

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 54 (1979)

**Artikel:** Extremal eigenvalue problems defined on conformal classes of compact Riemannian manifolds.  
**Autor:** Friedland, Shmuel  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41592>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Extremal eigenvalue problems defined on conformal classes of compact Riemannian manifolds

SHMUEL FRIEDLAND

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to extend our recent results on eigenvalue problems for certain classes of membranes [3] to conformal classes of compact Riemannian manifolds. We refer to [1] for the definitions and properties of Riemannian manifolds needed here. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact smooth ( $C^\infty$ )  $n$ -dimensional manifold. We shall assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$  the points of  $\mathcal{M}$ , by  $dV$  the volume element and by  $G(x) = (g_{ij}(x))_1^n$  the metric matrix. Consider a new metric on  $\mathcal{M}$  given by the matrix  $\hat{G} = (\hat{g}_{ij}(x))_1^n$ . Assume that this metric is conformal to the given metric. That is

$$\hat{g}_{ij}(x) = \varphi^2(x)g_{ij}(x), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{1.1}$$

Assume first that  $\varphi$  is a positive smooth function. Denote by  $\hat{\Delta}$  the corresponding Laplacian to the matrix  $\hat{G}$ . Consider the eigenvalue problem.

$$\hat{\Delta}u + \mu u = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Denote by

$$0 = \mu_0(\varphi) < \mu_1(\varphi) \leq \mu_2(\varphi) \leq \dots \tag{1.3}$$

the corresponding eigenvalues of  $\hat{\Delta}$ . The eigenvalues  $\mu_k(\varphi)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , are characterized by the min-max principle applied to the Rayleigh ratio

$$\int \varphi^{n-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dV / \int \varphi^n u^2 dV. \tag{1.4}$$

Here  $G^{-1} = (g^{ij})_1^n$ . Using this characterization one can define  $\{\mu_k(\varphi)\}_0^\infty$  for any non-negative bounded measurable function  $\varphi$ . The precise definition of  $\mu_k(\varphi)$  is

given in the next section. Denote by  $C$  the following set of functions

$$0 \leq m(\xi) \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq M(\xi) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\int \varphi^n dV = W, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $m$  and  $M$  are bounded measurable functions. The corresponding set of Riemannian manifolds has an obvious geometric meaning. To see this meaning let us consider the case where  $m$  and  $M$  are positive and constant and  $\varphi$  is a smooth function. Then the condition (1.5) states that the metrics  $\hat{G}$  and  $G$  are equivalent. That is

$$md(x, y) \leq \hat{d}(x, y) \leq Md(x, y), \quad (1.7)$$

where  $d(x, y)$  and  $\hat{d}(x, y)$  are the distances between the points  $x$  and  $y$  according to the metrics  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$  respectively. The condition (1.6) means that the manifold  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  has a fixed volume  $W$ .

By  $C^*$  we denote the set of functions  $\varphi$  which belong to  $C$  and satisfy the condition

$$(M(\xi) - \varphi(\xi))(\varphi(\xi) - m(\xi)) = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

almost everywhere. A set of corresponding Riemannian manifolds to  $C^*$  is a set of non-smooth conformal manifolds to  $\mathcal{M}$  which have almost everywhere either the minimal or the maximal distortion and a fixed volume  $W$ . The main result of this paper is

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact smooth manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $C$  and  $C^*$  be nonempty sets of functions defined by the conditions (1.5), (1.6) and (1.8), (1.6) respectively. Let  $F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p)$  be a continuous function on  $R_+^p$  increasing with respect to each of its arguments. Then*

$$\inf_C F(\mu_1(\varphi), \dots, \mu_p(\varphi)) = \inf_{C^*} F(\mu_1(\psi), \dots, \mu_p(\psi)). \quad (1.9)$$

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section. In the last section we study in detail the problem  $\min \mu_1(\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in C$  in the case where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a two dimensional sphere  $S^2$  and the functions  $m(\xi)$  and  $M(\xi)$  are constant. We show that the minimum in question is achieved for a certain function  $\varphi^* \in C^*$  which is characterized almost completely. Finally if  $m = 0$  then this minimum is completely determined.

