

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 55 (1980)

Artikel: Free actions of finite groups on finite CW complexes.
Autor: Wojtkowiak, Zdzislaw
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42372>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Free actions of finite groups on finite CW complexes

ZDZISŁAW WOJTKOWIAK

1. In this paper we examine a nilpotent free action of a finite group G on finite CW complexes. We restrict our attention to CW complexes which are “relatively prime to the order of G ” (see definition below).

DEFINITION 1. Let n be a natural number. We say that $(n; X) = 1$ if X is simply connected, $X_{(n)} \sim \bigvee_{i=1}^k S_{(n)}^{n_i}$ and $\pi_i(X) \otimes Z_{(n)} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(X) \otimes Z_{(n)}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq \dim X$.

PROPOSITION 1. *If X is a finite complex then $(p; S^N X) = 1$ for N sufficiently large and all but a finite number of primes.*

Proof. SX has a decomposition into Moore spaces $M(Z/q^n, k)$ and $S^k = M(Z, k)$ (see [1]). After inverting a finite number of primes torsion parts vanish. Moreover the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_i(S^N X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(S^N X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq \dim S^N X$ and N sufficiently large. As both modules are finitely generated Z -modules the Hurewicz will be an isomorphism after inverting a finite number of primes. If we represent the homology classes by a bouquet of spheres, we shall obtain a required homotopy equivalence.

Now we prove an existence theorem. For the definition of an admissible chain complex and of a O -admissible chain map, which are necessary to understand the theorem, see [6] p. 131 and 132.

THEOREM 2. *Let A_* be an admissible finitely generated chain complex of free $Z[G]$ -modules with a basis chosen. Let X be a finite CW complex with one O -cell and without 1-cells. Suppose that $h: A_* \rightarrow C_*(X)$ is a O -admissible Z -chain homotopy equivalence, G acts trivially on $H_*(A_*)$, $A_i = 0$ for $i > \dim X$ and $(n, X) = 1$, where n is the order of G . Then there exists a simply connected CW complex Y with a free cellular action of G such that $C_*(Y) = A_*$ as $Z[G]$ -modules and there exists a cellular homotopy equivalence $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $C_*(f) = h$.*

Proof. The admissibility of A_* implies that there is a 2-dimensional complex Y^2 with a free action of G . It follows from our assumption on X that there exists

a cellular map $f^2: Y^2 \rightarrow X$. Now, according to [3] Prop. 4.3, an obstruction σ to extendibility of our construction lies in the group $G^{n+1}(A_*; \ker(\pi_n(X^n) \rightarrow H_n(X^n)))$. σ is an n -torsion element since G acts trivially on $H_*(A_*)$ (see [3] p. 390). On the other hand, since $(n, X) = 1$ the group $\ker(\pi_n(X^n) \rightarrow H_n(X^n))$ consists only of the torsion relatively prime to n . Therefore the obstruction vanishes.

An example constructed in [7] shows that Theorem 2 does not hold without the assumption $(n, X) = 1$.

2. In this rather long section we investigate the classification problem. These investigations were inspired by Theorem 5.2 of [5] proved by C. B. Thomas.

DEFINITION 2. Let Y be a CW complex with a finite fundamental group and let $\theta: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow G$ be an isomorphism. We denote such a pair by (Y, θ) . We say that two such pairs (Y_1, θ_1) and (Y_2, θ_2) are equivalent if there is a homotopy equivalence $h: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that $\theta_2 \circ \pi_1(h) = \theta_1$.

Now we prove our fundamental lemma.

LEMMA 3. Suppose that A_* and X are such as in Theorem 2 and $A_0 = Z[G]$. Let (Y_1, θ_1) and (Y_2, θ_2) be two pairs such that $C_*(\tilde{Y}_i) = A_*$ as complexes of $Z[G]$ -modules. Suppose that there are homotopy equivalences $f_1: \tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2: \tilde{Y}_2 \rightarrow X$ (where \tilde{Y}_i is the universal cover) such that $H_*(f_1) = H_*(f_2)$. Then there exists a homotopy equivalence $h: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that

- (i) $\theta_2 \circ \pi_1(h) = \theta_1$.
- (ii) $f_2 \circ \tilde{h} \sim f_1$ (\tilde{h} is an equivariant map between the universal covers induced by h).

