3. Let X be a Poincaré 2-complex with $\beta_1(X) > 0$. Then X is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface (of genus ≥ 1).

Indeed, since $\beta_1(X) > 0$ implies that $\pi_1(X)$ is infinite, $G = \pi_1(X)$ is a PD²-group with $\beta_1(G) > 0$ and thus isomorphic to $\pi_1(Y)$, where Y is a closed surface of genus ≥ 1 . The isomorphism provides a homotopy equivalence between X = K(G, 1) and Y.

COROLLARY 4. A finite Poincaré 2-complex X is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface.

Proof. If $\pi_1(X)$ is finite, one applies Wall's result mentioned above. If $\pi_1(X) = G$ is infinite, then G is a PD²-group of type (FF), hence isomorphic to a surface group by Corollary 1. Thus X = K(G, 1) is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface.

2.3. Thus all Poincaré 2-complexes X are homotopy equivalent to closed surfaces, except possibly if (a) $\pi_1(X)$ is infinite and $\beta_1(X) = 0$, and (b) X is not homotopy equivalent to a finite complex. Note that each of properties (a) and (b) implies the other. Except for finite presentability of $G = \pi_1(X)$ this exceptional possibility is exactly the same as the case not covered by Theorem 1, cf. 1.4.

3. Splitting of groups and group pairs

3.1. A group G is said to split over a subgroup H if it is either (α) an amalgamated free product $G = G_1 *_H G_2$, $G_1 \neq H \neq G_2$ or (β) an HNN-extension $G = G_1 *_{H,p}$. Cases where H is finitely generated or even finite will be of special importance.

If G is a PD²-group with $\beta_1(G) > 0$ then G admits an infinite cyclic factor group (infinite cyclic groups will be denoted by C in the following, or by C(g) if we want to emphasize a generator g). Since G is of type (FP), it is "almost finitely presented". By a theorem of Bieri-Strebel [4], any almost finitely presented group admitting a factor group C splits over a finitely generated group L (by a splitting (β)). Thus Theorem 1 is a consequence of

THEOREM 1'. Let G be a PD^2 -group which splits over a finitely generated subgroup L. Then G is a surface group.

If one confines attention to finitely presented PD²-groups only (e.g., in the context of Poincaré 2-complexes or of the Nielsen conjecture), the Bieri-Strebel argument can be replaced by a somewhat simpler one which is just a modification of Moldavanskii's method [9]; cf. Eckmann-Müller [8].

- 3.2. The proof of Theorem 1' will proceed as follows. By Strebel's theorem [13] the subgroup L, being of infinite index in G, is free. If the rank of L is >1, the splitting can be changed so as to become a splitting of G over a subgroup of smaller rank. One is thus reduced to the case where L = C is infinite cyclic. Then the group pairs $(G_1; C)$ and $(G_2; C)$ in case (α) , or $(G_1; C, p^{-1}Cp)$ in case (β) , are PD²-pairs; this follows from general results on PDⁿ-groups and -pairs (Bieri-Eckmann [3]). By our Theorem 2 these PD²-pairs are geometric, which easily implies that $G = G_1 *_C G_2$, or $G = G_1 *_{C,p}$ respectively, is a surface group.
- 3.3. Both the reduction process above and the proof of Theorem 2 are based on "decomposition theorems for group pairs" (H. Müller [10]). For the convenience of the reader we summarize the appropriate definitions and those results which are needed.

In this context, a splitting of G is understood to be over a finite subgroup K. A group pair $(G; S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m)$, $m \ge 0$, and a splitting (α) $G = G_1 *_K G_2$ or (β) $G = G_1 *_{K,p}$ are said to be adapted to each other if each S_j , $j = 1, \ldots, m$ is conjugate to a subgroup of G_1 or G_2 . If for $(G; S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m)$ such a splitting of G exists we simply say that the pair is adapted. If G is finitely generated, the pair $(G; S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ is adapted if and only if $\bigcap_{j=1}^m N_j \ne 0$, where N_j is the kernel of the restriction map $\operatorname{res}_j: H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}G) \to H^1(S_j; \mathbf{Z}G)$. This is just a restatement of Swarup's relative version of Stallings' structure theorem for finitely generated groups with more than one end.

