

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 52 (1977)

Artikel: Explicit imbedding of the (punctured) disc into C^2 .
Autor: Alexander, H.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40017>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 29.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Explicit imbedding of the (punctured) disc into \mathbb{C}^2

H. ALEXANDER

1. According to a basic result of Bishop [3] and Narasimhan [7], every n -dimensional Stein manifold can be imbedded (via a holomorphic, proper, one-to-one, non-singular mapping) as a closed complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} . Forster [4] has shown that the dimension $2n+1$ can be replaced by $2n$ when $n \geq 2$. Applied to open Riemann surfaces ($n = 1$), these results yield imbeddings into \mathbb{C}^3 . It seems likely however that every open Riemann surface can be imbedded into \mathbb{C}^2 . That this is the case for the open unit disc was proved by Kasahara and Nishino [5] by an argument that employs the well-known mapping of Fatou (and Bieberbach). Laufer [6] observed that their idea can be adapted to imbed into \mathbb{C}^2 every planar annulus both of whose boundary components do not degenerate to a point; i.e., after a conformal map, annuli of the form $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r < |z| < 1\}$ for $r > 0$. The case of the punctured disc $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ was left open by Laufer and does not seem to be amenable to the technique of [5] and [6].

In this note we shall give an explicit imbedding of Δ into \mathbb{C}^2 . Namely, we write down two mapping functions, the elliptic modular function λ together with a simple rational expression of λ and its derivative λ' (transplanted from the Poincaré upper half plane to Δ) and then we verify that they do yield an imbedding. The second function will have a pole at the origin. By a minor modification, we remove this pole and get an explicit imbedding of the open unit disc U into \mathbb{C}^2 . This is of interest because of the indirectness of the Kasahara–Nishino imbedding. Other proper holomorphic mappings of U into \mathbb{C}^2 , in which one of the components is a universal covering map of a plane domain, were constructed in [2], but these will not generally be one-to-one.

2. We shall begin by recalling a few basic facts about the elliptic modular function λ [1]. Let $\Pi = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$ be the Poincaré upper half plane and put $\Omega = \{\tau \in \Pi : 0 < \text{Re } \tau < 1 \text{ and } |\tau - \frac{1}{2}| > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Let λ be the conformal map of Ω onto Π such that $\lambda(0) = 1$, $\lambda(1) = \infty$ and $\lambda(\infty) = 0$. Then, by reflection, λ can be extended over the whole of Π and represents Π as the universal covering surface of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. The group G of covering transformations is the set of linear transformations $S(\tau) = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$ where a and d are odd integers, b and c are even

integers and $ad - bc = 1$. We write $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{G}$ if and only if there exists $S \in G$ with $S\tau_2 = \tau_1$. Then $\lambda(\tau_1) = \lambda(\tau_2)$ if and only if $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{G}$. Thus $\lambda \circ S = \lambda$ for $S \in G$ and, differentiating, we get $\lambda' \circ S \cdot S' = \lambda'$ for $S \in G$. Note, for $S = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d) \in G$, that $S'(\tau) = 1/(c\tau + d)^2$. We shall also use the functional equation $\lambda(-1/\tau) = 1 - \lambda(\tau)$. This can be seen by observing, via a reflection in the imaginary axis, that $\tau \rightarrow \lambda(-1/\tau)$ maps Ω to the lower half plane, hence $\tau \rightarrow 1 - \lambda(-1/\tau)$ maps Ω to Π and agrees with λ at the vertices of Ω , consequently the two mappings are the same.

Let $H = \{S \in G : S(z) \equiv z + 2n, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ be the subgroup of translations in G . Consider the map $E : \Pi \rightarrow \Delta$ given by $E(\tau) = e^{i\pi\tau}$. Then $E(\tau_1) = E(\tau_2)$ if and only if $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{H}$. This allows us to identify Δ with the quotient space Π/H . Observe, for $S(\tau) = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d) \in G$, that $S \in H$ if and only if $c = 0$ (because $c = 0$ implies $ad = 1$ implies $a/d = 1$). If $S \in H$, then $S' \equiv 1$ and so $\lambda' \circ S \equiv \lambda'$; i.e., λ and λ' are both well-defined on Π/H . We can now state our first result. Let $f = \lambda'/(\lambda^2(1 - \lambda))$.

THEOREM 1. *The mapping $F = (\lambda, f)$ defines a proper, one-to-one, non-singular, holomorphic imbedding of Π/H into \mathbf{C}^2 .*

Observe that F is non-singular because $\lambda' \neq 0$ on Π . We first verify that F is one-to-one.

