

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 47 (1972)

**Artikel:** Infinite Matrices in Algebraic K-Theory and Topology  
**Autor:** Farrell, F.T. / Wagoner, J.B.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-36380>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 26.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Infinite Matrices in Algebraic $K$ -Theory and Topology

F. T. FARRELL<sup>1)</sup> and J. B. WAGONER<sup>2)</sup>

A first step in the program of classifying finite dimensional paracompact manifolds in the spirit of surgery theory is to develop an algebraic theory of infinite simple homotopy types for finite dimensional, locally finite  $CW$  complexes. In [2] and [12] the geometric foundations of such a theory are discussed and in [12] some important progress was made on the algebraic part. In [3] a complete, à priori algebraic description of the torsion was given for the special case of a finite dimensional, locally finite  $CW$  complex with finitely many stable ends. The present paper together with [14, Chap I, § 5] and [4] extend the methods of [3] to the general case. In fact the algebraic approach to finite simple types as expounded in [9] or [11] can be developed in a completely similar way in the theory of infinite simple types using the concept of a "locally finite" matrix. Locally finite algebraic objects seem to provide the right setting for extending much of the theory of compact manifolds to open manifolds. For example, see [14] for a very comprehensive treatment of surgery theory for open manifolds. The locally finite matrix idea has also arisen in the work of Karoubi and Villamayor on  $K$ -theory from the Fredholm operator viewpoint. For example, see [6] and [7]. Other examples of its use can be found in [5], [15], and [16].

The present paper is purely algebraic. The first section discusses locally finite matrices. The second section defines the  $K_1$  type object in which the torsion of an infinite simple type lies (more exactly, see 3.5). The third section gives the basic exact sequence that allows one to make calculations in important special cases. Finally in the fourth section we define a  $K_1(f)$  for any ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow S$  which extends the usual definition of the relative group of a surjection.

In [14] the algebraic part of the theory of infinite simple types is developed along the lines of [9] and in [4] we complete the series by discussing the geometric part; for example, it is shown that a proper  $h$ -cobordism is a product iff its torsion vanishes.

### § 1. Locally Finite Matrices

In this paper all modules will be considered right modules unless otherwise stated.

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. In this paper  $K_0(R)$  will denote the Grothendieck group of the category of finitely generated, projective  $R$ -modules;  $GL(R) = \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} GL(n, R)$  will be the general linear group;  $E(R) \subset GL(R)$  will be the group

<sup>1)</sup> Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 29697

<sup>2)</sup> Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 29073

of elementary matrices; and  $K_1(R) = GL(R) \text{ mod } [GL(R), GL(R)]$ . See [1] or [13] for example. Recall also the following matrix identities.

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -X^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} ABA^{-1}B^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (BA)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be free  $R$ -modules based on countable sets  $\{e_\alpha\}$  and  $\{f_\beta\}$  respectively. An  $R$ -linear transformation  $h: E \rightarrow F$  is *locally finite* provided that for each  $f_\beta$  there are at most finitely many  $e_\alpha$  such that  $f_\beta$  appears in  $h(e_\alpha)$  with a non-zero coefficient. If  $h(e_\alpha) = \sum f_\beta \cdot r_{\beta\alpha}$ , then  $h$  is locally finite iff the matrix  $(r_{\beta\alpha})$  is locally finite in the sense that each row and each column of  $(r_{\beta\alpha})$  has at most finitely many non-zero terms. The ring of all locally finite transformations (matrices) of  $E$  to itself will be denoted by  $l(E; R)$  or  $l_R(E)$ . Note that  $l(E'; R)$  and  $l(E''; R)$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection between the bases  $\{e'_\alpha\}$  and  $\{e''_\alpha\}$ . Let  $m_R(E) \subset l_R(E)$  denote the two sided ideal of *finite matrices*; i.e., of those matrices which have at most finitely many non-zero entries. Finally, let  $\mu_R(E)$  or  $\mu(E; R)$  denote the quotient ring  $l_R(E)/m_R(E)$ . For economy we will let  $lR, mR$ , and  $\mu R$  denote  $l_R(E), m_R(E)$ , and  $\mu_R(E)$  when  $E$  is the "standard"  $R$ -module based on  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ . If  $A \in lR$ , let  $\hat{A}$  denote the corresponding element in  $\mu R$ .

Let the  $R$ -module  $M$  be based on  $\{m_\alpha\}$ . An  $R$ -submodule  $N \subset M$  is a *neighborhood of infinity* iff  $m_\alpha \in N$  for all but finitely many indices  $\alpha$ . Thus  $h: E \rightarrow F$  is locally finite iff for any neighborhood of infinity  $L \subset F$  there is a neighborhood of infinity  $A \subset E$  such that  $h(A) \subset L$ .

For any ring with identity  $R$ , let  $R^*$  denote the group of two sided units in  $R$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *There is a surjective homomorphism*

$$\varrho: (\mu R)^* \rightarrow K_0(R).$$

*Remark.* In (1.12) below we show that  $\varrho$  induces an isomorphism  $K_1(\mu R) \cong K_0(R)$ .

*Proof of (1.3).* Let  $E^n \subset E$  be the free  $R$ -submodule based on  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ; let  $E^{n,m} \subset E$  be the free  $R$ -submodule based on  $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m\}$ ; let  $E_n \subset E$  be the free  $R$ -submodule based on  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots\}$ .

*Step 1.* Let  $\alpha \in lR$  be a locally finite matrix which is invertible modulo  $mR$ . Then there is an  $n > 0$  such that  $\alpha: E_n \rightarrow E$  is injective and  $E/\alpha(E_n)$  is a finitely generated, projective  $R$ -module.

Assuming step 1 for the moment, here is how to define  $\varrho$ . If  $P$  is finitely generated, projective, let  $\langle P \rangle \in K_0(R)$  be the class it determines. Now let  $x \in (\mu R)^*$  and choose

an  $\alpha \in IR$  with  $\hat{\alpha} = x$ . Define

$$\varrho(x) = \langle E/\alpha(E_n) \rangle - \langle E^n \rangle \tag{1.4}$$

The argument showing that  $\varrho$  is well defined and is a homomorphism mirrors the Bass-Heller-Swan argument constructing a homomorphism  $K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ . See [13, p. 227]. Hence we just give the proof of

*Step 1 (cont.).* Since  $\hat{\alpha} \in (\mu R)^*$  there are integers  $m, n, p$  with  $m < n$  such that  $\alpha \mid E_m$  is injective and

$$E \supset \alpha(E_m) \supset E_p \supset \alpha(E_n).$$

First,  $E/\alpha(E_m)$  has projective dimension = 1 because there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow E_m \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \rightarrow E/\alpha(E_m) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\alpha(E_m)/E_p$  is projective because there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \alpha(E_m)/E_p \rightarrow E/E_p \rightarrow E/\alpha(E_m) \rightarrow 0$  where  $E/E_p \cong E^p$  is free (cf. [13, p. 102]). Thus  $E_p/\alpha(E_n)$  is projective and finitely generated because there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_p/\alpha(E_n) \rightarrow \alpha(E_m)/\alpha(E_n) \rightarrow \alpha(E_m)/E_p \rightarrow 0$$

$$\parallel$$

$$E^{m,n}$$

Finally we see that  $E/\alpha(E_n) \cong E^p \oplus E_p/\alpha(E_n)$  is finitely generated and projective as required. Note that if  $E_q \subset \alpha(E_n)$  then  $\alpha(E_n)/E_q$  is also finitely generated and projective because there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha(E_n)/E_q \rightarrow E/E_q \rightarrow E/\alpha(E_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

In fact, if  $n < q$ , we have,

$$\langle E/\alpha(E_n) \rangle - \langle E^n \rangle = \langle E^{n,q} \rangle - \langle \alpha(E_n)/E_q \rangle \tag{1.5}$$

*Step 2.* It remains to show  $\varrho$  is onto. Again the argument is like the one in [13]. However, we will need the idea later in § 3 so it is included here for convenience. Any element of  $K_0(R)$  can be represented in the form  $\langle P \rangle - \langle R^n \rangle$ . Where  $P$  is finitely generated projective and  $R^n$  is free on  $n$  generators. Choose an integer  $m$  so that there is a finitely generated and projective module  $Q$  with  $P \oplus Q \cong R^m$ . The required  $\alpha \in IR$  is

$$E \cong R^n \oplus (Q \oplus P) \oplus (Q \oplus P) \oplus \dots$$

$$\downarrow_{\alpha} \quad \downarrow_0 \quad \downarrow_{id} \quad \downarrow_{id} \quad \downarrow_{id} \quad \downarrow_{id}$$

$$E \cong (P \oplus Q) \oplus (P \oplus Q) \oplus (P \oplus Q) \oplus \dots$$

### Direct Sum Rings

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity. Then  $R$  is a *sum-ring* provided there are elements  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1 \in R$  such that

$$\alpha_0\beta_0 = \alpha_1\beta_1 = 1$$

$$\beta_0\alpha_0 + \beta_1\alpha_1 = 1$$

Define the identity preserving ring homomorphism  $\oplus : R \times R \rightarrow R$  by

$$r \oplus s = \beta_0 r \alpha_0 + \beta_1 s \alpha_1$$

for  $r, s \in R$ .

Strictly speaking a sum-ring is a ring with a particular choice of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ . Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be sum-rings with respect to  $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$  and  $\{\alpha'_i, \beta'_i\}$  respectively. A *morphism* of sum-rings  $f: R \rightarrow R'$  is an identity preserving ring homomorphism  $f$  such that  $f(\alpha_i) = \alpha'_i$  and  $f(\beta_i) = \beta'_i$ . Suppose  $R$  is a sum-ring with respect to  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  and  $f: R \rightarrow R'$  is an identity preserving ring homomorphism. Then  $R'$  is a sum-ring with respect to  $\alpha'_i = f(\alpha_i)$  and  $\beta'_i = f(\beta_i)$  and  $f$  becomes a morphism.

A sum ring  $R$  is an *infinite sum ring* provided there is an identity preserving ring homomorphism  $\infty : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $r \oplus r^\infty$  for any  $r \in R$  (cf. [7]).