In the following we assume that $(G; S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ is an adapted pair and that G is finitely generated. With respect to the pair $(G; S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ a number n(T), called *weight* of T, is associated with every subgroup T of G. The definition uses the restriction map

res:
$$H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}G)$$
.

For simplicity we only consider the case where T is finitely generated. We regard $H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}T)$ as T-submodule of the (right) G-module $H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}G)$ (the embedding is induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{Z}T \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}G$). Since T is finitely generated, we have a decomposition (as abelian group)

$$H^{1}(T; \mathbf{Z}G) = \bigoplus_{x_{i} \in G/T} H^{1}(T; \mathbf{Z}T)x_{i}$$

(see, e.g., [2] Proposition 5.3).

DEFINITION. The weight n(T) is the minimal number of non-trivial components of $\operatorname{res}(c) \in \bigoplus_{x_i \in G/T} H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}T) x_i$ for all $c \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m N_j$, $c \neq 0$.

3.4. For different values of n(T) various types of a simultaneous splitting of G and a graph-decomposition of T are obtained. We describe here only two special cases (Corollaire 2 and Corollaire 5 of [11]). In the statements the splitting $G = G_1 * G_2$ or $G = G_1 *_{e,p}$ written $G * \langle p \rangle$, is always meant to be adapted to the pair $(G; S_1, \ldots, S_m)$.

THEOREM A. Assume that T is torsion-free and n(T) = 1. Then we have one of the following cases

1)
$$G = G_1 * G_2$$
, $T = T_1 * T_2$, $T_1 \subset G_1$, $T_2 \subset G_2$;

2)
$$G = G_1 * \langle p \rangle$$
, $T = T_1 * pT_2 p^{-1}$, $T_1, T_2 \subset G_1$;

3)
$$G = \langle p \rangle$$
, $T = C(p)$, $S_1 = \cdots = S_m = e$ or $m = 0$.

THEOREM B. Assume that G is torsion-free, T infinite cyclic and n(T) = 2. Then we have one of the following cases

1)
$$G = G_1 * G_2$$
, $T = C(g_1 g_2)$, $e \neq g_i \in G_i$, $i = 1, 2$;

2)
$$G = G_1 * \langle p \rangle$$
, $T = C(pg_1p^{-1}g_2)$, $e \neq g_1, g_2 \in G_1$;

3)
$$G = \langle p \rangle$$
, $T = C(p^2)$, $S_1 = \cdots = S_m = e$ or $m = 0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. Let $(G; S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$, $m \ge 0$, in short $(G; \underline{S})$, be a PD²-pair. G acts on \mathbb{Z} , and there is a fundamental class $e \in H_2(G, \underline{S}; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$e \cap -: H^k(G; A) \rightarrow H_{2-k}(G, \underline{S}; \mathbf{Z} \otimes A)$$
 (2)

is an isomorphism for all k and A. The geometric PD²-pairs (cf. 1.6) are as follows:

Orientable case

(3) G is freely generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_m, x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_g, y_g, m+g>0,$ S_1, \ldots, S_m are generated by conjugates to t_1, \ldots, t_m and S_0 is generated by $t_1 \cdots t_m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g [x_i, y_i]$.

Non-orientable case

(4) G is freely generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_m, z_0, \ldots, z_g, m \ge 0, g \ge 0,$ S_1, \ldots, S_m are generated by conjugates to t_1, \ldots, t_m and S_0 is generated by $t_1 \cdots t_m \cdot \prod_{i=0}^g z_i^2$. 4.2. By Theorem 4.2 and 9.3 of [3] we know that a PD²-pair $(G; S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ consists of a finitely generated *free* group G and a family $\underline{S} = (S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$ of cyclic subgroups. Moreover, the fundamental class $e \in H_2(G; \underline{S}; \mathbf{Z})$ determines fundamental classes e_i for the PD¹-groups S_0, \ldots, S_m , namely the components of $\partial e \in H_1(\underline{S}; \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^m H_1(S_i; \mathbf{Z})$, where ∂ is the connecting homomorphism in the exact homology sequence of G modulo \underline{S} . By [3], Theorem 2.1 one has the following commutative diagram

