**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
**Band:** 54 (1979)

**Artikel:** Exponential sums associated with algebraic number fields.
**Autor:** Chandrasekharan, K. / Narasimhan, R.
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41594

**Nutzungsbedingungen**
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

**Conditions d'utilisation**
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

**Terms of use**
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF:** 06.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch**

Comment. Math. Helvetici 54 (1979) 523–561 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

# Exponential sums associated with algebraic number fields

by K. CHANDRASEKHARAN and RAGHAVAN NARASIMHAN

**§1.** Let $\zeta_K(s)$ denote the Dedekind zeta-function of an algebraic number field $K$ of degree $n$. For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, $\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k k^{-s}$, where $a_k$ stands for the number of integral ideals in $K$ with norm $k$. If $r_1$ is the number of real conjugates of $K$, and $2r_2$ the number of imaginary conjugates, and $D$ the discriminant, $\zeta_K(s)$ satisfies the functional equation [1] $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, where

$$\xi(s) = \Gamma^{r_1}(\tfrac{1}{2}s) \cdot \Gamma^{r_2}(s) \cdot B^{-s}\zeta_K(s),$$

with $B = 2^{r_2}\pi^{n/2}|D|^{-1/2}$, $r_1 + 2r_2 = n$. It is known that $a_k = O(k^{\varepsilon})$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$\sum_{k \leq x} a_k = \lambda x + O(x^{(n-1)/(n+1)}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda$ stands for the residue of $\zeta_K(s)$ at $s = 1$.

Our purpose is to prove the following

THEOREM 1. *If $\eta$ is real, $\eta \neq 0$, $\alpha > 1/n$, $\lambda_k = B \cdot k$ for integral $k \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = c_2 \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x^{n\alpha - 1}} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{(2-\alpha n)/[2(n\alpha-1)]} \cdot \exp\left\{-iq\left(\frac{\lambda_k^{\alpha}}{2\pi\eta}\right)^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\right\}$$

$$+ O(x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1}), \tag{1.2}$$

*for $n \geq 3$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ if $\alpha \leq 2/(n+1)$, while $\alpha_1 = \alpha - \varepsilon < \alpha$, if $\alpha > 2/(n+1)$; $c_2 = c_2(\alpha, \eta, K)$ is a constant that can be explicitly determined, $c_0 = (2\pi\eta n\alpha/h)^n$, where $h = n \cdot 2^{r_1/n}$, and $q = (\alpha n - 1)(2^{r_1} \cdot \alpha^{-n})^{\alpha/(n\alpha-1)}$.*

If $n = 2$, (1.2) holds with the error-term

$$O(x^{(\alpha/2)+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}).$$

The case $\alpha = 2/n$ of Theorem 1 gives the approximate reciprocity formula, which is stated as

THEOREM 2. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem* 1, *we have, for* $n \geqslant 2$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{2/n}) = c_2' \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant c_0' x} a_k \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{-iq'\lambda_k^{2/n}}{2\pi\eta}\right\} + O(x^{1-(1/n)+\varepsilon}), \tag{1.3}$$

*for every* $\varepsilon > 0$. *Here* $c_0'$, $c_2'$, *and* $q'$ *denote respectively the values of* $c_0$, $c_2$, *and* $q$, *for* $\alpha = 2/n$.

Theorem 2 yields as a special case the approximate reciprocity formula for quadratic fields previously obtained by us by a different method [3], though the error-term here is somewhat less sharp, in that we have $x^\varepsilon$ instead of $\log x$.

If we choose $4\pi\eta = h$ in Theorem 2, so that $c_0' = 1$, we get the

COROLLARY.

$$\sum_{k \leqslant x} a_k \exp(i\pi n \cdot |D|^{-1/n} k^{2/n}) = e^{i\pi[(1/2)-(r_1/4)]} \sum_{k \leqslant x} a_k \exp(-i\pi n\, |D|^{-1/n} k^{2/n}) + O(x^{1-(1/n)+\varepsilon}), \tag{1.4}$$

*for* $n \geqslant 2$. *This can also be written as*

$$\sum_{k \leqslant x} a_k \sin\{\pi n\, |D|^{-1/n} k^{2/n} + \tfrac{1}{2}\pi(r_1 - 2)/4\} = O(x^{1-(1/n)+\varepsilon}). \tag{1.5}$$

Theorem 1, combined with the known estimate (1.1), also yields the following

THEOREM 3. *If* $1/n < \alpha < 2/n$, *then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{n\alpha/2}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1}), \quad \textit{for} \quad n \geqslant 2. \tag{1.6}$$

*In particular, if* $n \geqslant 3$, *and we take* $\alpha = 1/(n-1)$, *we obtain the result:*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{1/(n-1)}) = \begin{cases} O(x^{3/4}), & \textit{if} \quad n = 3; \\ O(x^{1-1/(n-1)}), & \textit{if} \quad n \geqslant 4. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

This is sharper than the estimate recently obtained by us [4], namely $O(x^{1-1/2(n-1)})\log(1+x)$, for all $n \geqslant 3$.

If $1/n < \alpha \leqslant 2/(n+2)$, Theorem 3 implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{1-\alpha}), \quad \text{for} \quad n \geqslant 3. \tag{1.8}$$

The case $0 < \alpha \leqslant 1/n$ is covered by the next two theorems.

THEOREM 4. *If $n \geqslant 3$, and $0 < \alpha \leqslant 1/n$, then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{1-\alpha}). \tag{1.9}$$

THEOREM 5. *If $n = 2$, and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{1-\alpha}). \tag{1.10}$$

*If $n = 2$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{1/2}) = O(x^{1/2}), \tag{1.11}$$

*provided that $\eta \neq h\lambda_k^{1/2}$ for all $k$, while*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \cdot \lambda_k^{1/2}) = c_{k_0} \cdot a_{k_0} \cdot x^{3/4} + O(x^{1/2}), \qquad c_{k_0} \neq 0, \tag{1.12}$$

*if $\eta = h\lambda_{k_0}^{1/2}$ for some $k_0$.*

The general method of attack is similar to that of [4], though a number of additional difficulties caused by the introduction of the parameter $\alpha$ have to be overcome. The estimates for the wider class of exponential sums considered here should find their use in the study of the critical zeros of the Dedekind zeta-function of an ideal class in $K$, in case $n \geqslant 3$.

## §2. The basic lemmas

An indispensable tool in the following analysis is the identity:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho+1)}\sum_{\lambda_k\leq x} a_k(x-\lambda_k)^\rho = Q_\rho(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k\cdot\lambda_k^{-1-\rho}I_\rho(\lambda_k x), \tag{2.1}$$

which holds for $x>0$, $\rho>\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$, $\rho$ *integral*, and which is implied by the functional equation of $\zeta_K(s)$ [1]. Here $\lambda_k = B\cdot k$, where $B$ is defined as in §1, and

$$Q_\rho(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}}\frac{B^{-s}\zeta_K(s)\cdot\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s+\rho+1)}\cdot x^{s+\rho}\,ds, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathscr{C}$ is a curve which encloses all the singularities of the integrand, and

$$I_\rho(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}'_\rho}\frac{\Gamma(1-s)\Delta(s)}{\Gamma(\rho+2-s)\Delta(1-s)}\cdot x^{1+\rho-s}\,ds, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Delta(s)=\Gamma^{r_1}(\frac{1}{2}s)\Gamma^{r_2}(s)$, and $\mathscr{C}'_\rho$ denotes the path of integration extending from $c_\rho-i\infty$ to $c_\rho-iR$, thence to $c_\rho+r-iR$, $c_\rho+r+iR$, $c_\rho+iR$, and $c_\rho+i\infty$, with $r$ and $R$ chosen suitably large, and with $c_\rho=\frac{1}{2}+(\rho/n)-\varepsilon$, $0<\varepsilon<(1/2n)$.

The following asymptotic formula [2] is crucial to the proof of our main theorem:

$$I_\rho(x)=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} e'_\nu x^{\omega_\rho-\nu/n}\cos(hx^{1/n}+\pi_\nu)+O(x^{\omega_\rho-(m+1)/n}), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\omega_\rho=\frac{1}{2}-(1/2n)+\rho(1-1/n)$, $h=n\cdot 2^{r_1/n}$, $\pi_\nu=\pi_\nu(\rho)=\pi\{(n/2)+(\rho/2)+\frac{1}{4}(r_1+3)-(\nu/2)\}$, for all integers $\rho$, positive or negative. [It may be noted that in (2.3) of [4], the exponent $(m+1/n)$ should be $(m+1)/n$, and in the expression for $h$ one should have $2r_2$ in place of $r_2$].

We define, as usual, $a_0=0=\lambda_0$, $A(x)=\sum_{\lambda_k\leq x}a_k$, for $x>0$, $A(x)=0$ for $0\leq x<\lambda_1$, and $A^{r-1}(x)=(d/dx)(A^r(x))$, almost everywhere, for $r\geq 1$.

Let

$$x>\lambda_1,\qquad x_1=x+x^{1-\alpha},\qquad \alpha>\frac{1}{n}, \tag{2.5}$$

and let $u(t)$ be an infinitely differentiable function in $(-\infty<t<\infty)$, such that $u(t)=0$, for $t\leq c<\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1$; $u(t)=1$, in a neighbourhood of $\lambda_1\leq t\leq x$; $u(t)=0$, for

$t \geqslant x_1$; $0 \leqslant u(t) \leqslant 1$, for $-\infty < t < \infty$; and

$$|u^{(k)}(t)| \leqslant c_k(1+t)^{k(\alpha-1)}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geqslant 0, \qquad k \geqslant 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $u^{(k)}$ denotes the $k^{\text{th}}$ derivative of $u$, and $c_k$ is a constant depending only on $k$.

