

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 50 (1975)

Artikel: Stable Vector Bundles over the Projective Orthogonal Groups.
Autor: Held, René P. / Suter, U.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-38796>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 03.05.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Stable Vector Bundles over the Projective Orthogonal Groups

RENÉ P. HELD AND U. SUTER

Introduction

Let G be a compact connected Lie group of rank r . If the fundamental group $\pi_1(G) = \pi$ is trivial, then Hodgkin [9] showed that the complex K -theory of G is an exterior algebra (over the integers) generated by r elements arising from the basic irreducible representations of G .

Now suppose that π is a non-trivial, *finite* group. Modulo torsion $K^*(G)$ is again an exterior algebra and therefore

$$K^*(G) \cong \{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \otimes T^*(G)\} / S(G),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in K^1(G)$ are elements representing generators of the exterior algebra $K^*(G)/\text{Tors}K^*(G)$, $T^*(G) = T^0(G) \oplus T^1(G)$ is a certain \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded subalgebra of $K^*(G)$, generated by 1 and some elements of finite order, and $S(G)$ is the ideal generated by the “relations”.

In the case when $\pi \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$, where p is a prime, the authors [8] proved that

$$T^*(G) \cong T^0(G) \cong R(\pi) / (j^*(I_{G_0})),$$

where $R(\pi)$ is the complex representation ring of the covering transformation group π of the universal covering $u: G_0 \rightarrow G$, $j^*: R(G_0) \rightarrow R(\pi)$ the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $j: \pi \hookrightarrow G_0$ and $(j^*(I_{G_0}))$ the ideal generated by j^* -image of the augmentation ideal I_{G_0} of $R(G_0)$. Furthermore $T^0(G)$ coincides with the image of the homomorphism $c^*: K^0(B_\pi) \rightarrow K^0(G)$ induced by the map $c: G \rightarrow B_\pi$ classifying the universal covering of G . The ideal $S(G)$ in this case is given by

$$S(G) = (\alpha_r \otimes \tilde{T}^0(G)),$$

where $T^0(G) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \tilde{T}^0(G)$.

In this paper we propose to give a complete description of the ringstructure of the unitary K -theory for the family of the *projective orthogonal groups* $PSO(m)$. Note that if m is odd then we have $PSO(m) = SO(m)$; the ring $K^*(SO(m))$ is already known see [7], [8] or [6]. If m is even, say $m = 2n$, we shall distinguish between the “*cyclic*” case,

i.e. n odd and hence $\pi_1(\text{PSO}(2n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_4$, and the “non-cyclic” case, i.e. n even and hence $\pi_1(\text{PSO}(2n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. In the “cyclic” case it again turns out that $T^1(G)$ is zero and that $T^0(G)$ can be identified with the image $(c^*) \cong R(\pi)/(j^*(I_{G_0}))$, thus in this respect extending the results of [8]. However in the “non-cyclic” case it is no longer true that the ring $K^*(G)$ is generated by the image of the homomorphism c^* and the free generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in K^1(G)$. The enquiry after the generators of $K^*(\text{PSO}(4t))$ then leads to the definition of a crucial stable vector bundle τ over the suspension of $\text{PSO}(4t)$. The element $\tau \in K^1(\text{PSO}(4t))$ will be given in terms of the *transfer maps* associated to the two *semi-spin coverings* of $\text{PSO}(4t)$ (see (4.2)). The main result of this paper may then be paraphrased as follows (see (6.2), (7.2)).

Let $G = \text{PSO}(2n)$, n even. Then $T^*(G) = T^0(G) \oplus T^1(G)$ is generated by 1 and elements $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \text{im } c^* \subset K^0(G)$ and $\tau \in K^1(G)$ such that the following relations hold

(i) The elements $\xi_1, \xi_1 \xi_2$ and $\xi_2 \tau$ are of order 2^{k-1} where $k = v_2(n) + 2$. The element τ is of order 2^k whereas ξ_2 is of order 2^{n-1} .

(ii) $\xi_1^2 + 2\xi_1 = 0, \xi_2^2 + 2\xi_2 = 0, \tau^2 = 0, \tau \xi_1 + 2\tau = 0$.

The ideal $S(G) \subset E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \otimes T^*(G)$ is generated by the following elements:

$$\alpha_{n-1} \otimes \xi_1, \alpha_n \otimes \xi_2, \alpha_{n-1} \otimes \tau, \alpha_n \otimes \tau, 1 \otimes 2^{k-1} \tau - \alpha_{n-1} \otimes 2^{n-2} \xi_2$$

and

$$1 \otimes \tau \xi_2 + 1 \otimes 2\tau - \alpha_n \otimes \xi_1.$$

(i.e. in $K^*(G)$ one has the relations $\alpha_{n-1} \xi_1 = 0, \alpha_n \xi_2 = 0, \alpha_{n-1} \tau = 0, \alpha_n \tau = 0, 2^{k-1} \tau = 2^{n-2} \xi_2 \alpha_{n-1}, \tau \xi_2 + 2\tau = \alpha_n \xi_1$.)

The proof of this result rests on the relationship between complex K -theory and the *complex representation ring* of a Lie group, the *Atiyah-transfer* homomorphism and a very detailed analysis of various *spectral sequences*.

The different geometric and “algebraic topological” features of $\text{PSO}(4t+2)$ and $\text{PSO}(4t)$ suggest that the two cases be looked at separately. In the layout of this paper the emphasis is put on the “non-cyclic” case (see section 1 to 6), whereas the main steps leading to the result in the “cyclic” case are just summarized; see section 7.

I. THE NON-CYCLIC CASE; $\pi_1(\text{PSO}(2n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$

1. Restricting Representation of $\text{Spin}(2n)$ to its Central Subgroups.

(1.1). Throughout Chapter I let $n \geq 6$ be an even integer and $k = v_2(n) + 2$, where $v_2(n)$ is the exponent of the highest power of 2 dividing n . The centre of $G_0 = \text{Spin}(2n)$ is denoted by π . Hence $\pi \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$, and in accordance with Tits [11; p. 36] we choose generators z and z' of π . We shall consider the Lie groups of the form G_0/ω where

$\omega \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ is one of the three possible subgroups of π . If $\omega = \omega_1$ is the subgroup generated by z we get the *semi-spin group* $G_1 = G_0/\omega_1$; if $\omega = \omega_3$ is generated by z' then it is well known that $G_0/\omega_3 = G_3$ is isomorphic to G_1 . If $\omega = \omega_2$ is generated by $z \cdot z'$ – (diagonal subgroup of π) – we get the *special orthogonal group* $G_2 = G_0/\omega_2 = \text{SO}(2n)$. The *projective orthogonal group* $\text{PSO}(2n)$ is defined to be $G_0/\pi = G$.

(1.2). The complex representation ring $R(\pi)$ is generated, as a free abelian group, by $1, \varrho_1, \varrho_2$ and ϱ_3 where the representations

$$\varrho_i: \pi \rightarrow S^1 \quad (i=1, 2, 3)$$

are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1(z) &= -1 = \varrho_1(z') \\ \varrho_2(z) &= 1, \quad \varrho_2(z') = -1 \\ \varrho_3(z) &= -1, \quad \varrho_3(z') = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The representations $\varrho_i, (i=1, 2, 3)$, satisfy

$$\varrho_i^2 = 1, \quad \varrho_1 \cdot \varrho_2 = \varrho_3. \tag{1.4}$$

The augmentation ideal I_π of $R(\pi)$ is generated, as a free abelian group, by σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 where $\sigma_i = \varrho_i - 1$ ($i=1, 2, 3$) with relations

$$\sigma_i^2 + 2\sigma_i = 0, \quad \sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = \sigma_3. \tag{1.5}$$

The representation ring of $\omega_i \cong \mathbf{Z}_2, (i=1, 2)$, is given by

$$R(\omega_i) \cong Z[\theta_i]/(\theta_i^2 - 1)$$

where $\theta_i: \omega_i \rightarrow S^1$ is the canonical representation. The augmentation ideal I_{ω_i} is generated by $\kappa_i = \theta_i - 1$, with relation $\kappa_i^2 + 2\kappa_i = 0$.