Proof. It follows from the assumptions on A_* that Y_1 and Y_2 are nilpotent spaces. Let $p_i: \tilde{Y}_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be the natural projections. Set $f = f_2^{-1} \circ f_1$. We define localizations $h_{[1/n]} = (p_2)_{[1/n]} \circ f_{[1/n]} \circ (p_1)_{[1/n]}^{-1}$ and $h_0 = (p_2)_0 \circ f_0 \circ (p_1)_0^{-1}$. If $|\pi_1(Y_i)| = n$ and Y_i^0 is one point then the localized space $(Y_i)_{(n)}$ can be obtained by successive localizations of cells. Since

$$Y_i^2 = (\bigvee S^1) \cup C(f_i^1) \cup \cdots \cup C(f_i^k)$$

we set

$$(Y_i^2)_{(n)} = (\bigvee S_{(n)}^1) \cup C(f_i^1)_{(n)} \cup \cdots \cup C(f_i^k)_{(n)}.$$

Suppose that we have built $(Y_i^r)_{(n)}$. The condition $(n; \tilde{Y}_i) = 1$ implies that

$\pi_r((Y_i)_{(n)}) = \pi_r((\tilde{Y}_i^r)_{(n)}) = H_r((\tilde{Y}_i^r)_{(n)})$. Therefore the attaching map α of an $r+1$ -local cell is determined by $H_*(\tilde{\alpha})$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is lifting of α . $H_r((\tilde{Y}_i^r)_{(n)}) = \ker(A_r \otimes Z_{(n)} \rightarrow A_{r-1} \otimes Z_{(n)})$ for $r \geq 2$ since cells of Y_i^r correspond to local cells of $(Y_i)_{(n)}$ and, consequently, cells of \tilde{Y}_i^r correspond to local cells of $(\tilde{Y}_i^r)_{(n)}$. Hence the complex A_* determines $(Y_1)_{(n)}$ and $(Y_2)_{(n)}$. Therefore there is a map $h_{(n)}: (Y_1)_{(n)} \rightarrow (Y_2)_{(n)}$ such that the lifting $\tilde{h}_{(n)}$ induces an identity on $H_*(A_* \otimes Z_{(n)})$. The maps $(h_{(n)})_0$ and h_0 induces the same map on homology and therefore they are homotopic. It follows from [4] Cor. 5.13 that there is a map $h: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$. It is easy to see that h satisfies (i) and (ii).

Now we formulate our classification problem. Let A_* and X be fixed and such as in Theorem 2, and $A_0 = Z[G]$. We investigate the equivalence classes of pairs (Y, θ) such that:

- (i) $\tilde{Y} \sim X$.
- (ii) $C_*(\tilde{Y})$ is $Z[G]$ -homotopy equivalent to A_* and the homotopy equivalence is O -admissible.
- (iii) Y is finite.

Remark. If Y is a finite complex with a fundamental group G such that $\tilde{Y} \sim X$ then Y is homotopy equivalent to a complex Z such that $Z^0 = *$ and $\dim Z = \dim X$. Hence our assumptions on A_* are not restrictive.

Let $M(A_*, X)$ be the set of equivalence classes of such (Y, θ) . Let \mathcal{A} be the set of O -admissible chain homotopy equivalences of A_* and let $\text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ be the set of automorphisms of $\tilde{H}_*(X)$ which preserve gradation. Let us fix a O -admissible chain homotopy equivalence $h: A_* \rightarrow C_*(X)$. Define a map $\beta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ by $\beta(r) = \tilde{H}_*(h) \circ \tilde{H}_*(r) \circ \tilde{H}_*(h)^{-1}$. Let $\varepsilon(X)$ be the set of all homotopy equivalences of X and $\alpha: \varepsilon(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ a natural map. In the set $\text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ we define the following relation. We say that f_1 and f_2 are equivalent iff there exist $r \in \mathcal{A}$ and $e \in \varepsilon(X)$ such that $f_2 = \alpha(e) \circ f_1 \circ \beta(r^{-1})$. It is an equivalence relation and we denote the set of equivalence classes by $\text{im } \alpha \backslash \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X) / \text{im } \beta$. Now we shall formulate our classification theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let A_* and X be such as in Theorem 2, $A_0 = Z[G]$ and let $h: A_* \rightarrow C_*(X)$ be a O -admissible Z -chain homotopy equivalence. Then we have a bijection:*

$$\varphi: \text{im } \alpha \backslash \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X) / \text{im } \beta \rightarrow M(A_*; X).$$