LEMMA 1. (a) *The functions λ and λ' separate the points of Π/H .* (b) *F is one-to-one on Π/H .*

Proof. (a). We suppose (i) $\lambda(\tau_1) = \lambda(\tau_2)$ and (ii) $\lambda'(\tau_1) = \lambda'(\tau_2)$ and must show that $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{H}$. By (i), there is $S \in G$ such that $S(\tau_2) = \tau_1$. In $\lambda'(S(\tau))$ $S'(\tau) = \lambda'(\tau)$ put $\tau = \tau_2$ and get $\lambda'(\tau_1) S'(\tau_2) = \lambda'(\tau_2)$. Using (ii) we conclude $S'(\tau_2) = 1$. Writing $S = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$ we have $(c\tau_2 + d)^2 = 1$; i.e., $c\tau_2 + d = \pm 1$. Taking imaginary parts yields $c \operatorname{Im} \tau_2 = 0$. Hence $c = 0$ and so $S \in H$; i.e., $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{H}$. (b) If $F(\tau_1) = F(\tau_2)$, then $\lambda(\tau_1) = \lambda(\tau_2)$ and $\lambda'(\tau_1) = \lambda^2(\tau_1)(1 - \lambda(\tau_1))f(\tau_1) = \lambda^2(\tau_2)(1 - \lambda(\tau_2))f(\tau_2) = \lambda'(\tau_2)$. Therefore $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \pmod{H}$.

3. We shall collect a few elementary facts needed to verify that F is proper. For $\tau \in \Pi$ with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \tau \leq 2$, define $\tau^* = 2 - \bar{\tau}$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \tau^* \leq 2$. By reflection of points of Ω in the line $\operatorname{Re} \tau = 1$ and differentiation we get

LEMMA 2. *For $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \tau \leq 2$, $\lambda(\tau) = \bar{\lambda}(\tau^*)$ and $\lambda'(\tau) = -\bar{\lambda}'(\tau^*)$.*

LEMMA 3. ("Schwarz lemma"). For $S \in G$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Pi$, if $S\tau_2 = \tau_1$, then $|S'(\tau_2)| = \text{Im } \tau_1 / \text{Im } \tau_2$.

Proof. By reflection in the real axis, $S\bar{\tau}_2 = \bar{\tau}_1$. Hence

$$\frac{S - \tau_1}{S - \bar{\tau}_1} = k \frac{\tau - \tau_2}{\tau - \bar{\tau}_2}.$$

As S is real on the real axis we get $|k| = 1$. Now differentiate this relation and put $\tau = \tau_2$.

LEMMA 4. As τ converges to ∞ in $\bar{\Omega}$, λ'/λ converges to $i\pi$. Consequently λ'/λ^2 converges to ∞ .

Proof. The map $\tau \rightarrow e^{i\pi\tau}$ is a one-to-one mapping of Ω into Π and the image contains $\Pi \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The map $e^{i\pi\tau} \circ (\lambda|_{\Omega})^{-1}$ is defined on Π , is real on the real axis, and, by reflection, extends to be an analytic function Ψ defined near $z = 0$. By the argument principle, $\Psi'(0) \neq 0$. Thus we have $(e^{i\pi\tau} \circ \lambda^{-1})(z) = \Psi(z)$ near $z = 0$. Putting $z = \lambda(\tau)$, we get $e^{i\pi\tau} = \Psi(\lambda(\tau))$. For the inverse $\sigma = \Psi^{-1}$ with $\sigma(0) = 0$ and $\sigma'(0) = \beta \neq 0$, we have $\sigma(e^{i\pi\tau}) = \lambda(\tau)$. Differentiating, $\sigma'(e^{i\pi\tau}) e^{i\pi\tau} i\pi = \lambda'(\tau)$. Write $\sigma(z) = \beta z \delta(z)$ with $\delta(0) = 1$. Then $(\lambda'/\lambda)(\tau) = i\pi \circ \sigma'(e^{i\pi\tau}) / (\beta \cdot \delta(e^{i\pi\tau}))$. As $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, $e^{i\pi\tau} \rightarrow 0$ and so $\sigma'(e^{i\pi\tau}) \rightarrow \beta$ and $\delta(e^{i\pi\tau}) \rightarrow 1$. Thus $\lambda'/\lambda \rightarrow i\pi$.

LEMMA 5. As τ converges to 0 in $\bar{\Omega}$, $\tau^2 \lambda'/(1 - \lambda)$ converges to $-i\pi$. Consequently $\lambda'/(1 - \lambda)$ converges to ∞ .

