

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 48 (1973)  
  
**Artikel:** Connected Algebras and Universal Covering  
**Autor:** Lue, Abraham S.-T.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-37161>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 26.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Connected Algebras and Universal Covering

by ABRAHAM S.-T. LUE

In his paper [4], Moore defined a *connected group*  $G$  to be one for which  $G = [G, G]$  (thus, connected = perfect), and proved that every connected group has a unique simply connected covering group which is also its universal covering group. If we view  $[G, G]$  as the verbal subgroup of  $G$  defined by the variety of abelian groups, then clearly the notion of connectedness can be generalised to other varieties, and in fact to other categories apart from groups. The basic notions involved are the invariants of Fröhlich's [1] (the Baer invariants) and the techniques used in that paper. For convenience, and to get away from groups, we shall work in the category  $\mathcal{C}_A$  of associative algebras over some commutative ring  $A$  with identity. The algebras themselves need not have an identity.

For  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , and  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$  a homomorphism of  $A$  into the multiplication algebra  $\mathcal{M}(B)$  of  $B$ , we assume that  $\text{Im } \phi$  is permutable on  $B$ . Let  $(B, A)$  denote the semi-direct product with multiplication defined by  $\phi$ . Then  $(B, A) \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , and the exact sequence

$$\mathbf{E}(A, B; \phi) \equiv 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow (B, A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

realizes  $\phi$ .

Suppose now that  $B^2 = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{E} \equiv 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$  be a singular extension, and suppose that we have a homomorphism  $\psi: A \rightarrow E$  satisfying  $\pi\psi = 1_A$ . If  $\mathbf{E}$  realizes  $\phi$ , then  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{E}(A, B; \phi)$  are equivalent under the homomorphism  $(B, A) \rightarrow E$  defined by  $(b, a) \mapsto \iota(b) + \psi(a)$ . If  $\psi': A \rightarrow E$  is another homomorphism which satisfies  $\pi\psi' = 1_A$ , then  $\psi - \psi'$  induces a map  $h: A \rightarrow B$  and  $h$  is a  $\phi$ -crossed homomorphism (i.e.,  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $A$ -modules, and  $h(a_1 a_2) = \phi(a_1) h(a_2) + h(a_1) \phi(a_2)$ ). Clearly, such a  $\psi$ , if it exists, is unique if and only if  $Z_\phi^1(A, B) = 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose  $B^2 = 0$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) *For every singular extension  $\mathbf{E}$  of  $B$  by  $A$  which realizes  $\phi$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\psi: A \rightarrow E$  such that  $\pi\psi = 1_A$ .*

(ii)  $H_\phi^2(A, B) = 0$  and  $Z_\phi^1(A, B) = 0$ .

(iii)  $H_\phi^2(A, B) = 0$ ,  $H_\phi^1(A, B) = 0$  and  $H_\phi^0(A, B) = B$ .

The proof is straightforward. For definitions, see [3].

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety in  $\mathcal{C}_A$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ , suppose that  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central (cf. [2]). Then  $V(A) \subset \text{Ker } \phi$ , and so  $\phi$  induces a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi}: U(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. For  $h \in Z_\phi^1(A, B)$ , consider the homomorphism  $\zeta_h: A \rightarrow (B, A)$

defined by  $a \mapsto (h(a), a)$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}(A, B; \phi)$  realizes  $\phi$ , it is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central, and so  $V(\zeta_0) = V(\zeta_h)$ . This implies that  $V(A) \subset \text{Ker } h$ , and hence  $Z_\phi^1(A, B) \cong Z_\phi^1(U(A), B)$ . This proves

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ , and if  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central, then*

(i)  $Z_\phi^1(A, B) = Z_\phi^1(U(A), B)$ ;

(ii)  $A = V(A)$  implies  $\phi = 0$  and  $Z_0^1(A, B) = 0$ .