EXAMPLE 1.  $lR$  is an infinite sum ring. To see this it will be convenient to identify  $lR$  with the ring  $l_R(E)$  of locally finite  $R$ -linear transformations of the free, right  $R$ -module  $E$  with countable basis  $\{e_j^k\}$  where  $1 \leq k, j < \infty$ . Partition the basis  $\{e_j^k\}$  into two disjoint infinite subsets  $\{e_j^k\} = A_0 \cup A_1$ . Let  $\beta_i: \{e_j^k\} \rightarrow A_i$  be any two bijections ( $i=0$  or  $1$ ). Let  $\beta_i \in l_R(E)$  denote the corresponding locally finite matrix. Define  $\alpha_i \in l_R(E)$  for  $i=0$  or  $1$  by

$$\alpha_i(e_j^k) = \begin{cases} \beta_i^{-1}(e_j^k), & \text{if } e_j^k \in A_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This gives a sum structure on  $l_R(E)$  and hence on  $lR$ . The following choice of sum structure is convenient: choose  $\beta_0$  to be any bijection of  $\{e_j^k\}, 1 \leq k, j < \infty$ , onto  $\{e_1^k\}, 1 \leq k < \infty$ . Let  $\beta_1(e_j^k) = e_{j+1}^k$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  be as above. To make  $l_R(E)$  into an infinite sum-ring write  $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^\infty E_j$  where  $E_j$  is the free submodule of  $E$  spanned by the  $\{e_j^k\}, 1 \leq k < \infty$ . Let  $r \in l_R(E)$  and  $e_j^k \in E$ . Define

$$r^\infty(e_j^k) = \beta_1^{j-1} \beta_0 r \alpha_0 \alpha_1^{j-1}(e_j^k)$$

Then intuitively  $r^\infty$  is just the infinite direct sum of  $r$  laid out on the  $E_j$ 's. We have

$r \oplus r^\infty = r^\infty$  because

$$\begin{aligned} (r \oplus r^\infty)(e_j^k) &= \beta_0 r \alpha_0(e_j^k) + \beta_1 r^\infty \alpha_1(e_j^k) \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta_0 r \alpha_0(e_1^k) = r^\infty(e_1^k) & \text{for } j = 1 \text{ and} \\ \beta_1 r^\infty \alpha_1(e_j^k) = \beta_1 r^\infty(e_{j-1}^k) = \beta_1(\beta_1^{j-1} \beta_0 r \alpha_0 \alpha_1^{j-1}(e_j^{k-1})) \\ &= \beta_1^j \beta_0 r \alpha_0 \alpha_1^j(e_j^k) = r^\infty(e_j^k) & \text{if } j > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 2. The homomorphic image of a sum-ring is also a sum-ring. Hence  $\mu R$  is a sum-ring.

Other examples will be given in § 2.

An interesting fact about an infinite sum-ring  $\Gamma$  is that  $K_i(\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [16]), where for  $i \geq 1$  the  $K_i$  is that of Quillen [10] and for  $i \leq -1$  the  $K_i$  is that of Bass [1] or Karoubi [7]. In (1.13) below we give a simple argument showing that  $K_1(IR) = 0$  (cf. [7]).

LEMMA 1.6. *Let  $R$  be a sum-ring. Then*

(A) *There is a  $c \in R^*$  such that for any  $a, b \in R$*

$$a \oplus b = c(b \oplus a)c^{-1}$$

(B) *There is a  $d \in R^*$  such that for any  $a, b, c \in R$*

$$(a \oplus b) \oplus c = d(a \oplus (b \oplus c))d^{-1}.$$

*Proof of 1.6.* Choose  $c = \beta_0 \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \alpha_0$  with  $c^{-1} = c$  and  $d = \beta_0 \alpha_0^2 + \beta_1 \beta_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_0 + \beta_1^2 \alpha_1$  with  $d^{-1} = \beta_0^2 \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \beta_1 \alpha_0 \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \alpha_1^2$ . The computation is left as an exercise.

Let  $M(n, R)$  denote the ring of  $n \times n$ -matrices with coefficients in the ring  $R$ . Let  $s_0: M(2^n, R) \rightarrow M(2^{n+1}, R)$  be the (non-identity preserving) ring homomorphism given by

$$A \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $s_1: GL(2^n, R) \rightarrow GL(2^{n+1}, R)$  be the group homomorphism given by

$$A \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a sum-ring with respect to  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ . For each  $n \geq 1$  there are ring isomorphisms  $\theta_n: \Gamma \rightarrow M(2^n, \Gamma)$  and  $\phi_n: M(2^n, \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma$  which are inverses of one another. Hence there are induced group isomorphisms  $\theta_n: \Gamma^* \rightarrow GL(2^n, \Gamma)$  and*

$\phi_n: GL(2^n, \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ . Furthermore, for each  $r \in \Gamma$

$$\theta_{n+1}(r \oplus 0) = s_0(\theta_n(r))$$

and for each  $g \in \Gamma^*$

$$\theta_{n+1}(g \oplus 1) = s_1(\theta_n(g)).$$

*Proof of 1.7.* Let  $2^n$  denote the set of all function from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Any element  $I \in 2^n$  is a sequence  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$  where  $i_\alpha = 0$  or  $1$ . Let  $I'$  denote the sequence  $\{i_n, \dots, i_1\}$ . Let  $\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_n}$  and  $\beta_I = \beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_n}$ . Define  $\theta_n: \Gamma \rightarrow M(2^n, \Gamma)$  by

$$\theta_n(a) = (\alpha_{I'} \cdot a \cdot \beta_J)_{I, J \in 2^n}$$

for any  $a \in \Gamma$ . Define  $\phi_n: M(2^n, \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma$  by

$$\phi_n((m_{I, J})) = \sum_{I, J \in 2^n} \beta_{I'} \cdot m_{I, J} \cdot \alpha_J$$

for any  $2^n \times 2^n$ -matrix  $(m_{I, J})$  over  $\Gamma$ . The verification that  $\theta_n$  and  $\phi_n$  satisfy the desired conditions is left to the reader.

**COROLLARY 1.8.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a sum-ring, then*

$$K_1(\Gamma) = \varinjlim \left( \frac{\Gamma^*}{[\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]} \xrightarrow{\oplus 1} \frac{\Gamma^*}{[\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]} \xrightarrow{\oplus 1} \cdots \right).$$

Thus the natural map  $\langle \rangle: \Gamma^* \rightarrow K_1(\Gamma)$  is surjective and  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle$  if there is some  $n \geq 0$  such that

$$s^n(\alpha \cdot \beta^{-1}) \in [\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*] \tag{1.9}$$

Here  $s: \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  is the map  $x \rightarrow x \oplus 1$ . Note that since  $[\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$  is normal and  $\oplus$  is conjugate associative (cf. 1.6), condition (1.9) is equivalent to

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta^{-1}) \oplus 1 \in [\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]. \tag{1.10}$$

Let  $E_n(\Gamma) = \theta_n^{-1}(E(2^n, \Gamma))$ . Then  $g \in [\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$  implies  $(g \oplus 1) \oplus 1 \in E_8(\Gamma)$  and  $g \in E_n(\Gamma)$  implies  $g \in [\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$  for  $n \geq 2$  (cf. [9]). Hence for any two elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma^*$ ,  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle$  in  $K_1(\Gamma)$  iff there is an  $n \geq 0$  and a  $k \geq 1$  such that

$$s^n(\alpha \cdot \beta^{-1}) \in E_k(\Gamma) \tag{1.11}$$

The map  $\varrho: (\mu R)^* \rightarrow K_0(R)$  satisfies  $\varrho(x \oplus 1) = \varrho(x)$  so in view of (1.8) there is an induced map  $\bar{\varrho}: K_1(\mu R) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.12** (cf. [7]). *The homomorphism  $\bar{\varrho}: K_1(\mu R) \rightarrow K_0(R)$  is isomorphism.*

*Proof of 1.12.*  $\bar{\varrho}$  is surjective by (1.3). So suppose  $\varrho(\langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle) = 0$  where  $\langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle \in K_1(\mu R)$  is represented by  $\hat{\alpha} \in (\mu R)^*$  for some  $\alpha \in lR$ . This implies that for some  $n$ ,  $\text{coker } \alpha \cong R^n$ . Hence  $\alpha$  can be chosen to lie in  $(lR)^*$ . This implies  $\langle \hat{\alpha} \rangle = 0$  once we have

**LEMMA 1.13.**  $K_1(lR) = 0$ .

*Proof of 1.13.* It suffices by (1.8) to show that if  $\alpha \in (lR)^*$ , then  $\alpha \oplus 1 \in [(lR)^*, (lR)^*]$ . Consider  $lR$  as  $l_R(E)$  where  $E$  is as in Example 1 above. Given any sequence  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  of elements in  $lR$  we can form  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3 \oplus \dots$  by letting  $A_i$  act on the submodule  $E_i \subset E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \oplus 1 &= \alpha \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus \dots \\ &= (\alpha \oplus 1 \oplus \alpha^{-1} \oplus 1 \oplus \alpha \oplus 1 \oplus \dots) \cdot (1 \oplus 1 \oplus \alpha \oplus 1 \oplus \alpha^{-1} \oplus 1 \oplus \alpha \oplus \dots). \end{aligned}$$

But each of the two terms in the right hand side of the equation is a product of commutators by (1.1) and (1.2).

One can actually show (cf. [5] or [16]) that  $K_i(\mu R) \cong K_{i-1}(R)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## § 2. Trees and Rings

In this section we first recall for convenience the categorical description given in [14] of  $K_1$  of a “tree of rings”. Then we give an equivalent but more concrete definition which is needed for the basic exact sequence in the third section.

A *topological tree* is any connected, 1-dimensional, contractible, locally finite complex  $T$  with a base vertex  $v_0$  such that if  $v \neq v_0$  is any vertex of  $T$  then there are at least two 1-simplices branching off from  $v$ . Thus any tree has a countably infinite number of vertices and edges. If  $v$  is a vertex of  $T$ , let  $|v| =$  number of edges in the arc connecting  $v$  to  $v_0$ . We call  $|v|$  the “absolute value” of  $v$ . The set  $J$  of vertices of  $T$  can be partially ordered by setting  $v \leq w$  iff there is a sequence of vertices  $v = u_1, \dots, u_n = w$  such that  $|u_i| < |u_{i+1}|$  and  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are the end points of an edge in  $T$ . The vertex  $v_0$  is the smallest element of  $J$ . Any countably infinite, partially ordered set arising as above will be called a *tree*. If  $J$  is a tree with smallest element  $0 \in J$  and  $J' \subset J$  is a cofinal subset containing  $0$ , then  $J'$  is also a tree with  $0$  as the smallest element. Any tree  $J$  can be considered as a category whose objects are the elements of  $J$  and whose morphisms consist of a single morphism from  $j$  to  $i$  whenever  $i \leq j$ .