The representation ring of G_0 is a polynomial ring

$$R(G_0) \cong Z[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n] \tag{1.6}$$

where the generator $\lambda_s, (s=1, 2, \dots, n-2)$, is the s -th exterior power of the canonical representation $G_0 \xrightarrow{a_2} G_2 \subset U(2n)$ (a_2 being the two-fold covering map of $G_2 = \text{SO}(2n)$), whereas λ_{n-1}, λ_n stand for the spin-representations Δ^+ and Δ^- . Hence the augmentation ideal I_{G_0} is, as a ring, generated by the elements

$$\tilde{\lambda}_s = \lambda_s - \dim \lambda_s \quad (s=1, 2, \dots, n). \tag{1.7}$$

Let $e_i: \omega_i \hookrightarrow \pi$, ($i=1, 2$), be the inclusion map. Denoting by $j: \pi \hookrightarrow G_0$ the inclusion of the centre, we define the map $j_i: \omega_i \hookrightarrow G_0$ to be $j_i = j \circ e_i$.

Thus the homomorphisms $e_i^*: R(\pi) \rightarrow R(\omega_i)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^*(\varrho_1) &= \theta_1 = e_1^*(\varrho_3), & e_1^*(\varrho_2) &= 1 \\ e_2^*(\varrho_2) &= \theta_2 = e_2^*(\varrho_3), & e_2^*(\varrho_1) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

According to [11; p. 36] the homomorphism $j^*: R(G_0) \rightarrow R(\pi)$ is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} j^*(\lambda_s) &= \begin{cases} \binom{2n}{s} \varrho_1, & \text{for } s \text{ odd and } 1 \leq s < n-2 \\ \binom{2n}{s}, & \text{for } s \text{ even and } 1 < s \leq n-2 \end{cases} \\ j^*(\lambda_{n-1}) &= 2^{n-1} \varrho_2, & j^*(\lambda_n) &= 2^{n-1} \varrho_3. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

The maps $j_i^*: R(G_0) \rightarrow R(\mathbf{Z}_2)$, ($i=1, 2$), are given by (1.8), (1.9) and $j_1^* = e_1^* \circ j^*$, $j_2^* = e_2^* \circ j^*$.

A straight forward calculation using (1.8) and (1.9) establishes the following result.

(1.10) PROPOSITION. (i) If $J = (j^*(I_{G_0}))$ is the ideal generated by $j^*(I_{G_0})$, then $R(\pi)/J \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$, where $k = v_2(n) + 2$. Generators for the three finite cyclic sumands may be represented by σ_1 , σ_2 and $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ respectively.

(ii) If $J_1 = (j_1^*(I_{G_0}))$, then $R(\omega_1)/J_1 \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$, with κ_1 representing a generator of $\mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$.

(iii) If $J_2 = (j_2^*(I_{G_0}))$, then $R(\omega_2)/J_2 \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$, with κ_2 representing a generator of $\mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$.

(1.11) Remark. The canonical ring homomorphisms $h_i: R(\pi)/J \rightarrow R(\omega_i)/J_i$, ($i=1, 2$), are given by $h_1(\sigma_1) = \kappa_1$, $h_1(\sigma_2) = 0$ and $h_2(\sigma_1) = 0$, $h_2(\sigma_2) = \kappa_2$.

2. The Homomorphism in K -theory Induced by the Universal Covering of $G = \text{PSO}(2n)$.

Let us begin with a few observations concerning the universal covering $u: M_0 \rightarrow M_0/\omega = M$ of a compact Lie group M of rank r , having finite fundamental group ω . Since $K^*(M_0)$ is torsion free (see [9]) the map $u^*: K^*(M) \rightarrow K^*(M_0)$ factors through $K^*(M)/\text{Tors } K^*(M)$, thus giving rise to the homomorphism $\bar{u}: K^*(M)/\text{Tors } K^*(M) \rightarrow K^*(M_0)$. As \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded Hopf algebras, both $K^*(M)/\text{Tors } K^*(M)$ and $K^*(M_0)$ are exterior algebras on the group of primitive elements denoted by P and P_0 respectively. The image of u^* is therefore a primitively generated exterior subalgebra of $K^*(M_0)$ and is determined by

$$\bar{u}(P) = (\text{im } u^*) \cap P_0.$$

We now aim at giving a description of this latter group. There are elements $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r \in K^1(M)$ representing a basis of P and elements $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r \in P_0 \subset K^1(M_0)$ forming a basis of P_0 such that

$$u^*(v_s) = m_s \mu_s, \quad 0 < m_s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, r). \tag{2.1}$$

(2.2) LEMMA. *The product of the integers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r is equal to the order of ω , i.e. $m_1 m_2 \dots m_r = |\omega|$.*

Proof. In $K^*(M_0)$ we have $u^*(v_1 v_2 \dots v_r) = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r \cdot \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_r$. We shall prove that $u^*(v_1 v_2 \dots v_r) = |\omega| \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_r$. This is seen as follows. For ordinary cohomology with integer coefficients the homomorphism u^* restricted to the top dimensional cohomology class of $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is multiplication by $|\omega|$. This together with the fact that both M_0 and M are parallelizable compact manifolds and hence stably reducible (see [1]) implies (2.2). (For a different proof of (2.2) see [8; section 2].)

(2.3). From (2.2) we conclude that the subgroup $(\text{im } u^*) \cap P_0$ of P_0 has index $|\omega|$. The universal covering $u: M_0 \rightarrow M$ is classified by a map $c: M \rightarrow B_\omega$. We view

$$A = (M_0 \xrightarrow{u} M \xrightarrow{c} B_\omega)$$

– up to homotopy equivalence – as a principal fibre bundle over B_ω , u representing the homotopy class of the fibre inclusion; (see [5]). (The classifying map $B_\omega \rightarrow B_{M_0}$ of the M_0 -bundle A is induced by the inclusion $j: \omega \rightarrow M_0$.)

According to [9] the α and β -constructions together with the K -theory exact sequence of the pair (M, M_0) give rise to the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K^1(M) & \xrightarrow{u^*} & K^1(M_0) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K^0(M, M_0) & \rightarrow & K^0(M) \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{c}^* & & \uparrow c^* \\
 & & & & K^0(B_\omega, pt) & \rightarrow & K^0(B_\omega) \\
 & & \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \alpha \\
 I_{M_0} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & I_\omega & \rightarrow & R(\omega) & & \\
 \uparrow -\beta & & \nearrow \alpha(A) & & & & \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

(For the definition of α see [2]).

(2.5) LEMMA. *The homomorphism $\bar{c}^* \circ \alpha: I_\omega \rightarrow K^*(M, M_0)$ factors through $I_\omega / I_\omega \cdot \text{im } j^*$.*

Proof. In $K^0(M, M_0)$ products of the form $\xi \cdot \delta(\eta)$ vanish; [3; p. 87]. The lemma then follows from the commutativity of (2.4), i.e. from $\bar{c}^* \circ \alpha \circ j^* = -\delta \circ \beta$.

Let $F \subset I_{M_0}$ be the free abelian group generated by $\tilde{\lambda}_s = \lambda_s - \dim \lambda_s$, $(s = 1, \dots, r)$,

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are the basic irreducible representations of M_0 . By [9] the homomorphism β maps F isomorphically onto the group of primitive elements $P_0 \subset K^1(M_0)$. In the following we shall identify P_0 and F , in particular we shall write $\lambda \in P_0$ for any element $\beta(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in F$.

With (2.4) and (2.5) we then get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_0 = F & \xrightarrow{\delta|_{P_0}} & K^0(M, M_0) \\
 \searrow \varphi & & \nearrow \\
 & & I_\omega/I_\omega \cdot \text{im } j^*
 \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

where φ is induced by j^* .

Hence

$$\ker \varphi \subseteq (\ker \delta) \cap P_0 = (\text{im } u^*) \cap P_0. \tag{2.7}$$

Recalling the notations introduced in section 1, we now revert to the three coverings $u: G_0 = \text{Spin}(2n) \rightarrow \text{PSO}(2n) = G$, $a_1: G_0 \rightarrow G_0/\omega_1 = G_1$ and $a_2: G_0 \rightarrow G_0/\omega_2 = \text{SO}(2n)$. These coverings yield the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F & & \\
 \varphi \downarrow & \searrow \varphi_i & \\
 I_\pi/I_\pi \cdot \text{im } j^* & \rightarrow & I_{\omega_i}/I_{\omega_i} \cdot \text{im } j_i^*
 \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

where φ, φ_i are induced by j^*, j_i^* respectively; ($i=1, 2$).