An algebra  $A$  for which  $A = V(A)$  will be called  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected. A  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected algebra  $A$  for which  $H_0^2(A, B) = 0$  whenever  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $B^2 = 0$ , will be called  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected. It follows then that

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected and  $B^2 = 0$ , then a singular extension of  $B$  by  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central if and only if  $B \in \mathcal{V}$  and the extension is central.*

If  $E$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, we say that the surjective homomorphism  $\pi: E \rightarrow A$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering homomorphism if  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. Since  $U$  preserves surjections, this implies that  $A$  is itself  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected. Thus  $\pi$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering homomorphism if and only if  $\text{Ker } \pi \in \mathcal{V}$ , and  $\text{Ker } \pi$  is central in  $E$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then  $A$  has a universal covering algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow A$  be a covering homomorphism of  $A$ , and let  $\sigma: F \rightarrow E$  be a surjective homomorphism with  $F$  projective. Denote by  $K$  the kernel of the composite homomorphism  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow A$ , and let  $\mathbf{F} \equiv 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ . We obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \frac{V(F) \cap K}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \rightarrow & \frac{V(F)}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & V(A) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \frac{K}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \rightarrow & \frac{F}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & A & \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

and, since  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \frac{K}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \rightarrow & \frac{F}{V_1(\mathbf{F})} & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \text{Ker } \pi & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where the vertical maps of (2) are induced by  $\sigma$  and are surjections.

The upper row of (1) is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension of  $A (= V(A))$ , and so to show that

it is a covering of  $A$ , we must show that  $V(F)/V_1(F)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected. From the  $\mathcal{V}$ -centrality, and since  $F$  is projective, we can find a homomorphism  $\beta: F/V_1(F) \rightarrow V(F)/V_1(F)$  such that  $\xi\beta = \eta$ . This implies that  $\eta = \eta\alpha\beta$  and  $\xi\beta\pi = \xi$ . Again from the  $\mathcal{V}$ -centrality of the rows of (1), we deduce that  $V(\alpha\beta) = V(1_{F/V_1(F)})$  and that  $V(\beta\alpha) = V(1_{V(F)/V_1(F)})$ . Thus  $V(F)/V_1(F) = V\{V(F)/V_1(F)\}$ , or in other words,  $V(F)/V_1(F)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected.

Finally, to show universality, we prove that  $V(\gamma): V(F)/V_1(F) \rightarrow E$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering homomorphism. Since  $E = V(E)$  and  $V$  preserves surjections, then  $V(\gamma)$  is a surjection. Actually,  $V(\gamma) = \gamma\alpha$ , and  $\text{Ker } \gamma\alpha \subset V(F) \cap K/V_1(F)$ , and so  $\text{Ker } \gamma\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$  and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \gamma\alpha \rightarrow \frac{V(F)}{V_1(F)} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

is a central extension (recall that the first row of (1) is a central extension). By Proposition 3, (3) is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. This completes the proof.

The algebras  $V(F) \cap K/V_1(F)$  and  $V(F)/V_1(F)$  are the Baer-invariants of  $A$ , defined by Fröhlich in [1], and are denoted by  $D_1U(A)$  and  $D_0V(A)$  respectively. They do not depend on the choice of  $F$ , and in a natural sense, they generalise the idea of the Schur multiplier. At first sight, the covering homomorphism  $\xi: D_0V(A) \rightarrow A$  appears to depend on  $\pi$  and on  $\sigma$ . That this is not the case was proved by Fröhlich in [1].

**PROPOSITION 5.** *The universal covering of a  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected algebra is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau: X \rightarrow A$ ,  $\tau': Y \rightarrow A$  be both universal coverings of  $A$ . Then there exist covering homomorphisms  $\varrho: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\varrho': Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\tau'\varrho = \tau$  and  $\tau\varrho' = \tau'$ . Hence  $\tau'\varrho\varrho' = \tau$ , and since  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \tau' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central, we have  $V(\varrho\varrho') = V(1_Y)$ . But  $Y = V(Y)$ , and so  $\varrho\varrho' = 1_Y$ . Similarly, we prove that  $\varrho'\varrho = 1_X$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** *If the  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected algebra  $A$  has a  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected covering algebra  $X$ , then  $X$  is the universal cover of  $A$ . Furthermore, every  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering of  $A$  has  $X$  as universal cover.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tau: X \rightarrow A$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -cover of  $A$ , where  $X$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected, and let  $\tau': Y \rightarrow A$  be the universal cover of  $A$  (thus  $Y = D_0V(A)$ , by Proposition 4). By universality, there exists a  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering  $\varrho': Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\tau\varrho' = \tau'$ . From  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connectedness of  $X$ , there exists a (unique) homomorphism  $\varrho: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\varrho'\varrho = 1_X$ . Then use Lemma 7.