A *tree of rings (over  $J$ )* is a covariant functor from  $J$  to the category of rings with identity and identity preserving ring homomorphisms. Thus any tree of rings  $R$  is a collection  $\{R_i, \gamma_{ij}\}$  where  $\gamma_{ij}: R_j \rightarrow R_i$  is a ring homomorphism for  $i \leq j$ .

A *tree of sets* is a covariant functor from  $J$  to the category of sets and inclusion maps which associates to each  $j \in J$  a countable set  $C_j$  such that

- a) if  $|i|=|j|$  and  $i \neq j$ , then  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$
- b) for each  $n \geq 0$  the set  $C_0 - \bigcup_{|i|=n} C_i$  is finite
- c) for each  $c \in C_0$  there is an  $n$  such that  $c \notin \bigcup_{|i|=n} C_i$ .

If each of the sets  $C_j$  is countably infinite, then we have a *tree of infinite sets*. In this case condition (c) is not really needed.

Let  $R = \{R_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  be a tree of rings over  $J$ . A *module  $M$  over  $R$*  consists of a collection  $\{M_j, h_{ij}\}$  where  $M_j$  is a  $R_j$ -module and whenever  $i \leq j$ ,  $h_{ij}: M_j \rightarrow M_i$  is an additive map satisfying

- (i)  $h_{ij}(r \cdot m) = \gamma_{ij}(r) \cdot h_{ij}(m)$
- (ii)  $h_{ij} \circ h_{jk} = h_{ik}$  for  $i \leq j \leq k$ .

If  $M = \{M_j, h_{ij}\}$  and  $M' = \{M'_j, h'_{ij}\}$  are two modules over  $R$  a *morphism  $F: M \rightarrow M'$*  is a collection  $F = \{f_j\}$  of  $R_j$ -homomorphisms  $f_j: M_j \rightarrow M'_j$  such that whenever  $i \leq j$  we have  $h'_{ij} \circ f_j = f_i \circ h_{ij}$ . Morphisms can be added and composed by adding and composing the  $f_j$ 's.

Let  $\alpha: J \rightarrow N^+$  be a function from  $J$  to the non-negative integers such that  $|i| \leq \alpha(i)$  and  $\alpha(i) \leq \alpha(j)$  whenever  $i \leq j$ . This induces a "shift functor" from the category of modules over the tree of rings  $R$  to itself as follows: Form  $M^\alpha = \{M_i^\alpha, h_{ij}^\alpha\}$  from  $M = \{M_i, h_{ij}\}$  by letting  $M_i^\alpha = \bigoplus_k (M_k \otimes R_i)$  where  $i \leq k$  and  $|k| = \alpha(i)$ . To get  $h_{ij}^\alpha: M_j^\alpha \rightarrow M_i^\alpha$  when  $i \leq j$ , let  $l \in J$  satisfy  $|l| = \alpha(j)$ . Then there is a unique  $k \leq l$  with  $|k| = \alpha(i)$ . The map  $h_{kl}: M_l \rightarrow M_k$  induces a map  $h'_{kl}: M_l \otimes R_j \rightarrow M_k \otimes R_i$  and  $h_{ij}^\alpha: \bigoplus_l (M_l \otimes R_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_k (M_k \otimes R_i)$  is obtained by summing up the  $h'_{kl}$ .

If  $F: M \rightarrow N$  is a morphism, let  $F^\alpha: M^\alpha \rightarrow N^\alpha$  be given by  $F^\alpha = \{f_i^\alpha\}$  where  $f_i^\alpha = \bigoplus_k (f_k \otimes id): [\bigoplus_k (M_k \otimes R_i)] \rightarrow [\bigoplus_k (N_k \otimes R_i)]$ . Now let  $\beta: J \rightarrow N^+$  be another "shift map" such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ; that is,  $\alpha(i) \leq \beta(i)$  for all  $i \in J$ . For each  $l$  with  $i \leq l$  and  $|l| = \beta(i)$  there is a unique  $l'$  such that  $l' \leq l$  and  $|l'| = \alpha(i)$ . Therefore we have a map  $M_l \otimes R_i \rightarrow M_{l'} \otimes R_i$ . These sum together to produce a map

$$\bigoplus_l (M_l \otimes R_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{l'} (M_{l'} \otimes R_i)$$

This in turn gives a morphism  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}: M^\beta \rightarrow M^\alpha$  of modules over the tree of rings  $R$ .

Now if  $f: M^\alpha \rightarrow N$  is a morphism and  $\alpha \leq \beta$  there is the composition  $f \circ \pi_{\alpha\beta}: M^\beta \rightarrow M^\alpha \rightarrow N$ .

A *germ  $[f]: M \rightarrow N$*  consists of an equivalence class of morphisms  $f: M^\alpha \rightarrow N$ , each  $f$  being defined on some  $M^\alpha$ , where  $f: M^\alpha \rightarrow N$  and  $g: M^\beta \rightarrow N$  are *equivalent* iff there is a shift map  $\gamma: J \rightarrow N^+$  such that  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  and  $\beta \leq \gamma$  and  $f \circ \pi_{\alpha\gamma} = g \circ \pi_{\beta\gamma}$  as morphisms from  $M^\gamma$  to  $N$ . Addition and composition of morphisms induces addition and composition of germs.

The category  $\mathcal{M}_R$  with modules over the tree of rings  $R$  as objects and germs as morphisms is an abelian category. See [14] for full details. The category  $\mathcal{M}_R$  has a "direct sum" operation where  $M \oplus N = \{M_j \oplus N_j\}$ .

Let  $C = \{C_j\}$  be a tree of sets and  $R = \{R_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  be a tree of rings. The *free module*  $F[C; R]$  generated by  $C$  over  $R$  is given by  $\{F_j, h_{ij}\}$  where  $F$  is the free  $R_j$  module generated by  $C_j$  (if  $C_j$  is empty set  $F_j = 0$ ) and  $h_{ij}: F_j \rightarrow F_i$  is induced by the inclusion  $C_j \subset C_i$ . A module  $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$  is said to be *locally finitely generated* provided there is an epimorphism  $F[C; R] \rightarrow M$  for some tree of sets  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_R \subset \mathcal{M}_R$  denote the full subcategory of locally finitely generated projectives. Then  $\mathcal{P}_R$  is an admissible, semi-simple subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_R$  in the sense of [1, p. 388] and one can define

$$K_1(R) = K_1(\mathcal{P}_R). \tag{2.0}$$

An alternate but equivalent definition of  $K_1(R)$  which we discuss in the remainder of this section goes as follows: the tree  $J$  has associated to it a natural tree of infinite sets  $E = \{E_j\}$  where  $E_j = \{i \in J \mid i \geq j\}$ . Let  $\Gamma(R)$  denote the ring of endomorphisms of  $F[E; R]$ . The addition in  $\Gamma(R)$  is the addition of germs and the multiplication is composition of germs. Then we can set

$$K_1(R) = K_1(\Gamma(R)). \tag{2.0'}$$

There is a natural map  $K_1(\Gamma(R)) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{P}_R)$  which is an isomorphism. The argument showing this is entirely similar to the argument in [1, p. 353]; one uses (1.8) together with (2.4) below or Lemma 6 of [14, Chap. I, § 5].

Now let  $R$  be a tree ring and let  $C$  be a tree of infinite sets over  $J$ . Consider a collection  $A = \{A^k\}$ ,  $k \in J$ , which satisfies

1)  $A^k = (a_{pq}^k)$  is a locally finite matrix with  $(p, q) \in C_0 \times C_0$  such that there are at most finitely many pairs  $(p, q) \in C_k \times C_k$  with  $a_{pq}^k \neq 0$ , and

2) for each  $k \in J$ ,  $A^k = A^{l_1} \otimes R_k + \dots + A^{l_n} \otimes R_k +$  finite matrix where  $l_1, \dots, l_n$  are the elements of  $J$  with  $|l_i| = |k| + 1$  and  $k \leq l_i$ . Here  $A \otimes R_k = (\gamma_{kl}(a_{pq}^l))$  for  $l = l_1, \dots, l_n$ .

Two such collections  $A = \{A^k\}$  and  $B = \{B^k\}$  are *equivalent* iff  $A^0 = B^0$  and  $A^k = B^k +$  finite matrix whenever  $k > 0$ . A *germ* is an equivalence class of such collections. If  $[A]$  and  $[B]$  are two germs represented by  $A = \{A^k\}$  and  $B = \{B^k\}$ , define

$$[A] + [B] = [\{A^k + B^k\}]$$

and

$$[A] \cdot [B] = [\{A^k \cdot B^k\}]$$

It is easy to check that the addition and multiplication of germs is well defined and that this definition of germs of  $F[C; R]$  to itself agrees with the previous one. Let  $\Gamma(C; R)$  denote this ring of germs.

Here is an alternate description of  $\Gamma(C; R)$ . Let  $\mu(C_i; R_i)$  be the ring of locally finite matrices operating on the free  $R_i$ -module based on  $C_i$  modulo the ideal of finite

matrices. Whenever  $i \leq j$  the inclusion  $C_j \subset C_i$  and the ring homomorphism  $\gamma_{ij}: R_j \rightarrow R_i$  induce a ring homomorphism

$$\mu_{ij}: \mu(C_j; R_j) \rightarrow \mu(C_i; R_i)$$

via the correspondence  $(a_{pq}^j) \rightarrow (\gamma_{ij}(a_{pq}^j))$ . For each non-negative integer  $n$  let

$$\mu_n(C; R) = \bigoplus_{|i|=n} \mu(C_i; R_i)$$

whenever  $k \leq l$ , there is an identity preserving ring homomorphism

$$\mu_l(C; R) \rightarrow \mu_k(C; R)$$

obtained by summing up the maps  $\mu_{ij}$  where  $|i|=k$  and  $|j|=l$ . Define

$$\mu(C; R) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mu_n(C; R).$$

Then  $\Gamma(C; R)$  is the pull back of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(C; R) & \longrightarrow & \mu(C; R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(C_0; R_0) & \longrightarrow & \mu(C_0, R_0) \end{array}$$

Let  $J' \subset J$  be a cofinal subset of  $J$  containing the smallest element 0 and suppose that there is a sequence of positive integers  $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots$  such that  $j \in J'$  iff  $|j| = \alpha_k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $C'$  and  $R'$  be the corresponding trees derived from  $C$  and  $R$ . If  $A = \{A^k\}$  satisfies (1) and (2) so does  $A' = \{A^k\}_{k \in J'}$ .