Proof. If $s \in \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ then there is a O -admissible chain map $s_1: C_*(X) \rightarrow C_*(X)$ such that $\tilde{H}_*(s_1) = s$. It follows from Theorem 2 of [6] that there exists a CW complex X_1 and a cellular map $\bar{s}_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ such that $C_*(X_1) = C_*(X)$ and $C_*(\bar{s}_1) = s_1$. We can consider a map $h: A_* \rightarrow C_*(X)$ as a map $h_1: A_* \rightarrow$

$C_*(X_1)$. By Theorem 2 there exists a finite CW complex \tilde{Y}_1 with a free action of G and a map $i_1: \tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow X_1$ such that $C_*(i_1) = C_*(h_1)$. The action of G on \tilde{Y}_1 determines an isomorphism $\theta_1: \pi_1(\tilde{Y}_1/G) \rightarrow G$. Hence we obtain a pair $(Y_1 = \tilde{Y}_1/G; \theta_1)$. Let $s_2: C_*(X) \rightarrow C_*(X)$ also satisfy $\tilde{H}_*(s_2) = s$ and let \tilde{Y}_2 be obtained in the same way as \tilde{Y}_1 but using s_2 . Applying Lemma 3 to $i_1: \tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $k = \bar{s}_1^{-1} \circ \bar{s}_2 \circ i_2: \tilde{Y}_2 \rightarrow X_1$ we see that the pairs (Y_1, θ_1) and (Y_2, θ_2) are equivalent. Thus we have a well defined map $\Phi: \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X) \rightarrow M(A_*, X)$.

Let $(Y_1, \theta_1) = \Phi(f_1)$ and $(Y_2, \theta_2) = \Phi(f_2)$. This means that there are CW complex X_1 and X_2 such that $C_*(X_i) = C_*(X)$ $i = 1, 2$. There are also maps $F_i: X_i \rightarrow X$ and $i_i: \tilde{Y}_i \rightarrow X_i$ such that $\tilde{H}_*(F_i) = f_i$ and $H_*(i_i) = h$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose that the pairs (Y_1, θ_1) and (Y_2, θ_2) are equivalent. Let $\rho: \tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_2$ be G -equivariant cellular homotopy equivalence. Set $C_*(\rho) = r$ and $e = F_2 \circ i_2 \circ \rho \circ i_1^{-1} \circ F_1^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(e) \circ f_1 \circ \beta(r^{-1}) \\ &= H_*(F_2) \circ H_*(i_2) \circ H_*(\rho) \circ H_*(i_1)^{-1} \circ H_*(F_1)^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ H_*(h) \circ H_*(r)^{-1} \circ H_*(h)^{-1} \\ &= f_2 \circ H_*(h) \circ H_*(r) \circ H_*(h)^{-1} \circ f_1^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ H_*(h) \circ H_*(r)^{-1} \circ H_*(h)^{-1} = f_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $\Phi(f) = \Phi(\alpha(e) \circ f \circ \beta(r^{-1}))$. Let $\Phi(f) = (Y_1, \theta_1)$ and $\Phi(\alpha(e) \circ f \circ \beta(r^{-1})) = (Y_2, \theta_2)$. Let us apply Theorem 2 of [6] to Y_2 and $r: A_* \rightarrow A_*$. Then we obtain a chain complex Y_3 and a map $\rho: \tilde{Y}_3 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_2$. Consider two maps $i_1: \tilde{Y}_1 \rightarrow X_1$ and $k = F_1^{-1} \circ e^{-1} \circ F_2 \circ i_2 \circ \rho$. It is easy to check that $H_*(k) = H_*(i_1)$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 3 that $(Y_1, \theta_1) = (Y_2, \theta_2)$. Hence the map Φ defines φ .

Now we show that φ is onto. Let $(Y, \theta) \in M(A_*, X)$. Applying Theorem 2 of [6] to the map $h^{-1}: C_*(X) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{Y}) = A_*$ we obtain a CW complex X_1 and a cellular map $t: X_1 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$. Let $g: X_1 \rightarrow X$ be a homotopy equivalence. Since $C_*(X_1) = C_*(X)$, the map g determines an element $s = \tilde{H}_*(g) \in \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$. It is clear that $\varphi(s) = (Y, \theta)$.