Proof. Differentiating the functional equation $\lambda(\tau) = 1 - \lambda(-\tau^{-1})$ we get $\lambda'(\tau) = -\tau^{-2} \lambda'(-\tau^{-1})$. Thus $\tau^2 \lambda'(\tau)/(1 - \lambda(\tau)) = -\lambda'(-\tau^{-1})/\lambda(-\tau^{-1})$. Reflecting in the imaginary axis gives $\lambda(\zeta) = \bar{\lambda}(-\bar{\zeta})$ and $\lambda'(\zeta) = -\bar{\lambda}'(-\bar{\zeta})$. Thus, putting $t = 1/\bar{\tau}$ we have

$$\frac{\tau^2 \lambda'(\tau)}{1 - \lambda(\tau)} = \overline{\left(\frac{\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right)}.$$

As $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ and $(\lambda'/\lambda)(t) \rightarrow i\pi$ by Lemma 4.

4. We can now prove that $F = (\lambda, f)$ is a proper mapping of Π/H into \mathbb{C}^2 . We argue by contradiction and suppose that there is a sequence $\{z_j\} \subseteq \Pi$ with $z_j \rightarrow \partial(\Pi/H)$ and $M > 0$ such that (i) $|\lambda(z_j)| \leq M$ and (ii) $|f(z_j)| \leq M$. Since F has period 2 we may assume that $0 \leq \text{Re } z_j < 2$. By Lemma 2, $\{z_j^*\}$ is a sequence in Π

with $z_j^* \rightarrow \partial(\Pi/H)$ and such that (i) and (ii) hold for z_j^* in place of z_j . Thus it is no loss of generality to assume that there are $\tau_j \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Pi$ such that $\tau_j \equiv z_j \pmod{G}$. So there is $S_j \in G$ with $S_j(z_j) = \tau_j$.

If $z_j \rightarrow \infty$, then $z_j = \tau_j$ is in $\bar{\Omega}$ and so $f(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4, contradicting (ii).

Otherwise, we have $\text{Im } z_j \rightarrow 0$. Since $\{\lambda(z_j)\}$ is bounded, we may pass to a subsequence and suppose that $\lambda(z_j) \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We consider three cases:

Case 1. $\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1$. Then, after possibly passing to a subsequence, there is a $\tau \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Pi$ such that $\tau_j \rightarrow \tau$ and $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha$. Then $\lambda'(z_j) = \lambda'(\tau_j) \cdot S_j'(z_j)$. But $|S_j'(z_j)| = \text{Im } \tau_j / \text{Im } z_j \rightarrow \infty$ since $\text{Im } \tau_j \rightarrow \text{Im } \tau \neq 0$ and $\text{Im } z_j \rightarrow 0$, while $\lambda'(\tau_j) \rightarrow \lambda'(\tau) \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda'(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $f(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$, another contradiction.

Case 2. $\alpha = 0$. Then $\tau_j \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. We have $(\lambda'/\lambda)(z_j) = (\lambda'/\lambda)(\tau_j) \cdot S_j'(z_j)$. By Lemma 4, $(\lambda'/\lambda)(\tau_j) \rightarrow i\pi$. Also $|S_j'(z_j)| = (\text{Im } \tau_j / \text{Im } z_j) \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $(\lambda'/\lambda)(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $f(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 3. $\alpha = 1$. Then $\tau_j \rightarrow 0$. From $\lambda'(z_j) = \lambda'(\tau_j) \cdot S_j'(z_j)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\lambda'(z_j)}{1 - \lambda(z_j)} \right| &= \left| \frac{\lambda'(\tau_j)}{1 - \lambda(\tau_j)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\text{Im } \tau_j}{\text{Im } z_j} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\tau_j^2 \lambda'(\tau_j)}{1 - \lambda(\tau_j)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\text{Im } \tau_j}{\tau_j} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\tau_j \text{Im } z_j} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, the first factor on the right converges to π . Since 0 is a cusp of Ω , it is easy to check that the second factor converges to 1 as $\tau_j \rightarrow 0$. We conclude that $(\lambda'/(1 - \lambda))(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$, in contradiction to (ii). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. Finally we alter our mapping in order to get an imbedding of the open unit disc U . Because λ and λ' are H -automorphic, $G = (\lambda, \lambda'/(1 - \lambda))$ gives a well-defined mapping of Π/H into \mathbb{C}^2 . Note that $G = (\lambda, \lambda f)$ while $F = (\lambda, f)$. An obvious modification of the proof of Lemma 4(b) shows that G is one-to-one on Π/H . From $z = e^{i\tau}$, we get a well-defined one-to-one holomorphic map $\tilde{G}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $\tilde{G}(z) = G(\log z/i\pi)$. Write $\tilde{G} = (g_1, g_2)$.

LEMMA 6. \tilde{G} extends to be analytic at the origin with $\tilde{G}(0) = (0, i\pi)$.