(2.9) PROPOSITION. *There is a basis $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n$ of $F \subset I_{G_0}$ such that*

- (i) $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, 2\gamma_n$ are a basis of $\ker \varphi$
- (ii) $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n$ are a basis of $\ker \varphi_1$
- (iii) $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, 2\gamma_n$ are a basis of $\ker \varphi_2$.

Moreover, for $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-3}$ and γ_{n-1} we can choose a linear combination of $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_{n-2}$ whereas $\beta_{n-2} = \Delta^+ - \Delta^-$ and $\gamma_n = \lambda_n = \Delta^- - \dim \Delta^-$; (see (1.7)).

We omit the proof of (2.9) which amounts to a plain computation based on (1.8), (1.9) and the relations (1.5).

It follows from (2.9) that the subgroup $\ker \varphi$ of $F = P_0$ has index 4 and we conclude with (2.3) and (2.7) that

$$\ker \varphi = (\text{im } u^*) \cap P_0, \quad \text{and similarly} \quad \ker \varphi_i = (\text{im } a_i^*) \cap P_0. \tag{2.10}$$

The following proposition is then a consequence of (2.9), (2.10) and the commu-

tativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & G_1 & \\
 a_1 \nearrow & & \searrow b_1 \\
 G_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\
 a_2 \searrow & & \nearrow b_2 \\
 & G_2 &
 \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

where all the maps are canonical covering projections.

(2.12) PROPOSITION. *There are generators $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n$ of the exterior algebra $K^*(G_0)$ and elements $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n \in K^1(G)$, $v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_n^{(i)} \in K^1(G_i)$, ($i=1, 2$), such that*

(i) *the elements $v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n$ generate an exterior algebra in $K^*(G)$ which, under projection, is isomorphic to $K^*(G)/\text{Tors}K^*(G)$. Furthermore*

$$u^*(v_s) = \beta_s, \quad (s=1, \dots, n-2); \quad u^*(\varepsilon_{n-1}) = 2\gamma_{n-1}, \quad u^*(\varepsilon_n) = 2\gamma_n.$$

(ii) *the elements $v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(i)}, \varepsilon_n^{(i)}$ generate an exterior algebra in $K^*(G_i)$ which, under projection, is isomorphic to $K^*(G_i)/\text{Tors}K^*(G_i)$, ($i=1, 2$). Furthermore*

$$a_i^*(v_s^{(i)}) = \beta_s, \quad (s=1, \dots, n-2), (i=1, 2),$$

and

$$a_1^*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)}) = 2\gamma_{n-1}, \quad a_1^*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = \gamma_n, \quad a_2^*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}) = \gamma_{n-1}, \quad a_2^*(\varepsilon_n^{(2)}) = 2\gamma_n$$

whereas

$$b_i^*(v_s^{(i)}) = v_s^{(i)}, \quad (s=1, \dots, n-2), (i=1, 2)$$

and

$$b_1^*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)}) = \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)}, \quad b_2^*(\varepsilon_n^{(2)}) = \varepsilon_n^{(2)}.$$

(iii) *The above elements can be chosen such that with respect to the various transfer maps (see [10]) arising from (2.11) one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a_1)_*(\gamma_{n-1}) &\equiv \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)} \pmod{\text{torsion}}, & (a_2)_*(\gamma_n) &\equiv \varepsilon_n^{(2)} \pmod{\text{torsion}}, \\
 \varepsilon_{n-1} &= (b_2)_*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}), & \varepsilon_n &= (b_1)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)})
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$b_2^*(\varepsilon_{n-1}) = 2\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}, \quad b_1^*(\varepsilon_n) = 2\varepsilon_n^{(1)}.$$

(For (iii) see [8; (2.4), (2.7)].)

(2.13) *Remark.* The element $\gamma_n \in K^1(G_0)$ can be represented by the homomorphism $G_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta^-} U(2^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow U$ which factors through G_3 , giving rise to a homomorphism $\Delta_3: G_3 \rightarrow U$. The map Δ_3 represents an element in $K^1(G_3)$ which we denote by $\varepsilon_n^{(3)}$. The element $\varepsilon_n^{(1)} \in K^1(G_1)$ can not be represented by a group homomorphism. However, combining the two canonical Hopf multiplications on U , it is possible to write down explicitly a map $\Delta_1: G_1 \rightarrow U$ representing $\varepsilon_n^{(1)}$.

3. Generators of Finite Order in $K^0(G)$.

Using the main result of [8] and reverting to (1.10) and (2.12) we first list the following two propositions.

(3.1) *There are elements $v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(1)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)}, \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \in K^1(G_1)$ and $\zeta_1 \in \tilde{K}^0(G_1)$ which generate the ring $K^*(G_1)$ and such that*

(i) $K^*(G_1) \cong \{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1^{(1)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(1)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)}, \varepsilon_n^{(1)}) \otimes T^0(G_1)\} / (\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(1)} \otimes \zeta_1)$ where $T^0(G_1)$ is the subring of $K^0(G_1)$ generated by 1 and ζ_1 .

(ii) *The element $1 + \zeta_1$ is represented by the complex line bundle associated to the twofold covering $G_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} G_1$; ζ_1 is subject to the relations*

$$\zeta_1^2 + 2\zeta_1 = 0, \quad 2^{k-1}\zeta_1 = 0, \quad (k = v_2(n) + 2).$$

In particular $T^0(G_1) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$.

(3.2) *There are elements $v_1^{(2)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(2)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n^{(2)} \in K^1(G_2)$ and $\zeta_2 \in \tilde{K}^0(G_2)$ which generate the ring $K^*(G_2)$ and such that*

(i) $K^*(G_2) \cong \{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1^{(2)}, \dots, v_{n-2}^{(2)}, \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n^{(2)}) \otimes T^0(G_2)\} / (\varepsilon_n^{(2)} \otimes \zeta_2)$ where $T^0(G_2)$ is the subring of $K^0(G_2)$ generated by 1 and ζ_2 .

(ii) *The element $1 + \zeta_2$ is represented by the complex line bundle associated to the twofold covering $G_0 \xrightarrow{a_2} G_2$ and ζ_2 is subject to the relations*

$$\zeta_2^2 + 2\zeta_2 = 0, \quad 2^{n-1}\zeta_2 = 0.$$

In particular $T^0(G_2) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}}$.

Remark. The complex K -theory tells the homotopy types of G_1 and G_2 apart, a result which also appears in [4, (9.1)]. In [4] however the Steenrod algebra structure of the ordinary cohomology of G_1 and G_2 is used to distinguish the homotopy types of G_1 and G_2 .

We now determine the image of the homomorphism induced by the map $c: G \rightarrow B_\pi$ classifying the universal covering of G .

(3.3) PROPOSITION. *Let $T^0(G) = \text{im}[K^0(B_\pi) \xrightarrow{c^*} K^0(G)]$. Then $T^0(G)$ is a direct*

summand of $K^0(G)$ and the homomorphism $c^* \circ \alpha: R(\pi) \rightarrow K^0(G)$ of (2.4) induces an isomorphism

$$T^0(G) \cong R(\pi)/(j^*(I_{G_0})) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}; \quad (k = v_2(n) + 2).$$

Generators of the three finite cyclic summands of $T^0(G)$ are given by ξ_1, ξ_2 and $\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2$, where the element $1 + \xi_1$ (respectively $1 + \xi_2$) is represented by the complex line bundle associated to the twofold covering $b_2: G_2 \rightarrow G$ (respectively $b_1: G_1 \rightarrow G$). The elements ξ_1 and ξ_2 are subject to the relations $\xi_1^2 + 2\xi_1 = 0, \xi_2^2 + 2\xi_2 = 0$.