The correspondence  $A \rightarrow A'$  induces a ring homomorphism

$$\phi: \Gamma(C; R) \rightarrow \Gamma(C'; R')$$

**PROPOSITION 2.1.**  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Exercise. Similar to proof that inverse limits are not changed by taking cofinal subsets.

Actually, the ring  $\Gamma(C; R)$  only depends up to isomorphism only on the tree of rings  $R$ . Corollary 2.3 below shows that for any two trees of infinite sets  $C$  and  $D$  over  $J$  the rings  $\Gamma(C; R)$  and  $\Gamma(D; R)$  are isomorphic in a very natural way.

A function  $f: C_0 \rightarrow D_0$  is *proper* provided that for each  $j \in J$  there are at most finitely many elements  $v \in C_j$  such that  $f(v) \notin D_j$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Let  $C = \{C_j\}$  and  $D = \{D_j\}$  be trees of infinite sets over  $J$ . There is a bijection  $h: C_0 \rightarrow D_0$  such that both  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are proper.

*Proof of 2.2.* Associated to the tree  $J$  is the “standard” tree of sets  $E = \{E_j\}$  where  $E_j = \{i \mid i \in J \text{ and } j \leq i\}$ . To prove (2.2) it is sufficient to show that for any tree of infinite sets  $C$  there is a bijection  $h: C_0 \rightarrow E_0$  such that  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are proper.

For any tree of sets  $C$  define, for each non-negative integer  $n$  and each  $j \in J$  with  $|j| = n$ , the set  $\hat{C}_j$  as

$$\hat{C}_j = C_j - \bigcup_i C_i$$

where  $|i| = n + 1$  and  $j \leq i$ .

By condition (b) above  $\hat{C}_j$  is finite. Let  $C^n = \bigcup_{|j| \leq n} \hat{C}_j$ . Note that

$$C^{n+1} = C^n \cup \hat{C}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \hat{C}_{j_k}$$

where  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in J$  are the vertices with absolute value  $n + 1$ . Also  $C_0 = \bigcup_n C^n$ .

We shall construct the required bijection  $h: C_0 \rightarrow E_0$  by first defining a sequence of injections  $h_n: C^n \rightarrow E_0$  such that

$$(\alpha) h_{n+1} \upharpoonright C^n = h_n; (\beta) h(C^n) \supset E^n; (\gamma) h(\hat{C}_j) \subset E_j.$$

*Step 1.* Construction of an  $h$  satisfying  $(\alpha)$  through  $(\gamma)$ .

We can assume that each  $\hat{C}_j$  is non-empty by the following argument: Using the fact that each  $C_j$  is countably infinite choose a collection  $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  of distinct elements  $\gamma_j$  of  $C_u$  such that  $\gamma_j \in C_j$  for each  $j \in J$ . Define for  $j \in J$

$$D_j = C_j - \{\gamma_i\}_{|i| < |j|}$$

Then  $D_k$  contains  $D_l$  properly whenever  $k < l$  and  $D = \{D_j\}$  is a tree of infinite sets with each  $\hat{D}_j$  non-empty. Furthermore the identity maps  $C_0 \rightarrow D_0$  and  $D_0 \rightarrow C_0$  are proper; so to prove (2.2) we can, if necessary, replace  $C$  by  $D$  to insure that  $\hat{D}_j \neq \emptyset$ . Now let  $S \subset E_0$  be any finite subset. We say  $S$  is *full* in  $E_j$  provided there is some  $n > |j|$  so that  $S \cap E_j = \{i \mid i \in E_j \text{ and } |i| < n\}$ . If  $S$  is full in  $E_j$ , then  $S$  is full in  $E_l$  whenever  $j < l$  and  $S \cap E_l \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $E_j = \{j\}$  for each  $j \in J$ .

Now let  $h_0: C^0 \rightarrow E_0$  be any injection with  $h_0(C^0)$  full in  $E_0$ . Suppose that  $h_n: C^n \rightarrow E_0$  is defined for  $n > 0$  and satisfies  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$ , and  $(\gamma)$ . Recall that  $C^{n+1} = C^n \cup \hat{C}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \hat{C}_{j_k}$  as above. Define  $h_{n+1}: C^{n+1} \rightarrow E_0$  by letting  $h_{n+1} \upharpoonright C^n = h_n$  and  $h_{n+1} \upharpoonright \hat{C}_p$  for  $p = j_1, \dots, j_k$  be any injection of  $\hat{C}_p$  into  $E_p - h_n(C^n)$  such that  $h_{n+1}(C^n \cup \hat{C}_p)$  is full in  $E_p$ . This sequence of  $h_n$ 's satisfies  $(\alpha)$  through  $(\gamma)$ .

Now define the injection  $h: C_0 \rightarrow E_0$  by letting  $h \upharpoonright C^n = h_n$ . Condition  $(\beta)$  implies  $h$  is a surjection and condition  $(\gamma)$  implies that  $h(C_j) \subset E_j$  for each  $j \in J$ .

*Step 2.* It remains to show that  $h^{-1}: E_0 \rightarrow C_0$  is proper. We show that for any  $j \in J$  there are at most finitely many elements  $v \in C_0$  such that  $v \notin C_j$  but  $h(v) \in E_j$ .

Suppose to the contrary that there infinitely many such  $v$ 's; say,  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots$ . Condition (b) above implies there is some  $v_n$  and a vertex  $p \in J$  with  $v_n \in C_p$  and  $|p| \geq |j|$ . Since  $v_n \notin C_j$  there is a vertex  $i \in J$  with  $|i| = |j|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $p \geq i$ . Condition (a) above says that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ; but  $h(C_i) \subset E_i$  so  $h(v_n) \notin E_j$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $h^{-1}$  is proper. This completes the proof of (2.2).

Now let  $C$  and  $D$  be trees of infinite sets over  $J$  and let  $h: C_0 \rightarrow D_0$  be as in (2.2). The bijections  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  induce isomorphisms on the germ level  $[h]: F[C; R] \rightarrow F[D; R]$  and  $[h^{-1}]: F[D; R] \rightarrow F[C; R]$ . The germs  $[h]$  and  $[h^{-1}]$  are inverses of one another. Thus we have

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $C$  and  $D$  are trees of infinite sets over  $J$ , then  $F[C; R]$  and  $F[D; R]$  are isomorphic. Hence  $\Gamma(C; R)$  and  $\Gamma(D; R)$  are isomorphic.*

A useful special case of (2.3) is the following: we say two trees of infinite sets  $C = \{C_\alpha\}$  and  $D = \{D_\alpha\}$  are *equivalent* iff  $C_0 = D_0$  and, for  $\alpha > 0$ , both  $C_\alpha - C_\alpha \cap D_\alpha$  and  $D_\alpha - C_\alpha \cap D_\alpha$  are finite sets. If  $C$  and  $D$  are equivalent, then the identity map  $id: C_0 \rightarrow D_0$  and its inverse are proper bijections; so (2.3) applies and we have  $\Gamma(C; R) = \Gamma(D; R)$ .

If  $[A]$  is a germ in  $\Gamma(C; R)$  there is a representative  $A = \{A^k\}$  of  $[A]$  and a tree of infinite sets  $D = \{D_k\}$  equivalent to  $C$  with  $C_k \supseteq D_k$  for all  $k \in J$  and such that for any  $k \in J$

$$a_{pq}^k = 0 \quad \text{for } (p, q) \notin D_k \times D_k \tag{1'}$$

and for any  $k$  and  $l$  in  $J$  with  $k \leq l$

$$a_{pq}^k = \delta_{kl}(a_{pq}^l) \quad \text{for } (p, q) \in D_l \times D_l. \tag{2'}$$

Any such representative  $A$  of the germ  $[A]$  will be called a *matrix*. Note that the matrix  $A = \{A^k\}$  is a morphism (not just a germ) of  $F[D; R]$  to itself.

If  $J$  is a tree and  $R$  is a tree of rings over  $J$  we let

$$\Gamma(R) = \Gamma(E; R)$$

where  $E$  is the standard tree of sets obtained from  $J$ . The need for considering various "presentations"  $\Gamma(C; R)$  of  $\Gamma(R)$  for different trees of infinite sets  $C$  arises in topological applications.

**EXAMPLE 1.**  $R$  is the inverse sequence  $\{R_0 \leftarrow R_1 \leftarrow R_{i-1} \xleftarrow{f_i} R_i \leftarrow \dots$ . Any element of  $\Gamma(R)$  is represented by a sequence  $(M^0, M^1, M^2, \dots)$  of locally finite matrices  $M^\alpha = (m_{ij}^\alpha)$  where  $m_{ij}^\alpha \in R_\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq i, j < \infty$ , such that

$$M^\alpha = M^{\alpha+1} \otimes R_\alpha + \text{finite matrix.}$$

EXAMPLE 2. Same as above but where the  $f_\alpha$  are isomorphisms for, say,  $\alpha \geq k$ . Any element of  $\Gamma(R)$  is represented by a pair of locally finite matrices  $(M, N)$  such that  $M = N \otimes R_0 + \text{finite matrix}$ . Here  $M$  has entries in  $R_0$  and  $N$  has entries in  $R_k$ . In particular if  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism we shall denote the ring  $\Gamma$  of the system  $B \xleftarrow{f} A \xleftarrow{id} A \xleftarrow{id} \dots$  by  $\gamma f$ . In this case  $\gamma f$  can be described as the pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma f & \dashrightarrow & \mu A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ lB & \longrightarrow & \mu B \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE 3. The tree  $R$  has two ends:

$$\rightarrow R_{-\alpha} \xrightarrow{f_{-\alpha}} R_{-\alpha+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_{-1} \rightarrow R_0 \leftarrow R_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow R_{\alpha-1} \xleftarrow{f_\alpha} R_\alpha \leftarrow \dots$$

Suppose  $f_\alpha$  and  $f_{-\alpha}$  are isomorphisms for  $\alpha \geq k$ . Then any element of  $\Gamma(R)$  is represented by a triple  $(M^{-k}, M^0, M^k)$  of locally finite matrices  $M^\alpha = (m_{ij}^\alpha)$  over  $R^\alpha$  where  $\alpha = -k, 0, k$  and  $-\infty < i, j < \infty$  such that

- (a)  $m_{ij}^{-k} = 0$  if  $i > 0$  or  $j > 0$
- (b)  $m_{ij}^k = 0$  if  $i < 0$  or  $j < 0$
- (c)  $M^0 = M^{-k} \otimes R_0 + M^k \otimes R_0 + \text{finite matrix}$ .