Proof. We restrict $\tau \in \Pi$ to $0 \leq \text{Re } \tau < 2$ and observe that this region maps onto Δ via $z = e^{i\tau}$. Put $\Omega^* = \{\tau^*: \tau \in \Omega\}$. By Lemma 2, $(\lambda'/\lambda) = -(\overline{\lambda'/\lambda})(\tau^*)$. Since $\tau^* \rightarrow \infty$ in Ω as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in Ω^* , we conclude from Lemma 4 that $(\lambda'/\lambda)(\tau) \rightarrow -(\overline{i\pi}) = i\pi$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in Ω^* . Hence $(\lambda'/\lambda)(\tau) \rightarrow i\pi$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega} \cup \Omega^*$. Now as $z = e^{i\tau} \rightarrow 0$

in Δ , $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega} \cup \Omega^*$ and so $g_1(z) = \lambda(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ and $g_2(z) = (\lambda'(\tau)/\lambda(\tau)) \cdot (1/(1 - \lambda(\tau))) \rightarrow i\pi \cdot 1$, Thus g_1 and g_2 have removable singularities at $z = 0$.

Henceforth we shall consider \tilde{G} as a mapping on U .

THEOREM 2. *\tilde{G} is a proper, one-to-one, non-singular, holomorphic mapping of U into \mathbb{C}^2 .*

LEMMA 7. *\tilde{G} separates the points of U .*

Proof. Suppose, for z_1 and z_2 in U , that (i) $g_1(z_1) = g_1(z_2)$ and (ii) $g_2(z_1) = g_2(z_2)$. Observe that if $g_1(z) = 0$ for $z \in U$ then, since $\lambda \neq 0$ on Π , we have $z = 0$. Thus if $g_1(z_1) = 0$, then $g_1(z_2) = 0$ and $z_1 = 0$, $z_2 = 0$. If $g_1(z_1) \neq 0$, then $g_1(z_2) \neq 0$ and so z_1 and z_2 are in Δ . Since we have already observed that \tilde{G} separates the points of Δ , we conclude that $z_1 = z_2$ in either case.

LEMMA 8. *\tilde{G} is non-singular on U .*

Proof. We show that $g'_1 \neq 0$ on U . We have, for $z \neq 0$, $g_1(z) = \lambda(\log z/\pi i)$. Hence $g'_1(z) = (\pi iz)^{-1} \lambda'(\log z/\pi i)$ and so $g'_1 \neq 0$ on Δ . Putting $z = e^{i\pi\tau}$ we have $g'_1(z) = (i\pi e^{i\pi\tau})^{-1} \lambda'(\tau) = \sigma'(z)$ in the notation of the proof of Lemma 4. As $z \rightarrow 0$, $g'_1(z) \rightarrow \sigma'(0) \neq 0$.

Finally we show that \tilde{G} is proper. Returning to the half plane, it is enough (because of Lemma 2) to show: If $\{z_j\} \subseteq \Pi$, $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z_j \leq 1$, and $\operatorname{Im} z_j \rightarrow 0$, then $G(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing by contradiction, as before, we may suppose (a) $\lambda(z_j) \rightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and (b) $|\lambda'(z_j)/(\lambda(z_j)(1 - \lambda(z_j)))| \leq M$.

We reconsider the proof of the properness of F with its three cases. If $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, we saw that $\lambda'(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$, if $\alpha = 0$, we had $\lambda'(z_j)/\lambda(z_j) \rightarrow \infty$ and if $\alpha = 1$, we got $\lambda'(z_j)/(1 - \lambda(z_j)) \rightarrow \infty$. In every case there is a contradiction to (b). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

As a final remark we observe that if $V = F(\Pi/H)$ and $W = \tilde{G}(U)$ are the two image submanifolds in \mathbb{C}^2 , then the inclusion map $\Delta \subset U$ induces a map $V \rightarrow W$ which is the restriction to V of the Cremona transformation $(z, w) \mapsto (z, zw)$ of \mathbb{C}^2 .

REFERENCES

- [1] AHLFORS, L. V., *Complex Analysis*, second edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [2] ALEXANDER, H., On a problem of Julia, *Duke Math. J.*, 42 (1975), 327-332.

- [3] BISHOP, E., Mappings of partially analytic spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, 83 (1961), 209–242.
- [4] FORSTER, O., Plongements des variétés de Stein, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, 45 (1970), 170–184.
- [5] KASAHARA, K. and NISHINO, T., as reported in *Math. Reviews*, 38 (1969), 4721.
- [6] LAUFER, H., Imbedding annuli in C^2 , *J. d'Analyse Math.*, 26 (1973), 187–215.
- [7] NARASIMHAN, R., Imbedding of holomorphically complete complex spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, 82 (1960), 917–934.

University of Illinois at Chicago Circle
Dept. of Mathematics, Box 4348
Chicago, Ill. 60680 U.S.A.

Received January 28, 1977