

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 52 (1977)

Artikel: Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function.
Autor: Montgomery, Hugh L.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40013>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 16.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function

HUGH L. MONTGOMERY*

1. Introduction

In 1928, Titchmarsh [13] (see Titchmarsh [14; Theorem 8.12], Ramachandra [10]) proved that for fixed σ , $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$,

$$\log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| = \Omega_+((\log t)^{1-\sigma-\epsilon}) \quad (1)$$

as t tends to infinity. Previous estimates of this sort had only been established assuming the Riemann Hypothesis (see Landau [6], Bohr–Landau [2], Littlewood [8]). Recently Levinson [7] sharpened (1) by showing that

$$\max_{1 \leq t \leq T} \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| > c(\log T)^{1-\sigma}/\log \log T \quad (2)$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1$, $T \geq 10$. For $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ we give a sharper lower bound than is provided by (2), we show that $|\zeta(s)|$ becomes correspondingly small, and that $\arg \zeta(s)$ becomes correspondingly large in both signs. We write $s = \sigma + it$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma_0 < 1$, $T > T_0(\sigma_0)$. For any real θ there is a t_0 such that $T^{(\sigma_0-1/2)/3} \leq t_0 \leq T$, and*

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} \log \zeta(s_0) \geq \frac{1}{20} \left(\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/2} (\log T)^{1-\sigma_0} (\log \log T)^{-\sigma_0}. \quad (3)$$

Taking $\theta = 0, \pi, \pm\pi/2$ in the above, we derive the following

COROLLARY. *Let σ be fixed, $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$. Then as t tends to infinity,*

$$\log |\zeta(s)| = \Omega_+((\log t)^{1-\sigma} (\log \log t)^{-\sigma}), \quad (4)$$

* Research supported by the Sloan Foundation, the National Science Foundation, and the Institute for Advanced Study.

and

$$\arg \zeta(s) = \Omega_{\pm}((\log t)^{1-\sigma}(\log \log t)^{-\sigma}). \quad (5)$$

In establishing Theorem 1, we actually construct more than $T^{(4/3)-(2\sigma_0-\varepsilon/3)}$ numbers t_0 having the required properties. This is necessitated by our method, since we must construct more candidates for t_0 than there are “bad zeros” of $\zeta(s)$. If we assume the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) then we have no problems with “bad zeros,” and in place of (3) we find that if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma_0 < 1$ then there is a t_0 , $T^{1/6} \leq t_0 \leq T$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} \log \zeta(s_0) \geq \frac{1}{20} (\log T)^{1-\sigma_0} (\log \log T)^{-\sigma_0}. \quad (6)$$

In particular, we obtain

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the Riemann Hypothesis is true. Then*

$$|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = \Omega\left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{20} (\log t / \log \log t)^{1/2}\right)\right) \quad (7)$$

and

$$S(t) = \Omega_{\pm}((\log t / \log \log t)^{1/2}) \quad (8)$$

as t tends to infinity, where $S(t) = 1/\pi \arg \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$.

The best known unconditional estimate corresponding to (7) is obtained by taking $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2). With regard to (8), Selberg [12] has shown unconditionally that

$$S(t) = \Omega_{\pm}((\log t)^{1/3} (\log \log t)^{-7/3}).$$

Selberg [11] remarks that the Bohr–Landau approach, when fully exploited, yields (assuming RH)

$$S(t) = \Omega_{\pm}((\log t)^{1/2} / \log \log t).$$

The small refinement that (8) gives of this is of interest because our estimates are “likely” to be best possible. To argue that this is the case, suppose that σ is fixed,

$\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, and that RH holds. Then for $T \leq t \leq 2T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log \zeta(s) &= \sum_{p \leq T} p^{-s} + O(1) \\ &= F(t) + O(1),\end{aligned}$$

say. As the numbers p^{-it} have a random appearance, we consider

$$G(\theta) = \sum_{p \leq T} p^{-\sigma} e(\theta_p),$$

where the θ_p are independent, $0 \leq \theta_p \leq 1$, and $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i \theta}$. We can *prove* that with great uniformity the distribution function of $F(t)$, for $T \leq t \leq 2T$, is very close to that of $G(\theta)$, for $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^{\pi(T)}$. Let us choose “at random” T points $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_T$. Then we find that the probability that

$$\max_{1 \leq t \leq T} |G(\theta_t)| > C(\log T)^{1-\sigma} (\log \log T)^{-\sigma}$$

is less than T^{-A} , where A becomes large with C . The rapid convergence of the series $\sum 2^{-An}$ leads us to conjecture that

$$|\log \zeta(s)| \ll_{\sigma} (\log t)^{1-\sigma} (\log \log t)^{-\sigma} \quad (9)$$

for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$. The situation in Theorem 2 is more delicate, but we still suggest that for some $c > 0$ and all $t \geq 10$,

$$|\zeta(s)| < \exp(c(\log t / \log \log t)^{1/2}), \quad (10)$$

$$S(t) \ll (\log t / \log \log t)^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