Further let

$$u_1(t) = \begin{cases} u(t), & \text{for} \quad t \leqslant x, \\ 1, & \text{for} \quad t \geqslant x. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Let $f(t) = \exp(2\pi i \eta t^{\alpha})$, where $\eta > 0$, $t \geqslant 0$, $\alpha > 1/n$; let $0 \leqslant \gamma < 1$, and

$$F(t) = t^{-\gamma} \cdot u(t) \cdot f(t). \tag{2.8}$$

If $F^{(r)}$ denotes the $r^{\text{th}}$ derivative of $F$, we have

$$F^{(r+1)}(t) \ll (1+t)^{(r+1)(\alpha-1)-\gamma}, \tag{2.9}$$

since $f^{(k)}(t) \ll (1+t)^{k(\alpha-1)}$, and $u^{(k)}(t) \ll (1+t)^{k(\alpha-1)}$. We have

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x_1} a_k F(\lambda_k) = \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k F(\lambda_k) + O\Big\{ \sum_{x < \lambda_k \leqslant x_1} a_k \lambda_k^{-\gamma} \Big\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $a_k = O(k^{\varepsilon})$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{x < \lambda_k \leqslant x_1} a_k \lambda_k^{-\gamma} \leqslant x^{-\gamma} \sum_{x < \lambda_k \leqslant x_1} a_k = \begin{cases} x^{-\gamma} \cdot O(x^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}), & \text{if} \quad \alpha \leqslant 1; \\ x^{-\gamma} \cdot O(x^{\varepsilon}), & \text{if} \quad \alpha > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Because of (1.1) we have also

$$\sum_{x < \lambda_k \leqslant x_1} a_k \lambda_k^{-\gamma} \ll x^{-\gamma}(x^{1-\alpha} + x^{1-2/(n+1)}). \tag{2.11$'$}$$

We shall express the first sum on the right-hand side of (2.10) as an integral, and estimate it in different ranges of $\lambda_k$. Clearly

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k F(\lambda_k) = \int_0^{\infty} F(t)\, dA(t) = (-1)^{r+1} \int_0^{\infty} A^r(t) \cdot F^{(r+1)}(t)\, dt. \tag{2.12}$$

[It may be noted that in (3.3) of [4] we should have $(-1)^{r+1}$ in place of $(-1)^r$ with the consequent changes in sign.] We choose the integer $r$ so large that the infinite series in (2.1) converges absolutely, and uniformly, for $x \geqslant c$ and $\rho \geqslant r > 0$, so that we may substitute for $A^r(t)$ the corresponding series in (2.1) plus $Q_r(t)$. We then seek to estimate, for a suitably chosen $y$,

$$\sum_{\lambda_k > y} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \int_0^\infty F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot I_r(\lambda_k t)\, dt,$$

which equals

$$\sum_{\lambda_k > y} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \int_c^{x_1} F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot I_r(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{\lambda_k > y} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \cdot \lambda_k^{(1/2)-(1/2n)+r(1-1/n)} \int_c^{x_1} (1+t)^{(r+1)(\alpha-1)+(1/2)-(1/2n)+r(1-1/n)-\gamma}\, dt$$

$$\ll \sum_{\lambda_k > y} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-(1/2)-(1/2n)-(r/n)} \cdot x^{r(\alpha-1/n)+\alpha+(1/2)-(1/2n)-\gamma}. \tag{2.13}$$

If we choose

$$y = c_0 \cdot x^\delta, \qquad \delta = n\alpha - 1 + \varepsilon_0 > 0, \qquad \varepsilon_0 > 0, \qquad c_0 > 0, \tag{2.14}$$

then (2.13) is

$$\ll x^{\delta[(1/2)-(1/2n)-(r/n)]+r(\alpha-1/n)+\alpha+(1/2)-(1/2n)-\gamma}$$

$$\ll x^{r[\alpha(1/n)-(\delta/n)]+(\delta+1)[(1/2)-(1/2n)]+\alpha-\gamma}$$

$$\ll x^{-q}, \tag{2.15}$$

for any given $q > 0$, provided that $r$ is large enough.

Next we have the section

$$(-1)^{r+1} \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant y} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \int_0^\infty F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot I_r(\lambda_k t)\, dt = \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant y} a_k \int_0^\infty F(t) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt \tag{2.16}$$

as well as the term

$$(-1)^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot Q_r(t)\, dt = \int_0^\infty F(t) \cdot Q_{-1}(t)\, dt = \left\{ \int_0^x + \int_x^{x_1} \right\} F(t) \cdot Q_{-1}(t)\, dt. \tag{2.17}$$

Since $Q_{-1}(t)$ is a constant (see [4, p. 82]), we have

$$\int_x^{x_1} F(t)Q_{-1}(t)\,dt \ll x^{1-\gamma-\alpha}, \tag{2.18}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} c\int_0^x F(t)Q_{-1}(t)\,dt &= \alpha^{-1}\int_0^{x^\alpha} u_1(t^{1/\alpha})\cdot t^{\{(1-\gamma)/\alpha\}-1}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt \\ &= \alpha^{-1}\int_0^{x^\alpha} v(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt, \qquad v(t)=u_1(t^{1/\alpha})\cdot t^{\{(1-\gamma)/\alpha\}-1} \\ &= (2\pi i\eta\alpha)^{-1}\left(v(x^\alpha)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta x^\alpha)-\int_0^{x^\alpha} v'(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $v(t)\in C^\infty(-\infty<t<\infty)$, with $v(0)=0$, and $v(x^\alpha)=x^{1-\gamma-\alpha}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x F(t)Q_{-1}(t)\,dt &= c_1x^{1-\gamma-\alpha}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta x^\alpha)-c_1\int_0^{x^\alpha} v'(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{k} c_\nu x^{1-\gamma-\nu\alpha}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta x^\alpha)-c_k\int_0^{x^\alpha} v^{(k)}(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v^{(k)}(t)=O((1+t)^{(1-\gamma)/\alpha-k-1})$, for large $t$, we see that

$$\int_0^\infty v^{(k)}(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt$$

converges. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{x^\alpha} v^{(k)}(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt &= \left(\int_0^\infty-\int_{x^\alpha}^\infty\right)v^{(k)}(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\,dt \\ &= C+O(x^{1-\gamma-k\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^x F(t)\cdot Q_{-1}(t)\,dt = C+O(x^{1-\gamma-\alpha}). \tag{2.19}$$

Now (2.19), (2.18), and (2.17) lead to the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty F(t)\cdot Q_{-1}(t)\,dt = C + O(x^{1-\gamma-\alpha}). \tag{2.20}$$

This, together with (2.16), (2.15), (2.12), (2.10), (2.11), and (2.11)′, lead to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda_k\le x} a_k F(\lambda_k) &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le x_1} a_k F(\lambda_k) + O\Big\{\sum_{x<\lambda_k\le x_1} a_k\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\Big\}\\ &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k\int_0^\infty F(t)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt + O(x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma}+x^{\varepsilon}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where $\alpha_1=\alpha$ if $\alpha\le 2/(n+1)$, and $\alpha_1=\alpha-\varepsilon<\alpha$, if $\alpha>2/(n+1)$. Now let

$$c_0=\left(\frac{2\pi\eta n\alpha}{h}\right)^n, \tag{2.22}$$

where $h$ is defined as in (2.4). Then the last sum in (2.21) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k\int_0^\infty F(t)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k\int_0^\infty u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\int_0^\infty u_1(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &\quad+\sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\int_0^\infty \{u(t)-u_1(t)\}t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &\quad+\sum_{c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k\int_0^{x_1} u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Now define

$$H(x,\lambda_k)=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt, & \text{if}\quad \lambda_k\le c_0 x^{n\alpha-1},\\ \displaystyle\int_0^x u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt, & \text{if}\quad \lambda_k> c_0 x^{n\alpha-1};\end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_x^\infty \{u_1(t) - u(t)\} \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt, & \text{if} \\ & \lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha - 1} \\ \displaystyle\int_0^{x_1} u(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt, & \text{if} \quad \lambda_k > c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}; \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

provided that the integrals converge (see Lemma 3). If we combine (2.25), (2.24), (2.23), and (2.21), we get the following

LEMMA 1. *We have*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x} a_k F(\lambda_k) = \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k \int_0^\infty u_1(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

$$- \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k H_1(x, \lambda_k) + \sum_{c_0 x^{n\alpha-1} < \lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k H_1(x, \lambda_k)$$

$$+ O\{x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma} + x^\varepsilon\},$$

*where $F$ is defined as in* (2.8), *$u_1$ as in* (2.7), *$H_1$ as in* (2.25), *$\alpha > 1/n$, $\frac{1}{2} + (1/2n) - \alpha < \gamma < 1$, $\gamma \geqslant 0$, in which case the integral $\int_0^\infty$ converges (as proved in Lemma* 3). *Here $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ if $\alpha \leqslant 2/(n+1)$, and $\alpha_1 = \alpha - \varepsilon < \alpha$ if $\alpha > 2/(n+1)$.*

LEMMA 2. *We have, for $x > 0$,*

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll \sup_{x \leqslant t \leqslant x_1} |H(t, \lambda_k)|,$$

*where, as before, $x_1 = x + x^{1-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 1/n$.*

*Proof.* If $\lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}$, then

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) = \int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt + \int_x^{x_1} u(t) \cdot \left\{\frac{d}{dt} H(t, \lambda_k)\right\} \cdot dt$$

$$= H(x, \lambda_k) + [H(t, \lambda_k) \cdot u(t)]_{t=x}^{t=x_1} - \int_x^{x_1} u'(t) \cdot H(t, \lambda_k)\, dt$$

$$= H(x, \lambda_k) - H(x, \lambda_k) - \int_x^{x_1} u'(t) \cdot H(t, \lambda_k)\, dt$$

$$= -\int_x^{x_1} u'(t) \cdot H(t, \lambda_k)\, dt \ll \sup_{x \leqslant t \leqslant x_1} |H(t, \lambda_k)|.$$

If $\lambda_k > c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}$, then

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) = \int_0^{x_1} u(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt = \int_0^x + \int_x^{x_1}.$$

Since

$$\int_x^{x+x^{1-\alpha}} u(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt \ll \sup_{x \leqslant t \leqslant x_1} |H(t, \lambda_k)|,$$

as before, the result follows.