$$0 \to H^{1}(G; \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\{res_{i}\}} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} H^{1}(S_{i}; \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{2}(G, \underline{S}; \mathbf{Z}G) \to 0$$

$$\cong \downarrow \{e_{i} \cap -\} \qquad \cong \downarrow (e \cap -)$$

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} H_{0}(S_{i}; \mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{cor} H_{0}(G; \mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathbf{Z}G)$$

$$\cong \downarrow j \qquad \cong \downarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\mathbf{Z} \otimes_{S_{i}} \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{Z}$$

$$(5)$$

where the top row is exact and $p(1 \bigotimes_{S_1} y) = 1 \cdot y$ for $y \in G$.

4.3. We now prove, by induction on the rank rk(G), that $(G; \underline{S})$ has a presentation (3) or (4) and thus is geometric.

If rk(G) = 1 then $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\mathbf{Z} \otimes_{S_i} \mathbf{Z} G)$ is free Abelian of rank 2, by (5). This is possible only if either m = 1 and $S_0 = S_1 = G$; or if m = 0 and $S_0 = C(a^2)$ where $G = \langle a \rangle$. Thus we either have a presentation (3) with m = 1, g = 0, or a presentation (4) with m = 0, g = 0.

If $rk(G) \ge 2$ we put $T = S_0$ and determine the weight n(T) with respect to the pair $(G; S_1, \ldots, S_m)$, which is adapted by (5). We consider elements $\operatorname{res}_0(c)$, $0 \ne c \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m N_j$ (i.e., elements $(d, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \operatorname{im} \{\operatorname{res}_i\}$, $d \ne 0$) and count the number of components of d in $H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}G) = \bigoplus_{x_\nu \in G/T} H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}T)x_\nu$. From (5) we see that $\operatorname{im} \{\operatorname{res}_i\} = \ker \delta = \ker pj\{e_i \cap -\}$, and $pj\{e_i \cap -\}$ restricted to any $H^1(T; \mathbf{Z}T)x_\nu$ is bijective. Thus the minimal number of components of elements $d \ne 0$ is two, i.e., the weight of $T = S_0$ is 2. By Theorem B we therefore have one of the two following cases:

- 1) $G = G_1 * G_2$; $S_0 = C(g_1g_2)$, $e \neq g_i \in G_i$, i = 1, 2, and the subgroups S_1, \ldots, S_k are conjugate to subgroups of G_1 , while S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_m are conjugate to subgroups of G_2 , for some $k, 0 \leq k \leq m$.
- 2) $G = G_1 * \langle p \rangle$; $S_0 = C(pg_1p^{-1}g_2)$, $e \neq g_1, g_2 \in G_1$, and S_1, \ldots, S_m are conjugate to subgroups of G_1 .

Since hypothesis and assertion are invariant under conjugation we may assume that S_1, \ldots, S_m are actually subgroups of G_1 or G_2 respectively.

Case 1). We can write G as $G = (G_1 * C(g_2)) *_{C(g_2)} G_2$. The subgroups $S_0 = C(g_1g_2)$ and S_1, \ldots, S_k are in $G_1 * C(g_2)$, and the S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_m in G_2 . If $G_2 \neq C(g_2)$, Theorem 8.1 of [3] tells that $(G_2; C(g_2), S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_m)$ is a PD²-pair. We claim that this is also true if $G_2 = C(g_2)$; namely, that pair is then $(C(g_2); C(g_2), C(g_2))$.