Proof. It follows from [2; (7.2)] that $c^* \circ \alpha$ maps $R(\pi)$ onto $\text{im } c^* = T^0(G)$. Invoking (2.4) we infer that $c^* \circ \alpha$ induces an epimorphism

$$R(\pi)/(j^*(I_{G_0})) \twoheadrightarrow T^0(G).$$

Now consider the composite

$$G_1 \times G_2 \xrightarrow{b_1 \times b_2} G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G \xrightarrow{c} B_\pi$$

where m is the multiplication map on G , and set $t = m_0(b_1 \times b_2)$. Applying K^0 we get

$$R(\pi) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K^0(B_\pi) \xrightarrow{c^*} K^0(G) \xrightarrow{t^*} K^0(G_1 \times G_2). \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, the elements $\sigma_i \in R(\pi)$ map onto $\xi_i \in K^0(G)$, ($i = 1, 2$). Furthermore, looking at the Chern classes of the line bundles involved, one has $t^*(1 + \xi_1) = (1 + \zeta_1) \otimes 1, t^*(1 + \xi_2) = 1 \otimes (1 + \zeta_2) \in K^0(G_1) \otimes K^0(G_2) \subset K^0(G_1 \times G_2)$. With (3.1) and (3.2) we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^* \circ c^* \circ \alpha(\sigma_1) &= \zeta_1 \otimes 1 \in T^0(G_1) \otimes 1 \\ t^* \circ c^* \circ \alpha(\sigma_2) &= 1 \otimes \zeta_2 \in 1 \otimes T^0(G_2) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $t^* \circ c^* \circ \alpha$ maps $R(\pi)$ onto the direct summand $T^0(G_1) \otimes T^0(G_2)$ of $K^0(G_1 \times G_2)$. Hence there is an epimorphism

$$R(\pi)/(j^*(I_{G_0})) \twoheadrightarrow T^0(G_1) \otimes T^0(G_2) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$$

and the proposition is established.

4. A Basic Generator of Finite Order in $K^1(G)$.

The elements $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in K^0(G)$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n \in K^1(G)$ do not yet generate the ring $K^*(G)$. In fact it can be shown, comparing the spectral sequences of the bundles $\Lambda = (G_0 \xrightarrow{u} G \xrightarrow{c} B_\pi)$ and $\Gamma_1 = (G_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} G_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} B_{\omega_1})$ that there must exist an element $\tau \in K^1(G)$ with $b_1^*(\tau) = \zeta_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \in K^1(G_1)$. Such an element τ can not be expressed in terms of the elements in $K^*(G)$ described as yet. (Note $b_1^*(\varepsilon_n) = 2\varepsilon_n^{(1)}$.)

We are now going to define an element $\tau \in K^1(G)$ of finite order which together with the above elements will generate the ring $K^*(G)$.

To begin with let us consider $\varepsilon_n^{(1)}$, $\varepsilon_n^{(3)}$ and γ_n in $K^1(G_1)$, $K^1(G_3)$ and $K^1(G_0)$ respectively. By (2.12) and (2.13) these elements are related as follows.

$$a_1^*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = \gamma_n = a_3^*(\varepsilon_n^{(3)}). \quad (4.1)$$

We now define

$$\tau = (b_3)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(3)}) - (b_1)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)}) \in K^1(G), \quad (4.2)$$

where $(b_i)_*: K^*(G_i) \rightarrow K^*(G)$, $(i=1, 3)$, is the Atiyah-transfer map associated to the twofold covering $b_i: G_i \rightarrow G$.

(4.3) PROPOSITION. *The element $\tau \in K^1(G)$ has the following properties*

- (i) $b_1^*(\tau) = \zeta_1 \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \in K^1(G_1)$
- (ii) $b_2^*(\tau) = 0 \in K^1(G_2)$.

Proof. For the basic properties of the transfer map $f_*: K^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(Y)$ associated to a finite covering projection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we refer to [2] and [10]. In particular we point out the validity of the ‘‘Frobenius reciprocity law’’, i.e.

$$f_*(f^*(y) \cdot x) = y \cdot f_*(x)$$

where $x \in K^*(X)$, $y \in K^*(Y)$ and $f^*: K^*(Y) \rightarrow K^*(X)$ the map induced by f . Consider the following morphisms of coverings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{a_i} & G_i \\ a_j \downarrow & & \downarrow b_i \\ G_j & \xrightarrow{b_j} & G \end{array}$$

where $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

The transfer is natural with respect to such morphisms and with (4.1) we compute

$$b_2^* \circ (b_i)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(i)}) = (a_2)_* \circ a_i^*(\varepsilon_n^{(i)}) = (a_2)_*(\gamma_n), \quad (i=1, 3),$$

thus establishing part (ii) of (4.3). On the trivial line bundle $1 \in K^0(G_0)$ the transfer $(a_1)_*$ is given by $(a_1)_*(1) = 2 + \zeta_1$; (see [2; p. 45]). Using the Frobenius law we then calculate

$$b_1^* \circ (b_3)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(3)}) = (a_1)_* \circ a_3^*(\varepsilon_n^{(3)}) = (a_1)_*(\gamma_n) = (a_1)_*(a_1^*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)}) \cdot 1) = \varepsilon_n^{(1)}(2 + \zeta_1).$$

Furthermore $b_1^* \circ (b_1)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = 2\varepsilon_n^{(1)}$ and part (i) of (4.3) is verified.

(4.4) COROLLARY. *The following relations hold in $K^0(G)$.*

- (i) $\xi_1\tau + 2\tau = 0$
- (ii) $\xi_2\tau + 2\tau - \xi_1\varepsilon_n = 0$
- (iii) $\tau\varepsilon_{n-1} = 0, \tau\varepsilon_n = 0$
- (iv) $\tau^2 = 0$.

Proof. Recall that $\varepsilon_n = (b_1)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)})$ and $\varepsilon_{n-1} = (b_2)_*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)})$. Now observe that $(b_1)_*(1) = 2 + \xi_2$ and $(b_2)_*(1) = 2 + \xi_1$; (see definition of ξ_1, ξ_2 in (3.3)). Using (4.3) and the ‘‘Frobenius law’’ we get

$$(2 + \xi_1)\tau = (b_2)_*(1)\tau = (b_2)_*(1 \cdot b_2^*(\tau)) = 0$$

and analogously

$$(2 + \xi_2)\tau = (b_1)_*(1)\tau = (b_1)_*(1 \cdot b_1^*(\tau)) = (b_1)_*(\xi_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = \xi_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n$$

thus establishing parts (i) and (ii) of (4.4). Next we verify

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\varepsilon_n &= (b_1)_*(b_1^*(\tau) \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = (b_1)_*(\xi_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)}) = 0 \\ \tau\varepsilon_{n-1} &= (b_2)_*(b_2^*(\tau) \cdot \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually the fact that G is a finite CW complex and $\tau \in K^1(G)$ implies that $\tau^2 = 0$. This completes the proof of this corollary.

We now proceed to determine the order of τ .

(4.5) PROPOSITION. *The element $\tau \in K^1(\text{PSO}(2n))$ is of order 2^k where $k = v_2(n) + 2$.*

Proof. The fact that $2^{k-1}\xi_1 = 0$, (see (3.3)), together with the relation $2\tau = -\xi_1\tau$, (see (4.4)), implies that $2^k\tau = 0$. It remains to show that $2^{k-1}\tau \neq 0$. This is done in the following way. The commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{a_2} & G_2 \\ a_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow b_2 \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{b_1} & G \end{array}$$

gives rise to a map of pairs $j: (G_1, G_0) \rightarrow (G, G_2)$. (Replace the spaces in the bottom row by the mapping cylinders of a_1 and b_2 respectively.) We thus obtain a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K^0(G_2) & \xrightarrow{\delta^{(2)}} & K^1(G, G_2) & \xrightarrow{i^*_2} & K^1(G) & \xrightarrow{b^*_2} & K^1(G_2) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & a^*_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow b^*_1 & & \downarrow a^*_2 & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & K^0(G_0) & \xrightarrow{\delta^{(1)}} & K^1(G_1, G_0) & \xrightarrow{i^*_1} & K^1(G_1) & \xrightarrow{a^*_1} & K^1(G_0) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

Since $b_2^*(\tau) = 0$ there is an element $\omega \in K^1(G, G_2)$ such that $i_2^*(\omega) = \tau$. With $b_1^*(\tau) = \zeta_1 \varepsilon_n^{(1)}$ we infer $j^*(\omega) \equiv \zeta_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \pmod{\text{im } \delta^{(1)}}$, where in the latter expression the dot denotes the action of $K^*(G_1)$ on $K^*(G_1, G_0)$. Referring to (2.4), (2.9) (ii) and (2.12) we observe that $\delta^{(1)}(\gamma_{n-1}) = 2^{k-1} \zeta_1 \neq 0$ and thus $\delta^{(1)}(\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_n) = 2^{k-1} \zeta_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \neq 0$. Hence

$$j^*(2^{k-1} \omega) = 2^{k-1} \zeta_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} = \delta^{(1)}(\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_n) \neq 0. \quad (4.6)$$

(Note, $2 \cdot \text{im } \delta^{(1)} = 0$).