LEMMA 3. *If $\eta > 0$, then the integral*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(t)\, dt$$

*converges for all $\alpha$, such that $\frac{1}{2} + (1/2n) - \alpha < \gamma < 1$ and $\alpha > 1/n$.*

*Proof.* We have, from (2.4),

$$I_{-1}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} e_\nu t^{\omega_{-1}-(\nu/n)} \cos(ht^{1/n} + \pi_\nu) + O(t^{\omega_{-1}-(m+1)/n}),$$

for $t > 0$, where $\omega_{-1} = (1/2n) - \frac{1}{2}$, so that $\omega_{-1} - (\nu/n) < 0$, for $\nu \geqslant 0$. This leads us to

consider integrals of the form

$$\int_1^\infty t^{-\gamma-(1/2)+(1/2n)-(\nu/n)} \cdot \exp\{i(\eta t^\alpha \mp h t^{1/n})\}\, dt$$

$$= \alpha^{-1} \int_1^\infty t^{-(\gamma'/\alpha)+(1/\alpha)-1} \cdot \exp\{i(\eta t \mp h t^{1/n\alpha})\}\, dt,$$

with $-\gamma' = -\gamma - (\frac{1}{2}) + (1/2n) - (\nu/n)$. If $w(t) = (\eta t \mp h t^{1/n\alpha})$, then $|dw/dt| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\eta$, for $t \geqslant \{2h/(n\alpha\eta)\}^{n\alpha/(n\alpha-1)}$. Hence the above integral converges if $-\gamma' + (1/\alpha) - 1 < 0$, that is if $1 - \gamma' < \alpha$, or $(\frac{1}{2}) + (1/2n) - \alpha < \gamma$. (The reasoning is the same as in Lemma 2 of [4]).

The integral arising from the error-term in $I_{-1}$ is

$$\int_1^\infty t^{-\gamma-\omega_{-1}-(m+1)/n}\, dt,$$

which converges, if $m$ is chosen sufficiently large.

Next let us consider

$$\int_0^1 t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(t)\, dt,$$

where

$$I_{-1}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot t^{-s}\, ds,$$

with $0 < \operatorname{Re} s \leqslant c' < 1$ on $\mathscr{C}'$.




If $0 < t < 1$, then

$$|t^{-s}| = t^{-\operatorname{Re} s} = (1/t)^{\operatorname{Re} s} \leqslant t^{-c'},$$

so that $I_{-1}(t)=O(t^{-c'})$, for all $c'$ such that $0<c'<1$. Hence

$$\int_0^1 t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(t)\,dt$$

converges *absolutely*, provided that $\gamma<1$.

## §3. Some asymptotic expansions

LEMMA 4. *We have, for $\alpha>1/n$, and $0\leqslant\gamma<1$, the asymptotic expansion*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$=(i)^{(1-\gamma-\alpha)/\alpha}\cdot(2\pi\eta)^{(\gamma-1)/\alpha}\cdot\alpha^{-1}\cdot\pi\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} m_k^{\theta-\nu/(n\alpha-1)}$$

$$\times\{C_\nu\cos(qm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}+k_\nu\pi)-iD_\nu\sin(qm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}+k_\nu\pi)\}$$

$$+\sum_{0\leqslant p\leqslant\{\alpha(n+2m)-2(1-\gamma)\}/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\frac{\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha p)}{\Delta(\gamma-\alpha p)}\cdot\frac{(2\pi i\eta)^p}{p!}\cdot\frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+\alpha p}}$$

$$+O(m_k^{\theta-(m+1)/(n\alpha-1)}),$$

*where*

$$\eta>0,\qquad m_k=\lambda_k^{\alpha}/(2\pi\eta),\qquad \theta=\frac{2(1-\gamma)-\alpha n}{2\alpha(n\alpha-1)},$$

$$q=(\alpha n-1)\cdot(2^{r_1}\alpha^{-n})^{\alpha/(n\alpha-1)},$$

$$k_\nu=\omega'+\tfrac{1}{2}\nu,\qquad \omega'=\tfrac{1}{4}r_1+\{(1-\gamma)/2\alpha\}-1,\qquad p\text{ integral},$$

$$C_0=D_0=\pi^{-1}(\alpha n-1)^{-1/2}\cdot\alpha^{1+\{n(2\gamma-1)\}/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot 2^{\{r_1(1-2\gamma)\}/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}.$$

*The first term in the expansion is given by*

$$c_0^{\gamma/(n\alpha-1)}\cdot\eta^{-n/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot\lambda_k^{\{-\gamma/(n\alpha-1)\}+\{2-\alpha n\}/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot e^{i\pi[(1/2)-(r_1/4)]}$$

$$\times(2\pi)^{-n/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot(\alpha n-1)^{-1/2}\cdot\alpha^{-n/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot 2^{r_1/\{2(n\alpha-1)\}}\cdot e^{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}},$$

*where $c_0=(2\pi\eta n\alpha/h)^n$, $h=n\cdot 2^{r_1/n}$.*

*Proof.* Let

$$J=\int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt=\lambda_k^{\gamma-1}\int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(it^\alpha m_k^{-1})\cdot I_{-1}(t)\,dt.$$

As in Lemma 3 of [4], we have, for $\xi>0$,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(i\xi t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(t)\,dt=\frac{\alpha^{-1}}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}'}\frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{\xi}{i}\right)^{(s+\gamma-1)/\alpha}ds.$$

The path of integration $\mathscr{C}'$ is as shown in the diagram, with $\operatorname{Re} s\leqslant 1-\gamma-\varepsilon<1-\gamma$, and $\kappa$ is sufficiently large and negative. Putting $\xi=(m_k)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$J=\alpha^{-1}\cdot\lambda_k^{\gamma-1}\cdot\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}'}\frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{1}{m_k i}\right)^{(s+\gamma-1)/\alpha}ds.$$




Now deform the path of integration $\mathscr{C}'$ into $\mathscr{C}''$, by choosing $N$ to be a sufficiently large integer, and $N<\kappa+r<N+1$, as indicated in the diagram. We then have

$$J=\alpha^{-1}\cdot\lambda_k^{\gamma-1}\cdot\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}''}\frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)}\cdot\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{1}{m_k i}\right)^{(s+\gamma-1)/\alpha}ds$$
$$+\sum_{l=0}^{N}\frac{\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha l)}{\Delta(\gamma-\alpha l)}\cdot\frac{(2\pi i\eta)^l}{l!}\cdot\frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+l\alpha}}\tag{3.1}$$

[We may note here that the residual term in Lemma 4 of [4] should carry the sign + instead of −].

We seek an expansion for

$$J' = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \lambda_k^{\gamma-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m_k i}\right)^{(s+\gamma-1)/\alpha} ds$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\eta}\right)^{(1-\gamma)/\alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right) m_k^{-s/\alpha} \cdot (-i)^{s/\alpha} ds$$

$$= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi\eta}\right)^{(1-\gamma)/\alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s-\gamma}{\alpha}\right)$$

$$\times m_k^{-s/\alpha}\left(\cos\frac{\pi s}{2\alpha} - i \sin\frac{\pi s}{2\alpha}\right) ds.$$

Now

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2\alpha}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right)}, \qquad \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2\alpha}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right)}.$$

Therefore

$$J' = \left(\frac{i}{2\pi\eta}\right)^{(1-\gamma)/\alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}''} \{V_0(s) - iV_1(s)\} m_k^{-s/\alpha} ds, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$V_0(s) = \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\gamma-s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right)},$$

$$V_1(s) = \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\gamma-s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2\alpha}\right)}.$$

Now choose

$$U_0(s) = \frac{b^{-s}\Gamma(S)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}S-\omega'\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{2}S+\omega'\right)},$$

$$U_1(s) = \frac{b^{-s}\Gamma(S)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}S-\omega'\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}S+\omega'\right)},$$

where

$$S=\left(n-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)s-\frac{n}{2}+\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\alpha}\right), \qquad \omega'=\frac{r_1}{4}+\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2\alpha}\right)-1,$$

$$b=\left(n-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{(n-1/\alpha)}\cdot 2^{r_1}\cdot \alpha^{-1/\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$U_0(s)=-\frac{b^{-s}}{\pi}\Gamma(S)\cdot \sin \pi\{\tfrac{1}{2}S+\omega'\}, \qquad U_1(s)=\frac{b^{-s}}{\pi}\cdot \Gamma(S)\cdot \cos \pi\{\tfrac{1}{2}S+\omega'\}.$$

By choosing

$$a=\left(n-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{\{(n+1)/2-(1-\gamma)/2\}}\cdot 2^{r_1/2}\cdot \alpha^{1/2-(1-\gamma)/\alpha},$$

and comparing the expansions of $V_0$, $U_0$, on the one hand, and of $V_1$, $U_1$ on the other, we get, as before,

$$V_1(s)=aU_1(s)\left\{1+\sum_{\nu=1}^{m}\frac{e_\nu}{s^\nu}+O(|s|^{-m-1})\right\},$$

$$V_0(s)=aU_0(s)\left\{1+\sum_{\nu=1}^{m}\frac{e_\nu}{s^\nu}+O(|s|^{-m-1})\right\}.$$

Now if we follow the same procedure as in the asymptotic expansion of $I_\rho$ [2], we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}''} V_1(s)x^{-s}\,ds=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} C_\nu x^{\alpha\theta-[\alpha\nu/(n\alpha-1)]}\cos\{qx^{\alpha/(n\alpha-1)}+k_\nu\pi\}$$
$$+O(x^{\alpha\theta-\{[\alpha(m+1)/(n\alpha-1)]\}}), \tag{3.3}$$

while

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathscr{C}''} V_0(s)x^{-s}\,ds=-\sum_{\nu=0}^{m} D_\nu x^{\alpha\theta-[\alpha\nu/(n\alpha-1)]}\sin\{qx^{\alpha/(n\alpha-1)}+k_\nu\pi\}$$
$$+O(x^{\alpha\theta-[\alpha(m+1)/(n\alpha-1)]}), \tag{3.4}$$

where $q = b^{\alpha/(n\alpha-1)}$, and $k_\nu = \omega' + \frac{1}{2}\nu$, provided that

$$N \geqslant \frac{m + \frac{1}{2}n - (1-\gamma)/\alpha}{n - 1/\alpha} = \frac{2m\alpha + n\alpha - 2(1-\gamma)}{2(n\alpha - 1)}.$$

We find by calculation that

$$C_0 = D_0 = \frac{a}{\pi(n - 1/\alpha)} \cdot q^{-\{(n/2)-[(1-\gamma)/\alpha]\}}.$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$J = (i)^{(1-\gamma-\alpha)/\alpha} \cdot (2\pi\eta)^{(\gamma-1)/\alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \pi \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}''} \{V_1(s) + iV_0(s)\} m_k^{-s/\alpha}\, ds$$
$$+ \sum_{l=0}^{N} \frac{\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha l)}{\Delta(\gamma - \alpha l)} \cdot \frac{(2\pi i\eta)^l}{l!} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+l\alpha}}.$$

Now (3.3) and (3.4) lead to the lemma.