Suppose that  $E \rightarrow A$  is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering of  $A$ . Then this induces  $\mathcal{V}$ -covering homomorphisms  $D_0V(A) \rightarrow E$  and  $D_0V(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha} D_0V(A)$ . By the first part,  $D_0V(A)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected, and therefore  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow D_0V(A) \rightarrow 0$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central and splits. By Lemma 7,  $D_0V(E) \cong D_0V(A)$ .

LEMMA 7. If  $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \rightarrow 0$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central and splits, and if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism and  $Z=0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta: X \rightarrow Y$  be the splitting homomorphism, i.e.  $\alpha\beta = 1_X$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ , and from  $\mathcal{V}$ -centrality we have  $V(1_Y) = V(\beta\alpha)$ . From the connectedness, this implies that  $1_Y = \beta\alpha$ .

PROPOSITION 8. A  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected algebra  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected if and only if  $D_1 U(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected, then the  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension  $0 \rightarrow D_1 U(A) \rightarrow D_0 V(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  splits. Since both  $A$  and  $D_0 V(A)$  are  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then by Lemma 7  $D_1 U(A) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $D_1 U(A) = 0$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} A = 0$  be a singular  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 4, we obtain commutative diagrams (1) and (2), where  $\xi$  is an isomorphism. The map  $\gamma\alpha\xi^{-1}: A \rightarrow E$  will then be the required splitting map.

In the group case, where the variety concerned is the variety of abelian groups, Moore has shown that the universal covering of every connected group is a simply connected group. This is no longer true in our generalisation. Later on we shall exhibit a counter-example. It is of interest however to ask under what conditions will a simply connected covering exist. In view of Proposition 6, this is equivalent to asking when the universal cover  $D_0 V(A)$  of  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected.

Suppose then that  $A = V(A)$ , and let

$$\mathbf{E} \equiv 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow D_0 V(A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4)$$

be a  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension with  $B^2 = 0$ . This means (Proposition 3) that  $\mathbf{E}$  is a central extension and that  $B \in \mathcal{V}$ . Pulling up via  $D_1 U(A) \rightarrow D_0 V(A)$ , we get the commutative diagram whose rows and columns are exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & E^* & \rightarrow & D_1 U(A) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & D_0 V(A) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi & & \\ & & A & = & V(A) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Put  $\mathbf{E}^* \equiv 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow D_1 U(A) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\mathbf{\Omega} \equiv 0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central,

then so is  $E^*$ . If  $E$  splits, then so does  $E^*$ , and in this case, since  $D_1 U(A) \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have  $E^* \in \mathcal{V}$ . Furthermore, since  $D_1 U(A) \rightarrow D_0 V(A)$  is central, then  $\Omega$  is a central extension, and hence is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. Thus  $E$  splits implies  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central.

Conversely, suppose that  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. Then (cf. (1), (2)) we have a homomorphism  $D_0 V(A) \rightarrow E$  whose composite with  $E \rightarrow A$  is  $\xi$ . Since the right-hand column of (5) is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central, and since  $D_0 V(A)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then the composite map  $D_0 V(A) \rightarrow E \rightarrow D_0 V(A)$  is the identity map on  $D_0 V(A)$ . Hence  $E$  splits. We have therefore proved

**PROPOSITION 9.** *If  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then the  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension (4) splits if and only if  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central.*

**PROPOSITION 10.** *If  $\mathcal{V}$  contains the variety  $\mathcal{A}$  of zero algebras, then the universal covering of any  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected algebra is  $\mathcal{V}$ -simply connected.*

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 9, we have to prove that, given any  $\mathcal{V}$ -central extension (4), the resulting sequence  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central. Since  $D_0 V(A)$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -connected, then  $E = B + V(E)$ . Now  $E^*E + EE^* \subset B$ , and since  $\mathcal{V} \supset \mathcal{A}$ , then  $V(E) \subset E^2$ . Therefore  $E^*E = E^*V(E) \subset E^*E^2 \subset BE = 0$ . Similarly,  $EE^* = 0$ . Hence  $\Omega$  is a central extension. Since  $\mathcal{V} \supset \mathcal{A}$ , then  $E^* \in \mathcal{V}$ , and so  $\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{V}$ -central.