**2. Proof of the main result**

Let  $\varphi$  be a positive smooth function. Then according to the classical Courant principle  $\mu_p(\varphi)$  is characterized as follows:

$$\mu_p(\varphi) = \max_{f_0, \dots, f_{p-1}} \min_u \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi^{n-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} dV / \int \varphi^n u^2 dV, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $u$  satisfies the orthogonality conditions

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi^n f_j f dV = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, p-1. \tag{2.2}$$

However, to prove Theorem 1 one needs another characterization of  $\mu_p(\varphi)$ . It was named by Pólya and Schiffer as the *convoy Principle* [7] (see also [2] for the version stated here).

**The Convoy Principle**

Let  $\varphi$  be a positive smooth function. Let  $f_0, \dots, f_p$  be continuous and differentiable functions, satisfying the conditions

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} f_i f_j \varphi^n dV = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p. \tag{2.3}$$

Let  $A(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p) = (a_{ij})_0^p$  be the matrix

$$a_{ij} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi^{n-2} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x^\beta} \right) dV. \tag{2.4}$$

Denote by  $\mu_0(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p), \dots, \mu_p(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p)$  the eigenvalues of  $A(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p)$  arranged in the increasing order. Then

$$\mu_k(\varphi) = \inf_{f_0, \dots, f_p} \mu_k(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p), \quad k = 0, \dots, p. \tag{2.5}$$

The infimum is achieved for the eigenfunctions  $u_0 = 1, u_1, \dots, u_p$  of (1.2).

For an arbitrary non-negative measurable function  $\varphi (\neq 0)$  we let (2.5) be the

definition of  $\mu_p(\varphi)$ . It is easy to show that (2.5) holds for any  $k < p$  for this choice of  $\varphi$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* First we show that

$$F(\mu_1(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p), \dots, \mu_p(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p)) \geq \inf_{C^*} F(\mu_1(\psi), \dots, \mu_p(\psi)) \quad (2.6)$$

for a function  $\varphi$  of the form

$$\varphi^n = \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i \psi_i^n, \quad \beta_i \geq 0, \quad \psi_i \in C^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, q, \quad \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i = 1. \quad (2.7)$$

Let  $\chi_S$  be a characteristic function of the set  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $\psi \in C^*$  can be represented

$$\psi = m + \chi_S(M - m) \quad (2.8)$$

Clearly

$$\psi^n = m^n + \chi_S(M^n - m^n) \quad (2.9)$$

So  $S$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_S (M^n - m^n) dV = W - \int_{\mathcal{M}} m^n dV \quad (2.10)$$

Let  $S_1, \dots, S_q$  be the sets corresponding to the functions  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_q$ . Thus we can find a partition  $T_1, \dots, T_N$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the following condition holds

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^N T_i = \mathcal{M}, \quad T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.11)$$

each  $T_i$  is a measurable set and for a given positive  $\varepsilon$

$$\int_{T_i} dV < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (2.12)$$

Furthermore

$$\chi_{S_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij} \chi_{T_j}, \quad \alpha_{ij}(1 - \alpha_{ij}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, q, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \quad (2.13)$$

Let

$$\theta_j = m^n + c_j \chi_{T_j} (M^n - m^n) \tag{2.14}$$

where  $c_j$  is defined by the equality

$$c_j \int_{T_j} (M^n - m^n) dV = W - \int_{\mathcal{M}} m^n dV \tag{2.15}$$

Thus  $\theta_j^{1/n}$  satisfies (1.6) and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^n &= \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \theta_j = m^n + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j c_j \chi_{T_j} (M^n - m^n), \\ \alpha_j &\geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The assumption that  $m \leq \varphi \leq M$  is equivalent to the inequalities

$$\alpha_j \leq c_j^{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \tag{2.17}$$

