Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 53 (1978)

Artikel: An inequality involving the absolute value of an entire function and the counting function of its zeros.

Autor: Fuchs, W.H.J.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40758

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 25.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Comment. Math. Helvetici 53 (1978) 135–141 Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel

An inequality involving the absolute value of an entire function and the counting function of its zeros*

W. H. J. FUCHS

Dedicated to Professor A. Pfluger on his seventieth birthday

The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of finite, non-integral order λ. If γ satisfies*

$$\max\left(\tfrac{1}{2}, \lambda\right) < \gamma < [\lambda]+1; \qquad \beta = \pi/2\gamma,$$

then

$$\liminf_{|z|\to\infty} \frac{\log|f(ze^{i\beta})| + \log|f(ze^{-i\beta})|}{N(|z|, 1/f)} \leq \frac{2\pi\lambda\cos(\pi\lambda - \beta\lambda)}{\sin \pi\lambda}.$$

The canonical product $g_\lambda(z)$ with negative zeros and $N(r, 1/g_\lambda) \sim r^\lambda/\lambda$ has the asymptotic behavior [4, p. 232]

$$\log|g_\lambda(re^{i\theta})| = (1+o(1))\frac{\pi\cos\lambda\theta}{\sin\pi\lambda} r^\lambda$$

as $r\to\infty$, uniformly in $|\theta| < \pi - \epsilon < \pi$. Therefore

$$\{\log|g_\lambda(re^{i(\pi-\beta)})| + \log|g_\lambda(re^{-i(\pi-\beta)})|\}/N(r, 1/g_\lambda) \to \frac{2\pi\lambda\cos(\pi\lambda-\beta\lambda)}{\sin\pi\lambda}$$

as $r\to\infty$. This shows that Theorem 1 is best-possible.

It may well be that the assertion of Theorem 1 holds for a wider range of γ, but the method of proof does not give this result.

* Research carried out with support by N.S.F. grant MCS76-06524.

We may assume without loss of generality that

$f(0)=1.$

We shall always use the standard notations

$z=re^{i\theta}, \qquad N(r)=N(r, 1/f).$

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is a consequence of

$$\log|f(te^{i(\theta+\beta)})|+\log|f(te^{i(\theta-\beta)})| < \left(\frac{2\pi\lambda\cos(\pi\lambda-\beta\lambda)}{\sin\pi\lambda}+\eta\right)N(t) \qquad (0\le\theta<2\pi,\ \eta>0) \tag{1}$$

where t is a Pólya peak of $N(r)$ satisfying $t>t_0(\eta; N(r))$. It will suffice to prove (1) for $\theta=0$, the general case then follows by considering $f(ze^{i\varphi})$, φ constant. The Pólya peaks are a sequence of positive numbers t_n tending to ∞ such that for given $\epsilon>0$ the inequalities

$$N(u)\le(u/t_n)^{\lambda-\epsilon}N(t_n) \qquad (1<u\le t_n) \tag{2}$$

$$N(u)\le(u/t_n)^{\lambda+\epsilon}N(t_n) \qquad (t_n<u) \tag{3}$$

hold for all $n>n_0(\epsilon)$. It is well known that Pólya peaks exist [2, p. 103]. Since for a function of non-integral order λ $\limsup N(r)r^{-\lambda+\epsilon}=\infty$ $(\epsilon>0)$, (2) implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} N(t_n)t_n^{-\lambda+\epsilon}=\infty. \tag{4}$$

Consequently (2) can be replaced by

$$N(u)\le(u/t_n)^{\lambda-\epsilon}N(t_n) \qquad (0\le u\le t_n) \tag{2'}$$

for all large t_n, since $N(u)\equiv 0$ near $u=0$.

Apply Green's Formula

$$\iint_B (u\Delta v-v\Delta u)\,dA=\int_{\partial B}\left(u\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}-v\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)ds$$

to

$$u=\log|f(z)|, \qquad v=\log\left|\frac{z^\gamma+t^\gamma}{z^\gamma-t^\gamma}\right|-\frac{2t^\gamma r^\gamma\cos\gamma\theta}{r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma}} \qquad (t>0)$$

in the domain B obtained from the sector

$$D: \rho < r < R, \qquad |\theta| < \beta$$

by removing disks of radius δ centered at t and at the zeros a_ν of $f(z)$. Here ρ and R are chosen so that $|z| = \rho$ and $|z| = R$ do not contain zeros of $f(z)$ and

$$\rho < t < R.$$

On letting $\delta \to 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_D \log|f(z)|\, \Delta v(z)\, dA + \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \Big\{ v(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log|f(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta})| \\
&\quad - \log|f(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta})| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta}) \Big\} R\, d\theta \qquad (5)\\
&\quad + \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \Big\{ \log|f(\rho e^{i\theta})| \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(\rho e^{i\theta}) - v(\rho e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log|f(\rho e^{i\theta})| \Big\} \rho\, d\theta \\
&= 2\pi \log|f(t)| + 2\pi \sum_{a_\nu \in D} v(a_\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