**COROLLARY 11.** *The universal cover of any  $\mathcal{A}$ -connected algebra is  $\mathcal{A}$ -simply connected.*

We conclude by considering the variety  $\mathcal{V}_J$ . Let  $J$  denote an ideal of  $A$ , our background ring. Then  $\mathcal{V}_J$  denotes the variety consisting of those algebras  $A$  for which  $JA = 0$ . For this variety, we have (cf. [1]):

- (i)  $V(A) = JA$ ,
- (ii)  $U(A) = A/J \otimes_A A$ ,
- (iii)  $D_0 V(A) = J \otimes_A A$ ,
- (iv)  $D_1 U(A) = \text{TOR}_1^A(A/J, A)$ ,
- (v)  $D_0 V(A) \rightarrow V(A)$  is given by  $j \otimes a \rightarrow ja$ .

**PROPOSITION 12.** *Every  $\mathcal{V}_J$ -connected algebra which is finitely generated as a  $A$ -module is  $\mathcal{V}_J$ -simply connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is finitely generated as  $A$ -module, and that  $A = JA$ . Then there exists  $\mu \in J$  such that  $a = \mu a$  for all  $a \in A$ . Under the epimorphism  $J \otimes A \rightarrow JA$ ,  $\sum \lambda_i \otimes a_i = \mu \otimes \sum \lambda_i a_i$  is mapped onto  $\sum \lambda_i a_i$ . Therefore the kernel of this epimorphism is 0, i.e.  $\text{TOR}_1^A(A/J, A) = 0$ . In view of (iv) and Proposition 8,  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}_J$ -simply connected.

If we take  $A$  to be the ring  $Z$  of rational integers, and  $J$  to be the principal ideal  $(p)$  generated by  $p$ ,  $p \neq 0$ , then the abelian group  $A = Q/Z$  (where  $Q$  denotes the rationals) is divisible, and so, regarding  $A$  as a ring with trivial multiplication, then  $A$  is  $\mathcal{V}_{(p)}$ -

connected. The universal cover of  $A$  is  $(p) \otimes Q/Z$ , which is not  $\mathcal{V}_{(p)}$ -simply connected since  $\text{Ker} \{(p) \otimes (p) \otimes Q/Z \rightarrow (p) \otimes Q/Z\} \neq 0$ .

Finally, we state the following proposition, whose proof is straightforward.

**PROPOSITION 13.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  are two varieties such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \supset \mathcal{V}_2$ .*

- (i) *Every  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -connected algebra is also  $\mathcal{V}_2$ -connected.*
- (ii) *Every  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -simply connected algebra is also  $\mathcal{V}_2$ -simply connected.*
- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}$ , then every  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -covering homomorphism of a  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -connected algebra is also a  $\mathcal{V}_2$ -covering homomorphism.*
- (iv) *If  $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{A}$ , then the  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -universal covering of a  $\mathcal{V}_1$ -connected algebra is also its  $\mathcal{V}_2$ -universal covering.*

**COROLLARY 14.** *If  $\mathcal{V} \supset \mathcal{A}$ , and if  $A = V(A)$ , then  $D_0 V(A) = F^2 / (FK + KF)$  and  $D_1 U(A) = (F^2 \cap K) / (FK + KF)$ , where  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is a projective presentation of  $A$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] FRÖHLICH, A., *Baer-invariants of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1963), 221–244.
- [2] LUE, A. S.-T., *Baer-invariants and extensions relative to a variety*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63 (1967), 569–578.
- [3] ———, *A non-abelian cohomology of associative algebras*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) (2) 19 (1968), 159–180.
- [4] MOORE, C. C., *Group extensions of  $p$ -adic and adelic linear groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. des Hautes Études Scient. 35 (1968).

King's College  
Strand,  
London, WC2R 2LS  
England

Received April 7, 1973