Let  $f_0, \dots, f_p$  be smooth functions satisfying the condition (2.3) Consider the quadratic form

$$\sum a_{ij} (f_0, \dots, f_p) \xi_i \xi_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi^{n-2} \left[ \sum_{k,l=1}^n g^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^p \xi_i f_i \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} \left( \sum_{j=0}^p \xi_j f_j \right) \right] dV \tag{2.18}$$

Let

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j [m^{n-2} + c_j \chi_{T_j} (M^{n-2} - m^{n-2})] \tag{2.19}$$

As  $0 \leq (n-2)/n < 1$  from the concavity of  $\xi^{(n-2)/n}$  we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{n-2} &= \left[ \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j c_j \chi_{T_j} \right) m^n + \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j c_j \chi_{T_j} \right) M^n \right]^{(n-2)/n} \\ &\geq \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j c_j \chi_{T_j} \right) m^{n-2} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j c_j \chi_{T_j} \right) M^{n-2} = \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Let

$$\tilde{a}_{ij}(\tilde{\varphi}, f_0, \dots, f_p) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\varphi} \left( \sum_{k,l=1}^n g^{kl} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x^l} \right) dV, \quad i, j = 0, \dots, p. \tag{2.21}$$

Then the inequality (2.20) implies

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^p a_{ij}(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \sum_{i,j=0}^p \tilde{a}_{ij}(\tilde{\varphi}, f_0, \dots, f_p) \xi_i \xi_j \tag{2.22}$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\mu}_0 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_p$  the eigenvalues of the matrix  $\tilde{A}(\tilde{\varphi}, f_0, \dots, f_p) = (\tilde{a}_{ij}(\tilde{\varphi}, f_0, \dots, f_p))_0^n$ . Now the inequality (2.22) implies [5, Ch. 10]

$$\mu_i(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p) \geq \tilde{\mu}_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, p. \tag{2.23}$$

Consider  $(p+1)(p+2)$  equations in unknowns  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^N \beta_s \int_{\mathcal{M}} [m^n + c_s \chi_{T_s} (M^n - m^n)] f_i f_j &= \delta_{ij}, \\ \sum_{s=1}^N \beta_s \int_{\mathcal{M}} [m^{n-2} + c_s \chi_{T_s} (M^{n-2} - m^{n-2})] \left( \sum_{k,l=1}^n g^{kl} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x^l} \right) dV & \\ &= \tilde{a}_{ij}(\tilde{\varphi}, f_0, \dots, f_p), \quad i, j = 0, \dots, p \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Demand also  $\sum_s \beta_s = 1$  and  $\beta_s \leq c_s^{-1}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, N$ . Note that we have an admissible solution  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ . Suppose that the  $\varepsilon$  in (2.12) is small enough. Then of course  $N$  must be large. Assume that  $N > (p+1)(p+2)+1$ . In that case there exists a solution  $\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_N^*$  such that at most  $(p+1)(p+2)+1$  coordinates  $\alpha_s^*$ , do not satisfy  $\alpha_s^*(c_s^{-1} - \alpha_s^*) = 0$ .

Let

$$(\psi^*)^n = \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s^* \theta_s^* = \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s^* [m^n + c_s \chi_{T_s} (M^n - m^n)] \tag{2.25}$$

Thus  $(M - \psi^*)(\psi^* - m) \neq 0$  on a set  $S$  whose measure is less than  $[(p+1)(p+2)+1]\varepsilon$ .

Furthermore

$$(\psi^*)^{n-2} \neq \sum_{s=1}^N \alpha_s^* (m^{n-2} + c_s \chi_{T_s} (M^{n-2} - m^{n-2}))$$

on a set  $S$ . Thus, given  $\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  fixed we can find  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that

$$\mu_i(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p) \geq \mu_i(\psi^*, f_0, \dots, f_p) - \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, p. \quad (2.26)$$

Furthermore we can find  $\varphi^*$  in the set  $C^*$  such that  $\varphi^* = \psi^*$  on  $\mathcal{M} - S$ . This means that

$$\mu_i(\varphi, f_0, \dots, f_p) \geq \mu_i(\psi^*, f_0, \dots, f_p) - \varepsilon_1, \quad i = 0, \dots, p, \quad (2.27)$$

which proves (2.6) for  $\varphi$  of the form (2.7). This in return implies (2.6) for any  $\varphi$  and fixed  $f_0, \dots, f_p$  satisfying the conditions (2.3). From the characterization (2.5) we deduce

$$F(\mu_1(\varphi), \dots, \mu_p(\varphi)) \geq \inf_{C^*} F(\mu_1(\psi), \dots, \mu_p(\psi)).$$

This of course is equivalent to (1.9). The proof of the theorem is completed.