EXAMPLE 4. All the ring maps  $\delta_{ij}: R_j \rightarrow R_i$  are isomorphisms.  $\Gamma(R)$  is the ring of all locally finite matrices  $M = (m_{ij})$  over  $R_0$  (where  $i, j \in J$ ) such that for each  $i \in J$  there are at most finitely many  $j \notin E_i$  such that  $m_{ji} \neq 0$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *The ring  $\Gamma(R)$  is a sum-ring.*

*Proof.* If  $C = \{C_j\}$  and  $D = \{D_j\}$  are trees of infinite sets over  $J$ , define the *sum of C and D*, written  $C \amalg D$ , to be the collection  $\{C_j \amalg D_j\}$  where  $C_j \amalg D_j$  denotes the disjoint union of  $C_j$  and  $D_j$ . In view of (2.3) it suffices to show that  $\Gamma(E^0 \amalg E^1, R)$  is a sum-ring where  $E^0$  and  $E^1$  are two copies of the standard tree  $E$ . If  $X$  is any set and  $R$  a ring let  $F(X, R)$  be the free  $R$ -module generated by  $X$ . Now for  $i=0$  or  $1$  let  $\beta_i: E^0 \amalg E^1 \rightarrow E^i$  be a proper bijection as in (2.2). For each  $k \in J$  let  $\beta_i^k$  denote the corresponding locally finite  $R_k$ -transformation from  $F(E_k^0 \amalg E_k^1, R_k)$  to itself;  $\beta_i^k$  is only determined up to a finite matrix for  $k > 0$ . For  $i=0$  or  $1$  and  $k \in J$ , define the locally finite  $R_k$ -transformation  $\alpha_i^k$  of  $F(E_k^0 \amalg E_k^1, R_k)$  to itself by

$$\alpha_i^k(e) = \begin{cases} (\beta_i^k)^{-1}(e), & \text{for } e \in E_k^i \\ 0, & \text{for } e \notin E_k^i. \end{cases}$$

Each  $\alpha_i^k$  is well determined modulo a finite matrix. The germs  $\alpha_i = \{\alpha_i^k\}$  and  $\beta_i = \{\beta_i^k\}$  make  $\Gamma(E^0 \amalg E^1; R)$  into a sum ring.



$n_{|\alpha|} \leq |\beta| < n_{|\alpha|+1}$ . Then  $A = \sum_{\alpha} M_1^{\alpha}(A)$  with respect to  $D'$  and we say the representation  $\sum_{\alpha} M_1^{\alpha}(A)$  is obtained by "amalgamation" from  $\sum_{\alpha} M^{\alpha}(A)$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $[A] \in \Gamma(C; R)$  be any germ. Then  $[A]$  has a representative of the form  $X + Y$  where  $X = \{X^{\alpha}\}$  and  $Y = \{Y^{\alpha}\}$  are blocked (with respect to possibly different trees of infinite sets equivalent to  $C$ ).*

*Proof of 2.6.* We discuss the special case where  $J = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is the tree with one end. The general case when  $J$  has many ends is left to the reader. Choose a sequence  $1 = n_1 = n_2 < n_3 < n_4 < \dots$  of integers and define the square  $B_i \subset J \times J$  by  $B_i = \{(p, q) \mid n_i \leq p, q < n_{i+2}\}$ . This choice can be made so that  $[A]$  has a representative  $A = \{A^{\alpha}\}$  satisfying


(a)  $a_{pq}^{\alpha} = 0$  if  $(p, q) \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \leq i} B_i$

(b) if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $a_{pq}^{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} (a_{pq}^{\beta})$  whenever  $(p, q) \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq i} B_i$ .

Let  $M^{\alpha} = (a_{pq}^{\alpha})$  for  $(p, q) \in B_{\alpha}$ . The required  $X = \{X^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \geq 1}$  and  $Y = \{Y^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \geq 1}$  are defined as

$$X^{\alpha} = M^{2\alpha-1} + M^{2\alpha+1} \otimes R_{2\alpha-1} + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad Y^{\alpha} = M^{2\alpha} + M^{2\alpha+2} \otimes R_{2\alpha} + \dots$$

Thus  $[A]$  is represented by  $X + Y = (\sum_{\alpha} M^{2\alpha-1}) + (\sum_{\alpha} M^{2\alpha})$ . Schematically we have



LEMMA 2.7. Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(C; R)$  and let  $G$  be a germ in  $E_n(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma^*$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $G$  has a representative of the form  $X \cdot Y$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  are blocked matrices and can be written in the form  $X = \sum_{\alpha} M^{\alpha}(X)$  and  $Y = \sum_{\alpha} M^{\alpha}(Y)$  where for each  $\alpha \in J$  both  $M^{\alpha}(X)$  and  $M^{\alpha}(Y)$  are products of elementary matrices over  $R_{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* We shall only deal with the following special case which contains the essential idea in (2.7):  $J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  ordered by increasing magnitude and  $C = E =$  standard tree of sets for  $J$ . We shall show that any element in  $E_1(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma^*$  satisfies (2.7). The notation  $e_{ij}(\gamma)$  will refer to an elementary matrix in  $E(2, \Gamma)$  and also to the element in  $\Gamma^*$  it corresponds to under  $\phi_1: GL(2, \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ .

Let  $K = \{k_i\}$  and  $L = \{l_i\}$  be two copies of the tree  $J$ . Then  $J$  is equivalent to  $K \amalg L$  where  $k_i \in K$  is identified with  $2i - 1 \in J$  and  $l_i \in L$  is identified with  $2i \in J$ . Hence  $\Gamma^* = \Gamma(K \amalg L; R)$ .

*Step 1.* Consider the element  $e_{12}(\lambda) \in E(2, \Gamma)$ . Use (2.6) to write  $\lambda = X + Y$  where  $X = \sum_i M^{2i-1}$  and  $Y = \sum_i M^{2i}$ . Then  $e_{12}(\lambda) = e_{12}(X) \cdot e_{12}(Y)$  and both  $e_{12}(X)$  and  $e_{12}(Y)$  are blocked as elements of  $\Gamma^*$ : To see this let  $B_i$  and  $n_i$  be as in (2.6). As an element of  $\Gamma^*$ ,  $e_{12}(X)$  is represented by the collection of matrices  $\{A^{\alpha}\}$  where

$$A^{\alpha} = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & I_{\alpha} & 0 & M^{2\alpha-1} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & M^{2\alpha+1} \otimes R_{\alpha} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{\alpha} \end{array} \right)$$

Here  $I$  denotes the inclusions  $F(K_{\alpha}; R_{\alpha}) \subset F(K; R_{\alpha})$  and  $F(L_{\alpha}; R_{\alpha}) \subset F(L; R_{\alpha})$ . Hence  $e_{12}(X) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha} N^{\alpha}$  where  $N^{\alpha}$  is the  $2 \cdot (n_{2\alpha+1} - n_{2\alpha-1}) \times 2 \cdot (n_{2\alpha+1} - n_{2\alpha-1})$  elementary matrix which looks like

$$N^{\alpha} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I & M^{2\alpha-1} \\ \hline 0 & I \end{array} \right)$$

The proof that  $e_{12}(Y)$  is blocked is similar. Also the above argument can be copied to show  $e_{21}(\lambda)$  is the product of two blocked matrices.

*Step 2.* The first step shows that any element  $Q \in E_1(\Gamma)$  is the product  $Q = P_1 \cdot P_2 \cdot \dots \cdot P_n$  of blocked germs where  $P_i = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha} M^{\alpha}(P_i)$ .

To prove (2.7) it remains to show this product can be reduced to one of length two. This is done by amalgamation. Choose a sequence  $1=r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n$  so that the support of  $A_i^1$  is contained in the support of  $A_{i+1}^1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  where  $A_i^1 = M^1(P_i) + \dots + M^{r_i}(P_i) \otimes R_1$ . Then choose a sequence  $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_n \geq 1$  such that the support of  $B_i^1$  contains the support of  $B_{i+1}^1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  where  $B_i^1 = M^{r_i+1}(P_i) + \dots + M^{r_i+s_i}(P_i) \otimes R_1$  continue in this way to get two collections of matrices  $A_i^\alpha$  and  $B_i^\alpha$   $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where each  $A_i^\alpha$  and  $B_i^\alpha$  has entries in  $R_\alpha$  such that the supports of the  $A_i^\alpha$  and  $B_i^\alpha$  fit together in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \overline{A_1^1} & \overline{B_1^1} & \overline{A_1^2} & \overline{B_1^2} & \dots & & \\
 \overline{A_2^1} & \overline{B_2^1} & \overline{A_2^2} & \overline{B_2^2} & \dots & & \\
 \overline{A_3^1} & \overline{B_3^1} & \overline{A_3^2} & \overline{B_3^2} & \dots & & \\
 \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

$n = 3$

Let  $X = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha} M^\alpha(X)$  and  $Y = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha} M^\alpha(Y)$  where  $M^\alpha(X) = A_1^\alpha \cdot A_2^\alpha \cdot \dots \cdot A_n^\alpha$  and  $M^\alpha(Y) = B_1^\alpha \cdot B_2^\alpha \cdot \dots \cdot B_n^\alpha$ . Then  $Q = X \cdot Y$  as required.

**§ 3. The Basic Exact Sequence**

Let  $\{A_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  be a tree of abelian groups over  $J$  and let  $0 \in J$  denote the smallest element. Define the *shift homomorphism*

$$S: \prod_{j>0} A_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \geq 0} A_j$$

by  $S(\{a_j\}) = \{b_j\}$  where for  $j \geq 0$

$$b_j = \sum_{\substack{l \geq j \\ |l|=|j|+1}} \gamma_{jl}(a_l)$$

Let  $I: \prod_{j>0} A_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \geq 0} A_j$  be the inclusion map.