LEMMA 5. *If* $\xi > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leqslant \gamma < 1$, *and*

$$J'(\xi) = \int_0^\xi t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt,$$

*then* $J'(\xi)$ *has the asymptotic expansion*

$$J'(\xi) = \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{a_p(\xi) \cdot I_p(\lambda_k \xi)}{(\lambda_k \xi)^{p+1}} + \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{b_p}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+\alpha p}} + O(\lambda_k^{-l/n}),$$

*uniformly for* $0 < \xi_0 \leqslant \xi \leqslant \xi_1 < \infty$, *where the coefficients* $a_p(\xi)$ *are continuous in* $\xi$, *and*

$$b_p = \frac{(2\pi i\eta)^p}{p!} \cdot \frac{\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha p)}{\Delta(\gamma - \alpha p)}.$$

*Proof.* For $x > 0$, we have

$$I_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'} \frac{\Delta(s) x^{-s}}{\Delta(1-s)}\, ds,$$

where $\mathscr{C}'$ is the same as in Lemma 4, so that $\operatorname{Re} s \leqslant 1-\gamma-\varepsilon < 1-\gamma$ on $\mathscr{C}'$. Therefore

$$J'(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^{\alpha}) \cdot dt \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot (\lambda_k t)^{-s}\, ds,$$

and the repeated integral remains finite, if we replace the integrands by their absolute values. Hence

$$J'(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \lambda_k^{-s} \cdot j(s, \xi)\, ds, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$j(s, \xi) = \int_0^{\xi} t^{-\gamma-s} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^{\alpha}) \cdot dt.$$

By a change of variable, we have

$$j(s, \xi) = \alpha^{-1} \int_0^{\xi^{\alpha}} t^{\{(1-\gamma-s)/\alpha\}-1} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t)\, dt.$$

An integration by parts gives

$$j(s, \xi) = \frac{\xi^{1-\gamma-s}}{(1-\gamma-s)} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \xi^{\alpha}) - \frac{2\pi i \eta}{(1-\gamma-s)} \int_0^{\xi^{\alpha}} t^{(1-\gamma-s)/\alpha} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t)\, dt,$$

since $\operatorname{Re} s < 1-\gamma$, and $\alpha > 0$. Repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j(s, \xi) = \xi^{-s} \Bigg\{ & \frac{a_0'(\xi)}{(1-\gamma-s)} + \frac{a_1'(\xi)}{(1-\gamma-s)(1-\gamma+\alpha-s)} + \cdots \\ & + \frac{a_l'(\xi)}{(1-\gamma-s)\cdots(1-\gamma+l\alpha-s)} \Bigg\} \\ & + \frac{c(\alpha)}{(1-\gamma-s)(1-\gamma+\alpha-s)\cdots(1-\gamma+l\alpha-s)} \int_0^{\xi^{\alpha}} t^{\{(1-\gamma-s)/\alpha\}+l} \\ & \times \exp(2\pi i \eta t)\, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We may write

$$\frac{1}{(1-\gamma-s)(1-\gamma+\alpha-s)\cdots(1-\gamma+\nu\alpha-s)} = \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{c_p}{(1-s)(2-s)\cdots(p+1-s)} + \varphi_{\nu,l},$$

where $\varphi_{\nu,l} = O(|s|^{-l-1})$, in any vertical strip, and has simple poles at the points $s = 1, 2, \ldots, l+1$, as well as $1-\gamma$, $1-\gamma+\alpha$, $1-\gamma+2\alpha, \ldots, 1-\gamma+\alpha\nu$, and the '$O$' depends on $\xi$. Further the integral

$$\int_0^{\xi^\alpha} t^{\{(1-\gamma-s)/\alpha\}+l} \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\, dt$$

converges absolutely, and is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re} s < \alpha(l+1)+1-\gamma$, and bounded in the half-plane

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\gamma-s)}{\alpha}+l\right\} \geqslant -1+\varepsilon > -1.$$

Hence

$$j(s,\xi) = \xi^{-s} \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{a_p(\xi)}{(1-s)(2-s)\cdots(1+p-s)} + \Phi_l(s,\xi), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\Phi_l(s,\xi) = \xi^{-s} \sum_{p=0}^{l} d_p(\xi)\varphi_{p,l}(s) + \frac{c(\alpha,\gamma)}{(1-\gamma-s)\cdots(1-\gamma+l\alpha-s)} \times \int_0^{\xi^\alpha} t^{\{(1-\gamma-s)/\alpha\}+l} \exp(2\pi i\eta t)\, dt$$

and $\Phi_l(s,\xi)$ is meromorphic for $\operatorname{Re} s < \alpha(l+1)+1-\gamma$, with simple poles at $s = 1, 2, \ldots, l+1$, as well as $1-\gamma$, $1-\gamma+\alpha, \ldots, 1-\gamma+l\alpha$. Further $\Phi_l(s,\xi) = O(|s|^{-l-1})$, in any closed vertical strip contained in *that* half-plane, uniformly in $\xi$ for $\xi$ in any compact set.

Now $j(s,\xi)$ can also be written as

$$j(s,\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} t^{\gamma-s} \sum_{\nu=0}^{l} \frac{(2\pi i\eta)^\nu}{\nu!} \cdot t^{\alpha\nu}\, dt + \int_0^{\xi} t^{-\gamma-s} \tilde{f}_l(t)\, dt,$$

say, where $\tilde{f}_l(t) = O(t^{\alpha(l+1)})$, so that the second integral is holomorphic in $s$ for $\operatorname{Re}(-\gamma - s) > -\alpha(l+1) - 1$, or for $\operatorname{Re} s < 1 - \gamma + \alpha(l+1)$, for *any* integer $l > 0$. It follows that $j(s, \xi)$ is a meromorphic function, whose only poles are at $s = 1 - \gamma + \alpha\nu$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, with the corresponding residues $-(2\pi i\eta)^\nu/(\nu!)$.

Now if $\mathscr{C}'''$ is the path obtained by deforming $\mathscr{C}'$ so as to have the corners at $\kappa - i$, $c' + N - i$, $c' + N + i$, $\kappa + i$ (the infinite half-lines being left as they are), with $N$ sufficiently large, then we have, from (3.5),

$$J'(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \lambda_k^{-s} \cdot j(s, \xi)\, ds$$
$$+ \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{(2\pi i\eta)^p}{p!} \cdot \frac{\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha p)}{\Delta(\gamma - \alpha p)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+\alpha p}}. \tag{3.8}$$

(This requires that $c' + N < 1 - \gamma + \alpha(l+1)$, and $c' + N > 1 - \gamma + \alpha l$)

The integral here can be considered as a sum of two integrals $J''$ and $J'''$, because of the expression for $j(s, \xi)$ given in (3.7), where

$$J''(\xi) = \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{a_p(\xi)}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(1+p+1-s)} \cdot (\lambda_k \xi)^{-s}\, ds$$
$$= \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{a_p(\xi) \cdot I_p(\lambda_k \xi)}{(\lambda_k \xi)^{p+1}}, \tag{3.9}$$

provided that $c' + N > l + 1$, while

$$J'''(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}'''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \Phi_l(s, \xi) \cdot \lambda_k^{-s}\, ds.$$

If $\mathscr{C}_0'''$ is the path obtained by deforming $\mathscr{C}'''$, so that the two infinite half-lines in $\mathscr{C}'''$ are moved to the right, then

$$J'''(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathscr{C}_0'''} \frac{\Delta(s)}{\Delta(1-s)} \cdot \Phi_l(s, \xi) \cdot \lambda_k^{-s}\, ds,$$

and this integral converges absolutely for $n(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}) - l - 1 < -1$, or $\sigma < (l/n) + \frac{1}{2}$, where $\sigma = \operatorname{Re} s$. Note that $\Phi_l(s)$ has *no* poles off the real axis. If we take $\sigma = l/n + \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, with $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$J'''(\xi) = O(\lambda_k^{-(l/n)-(1/2)+\varepsilon}) = O(\lambda_k^{-l/n}). \tag{3.10}$$

Now (3.8)–(3.10) give the required result.

LEMMA 6. *Let $a$ be a real number, $a \neq 0$, $\alpha > 1/n$, $\mu = (h/2\pi\eta) \cdot \lambda_k^{1/n}$, $\eta > 0$, and $h$ defined as in* (2.4).