COROLLARY 5. *Let $X = \bigvee_{i \in I} S^{n_i}$ and $(n, X) = 1$. Suppose that (Y_i, θ_i) , $i = 1, 2$ are nilpotent spaces such that $\tilde{Y}_i \sim X$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the pairs (Y_1, θ_1) and (Y_2, θ_2) are equivalent iff there exists a O -admissible $Z[G]$ -chain homotopy equivalence $h: C_*(\tilde{Y}_1) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{Y}_2)$.*

Proof. In this case $\text{im } \alpha = \text{Aut } \tilde{H}_*(X)$. Hence our result follows.

DEFINITION 3. Let $r: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism and let $f: A_* \rightarrow B_*$ be a Z -chain map between complexes of free $Z[G]$ -modules. We say that f has type (r) if $f(g \cdot x) = r(g) \cdot f(x)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let \mathcal{A}_G denote the set of all O -admissible chain homotopy equivalences of A_* of all types (r) . We shall now investigate the homotopy types of CW-complexes Y satisfying

- (i) Y is finite, $\tilde{Y} \sim X$, $\pi_1(Y) \approx G$ and $(n, X) = 1$.
- (ii) $C_*(\tilde{Y})$ is Z -chain homotopy equivalent to A_* , where A_* is such as in Theorem 4, and the homotopy equivalence is O -admissible and has type (r) for some $r \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

Let $H(A_*, X)$ be the set of homotopy types of such Y . Then we have:

THEOREM 6. *If the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold then there is a map*

$$\varphi_1: \text{im } \alpha \backslash \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X) / \text{im } \beta' \rightarrow H(A_*, X)$$

which is a bijection.

$\beta': \mathcal{A}_G \rightarrow \text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X)$ is defined in a similar way as β . The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.

3. In the special case when G is a cyclic group of prime order p our classification is much more effective. Any chain complex $C_*(\tilde{Y})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a “canonical” one. We shall now prove this fact. Consider the following complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e - g^{n_1}} Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{N} \cdots \xrightarrow{e - g^{n_2}} Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{N} Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e - g^{n_1}} Z[Z/p] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(n_k; p) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N = e + g + \cdots + g^{p-1}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{1 + g + \cdots + g^k} Z[Z/p] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(k; p) = 1. \quad (2)$$

DEFINITION 4. A chain complex A_* of $Z[Z/p]$ -modules is called elementary if A_* is a finite direct sum of complexes of the form (1) and (2).

LEMMA 7. Let C_* be a chain complex of projective $Z[Z/p]$ modules such that:

- (i) $H_*(C_*)$ is a finitely generated trivial Z/p -module without p -torsion.
- (ii) $H_*(C_* \otimes_{Z[Z/p]} Z)$ is finitely generated.

Then C_* is chain homotopy equivalent to an elementary complex A_* .

Proof. Let

$$B_*: \cdots \longrightarrow Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e-g} Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{N} Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e-g} Z[Z/p] \longrightarrow 0$$

be the standard resolution of Z . Consider a chain complex X_* with

$$X_n = \sum_{p+q=n} \left(C_q \otimes_{Z[Z/p]} B_p \right)$$

and with a filtration

$$(F_p X)_n = \sum_{i \leq p} \left(C_{n-i} \otimes_{Z[Z/p]} B_i \right).$$

The associated spectral sequence converges to $H_*(C_* \otimes_{Z[Z/p]} Z)$ (see [2] XI). In the cohomological spectral sequence the multiplication by a generator of $H^2(Z/p; Z)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore the differentials in the cohomological as well as in the homological spectral sequence are periodic. It follows from (ii) that $E_\infty^{i, q-i} = 0$ for q large enough. Hence any $x \in E_1^{i, q-i}$ is a boundary or $d_r(x) \neq 0$. Let $x = x_1 \otimes e_i \in E_1^{i, q-i} = H_{q-i}(C_*) \otimes_{Z[Z/p]} B_i$, where x_1 is a generator of a cyclic summand of an infinite order. Let i be odd and $d_k x = 0$ for $k < r$ and $d_r x \neq 0$. Then r is even. Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^i c_{q-i} \otimes e$ be a representative of x such that $da \in (F_{i-r} X)_{q-1}$. Hence a part of da which belongs to $F_i X$ vanishes i.e. $\partial c_{q-i} = 0$, $tc_{q-i} + \partial c_{q-i+1} = 0$, $Nc_{q-1-i+2} + \partial c_{q-i+2} = 0 \cdots Nc_{q-1-(i-r+2)} + \partial c_{q-(i-r+2)} = 0$, $tc_{q-1-(i-r+1)} + \partial c_{q-(i-r+1)} = 0$, where $t = e - g$. Setting $f_k(e) = c_k$ we define a chain map from

$$0 \longrightarrow Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e-g} \cdots \xrightarrow{e-g} Z[Z/p] \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{into} \quad C_*. \quad (*)$$