The estimate (8) was announced previously by the author [9; p. 123]; on that occasion a connection was exhibited between the size of $S(t, \chi)$ and the size of least character non-residues. We repeat the observation that our method can be used to show (assuming RH) that

$$S(t + 1/\log \log t) - S(t) = \Omega_{\pm}((\log t / \log \log t)^{1/2}).$$

Assuming RH, Selberg (unpublished) has argued from (16) that if $(\log T)^{-1} \leq h \leq$

$(\log \log T)^{-1}$ then

$$\sup_{T \leq t \leq 2T} (S(t+h) - S(t)) \geq c(h \log T)^{1/2},$$

$$\inf_{T \leq t \leq 2T} (S(t+h) - S(t)) \leq -c(h \log T)^{1/2}.$$

Selberg's approach differs from ours in that he uses high moments to make his expression large; Dirichlet's theorem does not suffice.

Over a period of years the author has been pleased to have many stimulating discussions with Professor Atle Selberg concerning the subject of this paper.

2. Basic lemmas

We require a modified form of Dirichlet's theorem on simultaneous approximation, which we derive in a standard manner from

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a convex body in \mathbf{R}^m , symmetric about the origin. If $\mu(\mathcal{C}) > 2^m K$ then \mathcal{C} contains at least K distinct pairs of non-zero lattice points $\pm \mathbf{u}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq K$.*

This form of Minkowski's first main theorem is due to van der Corput [4]; see Cassels [3; Chapter III, Theorem II].

LEMMA 2. *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M$ be arbitrary real numbers, and suppose that $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. There are at least $[\delta^M (R+1)]$ integers r such that $1 \leq r \leq R$ and $\|r\theta_m\| \leq \delta$ for $1 \leq m \leq M$.*

Here $\|\theta\|$ denotes the distance from θ to the nearest integer, $\|\theta\| = \min | \theta - n |$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbf{R}^{M+1}$ be defined as follows: For $\varepsilon \geq 0$ let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ if and only if $|\mathbf{x}^{(0)}| < (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}(R+1)$, $|\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \theta_m \mathbf{x}^{(0)}| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\delta$ for $1 \leq m \leq M$. Thus

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon) = (1 + \varepsilon)^M \delta^M 2^M (R+1),$$

so that if $K = [\delta^M (R+1)]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then by Lemma 1 we find that \mathcal{C}_ε contains K distinct pairs of non-zero lattice points. Letting ε tend to 0, we find that \mathcal{C}_0 also contains such points, say $\pm \mathbf{u}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq K$. The condition $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ ensures that the leading coordinates $\pm \mathbf{u}_k^{(0)}$ are distinct and non-zero; we let r take on the positive values of these leading coordinates.

One can derive Lemma 2 analytically by the method of Blanksby–Montgomery [1; §6].

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that the Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum a_n n^{-s}$ is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \sigma_a$. Suppose also that $\alpha > 0$ and that \varkappa is real. Then for $c > \sigma_a$,*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(s) \left(\frac{e^{\alpha s} - e^{-\alpha s}}{s} \right)^2 e^{\varkappa s} ds = \sum_w a_n w_n, \quad (12)$$

where $w_n = w_n(\alpha, \varkappa) = \max(0, \alpha - |\varkappa - \log n|)$.

In earlier works on this subject, identities such as (12) have been employed with α large and $\varkappa = 0$. To some extent our ability to achieve sharper results is due to the fact that we take $\alpha \approx 1$, and \varkappa large.