*Let* $\varphi(t) \equiv \varphi(t, \mu) = t^\alpha - \mu t^{1/n}$, $F_0(t) \equiv F_0(t, \mu) = t^a/\varphi'(t)$, $F_{l+1}(t) \equiv F_{l+1}(t, \mu) = 1/\varphi'(t) \cdot (d/dt)F_l(t)$, *for* $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. *Then*

$$F_l(t) = \frac{t^{a-l}}{(\varphi'(t))^{l+1}} \cdot \sum_{p,q=0;\, q \leq p}^{l} c_{p,q,l} \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n\alpha} \cdot t^{1/n-\alpha}\right)^q}{\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n\alpha} \cdot t^{1/n-\alpha}\right)^p},$$

*where the $c_{p,q,l}$ are suitable constants.*

*Analogously, let* $\psi(t) \equiv \psi(t, \mu) = t^\alpha + \mu t^{1/n}$, $G_0(t) \equiv G_0(t, \mu) = t^a/\psi'(t)$, and $G_{l+1}(t) = G_{l+1}(t, \mu) = 1/\psi'(t) \cdot (d/dt)G_l(t)$, *for* $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. *Then*

$$G_l(t) = \frac{t^{a-l}}{(\psi'(t))^{l+1}} \cdot \sum_{p,q=0;\, q \leq p}^{l} d_{p,q,l} \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n\alpha} \cdot t^{1/n-\alpha}\right)^q}{\left(1 + \frac{\mu}{n\alpha} \cdot t^{1/n-\alpha}\right)^p}.$$

The proof follows by induction on $l$.

LEMMA 7. *For a fixed $\xi$, such that $0 < \xi_0 \leq \xi \leq \xi_1 < \infty$, we have as $\mu \to \infty$, the following asymptotic expansion in decreasing powers of $\mu$:*

$$F_m(\xi, \mu) = \frac{1}{\mu^{m+1}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{d_{l,m}}{\mu^l} + o(\mu^{-L}) \right).$$

For the proof we have only to use the expression for $F_m(\xi, \mu)$ given by Lemma 6 together with the Binomial Theorem.

In what follows we shall frequently use the notation $F_{l,\nu}(t) = F_l(t)$, and $G_{l,\nu}(t) = G_l(t)$, *with* $a = a(\nu) - \gamma = \omega_{-1} - (\nu/n) - \gamma = (1/2n) - (\frac{1}{2}) - (\nu/n) - \gamma$, for $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

LEMMA 8. *Let $a(\nu) = \omega_{-1} - \nu/n = (1/2n) - (\frac{1}{2}) - (\nu/n)$, for $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$. Let $\delta > 0$, sufficiently small, and $0 < \eta_0 < \eta$, $\alpha > 1/n$. Let $\lambda_k \leq (c_0 - \delta)x^{n\alpha - 1}$.*

*Then we have*

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n(m+1)} \lambda_k^{a(\nu)} \sum_{0 \leq l \leq \{(m+1)/\alpha\}+1}$$

$$\times\{\exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)) \cdot b_{l,\nu}F_{l,\nu} + \exp(2\pi i\eta\psi(x)) \cdot b'_{l,\nu}G_{l,\nu}(x)\} + O(x^{\omega_{-1}-\nu-m}).$$

*Proof.* The asymptotic expansion of $I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)$ leads us to consider integrals of the form

$$\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\, dt, \qquad \varphi(t) = t^\alpha - \mu t^{1/n}.$$

As in Lemma 6 of [4], we prove that

$$F_{l,\nu}(t) = O(t^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-(l+1)\alpha}),$$

for $t \geq x$, $\lambda_k \leq (c_0 - \delta)x^{n\alpha-1}$, where the '$O$' depends on $a(\nu)$, $l$, and $\delta$, but *not* on $t$, $x$, or $\mu$. Repeated integration by parts then gives

$$\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\, dt = e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}} + O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-(L+1)\alpha}),$$

and analogously

$$\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta\psi(t))\, dt = e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{(-1)^{l+1}G_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$+ O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-(L+1)\alpha}).$$

These expansions together with the asymptotic expansion of $I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)$ therefore

yield

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(t)}\,dt+b'_\nu\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(t)}\,dt\right)$$

$$+O_m(\lambda_k^{-1}(\lambda_k x)^{a(m+1)-\gamma+1})$$

$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left\{b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{i,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}G_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right.$$

$$\left.+O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma-(L+1)\alpha})\right\}+O_m(x^{a(m+1)-\gamma+1}).$$

If $L=[(m+1)/n\alpha]$, then $L+1>(m+1)/n\alpha$, and we have

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left\{b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{0\le l\le(m+1)/n\alpha}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{i,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right.$$

$$\left.+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{0\le l\le(m+1)/n\alpha}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}G_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right\}+O_m(x^{a(m+1)-\gamma+1})$$

The lemma follows upon replacing $m$ by $n(m+1)$.

LEMMA 9. *Let* $a(\nu)=\omega_{-1}-\nu/n=(1/2n)-\frac{1}{2}-(\nu/n)$, $\nu=0,1,2,\ldots,0\le\gamma<1$, $\delta>0$, $\delta$ *sufficiently small*, $0<2\varepsilon<\alpha$, $\alpha>1/n$. *Then we have for* $(c_0-\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1}-x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}$,

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n(m+1)}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{0\le l\le(m+1)/(n\alpha-2n\varepsilon)}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right.$$

$$\left.+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{0\le l\le(m+1)/(n\alpha-2n\varepsilon)}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}G_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i_\eta)^{l+1}}\right)+O(x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma-m}).$$

*Proof.* The pattern of proof is similar to that of Lemmas 11 and 12 in [4]. We first prove that

$$\int_x^\infty t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\,dt = e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}} + O_L(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma-\alpha L+(1-\alpha)+(L+1)2\varepsilon}).$$

An analogous expansion is valid with $\psi$ in place of $\varphi$, and $G_{l,\nu}$ in place of $F_{l,\nu}$. As in Lemma 8, we then have

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\Big(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x,\mu)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$+ b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x,\mu)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}G_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\Big) + O_m(x^{a(m+1)-\gamma+1}),$$

provided that $L=[(m+1)/(n\alpha-2n\varepsilon)]$, $0<2\varepsilon<\alpha$. The lemma follows upon replacing $m$ by $n(m+1)$.

LEMMA 10. *If $\delta>0$, and sufficiently small, and $0<\eta_0\leqslant\eta$, and $\alpha>1/n$, then for $\lambda_k\geqslant(c_0+\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$\int_0^x u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\Big(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l}F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$+ b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l}G_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\Big) + O(\mu^{-L-1}) + O(\lambda_k^{-m/n}).$$

*If $L=m$, the term $O(\mu^{-L-1})$ can be dropped.*

*Proof.* Choose $\xi$ such that $\lambda_1<\xi<x$, and consider the given integral as the

sum of $\int_0^\xi$ and $\int_\xi^x$. We then have

$$\int_\xi^x u(t)\cdot t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}\cdot e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(t)}\,dt$$
$$= e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}} - e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(\xi)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(\xi,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}} + O(\mu^{-L-1}),$$

where the '$O$' does *not* depend on $\xi$. An analogous expansion is valid with $\psi$ in place of $\varphi$, and $G_{l,\nu}$ in place of $F_{l,\nu}$ (see the proof of Lemma 10 in [4]). It follows that

$$\int_\xi^x u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\Big(b''_\nu \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(x,\mu))\cdot\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$- b''_\nu \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(\xi,\mu))\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(\xi,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\Big)$$
$$+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\Big(b'''_\nu \exp(2\pi i\eta\psi(x,\mu))\cdot\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$- b'''_\nu \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(\xi,\mu)\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(\xi,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\Big) + O(\mu^{-L-1}) + O(\lambda_k^{a(m+1)-\gamma}). \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, if $F(t) = u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)$, as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_0^\xi F(t) I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt = \sum_{p=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^p F^{(p)}(\xi)\cdot I_p(\lambda_k\xi)}{\lambda_k^{1+p}}$$
$$+ (-1)^{L+1}\cdot\lambda_k^{-L-1}\int_0^\xi F^{(L+1)}(t)\cdot I_L(\lambda_k t)\,dt, \quad (3.12)$$

and the last term is $O(\lambda_k^{-L/n})$. Since $I_p$ has the asymptotic expansion (2.4), we can combine (3.12) and (3.11), and apply Lemma 9 of [4] to obtain the stated result.

LEMMA 11. *If* $c_0 x^{n\alpha-1} + x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon} \le \lambda_k \le (c_0+\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}$, $\delta > 0$, *and sufficiently*

*small, and* $0<2\varepsilon<\alpha$, $\alpha>1/n$, *then*

$$\int_0^x u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$
$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(x)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right)$$
$$+O(x^{a(m+1)-\gamma+1})+O(\lambda_k^{-m/n}),$$

*provided that* $L=[(m+1)/(n\alpha-2n\varepsilon)]$. *As before* $a(\nu)=\omega_{-1}-(\nu/n)$.

*Proof.* Choose $\lambda$ such that $0<\lambda<1$, and consider the given integral as the sum of the integrals $\int_0^{x(1-\lambda)}$ and $\int_{x(1-\lambda)}^{x}$. Following the same pattern of proof as in Lemma 13 of [4], we obtain

$$\int_{x(1-\lambda)}^{x} u(t)\cdot t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\,dt=\int_{x(1-\lambda)}^{x} t^{a(\nu)-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\,dt$$
$$=e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^{l+1}F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}-e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x(1-\lambda))}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}$$
$$+O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma-\alpha L+(1-\alpha)+(L+1)2\varepsilon}),$$

as well as the analogue with $\psi$ in place of $\varphi$, and $G_{l,\nu}$ in place of $F_{l,\nu}$. It follows that

$$\int_{x(1-\lambda)}^{x} u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$
$$=\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(x,\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right)$$
$$-\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x(1-\lambda))}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right.$$
$$\left.+b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x(1-\lambda))}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right)+O(x^{a(m+1)-\gamma}),\tag{3.13}$$

provided that $L=[(m+1)/(n\alpha-2n\varepsilon)]$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 10, (since $\lambda_k > (c_0+\delta_1)\{x(1-\lambda)\}^{n\alpha-1}$), we have

$$\int_0^{x(1-\lambda)} u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\left(b_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x(1-\lambda))}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l F_{l,\nu}(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right.$$

$$\left. + b'_\nu e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}\sum_{l=0}^{L}\frac{(-1)^l G_{l,\nu}(x(1-\lambda),\mu)}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}}\right) + O(\lambda_k^{a(m+1)-\gamma}) + O(\lambda_k^{-m/n}). \tag{3.14}$$

Combining (3.14) with (3.13), and applying Lemma 9 of [4], we obtain the stated result.