### 3. Compact surfaces conformally equivalent to the two dimensional sphere

Let us consider two dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds, i.e.  $n = 2$ . As in the Rayleigh ratio  $\varphi^{n-2} = 1$  we have that  $\mu_p(\varphi)$  are the eigenvalues of the equation

$$\Delta u + \mu \varphi^2 u = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the original Laplacian. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the unit sphere  $S^2$ .

$$S^2 = \left\{ x \mid x = (x^1, x^2, x^3), \sum_{i=1}^3 (x^i)^2 = 1 \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Assume that  $0 \leq m < M$  are constants. In that case we demonstrate that  $\min_C \mu_1(\varphi)$  is achieved for a certain function  $\varphi^*$  which is characterized in the sequel. This is done by using the symmetrization principle. See [8] and [4] for use of the symmetrization method to establish bounds for the appropriated eigenvalues. Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $S^2$  with respect to the natural measure  $dV$  on the unit sphere. The point (Schwarz) symmetrization of  $f$  with respect to a given point  $O$  is defined as follows. Denote by  $d(O, P)$  the spherical distance

between the points  $O$  and  $P$ . Then the functions  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  are equimeasurable to  $f$ ,  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  depends only on the distance  $d(O, P)$ , and  $f_+(f_-)$  is increasing (decreasing) functions of  $d(O, P)$ . Recall, that  $f$  and  $g$  are called equimeasurable if for any real  $\alpha$  the sets  $f > \alpha$  and  $g > \alpha$  have the same (spherical) measure. We have the classical inequalities (see for details [4]).

$$\int_{S^2} f_+ g_- dV = \int_{S^2} f_- g_+ dV \leq \int_{S^2} fg dV \leq \int_{S^2} f_+ g_+ dV = \int_{S^2} f_- g_- dV, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} |\nabla f_+|^2 dV \\ \int_{S^2} |\nabla f_-|^2 dV \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \int_{S^2} |\nabla f|^2 dV. \tag{3.4}$$

Here by  $|\nabla f|$  we mean the natural gradient on  $S^2$ , i.e.

$$|\nabla f|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $S^2$  be the unit sphere in  $R^3$  of the form (3.2). let  $M > m \geq 0$  be constants. Denote by  $C$  a nonempty set of measurable functions on  $S^2$  satisfying the conditions (1.5) and (1.6) Consider the problem  $\min \mu_1(\varphi)$  on  $C$ , where  $\mu_1(\varphi)$  is the first nontrivial eigenvalue of (3.1) on  $S^2$ . Then this minimum is achieved for a function  $\varphi^* = \varphi^*(x_3)$  of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(x_3) = M \quad \text{for} \quad -1 \leq x_3 \leq h_1, \quad h_2 \leq x_3 \leq 1, \\ \varphi^*(x_3) = m \quad \text{for} \quad h_1 < x_3 < h_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The eigenvalue  $\mu_1(\varphi^*)$  is the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the problem.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( (1-t^2) \frac{du}{dt} \right) + \mu \varphi^*(t)^2 u = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sqrt{1-t^2} u'(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t = \pm 1. \tag{3.7}$$

The difference  $h_2 - h_1$  is determined by the equation (1.6).

$$2\Pi\{m^2(h_2 - h_1) + M^2[2 - (h_2 - h_1)]\} = W. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, the corresponding solution  $u$  of (3.6) ( $\mu = \mu_1(\varphi^*)$ ) has to satisfy either

the condition

$$u(h_2) = -u(h_1) \quad (3.9)$$

if

$$-1 < h_1 \leq h_2 < 1, \quad (3.10)$$

or the condition

$$0 < u(-1) \leq -u(h_2) \quad (3.11)$$

if

$$h_1 = -1 \quad (3.12)$$

(Note that  $\varphi^*(-x_3)$  is also extremal thus if (3.10) does not hold we may assume (3.12)).