We show that $2^{k-1} \tau = 0$ leads to a contradiction. The assumption $2^{k-1} \tau = 0$ implies $i_2^*(2^{k-1} \omega) = 0$; hence there is an element in $K^0(G_2)$, say η , with $\delta^{(2)}(\eta) = 2^{k-1} \omega$. By (4.6) we then get

$$\delta^{(1)} a_2^*(\eta) = 2^{k-1} \zeta_1 \cdot \varepsilon_n^{(1)} = \delta^{(1)}(\gamma_{n-1} \gamma_n).$$

According to (2.12) we have $a_2^*(K^*(G_2)) = E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, 2\gamma_n) \subset K^*(G_0)$ and $\ker \delta^{(1)} = a_1^*(K^*(G_1)) = E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$. One now checks readily that

$$a_2^*(\eta) \not\equiv \gamma_{n-1} \gamma_n \pmod{\ker \delta^{(1)}}$$

and the contradiction becomes evident. Hence the order of τ is indeed 2^k .

5. The Spectral Sequences.

In this section we compute all the differentials in the spectral sequence $(E_r(G), d_r^A)$ of the fibre bundle

$$A = (G_0 \xrightarrow{u} G \xrightarrow{c} B_\pi). \quad (5.1)$$

This will enable us to fully determine the target term $E_\infty(A)$. The additional information on $K^*(G)$ we get from $E_\infty(A)$ will then be sufficient to complete the description of the ring $K^*(G)$.

Basically we shall compare the spectral sequence of A with the ‘‘known’’ (see [8]) spectral sequences $(E_r(\Gamma_i), d_r^{\Gamma_i})$, where Γ_i is the fibre bundle

$$\Gamma_i = (G_0 \xrightarrow{a_i} G_i \xrightarrow{c_i} B_{\omega_i}), \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (5.2)$$

For the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence of Γ_i we have

$$E_2(\Gamma_i) \cong H^*(B\omega_i; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes K^*(G_0),$$

where $H^*(B\omega_i; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}[w_i]/(2w_i)$, $w_i \in H^2(B\omega_i; \mathbf{Z})$ and $K^*(G_0) = E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$, see (2.12). With (1.10) and [8] we obtain

(5.3) PROPOSITION. (i) All differentials $d_r^{\Gamma_1}$ are trivial except for the differential $d_{2k}^{\Gamma_1}$, ($k = v_2(n) + 2$), which, evaluated on the element $1 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$, is given by

$$d_{2k}^{\Gamma_1}(1 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}) = w_1^k \otimes 1.$$

The reduced E_∞ -term, $\tilde{E}_\infty(\Gamma_1) = \bigoplus_{m>0} E_\infty^{m,*}(\Gamma_1)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_\infty(\Gamma_1) &\cong \{\tilde{H}^*(B_{\omega_1}; \mathbf{Z})/(w_1^k)\} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n) = \\ &= \{(w_1)/(w_1^k)\} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) All differentials $d_r^{\Gamma_2}$ are trivial except for the differential $d_{2n}^{\Gamma_2}$ which, evaluated on the element $1 \otimes \gamma_n$, is given by

$$d_{2n}^{\Gamma_2}(1 \otimes \gamma_n) = w_2^n \otimes 1.$$

The reduced $E_\infty(\Gamma_2)$ -term is given by $\tilde{E}_\infty(\Gamma_2) \cong \{(w_2)/(w_2^n)\} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1})$. We now focus on the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_0 & \xleftarrow{q_i} & G_0 \times G_0 & \xrightarrow{m_0} & G_0 \\ a_i \downarrow & & \downarrow a_1 \times a_2 & & \downarrow u \\ G_i & \xleftarrow{pr.} & G_1 \times G_2 & \xrightarrow{t} & G \\ c_i \downarrow & & \downarrow c_1 \times c_2 & & \downarrow c \\ B_{\omega_i} & \xleftarrow{p_i} & B_{\omega_1} \times B_{\omega_2} & \xrightarrow{h} & B_\pi \end{array} \quad (i=1, 2). \quad (5.4)$$

In (5.4) m_0 stands for the multiplication map, t is as in (3.4), p_i , q_i and $pr.$ are the canonical projections and h is the identification map induced by $\omega_1 \times \omega_2 = \pi$, (see 1). We denote the bundle in the middle of (5.4) by $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ and the corresponding bundle homomorphisms by

$$\Gamma_i \xleftarrow{P_i} \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \xrightarrow{M} \Lambda. \quad (5.5)$$

For the E_2 -terms of the spectral sequences of $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ and Λ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) &\cong H^*(B_\pi; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0) \\ E_2(\Lambda) &\cong H^*(B_\pi; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes K^*(G_0). \end{aligned}$$

We write $(E_r(B_\pi), d_r^{B_\pi})$ for the spectral sequence of the CW-complex $B_\pi = B_{\omega_1} \times B_{\omega_2}$ and make two basic observations.

(5.6) Let $r \geq 2$. We have $E_{r+1}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong E_{r+1}(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)$ if, and only if, $E_r(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong E_r(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)$ and $d_r(1 \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)) = 0$. A similar remark can be made about the spectral sequence of Λ .

This fact is easy to verify. Note, $E_r(B_\pi)$ is a differential subring of $E_r(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)$ with $K^*(G_0 \times G_0)$ torsion free, and similarly for $E(\Lambda)$.

(5.7). If $E_r(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong E_r(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)$ for some $r \geq 2$, then $E_r(\Lambda) \cong E_r(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0)$.

This is true for $r=2$ and it follows for $r > 2$ by induction from (5.6) and the fact that the bundle map $M: \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Lambda$ induces the monomorphism

$$E_{r-1}(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0) \xrightarrow{\text{id.} \otimes m^*} E_{r-1}(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0).$$

We then derive from that

(5.8) LEMMA. For the bundles $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ and Λ one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2k}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) &\cong E_{2k}(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0) \\ E_{2k}(\Lambda) &\cong E_{2k}(B_\pi) \otimes K^*(G_0), \quad (k = v_2(n) + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Referring to (5.6) and (5.7) we have to show that

$$d_s^{\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2}(1 \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0)) = 0, \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, 2k - 1), \tag{5.9}$$

By (5.3) the differentials $d_s^{\Gamma_i}$, ($s = 2, 3, \dots, 2k - 1$ and $i = 1, 2$), are trivial (note that $k = v(n) + 2 < n$) and since $E_s^{0,*}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) \cong 1 \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0) \cong 1 \otimes K^*(G_0) \otimes K^*(G_0)$ is generated by the images of the spectral sequence maps $E_s(P_i)$, ($i = 1, 2$), statement (5.9) follows.

We now list the relevant facts about the spectral sequence of $B_\pi = B_{\omega_1} \times B_{\omega_2}$. This spectral sequence is not trivial. However a computation of C. T. C. Wall (see [2; p. 61]) shows that

$$E_4(B_\pi) \cong E_\infty(B_\pi) \cong \text{Gr. } R(\pi) \cong \mathbf{Z}[x, y]/(2x, 2y, x^2y - xy^2) \tag{5.10}$$

with

$$\text{Gr}_{.2s} R(\pi) = I_\pi^s / I_\pi^{s+1}, \quad \text{Gr}_{\text{.odd}} R(\pi) = 0$$

where $x, y \in \text{Gr}_{.2} R(\pi) = I_\pi / I_\pi^2$ are represented by σ_1, σ_2 respectively. We introduce the following notation

$$R_s = \text{Gr}_{.2s} R(\pi), \quad R = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} R_s = \text{Gr. } R(\pi), \quad \tilde{R} = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{\infty} R_s = \text{Gr. } I_\pi. \tag{5.11}$$

We then have $R_1 \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$, where x and y generate the two cyclic summands. For $s \geq 2$ the cyclic summands of $R_s \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$ are generated by x^s, y^s and xy^{s-1} respectively.