Now let  $R = \{R_j\}$  be a tree of rings over  $J$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *There is a five term exact sequence*

$$\prod_{j>0} K_1(R_j) \xrightarrow{I-S} \prod_{j \geq 0} K_1(R_j) \xrightarrow{\Delta} K_1(R) \xrightarrow{\partial} \prod_{j>0} K_0(R_j) \xrightarrow{I-S} \prod_{j \geq 0} K_0(R_j).$$

The existence of such a sequence was suggested to us by Theorems I, II and II' of [12]. The purpose of this section is to define  $\Delta$  and  $\partial$  and to show exactness of

(3.1). There is a similar sequence (see 3.6) involving the functors “ $Wh$ ” and “ $\tilde{K}_0$ ” when the tree  $R$  is a tree of group rings.

First we define  $\partial$ : Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(S; R)$  where  $S$  is the standard tree of sets  $\{S_j\}$  associated to the tree  $J$ . Let  $[A] \in \Gamma^*$  be an invertible germ represented by the collection  $A = \{A^j\}$  where  $A^j \in \mu(S_j; R_j)^*$  for  $j > 0$ . Each  $A^j$  determines by (1.3) an element  $\varrho(A^j) \in K_0(R_j)$  and we set

$$\partial([A]) = \{\varrho(A^j)\}_{j>0} \tag{3.2}$$

Then  $\partial([A] \cdot [B]) = \partial([A]) \cdot \partial([B])$  and  $\partial([A] \oplus 1) = \partial([A])$  so by (1.8) there is an induced homomorphism

$$\partial: K_1(R) \rightarrow \prod_{j>0} K_0(R_j)$$

Next we define  $\Delta$ : This is done by defining a homomorphism


$$\Delta: \prod_{j \geq 0} GL(R_j) \rightarrow K_1(R)$$

which by [1, Cor. 1.10, p. 353] vanishes on  $\prod_{j \geq 0} [GL(R_j), GL(R_j)]$ .

Let  $A = \{A_{\alpha_j}\} \in \prod_{j \geq 0} GL(R_j)$ . Choose a function  $\alpha: J \rightarrow N$ , where  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , such that  $A_j \in GL(\alpha_j, R_j)$ . Form the tree of infinite sets  $S(\alpha) = \{S(\alpha)_j\}$  where  $S(\alpha)_j \subset J \times N$  consists of those pairs  $(i, k)$  where  $J \leq i$  and  $1 \leq k \leq \alpha_i$ . Consider  $A_i \in GL \times (\alpha_j, R_j)$  as having support  $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)_j = \{(j, 1), \dots, (j, \alpha_j)\}$ . Then  $\sum_{0 \leq j} A_j$  is an invertible germ in  $\Gamma(S(\alpha); R)^*$  blocked by  $S(\alpha)$ . Choose any proper bijection  $h: S(\alpha)_0 \rightarrow S_0$  as in (2.2) and set

$$\Delta_{\alpha}(A) = \left\langle h \cdot \left( \sum_{0 \leq j} A_j \right) \cdot h^{-1} \oplus 1 \right\rangle \in K_1(R) \tag{3.3}$$

where “ $\langle \rangle$ ” denotes the class in  $K_1(R)$  determined by the invertible germ  $h \cdot (\sum_{0 \leq j} A_j) \cdot h^{-1} \oplus 1$  in  $\Gamma(S; R)^*$ . See the following diagram:



Note that  $\Delta_\alpha(A)$  is independent of the choice of bijection  $h: S(\alpha)_0 \rightarrow S_0$ ; because any two such choices determine elements of  $\Gamma(S; R)^*$  which are conjugate. If  $A = \{A_j\}$  and  $B = \{B_j\}$  are in  $\prod_{0 \leq j} GL(R_j)$  and  $\alpha: J \rightarrow N$  is a function such that both  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  are in  $GL(\alpha_j; R_j)$  for all  $j \in J$ , then clearly  $\Delta_\alpha(A \cdot B) = \Delta_\alpha(A) \cdot \Delta_\alpha(B)$ . Hence to show that (3.3) gives a well defined homomorphism it suffices to show that if  $A_j \in GL(\alpha_j, R_j)$  for all  $j$  and  $\beta: J \rightarrow N$  is a function with  $\alpha_j < \beta_j$  for all  $j$  then  $\Delta_\alpha(A) = \Delta_\beta(A)$  in  $K_1(R)$ . Let  $A' = \{A'_j\}$  denote  $A$  considered as an element of  $\prod_{0 \leq j} GL \times (\beta_j, R_j)$ . Let  $X_A = \sum_{0 \leq j} A_j \in \Gamma(S(\alpha); R)^*$  and  $X_{A'} = \sum_{0 \leq j} A'_j \in \Gamma(S(\beta); R)^*$ . Note that  $S(\beta) = S(\alpha) \amalg S(\beta - \alpha)$ . Suppose  $\Gamma(S; R)$  has been made into a sum ring via the decomposition  $S = K \amalg L$  where  $K$  and  $L$  are two copies of  $S$  and let  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1 \in \Gamma(S; R)$  be as in the proof of (2.4). Choose proper bijections  $f: S(\alpha) \rightarrow K$  and  $g: S(\beta - \alpha) \rightarrow L$ . This gives a bijection  $f \amalg g: S(\alpha) \amalg S(\beta - \alpha) \rightarrow K \amalg L$ . Let  $h: S(\alpha) \rightarrow S$  be the proper bijection  $\alpha_0 \cdot f$ . Then  $h \cdot X_A \cdot h^{-1} \oplus 1 = (f \amalg g) \cdot X_{A'} \cdot (f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1})$  in  $\Gamma(S; R)^*$ . Hence  $h \cdot X_A \cdot h^{-1} \oplus 1$  is conjugate to

$$(h \cdot X_A \cdot h^{-1} \oplus 1) \oplus 1 = (f \amalg g) \cdot X_{A'} \cdot (f^{-1} \amalg g^{-1}) \oplus 1.$$

This says  $\Delta_\alpha(A) = \Delta_\beta(A)$  in  $K_1(R)$ . q.e.d.

To prove (3.1) we must first show that the sequence is a zero-sequence:

(a)  $\Delta \circ (I - S) = 0.$

Let  $A = \{A_j\} \in \prod_{j > 0} GL(\alpha_j, R_j)$  represent an element  $x \in \prod_{j > 0} K_1(R_j)$ . Then  $\Delta(x) - \Delta(S(x))$  is represented by the blocked germ

$$\sum_{0 \leq j} \left( \bigoplus_{|k|=|j|+1} (A_k \otimes R_j \oplus A_k^{-1} \otimes R_j \oplus I) \right)$$

This can be written as a product of commutators using (1.1) and (1.2).

(b)  $\partial \circ \Delta = 0.$

Let  $A = \{A_j\} \in \prod_{0 \leq j} GL(\alpha_j, R_j)$ . Then  $\sum_{j \geq 0} A_j \in \Gamma(S(\alpha); R)^*$  is a germ such that  $\sum_{k \leq j} A_j \otimes R_k$  is invertible in  $IR_k$ . Hence  $\varrho([\sum_{k \leq j} A_j \otimes R_k]) = 0$ . This says  $\partial \circ \Delta = 0$ .

(c)  $(I - S) \circ \partial = 0.$

For each element  $[A] \in \Gamma^*$  represented by  $A = \{A^\alpha\}$  we have  $(I - S) \circ \partial = 0$  because of condition (2) in § 2. In view of (1.8) this implies  $(I - S) \circ \partial = 0$  on  $K_1(R)$ .

Now we show that (3.1) is exact. For simplicity we assume  $J = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is the tree with one end. The general case is left to the reader.

*Exactness at  $\prod_{j > 0} K_0(R_j)$*

Let  $x = \{\langle P_j \rangle - \langle R_n^{n_j} \rangle\}_{j > 0}$  be an element killed by  $I - S$ . For convenience set  $P_0 = 0$  and  $n_0 = 0$ . Then for  $j \geq 0$  we have

$$\langle P_j \rangle - \langle R_j^{n_j} \rangle = \langle P_{j+1} \otimes R_j \rangle - \langle R_j^{n_{j+1}} \rangle$$

In particular there are positive integers  $m_j$  such that

$$P_j \oplus R_{j+1}^{n_j} \oplus R_j^{m_j} \cong (P_{j+1} \otimes R_j) \oplus R_j^{n_j} \oplus R_j^{m_j}.$$

Choose finitely generated projective modulus  $Q'_j$  over  $R_j$  such that  $P_j \oplus Q_j$  is free over  $R_j$ . Let  $Q_j = Q'_j \oplus R_j^{m_j}$ . Then

$$P_j \oplus Q_j \oplus R_j^{n_{j+1}} \cong R_j^{n_j} \oplus Q_j \oplus (P_{j+1} \otimes R_j)$$

In particular the module on the right hand side of the equation is free over  $R_j$ . Define the germ  $[A]$  where  $A = \{A^j\}$  by letting

$$A^j = \begin{array}{ccccccc} [R_j^{n_j} \oplus Q_j \oplus (P_{j+1} \otimes R_j)] \oplus [R_j^{n_{j+1}} \oplus (Q_{j+1} \otimes R_j) \oplus (P_{j+2} \otimes R_j)] \oplus \dots & & & & & & \\ \downarrow 0 & \downarrow id & \swarrow id & \searrow id & id \downarrow & & \dots \\ [P_j \oplus Q_j \oplus R_j^{n_{j+1}}] \oplus [(P_{j+1} \otimes R_j) \oplus (Q_{j+1} \otimes R_j) \oplus R_j^{n_{j+2}}] \oplus \dots & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Note that for  $j = 0$  the matrix  $A^0$  is in  $IR_0$  because  $P_0 = 0 = R_0^{n_0}$ . For  $j > 0$  the matrix  $A^j$  is only invertible modulo a finite matrix. It is clear that  $\varrho(A^j) = \langle P_j \rangle - \langle R_j^{n_j} \rangle$ . Hence  $\partial([A]) = x$ .

*Exactness at  $K_1(R)$*

Let  $E = \{e_j\}$  denote the standard tree associated to  $J$ . For  $0 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  let  $E(p, q) = \{e_j \mid p \leq j < q\}$  and let  $F(p, q; R')$  denote the free module generated over a ring by  $R'$  by the set  $E(p, q)$ .

To show exactness it suffices to show that for any germ  $[A] \in \Gamma^*$  with  $\partial[A] = 0$  there is a blocked matrix  $B = \Sigma B_i \in [\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$  such that  $[B \cdot A]$  has a blocked representative  $\sum_j M^j$  where  $M_j$  is an invertible square matrix over  $R_j$ .