To prove this we note that quite generally, in Case 1), diagram (5) implies res: $H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^m H^1(S_i; \mathbf{Z}G)$ is surjective, res: $H^1(G_2; \mathbf{Z}G_2) \to \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^m (S_i; \mathbf{Z}G_2)$. If $G_2 = C(g_2)$, then $H^1(G_2; \mathbf{Z}G_2) = \mathbf{Z}$, so this is possible only if k = m, or k = m - 1 and $S_m = G_2 = C(g_2)$. Assume k = m; then all subgroups S_1, \ldots, S_m are in G_1 , hence $H^1(G, S; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, since G = $G_1 * C(g_2) = G_1 * C(g_1g_2) = G_1 * S_0$. However, for a PD²-pair $H^1(G, \S; \mathbf{Z}G) = 0$, so k = m is not and are k = m - 1possible we left with $(G_2; C(g_2), S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_m) = (C(g_2); C(g_2), C(g_2)),$ which is a PD²-pair.

Thus $(G_2; C(g_2), S_{k+1}, \ldots, S_m)$ is a PD²-pair, and so is $(G_1; C(g_1), S_1, \ldots, S_k)$. By induction hypothesis they have presentations of the type (3) or (4). It follows immediately that $(G; \underline{S})$ has a presentation (3) or (4): This is obvious if both above pairs have a presentation (3), or both a presentation (4). Otherwise one gets a presentation (4), i.e. non-orientable, by using transformations of the form

$$a^{2}[b, c] = \bar{a}^{2}\bar{b}^{2}\bar{c}^{2}; \quad \bar{a} = a^{2}bca^{-1}, \quad \bar{b} = ac^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-1}ca^{-1}, \quad \bar{c} = ac^{-1}$$
 (6)

Case 2). Write G as $G = (G_1 * C(a)) *_{C(ag_2^{-1}),p}$ with $p^{-1}(ag_2^{-1})p = g_1$. The subgroups $S_0 = C(a)$ and S_1, \ldots, S_m are in $G_1 * C(a)$. By [3], Theorem 8.3, $(G_1 * C(a); C(a), S_1, \ldots, S_m, C(ag_2^{-1}), C(g_1))$ is a PD²-pair. By the method used in Case 1) it follows that $(G_1; S_1, \ldots, S_m, C(g_1), C(g_2))$ is a PD²-pair; the induction hypothesis tells that it has a presentation of the type (3) or (4). We may assume that this presentation is as follows.

 G_1 is freely generated by t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_m and some x_i, y_i (orientable case (3)) or some z_i (non-orientable case (4)); and S_i is conjugate to $C(t_i), i = 1, \ldots, m, C(g_1)$ to $C(t_0)$, i.e., g_1 is conjugate to t_0 or t_0^{-1} ; and $g_2 = t_0 \cdots t_m r$ where $r = \prod [x_i, y_i]$ or $\prod z_i^2$ respectively. S_0 is generated by $pg_1p^{-1}t_0 \ldots t_m r$. By changing p if necessary we may assume $g_1 = t_0^{\pm 1}$. Using transformations of the form

$$ptp^{-1}t = \bar{p}^2\bar{t}^2; \ \bar{p} = ptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}, \ \bar{t} = pt$$
 (7)

and of the form (6), we get a presentation (3) or (4) for the pair $(G; S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_m)$.

The passage from the two geometric pairs $(G_1; \ldots)$ and $(G_2; \ldots)$ to $(G; \underline{S})$ in Case 1), or from $(G_1; \ldots)$ to $(G; \underline{S})$ in Case 2) can, of course, be replaced by a geometric procedure on the corresponding surfaces-with-boundary.

5. Proof of Theorem 1'

5.1. We recall that surface groups have canonical presentations

$$G = \left\langle x_1, y_1, \dots, x_g, y_g \middle| \prod_{j=1}^g [x_j, y_j] = 1 \right\rangle, \qquad g \ge 1$$
 (8)

in the orientable, and

$$G = \left\langle z_0, \dots, z_g \middle| \prod_{j=0}^g z_j^2 = 1 \right\rangle, \qquad g \geqslant 1$$
 (9)

in the non-orientable case.

Let G be a PD²-group which splits over a finitely generated group L as (α) $G = G_1 *_L G_2$, $G_1 \neq L \neq G_2$ or (β) $G = G_1 *_{L,p}$. Since L has infinite index in G it is free [13].