For later use it is convenient to set

$$z_s = y^s + xy^{s-1} \in R_s, \quad (s=2, 3, \dots)$$

and hence we have

$$x^r z_s = 0, \quad y^r z_s = z_{r+s} = z_r z_s, \quad x^r y^s = z_{r+s} - y^{r+s}. \quad (5.12)$$

We are now ready to give an explicit description of the $2k$ -level of the spectral sequence of the bundle A .

(5.13) LEMMA. (i) $E_{2k}(A) = R \otimes K^*(G_0) \cong \{Z[x, y]/(2x, 2y, x^2y - xy^2)\} \otimes E_Z(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$
 (ii) $d_{2k}^A(R \otimes 1) = 0$, $d_{2k}^A(1 \otimes \beta_s) = 0$, $(s=1, 2, \dots, n-2)$,
 $d_{2k}^A(1 \otimes \gamma_n) = 0$, $d_{2k}^A(1 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}) = x^k \otimes 1$.

Proof. Part (i) is a consequence of (5.8) and (5.10), since $2k > 4$. Also from (5.10) we infer that $d_{2k}^A(R \otimes 1) = 0$. Now the bundle maps of (5.4) induce homomorphisms of the corresponding spectral sequences, which on the $2k$ -level are given as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(B_{\omega_i}: Z) \otimes K^*(G_0) & \xrightarrow{p_i^* \otimes q_i^*} & R \otimes K^*(G_0 \times G_0) & \xleftarrow{\text{id.} \otimes m_0^*} & R \otimes K^*(G_0) \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ E_{2k}(\Gamma_i) & \longrightarrow & E_{2k}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) & \longleftarrow & E_{2k}(A). \end{array}$$

Using (5.3), the fact that $p_1^*(w_1^k) = x^k \otimes 1$ and the primitivity of the elements $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n$ with respect to m_0^* we immediately complete the proof of this lemma. (Again note that $k < n$.)

A short computation involving (5.12) and (5.13) shows that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} E_{2k+1}^{0,*}(A) \cong Z \otimes E_Z(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n) \\ \text{and} \\ \tilde{E}_{2k+1}(A) \cong \tilde{R}/(x^k) \otimes E_Z(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n) \\ \quad \oplus (z_2) \otimes E_Z(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n) \cdot \gamma_{n-1}. \end{array} \right\} \quad (5.14)$$

(Here (v) stands for the ideal generated by $v \in R$.)

To get a hold on the differentials d_r^A , for $r > 2k$, we consider the bundle maps

$$F_i: \Gamma_i \rightarrow A, \quad (i=1, 2) \quad (5.15)$$

which are given by the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_0 & \longrightarrow & G_i & \xrightarrow{c_i} & B_{\omega_i} \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow b_i & & \downarrow s_i \\ G_0 & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{c} & B_\pi \end{array} \quad (i=1, 2).$$

(5.16) LEMMA. (i) *The homomorphism*

$$E_{2k+1}(F_2): E_{2k+1}^{0,*}(\Lambda) \cong E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n) \\ \rightarrow E_{2k+1}^{0,*}(\Gamma_2) \cong E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$$

is the canonical inclusion.

(ii) $E_{2k+1}(F_2)$ maps $(z_2) \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n) \cdot \gamma_{n-1} \subset E_{2k+1}(\Lambda)$ isomorphically onto $(w^2) \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_n) \cdot \gamma_{n-1} \subset E_{2k+1}(\Gamma_2)$.

(iii) $E_{2k+1}(F_2): E_{2k+1}^{2p,*}(\Lambda) \rightarrow E_{2k+1}^{2p,*}(\Gamma_2)$ is an isomorphism for $2p \geq 2k+2$.
(Note, $E_{2k+1}^{\text{odd},*}(\Lambda) = 0 = E_{2k+1}^{\text{odd},*}(\Gamma_2)$.)

Proof. Part (i) is clear. For parts (ii) and (iii) we observe that

$$E_{2k}(F_2): R \otimes K^*(G_0) \rightarrow H^*(B_{\omega_2}; \mathbf{Z}) \otimes K^*(G_0)$$

is given by $E_{2k}(F_2)(x \otimes 1) = 0$, $E_{2k}(F_2)(y \otimes 1) = w_2 \otimes 1$, hence $E_{2k}(F_2)(z_s \otimes 1) = w_2^s \otimes 1$. To complete the proof look at the induced map on the $(2k+1)$ -level.

It follows from (5.16) that d_r^A , ($r \geq 2k+1$), is trivial as long as $d_r^{\Gamma_2} = 0$, and with (5.3) (ii) we get immediately

(5.17) LEMMA. (i) $d_r^A = 0$ for $r = 2k+1, \dots, 2n-1$, i.e. $E_{2k+1}(\Lambda) \cong E_{2n}(\Lambda)$
(ii) $d_{2n}^A(1 \otimes \gamma_n) = \bar{y}^n \otimes 1$; (where $\bar{y} \in \tilde{R}/(x^k)$ is the element represented by $y \in \tilde{R}$).
 d_{2n}^A is zero on the elements $1 \otimes \beta_1, \dots, 1 \otimes \beta_{n-2}, 1 \otimes 2\gamma_{n-1}, \bar{x} \otimes 1, \bar{y} \otimes 1, z_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$; (where \bar{x} is the element represented by x). In particular, $d_{2n}^A(z_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1} \gamma_n) = z_{n+2} \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$.

An explicit calculation resting on (5.12), (5.14) and (5.17) then gives

(5.18) $E_{2n+1}^{0,*}(\Lambda) = E_{2n+2}^{0,*}(\Lambda) = 1 \otimes A$, where A is the subalgebra of $E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$ generated by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, 2\gamma_n$ and $2\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_n$. Moreover we have

$$\tilde{E}_{2n+1}(\Lambda) \cong \tilde{E}_{2n+2}(\Lambda) \cong \{ \tilde{R}/(x^k, y^n) \} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \\ \oplus \{ (x)/(x^k) \} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \gamma_n \\ \oplus \{ (z_2)/(z_{n+2}) \} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \gamma_{n-1}.$$

Since $E_{2n+2}^{p,*}(\Lambda) = 0$ for $p > 2n+3$, we conclude that $d_r = 0$ for $r \geq 2n+3$ and $d_{2n+2}^A(E_{2n+2}^q(\Lambda)) = 0$ for $q > 0$. On the other hand elements of the form $2\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_n\alpha \in K^*(G_0)$, where $\alpha = \beta_{i_1}\beta_{i_2}\dots\beta_{i_s}$ are not in the image of $u^*: K^*(G) \rightarrow K^*(G_0)$, (see (2.12)), i.e. these elements can not “survive” in the spectral sequence of Λ . Hence for $1 \otimes 2\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_n \alpha \in E_{2n+2}^{0,*}(\Lambda)$ we must have

$$d_{2n+2}^A(1 \otimes 2\gamma_{n-1}\gamma_n\alpha) = \bar{z}_{n+1} \otimes \gamma_{n-1}\alpha$$

and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_\infty^{0,*}(\Lambda) &\cong \mathbf{Z} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, 2\gamma_{n-1}, 2\gamma_n) \\
 \tilde{E}_\infty(\Lambda) &\cong \tilde{\mathbf{R}}/(x^k, y^n) \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \\
 &\quad \oplus (x)/(x^k) \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \gamma_n \\
 &\quad \oplus (z_2)/(z_{n+1}) \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}) \gamma_{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.19}$$

In particular $E_\infty^{\text{odd},*}(\Lambda) = 0$, $E_\infty^{p,*}(\Lambda) = 0$ for $p \geq 2n + 2$.

The ringstructure on the right hand side of (5.19) is the one inherited from $\mathbf{R} \otimes E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-1}, \gamma_n)$.