The definition of $v(z)$ shows that for $R \to \infty$, uniformly in $|\theta| \le \beta$

$$v(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta}) = O((t/R)^{3\gamma}); \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta}) = O(t^{3\gamma} R^{-3\gamma-1}). \qquad (6)$$

It is known that there are arbitrarily large values of R such that [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log|f(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta})| \right| d\theta &\le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{f'}{f}(\mathrm{Re}^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta \\
&< A(\lambda) T(2R, f)/R < A_2(\lambda) R^{\lambda - 1 + \epsilon}. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Also in $0 \le \theta < \beta$, $\rho < r < R$, $z \ne t$,

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}(\mathrm{re}^{i\theta}) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}(\mathrm{re}^{-i\theta}) = \frac{2\gamma r^\gamma t^\gamma \sin \gamma\theta}{r^{2\gamma} + t^{2\gamma}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(r^{2\gamma} + t^{2\gamma})^2}{|z^{2\gamma} - t^{2\gamma}|^2} \right\} \le 0,$$

and $v(\mathrm{re}^{i\beta}) = v(\mathrm{re}^{-i\beta}) = 0$ $(\beta = \pi/2\gamma)$.

Therefore $v(z)>0$ $(z\in D)$. Using these observations and the explicit value

$$\Delta v=\frac{16\gamma^2t^{3\gamma}r^{3\gamma-2}\cos\gamma\theta}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3},$$

we let $R\to\infty$ and $\rho\to 0$ in (5) to obtain

$$\log|f(t)|\le\frac{8\gamma^2t^{3\gamma}}{\pi}\int_0^\infty\frac{r^{3\gamma-1}\,dr}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3}\int_{-\beta}^{\beta}\cos\gamma\theta\log|f(re^{i\theta})|\,d\theta. \tag{8}$$

Let $h(\theta)=h(\theta+2\pi)$ be defined by

$$h(\theta)=\begin{cases}\cos\gamma\theta & (|\theta|\le\beta)\\ 0 & (\beta<|\theta|\le\pi).\end{cases}$$

Now $h(\theta)$ has the (convergent) Fourier series

$$h(\theta)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}a_ke^{ik\theta}=\sum(\gamma/\pi)\cos k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}e^{ik\theta}, \tag{9}$$

and if

$$\log|f(re^{i\theta})|=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}b_k(r)e^{ik\theta},$$

then, by Parseval's formula,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\beta}^{\beta}\cos\gamma\theta\log|f(re^{i\theta})|\,d\theta=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}a_{-k}b_k(r)\le\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}|a_{-k}|\,|b_k(r)|. \tag{10}$$

By an important observation of J. Miles and D. F. Shea [3]

$$\begin{aligned}|b_{-k}(r)|=|b_k(r)|&\le A_kr^k+\tfrac{1}{2}k\int_0^r\{(r/u)^k-(u/r)^k\}(N(u)/u)\,du+N(r)\,\|\,(0\le k<\lambda)\\ &\le\tfrac{1}{2}k\int_0^r(u/r)^k(N(u)/u)\,du+\tfrac{1}{2}k\int_r^\infty(r/u)^k(N(u)/u)\,du-N(r)\qquad(k>\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now we choose $\epsilon>0$ so small that the interval $[\lambda-\epsilon,\lambda+\epsilon]$ does not contain an integer and we choose for $t=t_n$ a Pólya peak satisfying (3) and (2′) with this ϵ.

Using the inequalities (3) and (2′) for $N(u)$ in (11) leads to the following estimates.

If

$$0 \le k < \lambda, \qquad 0 \le r \le t,$$

$$|b_k(r)| \le A_k r^k + \frac{k^2}{(\lambda-\epsilon)^2 - k^2} N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda-\epsilon} + N(r). \tag{12}$$

If

$$0 \le k \le \lambda, \qquad t < r,$$

$$|b_k(r)| \le A_k r^k + \frac{k^2}{(\lambda+\epsilon)^2 - k^2} N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda+\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon k}{(\lambda-k)^2 - \epsilon^2} N(t)(r/t)^k + N(r). \tag{13}$$

If

$$\lambda < k, \qquad 0 \le r \le t,$$

$$|b_k(r)| \le \frac{k^2}{k^2 - (\lambda-\epsilon)^2} N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda-\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon k}{(k-\lambda)^2 - \epsilon^2} N(t)(r/t)^k - N(r). \tag{14}$$