*Proof.* We decompose the proof into 2 steps. (i) Let  $\varphi \in C$ . Let  $v$  be the eigenfunction of (3.1) corresponding to  $\mu_1(\varphi)$ . As  $\int_{S^2} v\varphi^2 dV = 0$  the function  $v$  changes its sign. Let  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  be the sets where  $v \geq 0$  and  $v < 0$  respectively. Denote by  $v_1, \varphi_1$  and  $v_2, \varphi_2$  the restrictions of  $v, \varphi$  to the sets  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  respectively. We extend  $v_1, \varphi_1$  and  $v_2, \varphi_2$  to  $S^2$  by assuming  $v_1 = \varphi_1 = v_2 = \varphi_2 = 0$  outside the domains  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  respectively. Let  $v_1^*, \varphi_1^*, v_2^*, \varphi_2^*$  denote the decreasing symmetrization of  $v_1, \varphi_1, v_2, -\varphi_2$  with respect to the point  $x_3 = 1$ . Let  $\xi_3$  be the unique number such that the measure of the  $x_3 \geq \xi_3$  is equal to the measure of  $I_1$ . So  $v_1^*(x_3) = \varphi_1^*(x_3) = 0$  for  $-1 \leq x_3 \leq \xi_3$ ,  $v_2^*(x_3) = \varphi_2^*(x_3) = 0$  for  $\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1$ . According to (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\int_{I_1} v^2 \varphi^2 dV \leq \int_{\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1} (v_1^*)^2 (\varphi_1^*)^2 dV, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\int_{I_2} v^2 \varphi^2 dV \leq \int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} (v_2^*)^2 (\varphi_2^*)^2 dV,$$

$$\int_{I_1} |\nabla v|^2 dV \geq \int_{\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1} |\nabla v_1^*|^2 dV, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\int_{I_2} |\nabla v|^2 dV \geq \int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} |\nabla v_2^*|^2 dV$$

Let  $\varphi(x_3, h_1, h_2) = \varphi(h_1, h_2)$  be defined by (3.5). The numbers  $-1 \leq h_1 \leq \xi_3 \leq h_2 \leq 1$  are uniquely determined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1} (\varphi_1^*)^2 dV &= \int_{\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1} \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 dV, \\ \int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} (\varphi_2^*)^2 dV &= \int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

From the classical lemma of Neyman and Pearson we deduce

$$\int_{-\xi_3 \leq x_3 < 1} (\varphi_1^*)^2 (v_1^*)^2 dV \leq \int_{\xi_3 \leq x_3 \leq 1} \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 (v_1^*)^2 dV, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} (\varphi_2^*)^2 (v_2^*)^2 dV \leq \int_{-1 \leq x_3 < \xi_3} \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 (v_2^*)^2 dV.$$

Combining the inequalities (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(\varphi) &= \int_{I_1} |\nabla v|^2 dV / \int_{I_1} v^2 \varphi^2 dV \geq \int_{S^2} |\nabla v_1^*|^2 dV / \int_{S^2} (v_1^*)^2 \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 dV, \\ \mu_1(\varphi) &= \int_{I_2} |\nabla v|^2 dV / \int_{I_2} v^2 \varphi^2 dV \geq \int_{S^2} |\nabla v_2^*|^2 dV / \int_{S^2} (v_2^*)^2 \varphi(h_1, h_2)^2 dV \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now the convoy principle implies that  $\mu_1(\varphi) \geq \mu_1(\varphi(h_1, h_2))$ .