So let  $\partial[A] = 0$ . Then using (1.5) we find a sequence of integers  $0 = n_{-1} = m_0 < n_0 < m_1 < n_1 < m_2 < n_2 < \dots$  and a representative  $A = \{\alpha^j\}$  of  $[A]$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) For  $0 \leq j$ ,  $\alpha^j$  is defined on  $F(n_{j-1}, \infty; R_j)$ ,  $\alpha^i = \alpha^j \otimes R_i$  on  $F(n_{j-1}, \infty; R_i)$  when  $0 \leq i < j$ ,  $\alpha^j(F(n_{j-1}, \infty; R_j)) \supset F(m_j, \infty; R_j)$ , and  $\alpha^j(F(n_j, \infty; R_j)) \subset F(m_j, \infty; R_j)$ .
- (2) Let  $Q_0 = F(m_0, m_1; R_0)$  and for  $j > 0$  let  $Q_j = \alpha^j(F(n_j, \infty; R_j)) \cap F(m_j, m_{j+1}; R_j)$ . Then for  $j > 0$ ,  $Q_j$  is free and is isomorphic to  $F(n_j, m_{j+1}; R_j)$ .
- (3) For  $j > 0$  let  $P_j = \alpha^j(F(n_{j-1}, n_j; R_j)) \cap F(m_j, m_{j+1}; R_j)$ . Then  $P_j$  is free and is isomorphic to  $F(m_j, n_j; R_j)$ .
- (4)  $F(m_j, m_{j+1}; R_j) = P_j \oplus Q_j$  and for  $j > 0$ ,  $\alpha^j(F(n_j, n_{j+1}; R_j)) = Q_j \oplus (P_{j+1} \oplus \oplus R_j)$ . See the diagram below.

Now by using [1, Cor. 1.10, p. 353] and rechoosing the  $m_j$  and  $n_j$  (if necessary)

it is possible to find isomorphisms

$$h_j: P_j \rightarrow F(m_j, n_j; R_j)$$


and

$$g_j: Q_j \rightarrow F(n_j, m_{j+1}; R_j)$$

whenever  $j > 0$  such that

$$h_j \oplus g_j: F(m_j, m_{j+1}; R_j) \rightarrow F(m_j, m_{j+1}; R_j)$$

is of the form  $[u_j, v_j]$  where  $u_j$  and  $v_j$  are in  $GL(m_{j+1} - m_j; R_j)$ . Let  $g_0 = id \in GL \times (m_1 - m_0; R_0)$ , and  $h_0 = 0$ . Let  $B = \{B^j\}$  where  $B^j = \sum_{j \leq k} (h_k \oplus g_k) \otimes R_j$ . Note that  $B$  is blocked with respect to the tree  $\{E(m_j, \infty)\}$  and in fact  $B = [u, v]$  where  $u = \sum_{0 \leq j} v_j$  and  $v = \sum_{0 \leq j} v_j$ . The germ  $[L \cdot A]$  is blocked with the respect to tree of infinite sets  $\{E(n'_j, \infty)\}$  where  $n'_0 = 0$  and  $n'_j = n_j$  for  $j > 0$ . q.e.d.



Exactness at  $\prod_{j \geq 0} K_1(R_j)$

Step I. Let  $G_0 \xleftarrow{f_0} G_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} G_2 \xleftarrow{\dots}$  be an inverse system of abelian groups and let  $f_{ij}: G_j \rightarrow G_i$  be the composition  $G_j \rightarrow G_{j-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_i$ . An amalgamation of  $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\} \in \prod_{0 \leq j} G_j$  is a sequence  $\beta = \{b_j\} \in \prod_{0 \leq j} G_j$  obtained from  $\alpha$  by choosing a sequence of integers  $0 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and letting  $\beta_j = \sum_i f_{ji}(x_i)$  where  $n_j \leq i < n_{j+1}$ .

LEMMA 3.4. The element  $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\} \in \prod_{0 \leq j} G_j$  lies in the image of  $I - S$  if some amalgamation  $\beta = \{\beta_j\}$  of  $\alpha$  lies in the image of  $I - S$ .

Proof. Suppose there is an element  $X = \{X_j\} \in \prod_{0 < j} G_j$  such that  $X - S(X) = \beta$  where  $\beta$  is obtained from  $\alpha$  as above. Then


$$\beta_0 = -S(X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = X_j - S(X_{j+1}) \quad \text{for } j > 0.$$

For  $j \geq 1$  define  $Y_j = X_j + \sum_i f_{ji}(\alpha_i)$  where  $j \leq i < n_j$ . Then  $\alpha_0 = -S(Y_1)$  and  $\alpha_j = Y_j - S(Y_{j+1})$  for  $j > 0$ .

Step II. Now let  $G = \prod_{j \leq j} K_1(R_j)$  and  $G^+ = \prod_{0 < j} K_1(R_j)$ . We show exactness by taking an element in  $G$  which is killed by  $\Delta$  and finding some amalgamation of it which pulls back to  $G^+$ .

Let  $z \in G$  be in  $\ker \Delta$  and let  $z = \{A_j\}$  where  $A_j \in GL(n_j, R_j)$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Then  $\Delta(z)$  is represented by the blocked germ  $[A]$  where  $A = \sum_{j \geq 0} A_j$  and the support of  $A_j$  is  $E(P_j, P_{j+1})$  where  $P_0 = 0$  and  $P_j = n_0 + \dots + n_{j-1}$  for  $j > 0$ . To say that  $\Delta(z) = 0$  implies that some stabilization  $[(A \oplus 1) \oplus \dots \oplus 1]$  lies in  $[\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$ . Now  $(A \oplus 1) \oplus \dots \oplus 1$  is a blocked matrix of the form  $\sum A'_j$  where  $A'_j$  is some large square matrix conjugate to  $A_j$  in  $GL(R_j)$ . Hence  $\{A'_j\}$  also represents  $z$  so we may as well assume that the matrix  $A = \sum_{0 \leq j} A_j$  is itself in  $[\Gamma^*, \Gamma^*]$  and, in fact, that it is in  $E_n(\Gamma)$  for some  $n$ . By (2.7) we know that  $[A] \cdot [X] \cdot [Y] = 1$  where  $x = \sum_{0 \leq j} M^j(X)$  and  $Y = \sum_{0 \leq j} M^j(Y)$  are blocked and the square matrices  $M^j(X)$  and  $M^j(Y)$  are products of elementary matrices over  $R_j$ .

Use amalgamation as in (2.7) to write  $A = \Sigma A'_j$ ,  $X = \Sigma X'_j$  and  $Y = \Sigma Y'_j$  as shown schematically by the following diagram:



The integers  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are intertwined sequences  $0 = m_0 = n_0 < m_1 < n_1 < m_2 < \dots$ .

Let  $B = \sum_{j \geq 0} B_j$  be the blocked matrix defined by

$$B_0 = A'_0 \cdot X'_0 \cdot Y'_0$$

and for  $j > 0$

$$B_j = A'_j \cdot (X'_{2j} \otimes R_j).$$

Note that  $B_j = A'_j$  when considered as elements of  $K_1(R_j)$ . Also, the sequence  $\{B_j\}$  considered as an element of  $G$  is an amalgamation of the original element  $z \in G$  in the sense of Step I.

Let

$$K = \sum_{0 \leq j} K_j$$

where


$$K_0 = id \in GL(m_1 - m_0, R_0)$$

and for  $j > 0$

$$K_j = Y_j^{-1} \cdot (X_{2j-1}^{-1} \otimes R_j)$$

we have  $[B] = [K]$  in  $\Gamma^*$ .

The support of  $B_j$  is  $E(n_j, n_{j+1})$  and the support of  $K_j$  is  $E(m_j, m_{j+1})$ . For short set  $\alpha_j = E(n_j, n_{j+1})$  and  $\kappa_j = E(m_j, m_{j+1})$ . The matrices  $A$  and  $K$  overlap as in the following diagram:



The solid squares are the  $\alpha_j \times \alpha_j$  and the dashed squares are the  $\kappa_j \times \kappa_j$ . Each square  $\alpha_j \times \alpha_j$  is the union of two diagonal squares  $(\alpha_j \times \alpha_j) \cap (\kappa_j \times \kappa_j)$  and  $(\alpha_j \times \alpha_j) \cap (\kappa_{j-1} \times \kappa_{j-1})$  and two off diagonal rectangles  $(\alpha_j \cap \kappa_{j+1}) \times (\alpha_j \cap \kappa_j)$  and  $(\alpha_j \cap \kappa_j) \times (\alpha_j \cap \kappa_{j+1})$ .

Recall that  $B^j = \sum_{j \leq k} B_j \otimes R_k$  and similarly for  $K^j$ . Since  $B^0 = K^0$ , each of the square matrices  $B_j \otimes R_0$  and  $K_j \otimes R_0$  has zero entries in the off diagonal rectangles. Since  $B^j = K^j + \text{finite matrix}$  when  $j > 0$  we see that for each such  $j$  the square matrices  $B_l \otimes R_j$  and  $K_l \otimes R_j$  are zero in the off diagonal rectangles for  $l$  large enough. By amalgamating the  $B_j$ 's and the  $K_j$ 's again and absorbing (when necessary) the  $K_j^{-1}$ 's into the new blocks of the amalgamated  $B_j$ 's we can assume that  $B = \sum_{0 \leq j} B_j$  and  $K = \sum_{0 \leq j} K_j$  satisfy the following properties:

(a) each  $B_j$  and  $K_j$  has zero entries in the off diagonal rectangles.

(b)  $K_0 = id$ , and for  $j > 0$  and  $l \geq j$ ,  $K_l = B_l$  in the squares  $(\alpha_l \times \alpha_l) \cap (\kappa_l \times \kappa_l)$  and  $K_l \otimes R_{l-1} = B_{l-1}$  in the square  $(\alpha_{l-1} \times \alpha_{l-1}) \cap (\kappa_l \times \kappa_l)$ .