LEMMA 12. *If* $c_0x^{n\alpha-1} - x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon} < \lambda_k \leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}$, $\varepsilon>0$, $\alpha>1/n$, *and, as hitherto,* $a(\nu)=\omega_{-1}-\nu/n$, *then*

$$\int_x^\infty t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{nN}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} u_{\nu,m}\cdot x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1}\cdot\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\cdot\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x)}}{(2\pi x^\alpha\eta)^{m+1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{nN}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} u'_{\nu,m}\cdot x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1}\cdot\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\cdot\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta x^\alpha P(v_0)}}{(2\pi x^\alpha\eta)^{m+(1/2)}}$$

$$+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{nN}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} u''_{\nu,m}x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1}\cdot\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\cdot\frac{e^{2\pi i\eta x^\alpha P(v_0)}}{(2\pi x^\alpha\eta)^{m}}\cdot\int_0^\tau s^{-1/2}\cdot e^{2\pi i x^\alpha\eta s}\,ds$$

$$+ \sum_{\nu=0}^{nN}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}G_{m,\nu}(x)\cdot e^{2\pi i\eta\psi(x)}}{(2\pi i\eta)^{m+1}}\cdot\lambda_k^{a(\nu)}\cdot + O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-\alpha(N+1)}),$$

*where* $P(v)=v^{n\alpha}-\beta v$, $\beta=\mu x^{(1/n)-\alpha}$, $\tau=P(1)-v_0$, $v_0=(\beta/n\alpha)^{1/(n\alpha-1)}$, *and where the coefficients* $u_{\nu,m}$, $u'_{\nu,m}$, $u''_{\nu,m}$ *are continuous functions of* $\beta$ *for fixed* $\nu$ *and* $m$, *while*

$$\int_0^\tau s^{-1/2}\exp(2\pi i\eta x^\alpha s)\,ds = O(x^{-\alpha/2}),$$

*uniformly in* $\tau$.

*Proof.* The pattern of proof here is the same as in Lemmas 16, 17 and 18 of

[4], with the difference that $P(v)$ is no longer a polynomial, but holomorphic in $v$ for $\operatorname{Re} v>0$, and $v_0$ is a zero of $P'(v)$.

If $0<\rho<1$, then $\mu/\{x(1+\rho)\}^{\alpha-(1/n)} \leqslant n\alpha/(1+\rho)^{\alpha-(1/n)} \leqslant n\alpha-\delta_1$, say, so that, as in the proof of Lemma 8, we get

$$\int_{x(1+\rho)}^{\infty} t^{a(\nu)-\gamma} \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\, dt = e^{2\pi i\eta\varphi(x(1+\rho))} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \frac{(-1)^{l+1} F_{l,\nu}(x(1+\rho))}{(2\pi i\eta)^{l+1}} + O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-(L+1)\alpha}), \quad (3.15)$$

and a similar result holds with $\psi$ in place of $\varphi$, and $G_{l,\nu}$ in place of $F_{l,\nu}$ for the entire integral $\int_x^\infty$.

The integral $\int_x^{x(1+\rho)}$ is then dealt with as in Lemma 17 of [4], and gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_x^{x(1+\rho)} t^{a(\nu)-\gamma} \exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(t))\, dt \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2} n x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1} \Bigg\{ \sum_{p=0}^{N} \frac{A_p(1+\rho)\exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(x(1+\rho)) - B_p(1)\exp(2\pi i\eta\varphi(x))}{(2\pi x^\alpha \eta)^{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{\alpha'_m \exp(2\pi_c x^a \eta P(\nu_0))}{(2\pi x^\alpha \eta)^{m+(1/2)}} - \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{\alpha''_m}{(2\pi x^\alpha \eta)^m} \int_0^\tau s^{-1/2} e^{2\pi i\eta x^\alpha s}\, ds \Bigg\} \\
&\quad + O(x^{a(\nu)-\gamma+1-\alpha(N+1)}), \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_p$, $B_p$, $\alpha'_m$, $\alpha''_m$ depend on $\beta$, and, for fixed $p$, $m$, are continuous functions of $\beta$ in a neighbourhood of the point $\beta = n\alpha$.

We now combine (3.15) and (3.16), and apply Lemma 9 of [4] to get rid of the $\rho$ from the expansions.

LEMMA 13. *If* $c_0 x^{n\alpha-1} < \lambda_k \leqslant c_0 x^{n\alpha-1} + x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon>0$, *then*

$$\int_0^x u(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

*has the same expansion as in Lemma* 12.

*Proof.* We consider the integrals $\int_0^{x(1-\rho)}$ and $\int_{x(1-\rho)}^{x}$ separately, where $0<\rho<1$.

The first integral is dealt with as in Lemma 10, while the second is handled as in Lemma 12, and Lemma 9 of [4] is then called into play.

LEMMA 14. *For* $\alpha > 1/n$, *and* $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, *the integral*

$$\int_0^\infty u_1(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

*has the asymptotic expansion*

$$c_1(\alpha, \gamma, \eta, K) \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} m_k^{\theta-[\nu/(n\alpha-1)]}\{C_\nu \cos(q m_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)} + k_\nu \pi)$$
$$- iD_\nu \sin(q m_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)} + k_\nu \pi\} + O(m_k^{\theta-(m+1)/(n\alpha-1)}),$$

*where* $c_1$ *is a constant determined by Lemma* 4, *and* $\theta = [2(1-\gamma) - \alpha n]/[2\alpha(n\alpha - 1)]$.

*Proof.* We have

$$\int_0^\infty u_1(t) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$
$$+ \int_0^{\lambda_1} (u_1(t) - 1) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

The first integral on the right has an asymptotic expansion given by Lemma 4.

If we choose a $\rho$, such that $\rho < c$ (defined along with the function $u$ at the beginning), then

$$\int_0^{\lambda_1} (u_1(t) - 1) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$
$$= -\int_0^\rho t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$
$$+ \int_\rho^{\lambda_1} (u_1(t) - 1) \cdot t^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta t^\alpha) \cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

Lemma 5 gives an asymptotic expansion of the first integral on the right-hand

side:

$$\sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{a_p(\rho)\cdot I_p(\lambda_k\rho)}{(\lambda_k\rho)^{p+1}} + \sum_{p=0}^{l} \frac{b_p}{\lambda_k^{1-\gamma+\alpha p}} + O(\lambda_k^{-l/n}),$$

where $b_p = (2\pi i\eta)^p/p!\cdot\Delta(1-\gamma+\alpha p)/\Delta(\gamma-\alpha p)$. For the second integral, we apply repeated integration by parts, together with the fact that $u_1-1$ (and all its derivatives) vanish at $t=\lambda_1$, to get an asymptotic expansion as in (3.12). By proper choice of $l$, the term $\sum_{p=0}^{l} b_p\cdot\lambda_k^{-1+\gamma-\alpha p}$ cancels out with the residual term given by Lemma 4, and the application of Lemma 9 of [4] leads to the stated result.

## §4. Proofs of the theorems

*Proof of Theorem* 1. From Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda_k\le x} a_kF(\lambda_k) &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le x} a_k\cdot\lambda_k^{-\gamma}\cdot u(\lambda_k)\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta\lambda_k^{\alpha})\\ &= \sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\int_0^\infty u_1(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &\quad -\sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_kH_1(x,\lambda_k)+\sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_kH_1(x,\lambda_k)\\ &\quad + O\{x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma}+x^{\varepsilon}\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

for $\alpha>1/n$, $\frac{1}{2}+(1/2n)-\alpha<\gamma<1$, $\gamma\ge 0$, $c_0=(2\pi\eta n\alpha/h)^n$, $h=n\cdot 2^{r_1/n}$. We shall estimate the first three terms on the right-hand side of (4.1). By Lemma 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty u_1(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &= c_1(\alpha,\gamma,\eta,K)\cdot\lambda_k^{\alpha\theta}\exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\}+O(m_k^{\theta-1/(n\alpha-1)}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta=\{2(1-\gamma)-\alpha n\}/\{2\alpha(n\alpha-1)\}$. If $\gamma<\frac{1}{2}n\alpha$, then $\alpha\theta>-1$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\int_0^\infty u_1(t)\cdot t^{-\gamma}\cdot\exp(2\pi i\eta t^{\alpha})\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt\\ &= c_1(\alpha,\gamma,\eta,K)\sum_{\lambda_k\le c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\cdot\lambda_k^{\alpha\theta}\cdot\exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\}+O(x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

For a $\delta>0$, chosen sufficiently small, we then write

$$\sum_{\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_kH_1(x,\lambda_k)$$

$$=\sum_{\lambda_k\leq(c_0-\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}}+\sum_{(c_0-\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}-x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}}+\sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}-x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}}$$

$$=V_1+V_2+V_3,\quad \text{say.}$$

In $V_1$ we use Lemma 8, and note that $\lambda_k\leq(c_0-\delta)t^{n\alpha-1}$ for $0<x\leq t\leq x_1$, so that

$$H(t,\lambda_k)\ll\lambda_k^{\omega-1}\cdot|F_{0,0}(t,\lambda_k)|\ll\lambda_k^{\omega-1}\cdot t^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-\alpha},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}V_1=\sum_{\lambda_k\leq(c_0-\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}} a_kH_1(x,\lambda_k)&\ll x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-\alpha}\sum_{\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}}\\&\ll x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-\alpha}\cdot x^{(n\alpha-1)(\omega_{-1}+1)}\\&\ll x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

(cf. the estimate of $W_{5,1}$ in [4]).