Proof. In the integral we replace f by its defining sum; we invert the order of summation and integration, and then it suffices to note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} f(s) \left(\frac{e^{\alpha s} - e^{-\alpha s}}{s} \right)^2 e^{\nu s} ds = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \alpha t}{t} \right)^2 e^{i\nu t} dt = \max(0, \alpha - |\nu|),$$

where $\nu = \varkappa - \log n$.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma_0 < 1$, $t_0 \geq 15$, and that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\sigma > \sigma_0$, $|t - t_0| \leq 2\tau$, where $\tau = \tau(t_0) = (\log t_0)^2$. Then for $\alpha > 0$, and real \varkappa ,*

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \log \zeta(s_0 + it) \left(\frac{\sin \alpha t}{t} \right)^2 e^{i\varkappa t} dt = \sum \Lambda_1(n) w_n n^{-s_0} + O(e^{|\varkappa|+2\alpha} (\log t_0)^{-2}), \quad (13)$$

where $\Lambda_1(n) = \Lambda(n)/\log n$.

Proof. We take $f(s) = \log \zeta(s_0 + s) = \sum \Lambda_1(n) n^{-s_0 - s}$ in Lemma 3. We move the path of integration in (12) to lie on the five line segments determined by the points $1 - i\infty$, $1 - i\tau$, $-i\tau$, $i\tau$, $1 + i\tau$, $1 + i\infty$. Thus our integral is the sum of five integrals I_j , $|j| \leq 2$, each over the corresponding segment. The left hand side of (13) is I_0 , so to establish (2) we have only to bound $I_{\pm 1}$, $I_{\pm 2}$. From familiar estimates (Titchmarsh [14; Theorem 9.6(B)]) we deduce that

$$\log \zeta(s_0 + s) \ll (\log t_0) \log 2/\sigma$$

for s on the horizontal paths of $I_{\pm 1}$. Thus

$$I_{\pm 1} \ll \tau^{-2} e^{|\kappa|+2\alpha} (\log t_0) \int_0^1 \log 2/\sigma d\sigma \ll e^{|\kappa|+2\alpha} (\log t_0)^{-3}. \quad (14)$$

On the vertical paths of $I_{\pm 2}$ we have $\log \zeta(s_0 + s) \ll 1$, so that

$$I_{\pm 2} \ll e^{|\kappa|+2\alpha} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} t^{-2} dt \ll e^{|\kappa|+2\alpha} (\log t_0)^{-2}. \quad (15)$$

Now (14) and (15) give (13).

Let $N(\sigma, T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the zeta function $\zeta(s)$ for which $\beta \geq \sigma$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq T$.

LEMMA 5. *For $T \geq 10$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$,*

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{3/2-\sigma} (\log T)^5.$$

Proof. This is weaker than the classical estimate

$$N(\sigma, T) \ll T^{3(1-\sigma)/(2-\alpha)} (\log T)^5$$

of Ingham [5]; see Titchmarsh [14; Theorem 9.19] or Montgomery [9, Theorem 12.1].

3. Proof. of Theorem 1

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, and take successively $\kappa = -\log x$, $\kappa = 0$, $\kappa = \log x$, where $x \geq 1$. In the first two cases the sum in (13) is empty. For the three respective values of κ we multiply (13) by $\frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta}$, 1 , $\frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta}$, and sum, to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \log \zeta(s_0 + it) \left(\frac{\sin t/2}{t} \right)^2 (1 + \cos(\theta + t \log x)) dt \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta} \sum_{|\log n/x| \leq 1/2} \Lambda_1(n) n^{-s_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| \log \frac{n}{x} \right| \right) + O(x(\log t_0)^{-2}), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

provided that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for

$$\sigma \geq \sigma_0, \quad |t - t_0| \leq 2(\log t_0)^2. \quad (17)$$

We shall determine t_0 and x so that

$$x \leq (\log t_0)^2, \quad (18)$$

so that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in the region (17), and so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \sum_{|\log n/x| \leq 1/2} \Lambda_1(n) n^{-s_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| \log \frac{n}{x} \right| \right) \geq (\frac{1}{8} + o(1)) x^{1-\sigma_0} / \log x. \quad (19)$$

Now

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\sin t/2}{t} \right)^2 (1 + \cos(\theta + t \log x)) dt \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\sin t/2}{t} \right)^2 dt = 1,$$

and the first integrand is non-negative, so it then follows from (16) that there is a t_1 , $|t_1 - t_0| \leq 2(\log t_0)^2$, for which

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta} \log \zeta(\sigma_0 + it_1) \geq (\frac{1}{8} + o(1)) x^{1-\sigma_0} / \log x. \quad (20)$$