If rk(L) = 1, L = C, we consider the pairs $(G_1; C)$ and $(G_2; C)$ in case (α) , or $(G_1; C, p^{-1}Cp)$ in case (β) . By [3], Theorem 8.1 and 8.3 these pairs are PD²-pairs and hence geometric; they have presentations (3) or (4), and by amalgamation or HNN-extension these yield presentations of the form (8) or (9) (by using, if necessary, transformations (6) and (7)). Thus G is a surface group.

Of course, the appropriate surface can also be obtained geometrically from the surfaces-with-boundary corresponding to the group pairs.

- 5.2. If $rk(L) \ge 2$, we will obtain from Theorem A a new splitting of G over a subgroup M with rk(M) < rk(L). This reduces the problem to the case rk(L) = 1 above.
 - (α) Assume first that $G = G_1 *_L G_2$. We consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_1; \mathbf{Z}G) \oplus H^1(G_2; \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{(res_1, -res_2)}$$

$$H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G; \mathbf{Z}G) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and show the following:

(10) If the weight of L with respect to both $(G_1; \emptyset)$ and $(G_2; \emptyset)$ is greater

than one, then $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}L) \cap \text{im} (\text{res}_1, -\text{res}_2) = 0$. (Here we consider $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}L)$ as submodule of $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}G)$.)

Proof. Let C_L denote $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}L)$ and $C_i = H^1(G_i; \mathbf{Z}G_i)$, i = 1, 2. Choose sets $\{x_i; i \in I\}$ and $\{y_j; j \in J\}$ of representatives of the (right) cosets $\in G_1/L$ and G_2/L (both sets containing e). We then have the following sets of representatives:

$$\Sigma_{1} = \{e\} \cup \{y_{j_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots ; y_{j_{l}} \neq e \neq x_{i_{l}}\} \text{ for } G/G_{1};$$

$$\Sigma_{2} = \{e\} \cup \{x_{i_{1}} y_{j_{2}} \cdots ; y_{j_{l}} \neq e \neq x_{i_{l}}\} \text{ for } G/G_{2};$$

$$\Sigma_{L} = \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2} \text{ for } G/L.$$

Hence we get decompositions

$$H^1(G_i; \mathbf{Z}G) = \bigoplus_{z \in \Sigma_i} C_i z, \qquad i = 1, 2;$$

$$H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}G) = \bigoplus_{z \in \Sigma_L} C_L z.$$

The "length" of a summand $C_i z$ or $C_L z$ is defined as the number of representatives $x_i, y_i \neq e$ occurring in z. Consider now $0 \neq (c_1, c_2) \in H^1(G_1; \mathbf{Z}G) \oplus H^1(G_2; \mathbf{Z}G)$. We want to show that $\operatorname{res}_1(c_1) - \operatorname{res}_2(c_2) \notin C_L$. For this we consider a non-trivial component d of (c_1, c_2) lying in a summand (of the above decompositions) of maximal length; say $d = cz_1$ in C_1z_1 of length l. Let $\operatorname{res}_1(c)$ be $\sum_{i \in I} b_i x_i$, $b_i \in C_L$. Because the weight of L with respect to $(G_1; \emptyset)$ is greater than one, there is at least one i_0 with $x_{i_0} \neq e$, $b_{i_0} \neq 0$. So $\operatorname{res}_1(cz_1)$ contains the summand $b_{i_0} x_{i_0} z_1$ in $C_L x_{i_0} z_1$ of length l+1, and because of the maximality of l there is no other contribution in $\operatorname{res}_1(c_1) - \operatorname{res}_2(c_2)$ to the component $C_L x_{i_0} z_1$. So indeed $\operatorname{res}_1(c_1) - \operatorname{res}_2(c_2) \notin C_L$, which proves (10).