(ii) Introducing the parameter  $t = x_3$  we easily deduce that  $\mu_1(\varphi(h_1, h_2))$  is the first nontrivial eigenvalue of (3.6) with the free boundary conditions (3.7). Furthermore in terms of the variable  $t$  the condition (1.6) for  $\varphi(h_1, h_2)$  is equivalent to (3.8). Thus  $\min_C \mu_1(\varphi) = \min \mu_1(\varphi(h_1, h_2))$ . In view of (3.8)  $\mu_1(\varphi(h_1, h_2))$  depends only on one parameter, for example  $h_1$ . Using the classical Sturm-Liouville theory, one can show that  $\min \mu_1(\varphi(h_1, h_2))$  is achieved for some

$$\varphi^* = \varphi(h_1^*, h_2^*).$$

Suppose first that  $-1 < h_1^* < h_2^* < 1$  (the case  $h_1^* = h_2^*$  is trivial). Let

$$\varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi(h_1^* - \varepsilon, h_2^* - \varepsilon) \quad (3.18)$$

for an arbitrary small enough  $\varepsilon$ . Choose a constant  $\delta$  such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \varphi_\varepsilon^2(u + \delta) dt = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

Thus

$$\delta = \frac{2\Pi}{W} \varepsilon (M^2 - m^2) [u(h_1^*) - u(h_2^*)] + o(\varepsilon)\varepsilon \quad (3.20)$$

Note as  $M > 0$   $u$  is strictly monotonic in  $(-1, 1)$  and therefore  $u(h_1^*) - u(h_2^*) \neq 0$ . From the minimal characterization of  $\mu_1(\varphi_\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\mu_1(\varphi_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)[(u+\delta)']^2 dt}{\int_{-1}^1 \varphi_\varepsilon^2(u+\delta)^2 dt}. \quad (3.21)$$

Assume the normalization

$$\int_{-1}^1 (\varphi^*)^2 u^2 dt = 1, \quad u(-1) > 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Then

$$\mu_1(\varphi_\varepsilon) \leq \mu_1(\varphi^*) \{1 + \varepsilon (M^2 - m^2) [u^2(h_1^*) - u^2(h_2^*)]\} + o(\varepsilon)\varepsilon \quad (3.23)$$

From the inequality  $\mu_1(\varphi_\varepsilon) \geq \mu_1(\varphi^*)$  and the inequality above we conclude

$$0 \leq \varepsilon \{(M^2 - m^2) [u^2(h_1^*) - u^2(h_2^*)] + o(\varepsilon)\}. \quad (3.24)$$

As  $\varepsilon$  has arbitrary sign we conclude

$$u^2(h_1^*) = u^2(h_2^*). \quad (3.25)$$

Since in that case  $u$  is strictly monotonic, we deduce that  $u(h_1^*) = -u(h_2^*)$  which proves (3.9).

Suppose now that  $-1 = h_1^* < h_2^* < 1$ . According to the part (i) of the proof for the extremal  $\varphi^*$ , the function  $u$  must vanish in the interval  $[h_1^*, h_2^*]$ . so  $u(h_2^*) \leq 0$ .

We can use the function  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon < 0$ . The formula (3.20) is valid as  $u(h_1^*) - u(h_2^*) > 0$ , so for a small negative  $\varepsilon$  (3.24) holds. Thus

$$u^2(-1) \leq u^2(h_2^*).$$

As  $u(-1) > 0$  and  $u(h_2^*) \leq 0$  we deduce that  $u(-1) \leq -u(h_2^*)$ . The proof of the theorem is completed.

We conjecture

*Conjecture.* Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Then the extremal function  $\varphi^*$  given by (3.5) is an even function of  $x_3$ , i.e.  $h_2 = -h_1$ . Note that if  $\varphi^*$  is even then the corresponding eigenfunction  $u$  is odd and the condition (3.9) trivially holds. We prove the above conjecture in case that  $m = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Assume furthermore that  $m = 0$ . Then  $\min_C \mu_1(\varphi) = \mu_1(\varphi^*)$  where  $\varphi^*$  is an even function of the form (3.5).