In $V_2$ we observe that $(c_0-2\delta)t^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq c_0t^{n\alpha-1}-t^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}$, and use Lemma 8, so that

$$H(t,\lambda_k)\ll\frac{\lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}}\cdot t^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma}}{|\varphi'(t)|},\quad\text{for}\quad 0<x\leq t\leq x_1.$$

We have $\varphi'(t)=\alpha t^{\alpha-1}(1-c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n}t^{(1/n)-\alpha})$, and in the given range

$$|1-c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n}x^{(1/n)-\alpha}|\gg x^{-\varepsilon}.$$

However,

$$1-c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n}t^{(1/n)-\alpha}=1-(c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n})x^{(1/n)-\alpha}+O(x^{-\alpha}),$$

so that

$$\frac{1-c_0^{-1/n}\cdot\lambda_k^{1/n}t^{(1/n)-\alpha}}{1-c_0^{-1/n}\cdot\lambda_k^{1/n}x^{(1/n)-\alpha}}=1+O(x^{\varepsilon-\alpha}),\qquad(\varepsilon<\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha).$$

Hence

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll \frac{\lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}} \cdot x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-\alpha}}{|1-c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n}x^{(1/n)-\alpha}|},$$

so that

$$V_2 \ll x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-\alpha} \sum_{(c_0-\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}-x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}} \frac{a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}}}{|1-c_0^{-1/n}\lambda_k^{1/n} \cdot x^{(1/n)-\alpha}|}.$$

The last sum is estimated in the same way as $W_{5,2}$ in [4], so as to yield

$$V_2 \ll x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma} \cdot \log(1+x). \tag{4.4}$$

In $V_3$ we observe that $c_0t^{n\alpha-1}-2t^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}<\lambda_k\leq c_0t^{n\alpha-1}$, for $0<x\leq t\leq x_1$, so that Lemma 12 applies, and we get

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll \lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}} \cdot x^{\omega_{-1}-\gamma+1-(\alpha/2)},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 &\ll x^{\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-\alpha/2} \sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}-x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k\lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}}, \qquad (\omega_{-1}<0) \\ &\ll x^{\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-\alpha/2} \cdot x^{\omega_{-1}(n\alpha-1)} \sum a_k, \qquad a_k = O(k^{\varepsilon'}), \quad \text{say.} \\ &\ll x^{\varepsilon'+(n\alpha-1-\varepsilon)+\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-(\alpha/2)+\omega_{-1}(n\alpha-1)} \\ &\ll x^{(\omega_{-1}+1)n\alpha-\gamma-(\alpha/2)-\varepsilon+\varepsilon'} \\ &\ll x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon - \varepsilon'$, for any positive $\varepsilon$, such that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, $\varepsilon < n\alpha - 1$, and all $\varepsilon' > 0$, so that (4.5) holds for *any* $\varepsilon_1 < \alpha/2$, $\varepsilon_1 < n\alpha - 1$. From (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5) we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}} a_kH_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1} + x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma}\log(1+x). \tag{4.6}$$

Next we consider

$$\sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon_0}} a_kH_1(x,\lambda_k)$$

$$= \sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq c_0x^{n\alpha-1}+x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}} + \sum_{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}+x^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}<\lambda_k\leq(c_0+\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{(c_0+\delta)x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k\leq x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}}$$

$$= V_4+V_5+V_6, \quad \text{say.}$$

In $V_6$ we note that $t^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}\geq\lambda_k\geq(c_0+\delta_1)t^{n\alpha-1}$, for $1<x\leq t\leq x_1$, with $\delta_1=\frac{1}{2}\delta$, say, so that $H(t,\lambda_k)$ can be estimated with the help of Lemma 10, leading to an estimate of $H_1(x,\lambda_k)$, and thence

$$V_6\ll x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}, \qquad \varepsilon_1<\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha, \quad \varepsilon_1<n\alpha-1. \tag{4.7}$$

In $V_5$ we note that $c_0t^{n\alpha-1}=c_0x^{n\alpha-1}+O(x^{n\alpha-1-\alpha})$, for $1<x\leq t\leq x_1$, so that $c_0t^{n\alpha-1}+\frac{1}{2}t^{n\alpha-1-\varepsilon}<\lambda_k\leq(c_0+\delta)t^{n\alpha-1}$, and Lemma 11 can be used. We further note that, as in the case of $V_2$, we can replace $\varphi'(t)$ by $\varphi'(x)$, and obtain in the same way

$$V_5\ll x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma}\log(1+x). \tag{4.8}$$

In $V_4$ we consider the integral for $H(t)$ as the sum $\int_0^{t(1-\rho)}+\int_{t(1-\rho)}^{t}$, for a sufficiently small $\rho$, such that $0<\rho<1$. In the first integral, we have, for $x\leq t\leq x_1$,

$$c_0t^{n\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{n\alpha-1}\leq c_0(x_1(1-\rho))^{n\alpha-1}=c_0(x^{n\alpha-1}(1+O(x^{-\alpha}))\cdot(1-\rho)^{n\alpha-1}$$
$$\ll c_0x^{n\alpha-1}<\lambda_k,$$

so that Lemma 10 can be applied to yield

$$H(t,\lambda_k)\ll\lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}}\cdot t^{\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-\alpha},$$

while in the second integral, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_k}{c_0t^{n\alpha-1}}\geq\frac{\lambda_k}{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}(1+O(x^{-\alpha}))}, \qquad \frac{\lambda_k}{c_0t^{n\alpha-1}}\leq\frac{\lambda_k}{c_0x^{n\alpha-1}}\leq 1+O(x^{-\varepsilon}).$$

Here Lemma 12 can be applied to obtain (as in $V_3$)

$$H(t, \lambda_k) \ll \lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}} t^{\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-(\alpha/2)}.$$

Altogether, we therefore have

$$H_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll \lambda_k^{\omega_{-1}} \cdot x^{\omega_{-1}+1-\gamma-(\alpha/2)},$$

and

$$V_4 \ll x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}. \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.7), (4.8), and (4.9), we obtain

$$\sum_{c_0 x^{n\alpha-1} < \lambda_k < c_0 x^{n\alpha-1+\varepsilon_0}} a_k H_1(x, \lambda_k) \ll x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1} + x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma} \cdot \log(1+x). \tag{4.10}$$

If we use (4.10), (4.6), and (4.2) in (4.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) \\ &= c_1(\alpha, \gamma, \eta, K) \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{\alpha\theta} \cdot \exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\} + O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\alpha}) \\ &\quad + O(x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha-\gamma} \log(1+x)) + O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma}) + O(x^{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Since $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, the exponent $\frac{1}{2}(n\alpha) - \gamma - \varepsilon_1 > 0$, *if* $\frac{1}{2}(n\alpha) - \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\alpha > 0$, that is, *if* $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\alpha$, in which case the term $x^{\varepsilon}$ can be dropped. Such a choice of $\gamma$ can indeed be made. If $\alpha > \frac{1}{2} + (1/2n)$, we choose $\gamma = 0$. Otherwise $\frac{1}{2} + (1/2n) - \alpha < \frac{1}{2}(n-1)\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1/n$, so that if $\gamma$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2} + (1/2n) - \alpha$ and close enough to it, we have $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\alpha > \gamma$. Clearly the only $O$-terms that remain in (4.11) are:

$$O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]\alpha-\gamma} \log(1+x)) + O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma}). \tag{4.12}$$

Thus (4.11) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-\gamma} \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha})$$

$$= c_1 \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-[\gamma/(n\alpha-1)]+[(2-\alpha n)/2(n\alpha-1)]} \exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\}$$

$$+ O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\gamma-\varepsilon_1}) + O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]\alpha-\gamma} \log(1+x) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1-\gamma}). \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}(n\alpha)$, the exponent of $\lambda_k$ is greater than $-1$, and we can apply partial summation, and obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha})$$

$$= c_2 \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{(2-\alpha n)/2(n\alpha-1)} \cdot \exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\}$$

$$+ O(x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\varepsilon_1}) + O(x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1}), \tag{4.14}$$

where $c_2 = c_1 \cdot c_0^{-\gamma/(n\alpha-1)}$ is independent of $\gamma$, for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, such that $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, $\varepsilon_1 < n\alpha - 1$. These conditions on $\varepsilon_1$ imply that

$$x^{[(n\alpha)/2]-\varepsilon_1} \ll x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha+\varepsilon} + x^{1-(n\alpha/2)+\varepsilon}$$

Hence (4.14) leads to

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \cdot \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha})$$

$$= c_2 \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x^{n\alpha-1}} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{(2-\alpha n)/2(n\alpha-1)} \exp\{-iqm_k^{1/(n\alpha-1)}\}$$

$$+ O(x^{[(n-1)/2]\alpha+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-(n\alpha/2)+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1}). \tag{4.15}$$

If $n \geq 3$, then $\frac{1}{2}(n\alpha) > \alpha \geq \alpha_1$, so that the term $O(x^{1-(n\alpha/2)+\varepsilon})$ may be dropped. If $n = 2$, the only $O$-terms in (4.15) are $O(x^{(\alpha/2)+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon})$, and that completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem* 2. If $\alpha = 2/n$, (4.15) gives the approximate reciprocity

formula:

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{2/n}) = c_3 \sum_{\lambda_k \leq c_0 x} a_k \exp\left(\frac{-iq\lambda_k^{2/n}}{2\pi\eta}\right) + O(x^{1-(1/n)+\varepsilon}), \tag{4.16}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

In this special case, we have

$$c_0 = \left(\frac{4\pi\eta}{h}\right)^n, \qquad h = n2^{r_1/n}, \qquad c_3 = \left(\frac{h}{4\pi\eta}\right)^{n/2} e^{i\pi[(1/2)-(r_1/4)]}, \qquad q = \frac{h^2}{4},$$

while, in general, $\lambda_k = B \cdot k$, $B = 2^{r_2}\pi^{n/2}|D|^{-1/2} = (2\pi n/h)^{n/2} \cdot |D|^{-1/2}$.