On the first non-trivial homology group this map is an inclusion onto a direct summand and on the second one it is a multiplication by l relatively prime to p . Replacing the last differential $e - g$ by $e - g^k$ in $(*)$ we may assume that $l \equiv 1(p)$. We have that $f(e) = c_{q-1-(i-r)} = c$ and $Nc = 1 \cdot g_1 = (1 + p \cdot k) \cdot g_1$, where g_1 is a

generator of a cyclic summand of an infinite order which is a boundary in $E_r^{*,*}$. If we set $f(e) = c - v \cdot g_1$ then the map induced on the homology will be an inclusion onto a direct summand. Performing the same construction for all generators of $H_*(X)$ which bound in $E_s^{i,q-i}$, we obtain a map from an elementary complex into C_* . This map will be an isomorphism onto torsion-free part since half of the generators of $H_*(C_*)$ are boundaries and for half of the generators we have $d_r x \neq 0$. If $Z/k \subset H_*(C_*)$ then there exists a chain map from

$$0 \longrightarrow Z[Z/p] \xrightarrow{e+g+\dots+g^k} Z[Z/p] \longrightarrow 0$$

into C_* which is an inclusion onto Z/k . This finishes the proof.

The next lemma is an easy exercise.

LEMMA 8. *Let A_* be an elementary complex, C_* be as in Lemma 7, $H_0(C_*) = Z$ and $H_1(C_*) = 0$. Let $f: A_* \rightarrow C_*$ be a chain homotopy equivalence such that $H_0(f)[e] = [p]$, where $p \in C_0$ is an element of a base. Then f is chain homotopic to a O -admissible map.*

COROLLARY 9. *Let Y be a CW complex such that $\pi_1(Y) = Z/p$, $H_*(Y)$ is finitely generated and $H_*(\tilde{Y})$ is a finitely generated trivial $\pi_1(Y)$ -module without p -torsion. Then Y is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex.*

It follows from [6] Theorem 2, Lemmas 7 and 8 and the fact that A_* is admissible.

The corollary and also a much stronger result follow immediately from Theorem A of the Mislin paper "Wall's obstruction for nilpotent spaces" *Topology* 14, (1975) 311–317.

Now we give an example which illustrates Theorem 4 and 6. Let $\alpha \in \pi_{17}(S^{14})$ be an element of order 3. Set $X = S^{11} \vee S^{14} \cup_{\alpha} D^{18}$. Then we have $(p, X) = 1$ for $p > 5$. $\text{Aut gr } \tilde{H}_*(X) = \{\{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\}\}$ and $\text{im } \alpha = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\} \times \{\pm 1\}$.

Let A_* be an elementary complex such that $A_i = 0$ for $i = 12, 13$. Then $\text{im } \beta = \text{im } \beta' = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\} \times \{1\}$. Therefore elements $(1, 1, 1)$ and $(1, -1, 1)$ determine different homotopy types.

REFERENCES

- [1] ECKMANN, B. and HILTON, P. J., *Décomposition homologique d'un polyèdre simplement connexe*. Comptes rendus Paris, 247 (1958) 2054–2056.
- [2] MACLANE, SAUNDERS, *Homology*. Springer-Verlag 1963.
- [3] QUINN, FRANK, *Finite nilpotent group actions on finite complexes*. Geometric Applications of Homotopy Theory I Lecture Notes in Math. 657 Springer Verlag.

- [4] SMALLEN, D. L. and LIEBERMAN, G., *Localization and self-equivalence*. Duke Math. Journal 41 (1974) 183–186.
- [5] THOMAS, C. B. and WALL, C. T. C., *The topological spherical space form problem I*. Compositio Math. 23 (1971), 101–114.
- [6] WALL, C. T. C., *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes*. II Proc. Royal Society A 295 (1966) 129–139.
- [7] WOJTKOWIAK, Z., *On \mathbb{Z}/p free action on finite CW complexes*. Bull. L'acad. Pol. Scien. 25 (1977) 1175–1181.

Warsaw University, Institute of Math.
PKiN 9, 00-901 Warsaw, Poland

Received June 1, 1979