*Proof.* We claim that  $-1 < h_1 \leq h_2 < 1$ . Otherwise we may assume that  $\varphi^*(t) = 0$  for  $-1 = h_1 \leq t \leq h_2$ . As  $u$  satisfies (3.6) and (3.7) we deduce that  $u(t) = u(-1) > 0$  for  $-1 \leq t \leq h_2$ . This contradicts the condition (3.11). Thus (3.10) holds. To avoid the trivial case assume that  $h_1 < h_2$ . Suppose that  $\varphi^*(t)$  is not symmetric. As  $\varphi^*(-t)$  is also extremal we may assume

$$h_2 < -h_1. \tag{3.26}$$

Let  $\xi$  be the unique zero of  $u$ . According to the proof of Theorem 2

$$h_1 \leq \xi \leq h_2 \tag{3.27}$$

We claim that

$$\xi > 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Let

$$V(t) = -\frac{(1-t^2)u'(t)}{u(t)}, \quad U(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)u'(-t)}{u(-t)} \tag{3.29}$$

Then  $V$  and  $U$  satisfy the differential equations

$$V' = \mu\varphi^*(t)^2 + \frac{V}{1-t^2}, \quad U' = \mu\varphi^*(-t)^2 + \frac{U^2}{1-t^2}, \quad \mu = \mu_1(\varphi^*), \quad (3.30)$$

with the initial conditions

$$V(-1) = U(-1) = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

Furthermore

$$V(t) < \infty, \quad -1 \leq t < \xi, \quad U(t) < \infty, \quad -1 \leq t < -\xi, \quad V(\xi) = U(-\xi) = \infty, \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$\varphi^*(t) = \varphi^*(-t), \quad -1 \leq t \leq h_1, \quad \varphi^*(-t) \underset{\neq}{>} \varphi^*(t), \quad h_1 < t < h_2. \quad (3.33)$$

Combining (3.27), (3.32) and the inequality above, we get  $-\xi < \xi$  which proves (3.28). Consider the equation (3.6) for  $h_1 \leq t \leq h_2$ . Thus  $(1-t^2)u' = a$  for  $h_1 \leq t \leq h_2$ . So

$$u(t) = \frac{a}{2} \left[ \ln \frac{1+t}{1-t} - \ln \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi} \right]$$

as  $h_1 \leq \xi \leq h_2$ . Now (3.9) implies that

$$\ln \frac{(1+h_2)(1+h_1)}{(1-h_2)(1-h_1)} = 2 \ln \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi}.$$

From (3.26) and the equality above we deduce that  $\xi < 0$ . This contradicts (3.28). The contradiction above establishes the theorem.

In conclusion, let us recall the result due to Hersch [6].

$$\lambda_1(\varphi) \leq 8\Pi/W \quad (3.34)$$

for any non-negative bounded  $\varphi$  which satisfies the condition (1.6) with  $n=2$ . This means  $\max_C \lambda_1(\varphi) = \lambda_1(\varphi^{**})$ , where  $\varphi^{**}$  is a constant function equal to  $(W/4\Pi)^{1/2}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON and E. MAZET, *Le Spectre d'une Variété Riemannienne*, Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [2] S. FRIEDLAND, *Extremal eigenvalue problems for convex sets of symmetric matrices and operators*, Israel J. Math. 15 (1973), 311–331.
- [3] —, *Extremal eigenvalue problems defined for certain classes of functions*, J. Ratl. Mech. and Anal. 67 (1977), 73–81.
- [4] S. FRIEDLAND and W. K. HAYMAN, *Eigenvalue inequalities for the Dirichlet problem on spheres and the growth of subharmonic functions*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 51 (1976), 133–161.
- [5] F. R. GANTMACHER, *The Theory of Matrices*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1964.
- [6] J. HERSCH, *Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membrane sphériques homogènes*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 270 (1971), 1645–1648.
- [7] G. PÓLYA and M. SCHIFFER, *Convexity of functionals by transplantation*, J. Analyse Math. 3 (1953/54), 245–345.
- [8] G. PÓLYA and G. SZEGÖ, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Princeton Univ. 1951.

Received April 4, 1978

The Hebrew University at Jerusalem, Israel