If $\|(2\pi)^{-1} t_0 \log n\| \leq \frac{1}{6}$ for all n in (19) for which $\Lambda_1(n) > 0$ then $\operatorname{Re} n^{-it_0} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and we obtain (19), since by the prime number theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\log n/x| \leq 1/2} \Lambda_1(n) n^{-\sigma_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left| \log \frac{n}{x} \right| \right) &= \left[\left(\frac{2 \sinh(1-\sigma_0)/4}{1-\sigma_0} \right)^2 + o(1) \right] x^{1-\sigma_0} / \log x \\ &\geq (\frac{1}{4} + o(1)) x^{1-\sigma_0} / \log x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_1 = T^{(\sigma_0 - 1/2)/3}$, $\theta_n = (1/2\pi) T_1 \log n$; we wish to have

$$\|r\theta_n\| \leq \frac{1}{6} \quad \left(\Lambda(n) > 0, \left| \log \frac{n}{x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (21)$$

with $1 \leq r \leq [T/T_1]$. For such r we put $t_0 = rT_1$, so that $T_1 \leq t_0 \leq T$. We appeal to Lemma 3 with

$$\delta = \frac{1}{6}, \quad M = \Pi(xe^{1/2}) - \Pi(xe^{-1/2}) \sim c_1 x / \log x,$$

$c_1 = 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2} = 1.042 \dots$. Take now

$$x = \frac{1}{3} c_1^{-1} (\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}) (\log_6 T) (\log \log T);$$

note that this value of x in (20) gives (3), and that (18) is satisfied for all $t_0 = rT_1$. Moreover, this x gives $M \sim \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}) \log_6 T$, so by Lemma 3 we have at least $T^{(4-2\sigma_0-\varepsilon)/3}$ solutions of (21). For distinct $t_0 = rT_1$ we see that the regions (17) are disjoint; thus by Lemma 5, $\zeta(s)$ vanishes at some point in (17) for at most $T^{3/2-\sigma_0+\varepsilon}$ values of r . But $\frac{3}{2} - \sigma_0 + \varepsilon < (4 - 2\sigma_0 - \varepsilon)/3$, so for suitable $t_0 = rT_1$ we have (18), (19), and $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ in (17).

REFERENCES

- [1] BLANKSBY, P. E. and MONTGOMERY, H. L., Algebraic integers near the unit circle, *Acta Arith.* 18 (1971), 355–369.
- [2] BOHR, H. and LANDAU, E., Beiträge zur Theorie der Riemannschen Zetafunktion, *Math. Ann.* 74 (1913), 3–30.
- [3] CASSELS, J. W. S., *An Introduction to the Geometry of Numbers*. Grundl. math. Wiss. 99. Springer-Verlag (Berlin), 1971.
- [4] Van der CORPUT, J. G., Verallgemeinerung einer Mordellschen Beweismethode in der Geometrie der Zahlen, *Acta Arith.* 2 (1936), 145–146.
- [5] INGHAM, A. E., On the estimation of $N(\sigma, T)$, *Quart. J. Math. (Oxford)* 11 (1940), 291–292.
- [6] LANDAU, E., Zur Theorie der Riemannschen Zetafunktion, *Vierteljschr. Naturforsch. Ges. Zürich* 56 (1911), 125–148.
- [7] LEVINSON, N., Ω -theorems for the Riemann zeta-function, *Acta Arith.* 20 (1972), 319–332.
- [8] LITTLEWOOD, J. E., On the Riemann zeta function, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* 24 (1925), 175–201.
- [9] MONTGOMERY, H. L., *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*. Lecture Notes in Math. 227. Springer-Verlag (Berlin), 1971.
- [10] RAMACHANDRA, K., On the frequency of Titchmarsh's phenomenon for $\zeta(s)$, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 8 (1974), 683–690.
- [11] SELBERG, A., On the remainder in the formula for $N(T)$, the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the strip $0 < t < T$, *Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo I.* 1944, no. 1, 27 pp.
- [12] —, Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, *Arch. Math. Naturvid.* 48, no. 5 (1946), 99–155.
- [13] TITCHMARSH, E. C., On an inequality satisfied by the zeta-function of Riemann, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 28 (1928), 70–80.
- [14] —, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*. Oxford University Press (Oxford), 1951.

*Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, NJ 08540*

and

*University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109*

Received December 15, 1976