If we choose $4\pi\eta = h$, then $c_0 = 1$, $c_3 = e^{i\pi[(1/2)-(r_1/4)]}$. Setting $Y = x \cdot B^{-1}$, we get:

$$\sum_{k \leq Y} a_k \cdot e^{i\pi n |D|^{-1/n} k^{2/n}} = e^{i\pi[(1/2)-(r_1/4)]} \sum_{k \leq Y} a_k \cdot e^{-i\pi n |D|^{-1/n} k^{2/n}} + O(Y^{1-(1/n)+\varepsilon}),$$

which is (1.4).

Similarly if we choose $4\pi\eta = h\,|D|^{-1/n} \cdot m$, where $m$ is an integer, $m \neq 0$, then we get a formula which, in the case $n = 2$, gives the Corollary to Theorem 1 in [3] with $Y^\varepsilon$ in place of $\log Y$.

If we take $n = 2$ in (4.16), so that $\alpha = 1$, and $r_1 = 2$ (this is the case when $K$ is a real quadratic field), then $c_0 = (\pi\eta)^2$, $c_3 = (\pi\eta)^{-1}$, $q = 4$; if $n = 2$, $\alpha = 1$, $r_1 = 0$ (the case of an imaginary quadratic field), then $c_0 = (2\pi\eta)^2$, $c_3 = i(2\pi\eta)^{-1}$, $q = 1$. Formula (4.16) then reduces to the one which we proved sometime ago [3] by a different method, but with $\log x$ taking the place of $x^\varepsilon$ in the error-term.

*Proof of Theorem* 3. The sum on the right-hand side of (4.15) is $O(x^{(n\alpha)/2})$, for $\alpha < 2/n$. We can therefore conclude that *if* $1/n < \alpha < 2/n$, and $n \geq 3$, *then*

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{(n\alpha)/2}) + O(x^{1-\alpha_1}), \tag{4.17}$$

with $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ if $\alpha \leq 2/(n+1)$, and $\alpha_1 = \alpha - \varepsilon < \alpha$, if $\alpha > 2/(n+1)$. If $n = 2$, and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, the sum is $O(x^\alpha)$, since $1 - \alpha < \alpha$. If $n \geq 3$, and we take $\alpha = 1/(n-1)$ in

(4.17), we get

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{1/(n-1)}) = O(x^{n/2(n-1)}) + O(x^{1-1/(n-1)})$$

$$= \begin{cases} O(x^{3/4}), & \text{if} \quad n=3; \\ O(x^{1-1/(n-1)}), & \text{if} \quad n \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

We note that if $n \geq 3$, (4.18) gives a stronger result than the one we obtained before [4].

*Proof of Theorems* 4 *and* 5. If $0<\alpha<1/n$, the proof is much simpler than if $\alpha>1/n$. Proceeding as in Lemma 1, we consider here the infinite series

$$(-1)^{r+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \int_c^{x_1} F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot I_r(\lambda_k t)\, dt, \qquad \gamma=0, \qquad F(t)=u(t) \cdot e^{2\pi i \eta t^\alpha}.$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-(1/2)-(1/2n)-(r/n)} = O(1),$$

if $r$ is chosen sufficiently large. On the other hand,

$$\int_0^{\infty} F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot Q_r(t)\, dt = O(x^{1-\alpha}), \tag{4.18$'$}$$

as before, while $\sum_{x<\lambda_k \leq x_1} a_k = O(x^{1-\alpha})$. Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leq x} a_k \exp(2\pi i \eta \lambda_k^{\alpha}) = O(x^{1-\alpha}), \quad \text{for} \quad 0<\alpha<\frac{1}{n}.$$

If $\alpha = 1/n$, and $\varepsilon>0$, we first obtain the estimate

$$(-1)^{r+1} \sum_{\lambda_k > x^\varepsilon} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{-1-r} \int_c^{x_1} F^{(r+1)}(t) \cdot I_r(\lambda_k t)\, dt$$

$$= O(x^{\varepsilon[(1/2)-(1/2n)-(r/n)]+(1/2)+(1/2n)}) = O(x^{-q}) \tag{4.19}$$

for any $q>0$, provided that $r$ is chosen sufficiently large. We next consider

$$\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon} a_k \int_c^{x_1} F(t)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt, \qquad F(t)=u(t)\cdot \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon} a_k \int_c^{x_1} u(t)\cdot dH(t,\lambda_k), \qquad H(t,\lambda_k)=\int_c^t \exp(2\pi i\eta t^\alpha)\cdot I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\,dt$$

$$= -\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon} a_k \int_c^{x_1} H(t,\lambda_k)\cdot u'(t)\,dt,$$

since $H(t,\lambda_k)$ vanishes for $t=c$, while $u(t)$ vanishes for $t=x_1$. Now

$$\int_c^{x_1} H(t,\lambda_k)u'(t)\,dt = \left(\int_c^{\lambda_1}+\int_{\lambda_1}^{x_1}\right) H(t,\lambda_k)u'(t)\,dt,$$

and the first integral on the right-hand side is $\ll \lambda_k^{\omega-1}=O(1)$, since $I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)\ll \lambda_k^{\omega-1}$, for $c\leqslant t\leqslant \lambda_1$, while

$$\int_x^{x_1} H(t,\lambda_k)u'(t)\,dt \ll \sup_{x\leqslant t\leqslant x_1} |H(t,\lambda_k)|.$$

We shall estimate the order of magnitude of $H(t,\lambda_k)$ by using the full asymptotic expansion of $I_{-1}(\lambda_k t)$, (cf. Lemma 3). We then have to consider integrals of the form

$$\int_c^y t^{-(1/2)+(1/2n)-(\nu/n)} \exp\{2\pi i t^{1/n}(\eta-\lambda_k^{1/n}\cdot h)\}\,dt, \qquad \nu=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

together with an $O$-term of the order $O(\lambda_k^{-(1/2)+(1/2n)-[(\nu+1)/n]})$, if $-(1/2)+(1/2n)-[(\nu+1)/n]<-1$. It is sufficient to consider the case $\nu=0$. If $\eta=\lambda_{k_0}^{1/n}\cdot h$ for some $k_0\geqslant 1$, we have

$$H(y,\lambda_{k_0})=\lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1}\left\{c_{k_0}\int_c^y t^{-(1/2)+(1/2n)}\,dt + O\left(\int_c^y t^{-[(1/2)+(1/2n)]}\,dt\right)\right\}$$

$$= c'_{k_0} y^{(n+1)/2n} + O(y^{(n-1)/2n}),$$

while

$$H(y,\lambda_k)\ll \lambda_k^{\omega-1} y^{(n-1)/2n}, \quad \text{for} \quad k\neq k_0,$$

(which follows by replacing $t^{1/n}$ by $t$ and integrating by parts). Hence, if $n \geqslant 3$,

$$-\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon} a_k \int_c^{x_1} H(t, \lambda_k) \cdot u'(t)\, dt \ll x^{(n+1)/2n} + \sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon, k \neq k_0} a_k \cdot \lambda_k^{\omega-1} x^{(n-1)/2n}$$
$$\ll x^{(n+1)/2n} \ll x^{1-(1/n)}. \tag{4.20}$$

If $n = 2$, and $\eta = h \cdot \lambda_{k_0}^{1/2}$, we have

$$\int_c^{x_1} H(t, \lambda_{k_0}) u'(t)\, dt = c_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1} \int_c^{x_1} t^{3/4} u'(t)\, dt + O(x^{1/4})$$
$$= c_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1} \int_x^{x_1} t^{3/4} u'(t)\, dt + O(x^{1/4})$$
$$= -c_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1} \left\{ x^{3/4} + \tfrac{3}{4} \int_x^{x_1} u(t) \cdot t^{-1/4}\, dt \right\} + O(x^{1/4})$$
$$= -c_{k_0} \lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1} x^{3/4} + O(x^{1/4}).$$

Hence, in this case,

$$-\sum_{\lambda_k \leqslant x^\varepsilon} a_k \int_c^{x_1} H(t, \lambda_k) \cdot u'(t)\, dt = c_{k_0} \cdot a_{k_0} \cdot \lambda_{k_0}^{\omega-1} \cdot x^{3/4} + O(x^{(1/4)+\varepsilon}), \tag{4.21}$$

while, if $\eta \neq h\lambda_k^{1/2}$, for all $k \geqslant 1$, the sum is $O(x^{1/2})$. The result follows from this and (4.18)′.

*Remark.* The method of proof adopted here makes it possible to prove corresponding results for the coefficient-sums of Dirichlet series satisfying a functional equation of the type studied in [1, 2]. Particular cases are the zeta-function of an ideal class and Hecke's zeta-function with Grössencharacters. Since no new ideas are required, we do not go into the details.

Our estimates prove that the sequence $\{\eta(N\mathfrak{A})^\alpha\}$, for $0 < \alpha < 2/n$, $\eta$ real, $\eta \neq 0$, and or an integral ideal in $K$ with norm $N\mathfrak{A}$, when arranged in order of increasing norms, is uniformly distributed modulo 1. It is likely that this is true for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

## REFERENCES

[1] K. Chandrasekharan and Raghavan Narasimhan, *Functional equations with multiple gamma factors and the average order of arithmetical functions*, Annals of Math. 76 (1962), 93–106.

[2] ——, *The approximate functional equation for a class of zeta-functions*, Math. Annalen, *152* (1963), 30–64.
[3] ——, *An approximate reciprocity formula for some exponential sums*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, *43* (1968), 296–310.
[4] ——, *Exponential sums associated with the Dedekind zeta-function*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, *52* (1977), 49–87.
[5] ——, *Sommes exponentielles associées à un corps de nombres algébriques*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, *287* (1978), Série A, 181–182.

*E.T.H. Zürich*
*and*
*The University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.*

Received June 19, 1978