Note that – as abelian groups – the “quotients” in $\tilde{E}_\infty(\Lambda)$ can be exhibited as follows (the elements under the \mathbf{Z}_2 -summands indicate the respective generators):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathbf{R}}/(x^k, y^n) &\cong (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \\
 &\quad \bar{x} \quad \bar{y} \quad \bar{x}^2 \quad \bar{y}^2 \quad \bar{x}\bar{y} \quad \dots \quad \bar{x}^{k-1} \bar{y}^{k-1} \bar{x}\bar{y}^{k-2} \bar{y}^k \quad \bar{x}\bar{y}^{k-1} \\
 &\quad \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \\
 &\quad \bar{y}^{k+1} \quad \dots \quad \bar{y}^{n-1} \\
 (x)/(x^k) &\cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \\
 &\quad \bar{x} \quad \bar{x}^2 \quad \bar{x}\bar{y} \quad \dots \quad \bar{x}^{k-1} \bar{x}\bar{y}^{k-2} \bar{x}\bar{y}^{k-1} \\
 (z_2)/(z_{n+1}) &\cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \\
 &\quad \bar{z}_2 \quad \bar{z}_3 \quad \dots \quad \bar{z}_n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.20}$$

We are now going to extract as much information from the structure of $E_\infty(\Lambda)$ as we need in order to be able to complete the description of the ring $K^*(\text{PSO}(2n))$. In this sense the following corollaries rest basically on (5.19).

Since the total space G of the fibre bundle Λ is of the homotopy type of a finite CW-complex the spectral sequence converges, i.e.

$$E_\infty(\Lambda) \cong \text{Gr.} K^*(G),$$

where $\text{Gr.} K^*(G)$ is the graded ring associated to the usual filtration (see [2; p. 29]) of $K^*(G)$. There are no elements of finite order in $E_\infty^{0,*}(\Lambda)$ and no elements of infinite order in $\tilde{E}_\infty(\Lambda)$. Hence

$$|\text{Tors.} K^*(G)| = |\tilde{E}_\infty(\Lambda)|.$$

(5.21) COROLLARY. *The number of elements of finite order in $K^*(G)$ is given by*

$$|\text{Tors.} K^*(G)| = 2^{(2n+4k-6)2^{n-2}}$$

where $k = \nu_2(n) + 2$.

Proof. Use (5.19) and (5.20).

(5.22). According to (5.19) the elements $1 \otimes \beta_1, \dots, 1 \otimes \beta_{n-2}, 1 \oplus 2\gamma_{n-1}, 1 \otimes 2\gamma_n, \bar{x} \otimes 1, \bar{y} \otimes 1, \bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n, \bar{z}_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$ form a system of generators of the graded ring $E_\infty(G) \cong \text{Gr.} K^*(G)$. (Recall that $(\bar{y}^r \otimes 1)(z_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}) = \bar{z}_{2+r} \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$.)

In the following table we record which elements of $K^*(G)$ represent the above generators of $E_\infty(A)$.

$K^*(G)$	$s=1, 2, \dots, n-2$ v_s	ε_{n-1}	ε_n	ξ_1	ξ_2	τ	$\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}$	(5.23)
$E_\infty(G)$	$1 \otimes \beta_s$	$1 \otimes 2\gamma_{n-1}$	$1 \otimes 2\gamma_n$	$\bar{x} \otimes 1$	$\bar{y} \otimes 1$	$\bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n$	$\bar{z}_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1} + v$	

where in the right hand corner $v \in E_\infty^{4,*}(A)$ is an element of the form $v = \bar{x}\bar{y} \otimes \alpha_1 + (\bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n) \cdot (\bar{y} \otimes \alpha_2)$; $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2})$.

Only the last two entries of this table require some comment. By (4.3) one has $b_1^*(\tau) = \zeta_1 \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \in K^*(G_1)$ and $b_2^*(\tau) = 0$. The element $\zeta_1 \varepsilon_n^{(1)}$ has exact filtration 2 and represents $w_1 \otimes \gamma_n \in E_\infty(G_1)$. Hence the torsion element τ has also exact filtration 2. Looking at the homomorphisms $E_\infty^{2,*}(F_1)$ and $E_\infty^{2,*}(F_2)$ we then see that τ represents $\bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n$; (use (5.3) and (5.19)).

The filtration of $\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}$ is greater than 2, the reason being $(\bar{y} \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes 2\gamma_{n-1}) = 0$ in $E_\infty^{2,*}(A)$. On the other hand we have $b_2^*(\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}) = b_2^*(\xi_2 \cdot (b_{2*} \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)})) = \zeta_2 \cdot 2\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}$. Since $2\zeta_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)} = -\zeta_2^2 \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)}$ has exact filtration 4, the same now holds for $\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}$. Hence $\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}$ represents an element $w \in E_\infty^{4,*}(A)$ such that $E_\infty(F_2)(w) = w_1^2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1}$ and $E_\infty(F_1)(w) = 0$ (recall that $b_1^*(\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}) = 0$) and the result again follows by looking at the homomorphisms $E_\infty^{4,*}(F_1)$ and $E_\infty^{4,*}(F_2)$.

(5.24) *Remark.* Note that in $E_\infty(A)$ we have $(\bar{y} \otimes 1)^{k-1} \cdot (\bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n) \neq 0$ and hence $\xi_2^{k-1} \tau \neq 0$. By (3.3), (4.4) and (4.5) we then conclude that the order of $\xi_2 \tau$ is 2^{k-1} . Since $K^*(G)$ has finite filtration we derive from (5.22) and (5.23):

(5.25) **COROLLARY.** *The elements $v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n, \xi_1, \xi_2$ and τ generate the ring $K^*(G)$.*

By (5.19) we have $E_\infty^{p,*}(A) = 0$ for $p > 2n$ and hence we can identify $E_\infty^{2n,*}(A)$ with $K_{2n}^*(G)$, the subgroup of elements of filtration $2n$. Elements of $E_\infty^{2n,*}(A)$ are of the form $\bar{z}_n \otimes \gamma_{n-1} \beta = (\bar{y}^{n-2} \otimes 1) (\bar{z}_2 \otimes \gamma_{n-1} + v) (1 \otimes \beta)$, where $\beta \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2})$ and v is as in (5.23). (Note that $(\bar{y}^{n-2} \otimes 1) \cdot v = 0$.) The latter element is represented by $\xi_2^{n-2} (\xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1}) v = 2^{n-2} \xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1} v$, where $v \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2})$. Consequently we may remark:

(5.26). Any element $\mu \in K^*(G)$ of filtration $2n$ is of the form

$$\mu = 2^{n-2} \xi_2 \varepsilon_{n-1} v,$$

where $v \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2})$.

Finally we derive from $E_\infty(\Lambda)$ the following relation involving the (non-zero) element $2^{k-1}\tau \in K^1(G)$.

(5.27) COROLLARY. *There is an element $v \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}) \subset K^*(G)$ such that*

$$2^{k-1}\tau = 2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}v.$$

Proof. Note that $2^{k-1}\tau = \xi_1^{k-1}\tau$ (see (4.4) and (4.5)). In $E_\infty(\Lambda)$ we have $(\bar{x} \otimes 1)^{k-1} \cdot (\bar{x} \otimes \gamma_n) = 0 \in E_\infty^{2k,*}(\Lambda)$ and we conclude that $\xi_1^{k-1}\tau \in K^1(G)$ has filtration greater than $2k$. This in turn implies that $\xi_1^{k-1}\tau$ represents a non-zero element $t \in E_\infty^{2s,*}(\Lambda)$ for some s with $k+1 \leq s \leq n$. Since $b_2^*(\xi_1^{k-1}\tau) = 0$ we infer that $E_\infty^{2s,*}(F_2)(t) = 0$. But $E_\infty^{2s,*}(F_2)$ is an isomorphism for $k+1 \leq s \leq n-1$; (see (5.3) and (5.19)). Hence $t \in E_\infty^{2n,*}(\Lambda)$, i.e. $\xi_1^{k-1}\tau$ has exact filtration $2n$, and the corollary follows from (5.26).

6. The Ring $K^*(\text{PSO}(2n))$; n even.

In this section we state the main theorem – for the “non cyclic” case – and complete its proof.

For this purpose define the \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded commutative ring $T^*(G) = T^0(G) \oplus T^1(G)$ to be the subring of $K^*(G)$ generated by $1, \xi_1, \xi_2$ and $\tau \in K^*(G)$.