By assumption, $H^2(G; \mathbf{Z}G)$ is free abelian of rank one and L has infinitely many ends. Therefore the restriction of δ to $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}L)$ cannot be injective. Because of the exactness of the Mayer—Vietoris sequence, $H^1(L; \mathbf{Z}L) \cap \operatorname{im}(\operatorname{res}_1, -\operatorname{res}_2) \neq 0$. By (10), L has weight *one* with respect to $(G_1; \emptyset)$ or $(G_2; \emptyset)$, say $(G_1; \emptyset)$. (Note that L cannot have weight 0, since res_1 and res_2 are injective.) By Theorem A, we have one of the following two cases:

1)
$$G_1 = H_1 * H_2$$
, $L = L_1 * L_2$, $e \neq L_i \subset H_i$, $i = 1,2$;

2)
$$G_1 = H_1 * \langle t \rangle$$
, $L = L_1 * t L_2 t^{-1}$, $e \neq L_1, L_2 \subseteq H_1$.

In Case 1), we have $G = H_1 *_{L_1} (H_2 *_{L_2} G_2)$. If $L_1 \neq H_1$, G splits over L_1 ; if $L_1 = H_1$, then $L_2 \neq H_2$ and $G = H_2 *_{L_2} G_2$ splits over L_2 .

In Case 2), $G = (H_1 *_{L_1} G_2) *_{L_2,t^{-1}}$ splits over L_2 .

So in both cases we have a splitting of G over a group M with rk(M) < rk(L).

(β) The case $G = G_1 *_{L,p}$ is treated similarly. If L is not cyclic, one can show that (by changing the notation if necessary) n(L) = 1 with respect to $(G_1; p^{-1}Lp)$; to prove that the pair is adapted and to compute the weight one proceeds by methods analogous to those in the proof of (10). By Theorem A we have again the cases 1) or 2) above, where moreover $p^{-1}Lp$ is conjugate to a subgroup of H_1 . By changing the stable letter p we can get $p^{-1}Lp \subseteq H_1$.

In Case 1), $G = (H_1 *_{L_{1,p}}) *_{L_2} H_2$ splits over L_2 if $L_2 \neq H_2$; or else over L_1 .

In Case 2), $G = (H_1 *_{L_{1,p}}) *_{L_2,t^{-1}}$ splits over L_2 . This completes the proof of Theorem 1'.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bieri, R. Gruppen mit Poincaré-Dualität, Comment. Math. Helv. 47 (1972), 373-396.
- [2] BIERI, R. Homological dimension of discrete groups, Queen Mary College Math. Notes, London 1976.
- [3] BIERI, R. and ECKMANN, B. Relative homology and Poincaré duality for group pairs, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra 13 (1978), 277-319. (See also: Two-dimensional Poincaré duality groups and pairs, in: Homological Group Theory, L. M. S. Lecture Notes 36 (1979)).
- [4] BIERI, R. and STREBEL, R. Almost finitely presented soluble groups, Comment. Math. Helv. 53 (1978), 258-278.
- [5] Brown, K. S. Homological criteria for finiteness, Comment. Math. Helv. 50 (1975), 129-135.
- [6] Brown, K. S. Groups of virtually finite dimension, in: Homological Group Theory, L. M. S. Lecture Notes 36 (1979).
- [7] COHEN, J., *Poincaré* 2-complexes—I, Topology 11 (1972), 417-419. Poincaré 2-complexes—II, Chinese J. of Math. 6 (1978), 25-44.
- [8] ECKMANN, B. and MÜLLER, H., A splitting theorem and 2-dimensional Poincaré duality group (Preprint, Forschungsinstitut für Math., ETH Zürich (1979)).
- [9] MOLDAVANSKII, D. I., Certain subgroups of groups with one defining relator, Siberian Math. J. 8 (1967), 1370-1384.
- [10] MÜLLER, H., Decomposition theorems for group pairs, (to appear in Math. Zeitschrift).
- [11] MÜLLER, H., Théorèmes de décompositions pour les paires de groupes. C. R. Acad. Sci. A-289 (1979), 307-309.
- [12] STALLINGS, J. R., Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds, Yale University Press, 1971.
- [13] STREBEL, R., A remark on subgroups of infinite index in Poincaré duality groups, Comment. Math. Helv. 52 (1977), 317-324.
- [14] SWARUP, G. A., Relative version of a theorem of Stallings, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra 11 (1977), 75-82.
- [15] WALL, C. T. C., Poincaré complexes I, Ann. Math. 86 (1967), 213-245.

Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik ETH Zürich