If

$$\lambda < k, \qquad t < r,$$

$$|b_k(r)| \le \frac{k^2}{k^2 - (\lambda+\epsilon)^2} N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda+\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon k}{(k+\lambda)^2 - \epsilon^2} N(t)(t/r)^k - N(r). \tag{15}$$

Now replace $f(z)$ by $f_1(z) = f(ze^{i\beta})f(ze^{-i\beta})$. Then

$$\log|f_1(re^{i\theta})| = 2\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos k\beta b_k(r) e^{ik\theta}$$

and by (9) and (10)

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \cos\gamma\theta \log|f_1(re^{i\theta})|\, d\theta \le (2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta |\gamma^2 - k^2|^{-1} |b_k(r)|.$$

Using (12) and (14) this leads to

$$J \le N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda-\epsilon}(2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}((\lambda-\epsilon)^2-k^2)^{-1}k^2$$

$$+ C_1(1 + r^{[\lambda]}) + \epsilon C_2 N(t) + (2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1} N(r). \qquad (0 < r < t) \tag{16}$$

(Note the disappearance of the absolute value signs.)

Similarly, by (13) and (15)

$$J \leq N(t)(r/t)^{\lambda+\epsilon}(2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}((\lambda+\epsilon)^2-k^2)^{-1}k^2$$

$$+ C_1(1+r^{[\lambda]}) + \epsilon C_3 N(t) + (2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}N(r). \qquad (t<r) \qquad (17)$$

By (9)

$$0 = h(\beta)+h(-\beta) = 2(\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1},$$

so that the terms with $N(r)$ in (16) and (17) disappear.

Using (16) and (17) in (8) (with f_1 in place of f) and dividing by $N(t)$ we have

$$\{\log|f(te^{i\beta})|+\log|f(te^{-i\beta})|\}/N(t) \leq 16\gamma^2 t^{3\gamma}\int_0^t \frac{r^{3\gamma-1}(r/t)^{\lambda-\epsilon}\,dr}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3} S_1$$

$$+16\gamma^2 t^{3\gamma}\int_t^\infty \frac{r^{3\gamma-1}(r/t)^{\lambda+\epsilon}}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3} S_2 + \epsilon C_4 t^{3\gamma}\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{3\gamma-1}\,dr}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3}$$

$$+(C_5/N(t))t^{3\gamma}\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{3\gamma-1}(1+r^{[\lambda]})}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3}\,dr, \qquad (18)$$

where

$$S_1 = (2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2\cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}((\lambda-\epsilon)^2-k^2)^{-1}$$

$$S_2 = (2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2\cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}((\lambda+\epsilon)^2-k^2)^{-1}.$$

The last two integrals in (18) are less than

$$C_6\epsilon + C_7 t^{[\lambda]}/N(t) < C_8\epsilon,$$

in view of (4).

By an easy application of dominated convergence the first two terms on the right hand side of (18) tend to

$$L = 16\gamma^2 t^{3\gamma}\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{3\gamma-1}(r/t)^{\lambda}\,dr}{(r^{2\gamma}+t^{2\gamma})^3}\cdot(2\gamma/\pi)\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^2\cos^2 k\beta(\gamma^2-k^2)^{-1}(\lambda^2-k^2)^{-1}. \qquad (19)$$

The sum and the integral can be evaluated explicitly (see below) giving

$$L = \frac{2\pi\lambda \cos(\pi\lambda - \beta\lambda)}{\sin \pi\lambda}. \tag{20}$$

(19), (20) and (18) together prove (1) and the Theorem is proved.

The evaluation of the sum in (19) can be accomplished by integrating $z^2(1+e^{2\beta zi})/\{(\gamma^2-z^2)(\lambda^2-z^2)(e^{2\pi iz}-1)\}$ around a large square and taking real parts. The integral is obtained by differentiating the formula

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\mu-1}}{x+s}\,dx = \frac{\pi s^{\mu-1}}{\sin \pi\mu} \qquad (0<\mu<1)$$

twice with respect to s and noting that the resulting integral formula remains valid in $0<\mu<2$ by analytic continuation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Fuchs W. H. J., *A theorem on the Nevanlinna deficiencies of meromorphic functions of finite order*, Ann. of Math. *68* (1958), 203–209.
[2] Hayman W. K., *Meromorphic Functions*, 2nd edition, Oxford U.P. 1968.
[3] Miles J. and Shea D. F., *An extremal problem in value distribution theory*, Oxford Q.J. 2nd series *24* (1973), 377–383.
[4] Nevanlinna R., *Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag 1953.

Dept. of Mathematics
Cornell University, White Hall
Ithaca, N.Y. 14853. U.S.A.

Received May 18, 1977.