Referring to (3.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (5.24) we get:

(6.1) *The subring $T^*(G) \subset K^*(G)$ is subject to the following relations*

(i) *The elements $\xi_1, \xi_1\xi_2$ and $\tau\xi_2$ are of order 2^{k-1} , the element τ is of order 2^k , where $k = v_2(n) + 2$. The element ξ_2 is of order 2^{n-1} .*

(ii) $\xi_i^2 + 2\xi_i = 0, (i = 1, 2), \tau^2 = 0$ and $\xi_1\tau + 2\tau = 0$.

(6.2) THEOREM (Non-cyclic case). *Let $G = \text{PSO}(2n)$, where $n \geq 6$ is an even integer. Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G) \rightarrow K^*(G)$$

induces a ring isomorphism

$$\{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G)\} / S(G) \cong K^*(G),$$

where $S(G)$ is the ideal generated by the elements

$$\varepsilon_{n-1} \otimes \xi_1, \varepsilon_n \otimes \xi_2, \varepsilon_{n-1} \otimes \tau, \varepsilon_n \otimes \tau, \varepsilon_{n-1} \otimes 2^{n-2}\xi_2 - 1 \otimes 2^{k-1}\tau$$

and

$$1 \otimes \tau\xi_2 - \varepsilon_n \otimes \xi_1 + 1 \otimes 2\tau.$$

Proof. Let us first establish the relation $2^{k-1}\tau = 2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}$ in $K^*(G)$. Reverting to (5.27) we recall that we have already shown $2^{k-1}\tau = 2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}v$, for some $v \in E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2})$. In order to verify that actually $v \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and hence $2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}v = 2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}$, we propose to look at the homomorphism $g^*: K^*(G) \rightarrow K^*(G) \otimes K^*(G_0)$ which is induced by the obvious action map $g: G \times G_0 \rightarrow G$. We then easily calculate that

$$g^*(2^{k-1}\tau) = 2^{k-1}\tau \otimes 1.$$

On the other hand – since v_s , ($s=1, \dots, n-2$), is primitive modulo torsion and since $2^{n-2}\xi_2 \cdot \text{Tors. } K^*(G) = 0$ – it is not hard to show that

$$g^*(2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}v) = 2^{n-2}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}v \otimes 1 + \alpha(v),$$

where $\alpha(v) \neq 0$ unless $v \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence the relation $2^{k-1}\tau = 2^{n-1}\xi_2\varepsilon_{n-1}$ is established.

Next we observe that we have $\varepsilon_{n-1}\xi_1 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_n\xi_2 = 0$. (Use the fact that $\varepsilon_{n-1} = (b_2)_*(\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(2)})$, $\varepsilon_n = (b_1)_*(\varepsilon_n^{(1)})$, (see (2.12)), $b^*(\xi_1) = 0$, $b_1^*(\xi_2) = 0$, (see (3.3)), and the ‘Frobenius law’.) The validity of the above relations together with (3.3), (4.4) and (4.5) then imply that the canonical homomorphism $h: E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G) \rightarrow K^*(G)$ factors through $\{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G)\} / S(G)$. On the other hand h is an epimorphism by (5.25) and the order of the torsion subgroup of $\{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G)\} / S(G)$ is the same as $|\text{Tors. } K^*(G)|$ (see (5.21)). Therefore h is an isomorphism and the theorem is proved.

II. THE CYCLIC CASE; $\pi_1(\text{PSO}(2n)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_4$

7. The Ring $K^*(\text{PSO}(2n))$; n odd.

If $n \geq 5$ is an *odd* integer then the centre π of $G_0 = \text{Spin}(2n)$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_4 . In order to determine the ring structure of $K^*(G)$, where $G = G_0/\pi$, one has to analyze the spectral sequence of the fibration

$$A = (G_0 \xrightarrow{u} G \xrightarrow{c} B_\pi)$$

where $\pi \cong \mathbf{Z}_4$, $G_0 \xrightarrow{u} G$ the universal 4-fold covering of G and c is its classifying map. The structure of the spectral sequence of A can be worked out essentially along the lines of [8]. It turns out that the only non-trivial differentials are d_6^A and d_{2n}^A . The reason for that may be indicated as follows.

Let $j: \pi \hookrightarrow G_0$ be the inclusion of the centre. Then $R(\pi)/J \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$, where J is the ideal generated by $j^*(I_{G_0})$ and the cyclic summands of $R(\pi)/J$ are

generated by $1, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^3 + 2\bar{\sigma}^2$, with $1 + \sigma$ being the canonical representation of π .

The fact that $J \subset I_\pi^3$ but $J \not\subset I_\pi^4$ together with [8; (5.5)] implies that $d_6^A \neq 0$.

The non-triviality of d_{2n}^A then is worked out by comparing the spectral sequence of Λ with the spectral sequence of $\Gamma_2 = (G_0 \xrightarrow{a_2} \text{SO}(2n) \xrightarrow{c_2} B_{\mathbf{Z}_2})$.

From the $E_\infty(\Lambda)$ term we derive that

$$T^*(G) = T^0(G) = \text{im} \{K^*(B_\pi) \xrightarrow{c^*} K^*(G)\} \cong R(\pi)/J. \tag{7.1}$$

Let $1 + \xi \in K^0(G)$ represent the line bundle associated to the (cyclic) covering $G_0 \xrightarrow{u} G$. Clearly $\xi \in T^0(G)$ and moreover it corresponds to the generator $\bar{\sigma}$ under the above isomorphism $T^0(G) \cong R(\pi)/J$. In particular ξ generates $\tilde{T}^0(G)$ and it is subject to the relations

$$2^{n-1}\xi = 0, (1 + \xi)^4 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2(\xi^2 + 2\xi) = 0.$$

As in the ‘‘non-cyclic’’ case there are elements $v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n \in K^1(G)$ generating an exterior algebra in $K^*(G)$ which is isomorphic to $K^*(G)/\text{Tors.}K^*(G)$.

Summarizing all the information we get from the spectral sequence of Λ and from the transfer maps of the coverings involved, we arrive at the following description of the ring $K^*(G)$.

(7.2) THEOREM (Cyclic case). *Let $G = \text{PSO}(2n)$, where $n \geq 5$ is an odd integer. Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G) \rightarrow K^*(G)$$

induces a ring isomorphism

$$\{E_{\mathbf{Z}}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-2}, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n) \otimes T^*(G)\} / S(G) \cong K^*(G),$$

where $T^*(G) = T^0(G) \cong R(\pi)/(j^*(I_{G_0}))$ and $S(G)$ is the ideal generated by $\varepsilon_n \otimes 2\xi, \varepsilon_{n-1}\varepsilon_n \otimes \xi, \varepsilon_n \otimes \xi^3$ and $\varepsilon_{n-1} \otimes (\xi^2 + 2\xi)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] ATIYAH, M. F., *Thom Complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (1961), pp. 291–310.
 [2] —, *Characters and cohomology of finite groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, (1961).
 [3] —, *K-theory*, (Benjamin, 1967).
 [4] BAUM, P. F. and BROWDER, W. *The cohomology of quotients of classical groups*, Topology 3 (1965), 305–336.
 [5] BOREL, A., *Seminar on transformation groups*, Princeton University Press 1960.
 [6] GITLER, S. and LAM, K. Y., *The K-theory of Stiefel manifolds*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 168, pp. 35–66.

- [7] HELD, R. P. und SUTER, U., *Die Bestimmung der unitären K -Theorie von $SO(n)$ mit Hilfe der Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spektralreihe*, Math. Z. 122 (1971), 33–52.
- [8] —, *On the unitary K -theory of compact Lie groups with finite fundamental group*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 24 (1973), 343–356.
- [9] HODGKIN, L., *On the K -theory of Lie groups*, Topology, 6 (1967), 1–36.
- [10] KAHN, D. S. and PRIDDY, S. B., *Applications of the transfer to stable homotopy theory* (preprint, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois).
- [11] TITS, J., *Tabellen zu den einfachen Lie Gruppen und ihren Darstellungen*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 40 (1967).

*Department of Mathematics
The University of B.C.
Vancouver 8, B.C.
Canada*

Eingegangen den 20. Juni 1974.