Now for  $j > 0$  let  $y_j = K_j$  restricted to  $(\alpha_{j-1} \times \alpha_{j-1}) \cap (\kappa_j \times \kappa_j)$  and  $x_j = B_j$  restricted to  $(\alpha_j \times \alpha_j) \cap (\kappa_j \times \kappa_j)$ . Then

$$K_j = \begin{pmatrix} y_j & 0 \\ 0 & x_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

so both  $y_j$  and  $x_j$  are square invertible matrices over  $R_j$ . Since  $K_j$  is a product of elementary matrices,  $y_j = -x_j$  when considered as elements of  $K_1(R_j)$ . Since

$$B_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_j & 0 \\ 0 & y_{j+1} \otimes R_j \end{pmatrix}$$

we have  $B_j = x_j + y_{j+1} \otimes R_j$  in  $K_1(R_j)$ . Let  $\beta_j \in K_1(R_j)$  be the element of determined by  $B_j$ . Then

$$\beta_0 = -s(x_1) \text{ in } K_1(R_0)$$

and

$$\beta_j = x_j - s(x_{j+1}) \text{ in } K_1(R_j)$$

for  $j > 0$ . This says that the element  $\beta = \{\beta_j\}$  lies in the image of  $I - S$ . But  $\beta \in G$  is an amalgamation of the original element  $z \in G$ , so  $z$  is also in the image of  $I - S$  by Step I. This completes the proof of (3.1).

Let  $G = \{G_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  be a tree of groups over  $J$ . The *group ring of  $G$* , written  $Z[G]$ , is the tree of rings over  $J$  given by the collection  $\{Z[G_j], \gamma_{ij}\}$  where the ring homomorphism  $\gamma_{ij}: Z[G_j] \rightarrow Z[G_i]$  is the one induced by  $\gamma_{ij}: G_j \rightarrow G_i$ . Let  $Z_J$  denote the tree of rings  $\{Z_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  over  $J$  where  $Z_j = Z$  and  $\gamma_{ij} = id$  for all  $i, j \in J$ . There is a natural "morphism"  $i: Z_J \rightarrow Z[G]$  of rings over  $J$  given by  $Z_j \rightarrow Z[G_j]$ , similarly we have a morphism  $e: Z[G] \rightarrow Z_J$  given by the evaluation maps  $Z[G_j] \rightarrow Z_j$ . These morphisms of trees of rings induce homomorphisms  $i_*: K_1(Z_J) \rightarrow K_1(Z[G])$  and  $e_*: K_1(Z[G]) \rightarrow K_1(Z_J)$  such that  $e_* \circ i_* = id: K_1(Z_J) \rightarrow K_1(Z_J)$ . Let  $\bar{K}_1(Z[G]) = \text{coker}(K_1(Z_J) \rightarrow K_1(Z[G]))$ . Then  $K_1(Z[G]) = K_1(Z_J) \oplus \bar{K}_1(Z[G])$ .

Let  $\pm G \subset \Gamma(Z[G])^*$  be the subgroup of diagonal germs with group entries; that is, a germ  $D = \{D^j\} = \{(d_{pq}^j)\}$  lies in  $\pm G$  iff for each  $j \in J$ ,  $d_{p,q}^j = 0$  for  $p \neq q$  and  $d_{p,p}^j = \pm g_p$  where  $g_p \in G_j$ .

Now define the Whitehead group of  $G$  as

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \bar{K}_1(Z[G]) \text{ mod } \langle \pm G \rangle \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\langle \pm G \rangle \subset \bar{K}_1(Z[G])$  is the subgroup generated by the elements of  $\pm G$ .

Arguing in a similar way to the proof of (3.1) one can derive the following exact sequence which is of interest in the theory of algebraic torsion for infinite simple homotopy types discussed in [4] and [14].

**THEOREM 3.6.** *There is a five term exact sequence*

$$\prod_{0 < j} \text{Wh}(G_j) \xrightarrow{I-S} \prod_{0 \leq j} \text{Wh}(G_j) \xrightarrow{A} \text{Wh}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial} \prod_{0 < j} \tilde{K}_0(G_j) \xrightarrow{I-S} \prod_{0 \leq j} \tilde{K}_0(G_j).$$

Here  $\text{Wh}(G_j)$  is the ordinary Whitehead group of  $G_j$  and  $\tilde{K}_0(G_j)$  is the reduced group  $\tilde{K}_0(G_j) = \text{coker}(K_0(Z) \rightarrow K_0(Z[G_j]))$ . Here are some examples of the sequences (3.1) and (3.6).

**EXAMPLE 1.** Suppose the tree of rings  $R$  is the inverse system  $B \xleftarrow{f} A \xleftarrow{id} A \xleftarrow{id} A \xleftarrow{id} A \xleftarrow{id} \dots$ . Then  $\Gamma(R) = \gamma f$  as in Example 2 of § 2 and the basic sequence (3.1) reduces to the sequence

$$K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow K_1(\gamma f) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B). \tag{3.7}$$

Now “classically” there is the exact sequence of Bass

$$K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow K_0(f) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B) \tag{3.8}$$

as described in [1].

There is a natural isomorphism of sequences

$$K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \begin{array}{c} \nearrow K_1(\gamma f) \\ \searrow K_0(f) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B). \tag{3.9}$$

$\theta \downarrow$

We indicate how to construct the isomorphism  $\theta: K_1(\gamma f) \rightarrow K_0(f)$  and leave it to the reader to check as an exercise that everything is well defined, etc. Recall that  $K_0(f)$  is “ $K_1$ ” of the category of triples  $(P, \alpha, Q)$  where  $P$  and  $Q$  are finitely generated, projective  $A$ -modules and  $\alpha: P \otimes B \rightarrow Q \otimes B$  is a  $B$ -linear isomorphism.

Let  $A^\infty$  denote the free  $A$ -module based on  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ , let  $A^n \subset A^\infty$  denote the free submodule based on  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots\}$ , and let  $A_n \subset A^\infty$  be the free module based on  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Similarly for  $B^\infty, B^n$ , and  $B_n$ . Now let  $(\beta, \hat{\alpha}) \in \gamma f$  where  $\beta \in lB, \alpha \in lA$  represents  $\hat{\alpha} \in \mu A$ , and  $\alpha \otimes B = \beta + \text{finite matrix}$ . As in § 1 choose an integer  $n$  so large that  $A^\infty/\alpha(A^n)$  is finitely generated, projective over  $A$ . Also choose  $n$  so large that  $\alpha \otimes B \mid B^n = \beta \mid B^n$ . Then let

$$\theta((\beta, \hat{\alpha})) = (A^\infty/A^n, \bar{\beta}, A^\infty/\alpha(A_n)) \text{ in } K_0(f)$$

where

$$\bar{\beta}: (A^\infty/A^n) \otimes B \rightarrow (A^\infty/\alpha(A_n)) \otimes B$$

is just the map induced by  $\beta$ :

$$(A^\infty/A^n) \otimes B = B^\infty/B^n \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} B^\infty/\alpha(A^n) \otimes B = (A^\infty/\alpha(A_n)) \otimes B.$$

If  $G$  is the tree of groups  $G_0 \xleftarrow{f} G_1 \xleftarrow{id} G_2 \xleftarrow{id} \dots$  and  $Z[G_0] \xleftarrow{f} Z[G_1] \xleftarrow{id} Z[G_2] \xleftarrow{id} \dots$  is the associated tree of rings, the exact sequence (3.6) reduces to

$$\text{Wh}(G_1) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(G_1) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(G_0) \tag{3.10}$$

Define  $\text{Wh}(f) = K_0(f) / \langle \pm g \rangle$  where  $\langle \pm g \rangle$  is the subgroup generated by triples of the form  $(Z[G_1], \pm g, Z[G_1])$  for  $g \in G_0$ . There is an exact sequence

$$\text{Wh}(G_1) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(f) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(G_1) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(G_0). \tag{3.11}$$

As in (3.8) there is an isomorphism  $\text{Wh}(G) \rightarrow \text{Wh}(f)$  which produces an isomorphism between the sequences (3.10) and (3.11).

*Example 2.* Let  $R$  be the inverse system with two stable ends

$$\rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \leftarrow B \leftarrow B \leftarrow \dots$$

Then (3.1) reduces to

$$K_1(A) \oplus K_1(B) \rightarrow K_1(C) \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_0(A) \oplus K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(C).$$

*Remark.* Let  $R = \{R_j, \gamma_{ij}\}$  be a tree of rings over  $J$  and let  $J' \subset J$  be a cofinal subset containing the smallest element  $0 \in J$  as in (2.1). We get a tree of rings  $R' = \{R'_j, \gamma'_{ij}\}$  by just restricting the indices  $i$  and  $j$  to be in  $J'$ . Then the isomorphism (2.1) induces an isomorphism

$$K_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R'). \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, if  $R = Z[G]$  and  $R' = Z[G']$  are trees of group rings, then there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Wh}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Wh}(G'). \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, while the exact sequences (3.1) and (3.6) are different for  $R$  and  $R'$ , the middle term stays the same.

§ 4. A Definition of  $K_1(f)$

As an interesting sideline to the main emphasis of this paper we note that the exact sequence

$$K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \rightarrow K_0(f) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$$

of any ring homomorphism  $f:A \rightarrow B$  can be extended to an exact sequence

$$K_2(A) \rightarrow K_2(B) \rightarrow K_1(f) \rightarrow K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \tag{4.1}$$

Here the  $K_2$  is the one defined by Milnor in [8] and, by definition, we take

$$K_1(f) = K_2(\gamma f) \tag{4.2}$$

When  $f:A \rightarrow B$  is a surjection  $K_2(\gamma f)$  is naturally isomorphic to the relative  $K_1(f)$  defined by Bass [1] and the sequence (4.1) is naturally isomorphic to the usual one as constructed in [8]. In fact, in [16] and [5] the exactness of (4.1) is established and it is shown that the sequence can be extended indefinitely to the left using the higher  $K_i$ 's of Quillen [10]. Since [16] and [5] supersede our original argument we simply indicate here the proof of

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *For any surjection  $f:A \rightarrow B$  there is a natural isomorphism  $\theta:K_2(\gamma f) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(f)$ .*

To define the homomorphism  $\theta$ , let  $z \in K_2(\gamma f)$  be represented by the word  $\prod x_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}(b_\alpha, a_\alpha) \in St(\gamma f)$  where  $b_\alpha \in lB$  and  $a_\alpha \in \mu A$ . Choose a lifting  $a'_\alpha \in lA$  of  $a_\alpha \in \mu A$  such that  $f(a'_\alpha) = b_\alpha$ . This can be done because  $f$  is a surjection. Now the matrix  $M_z = \prod e_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}(a'_\alpha) \in GL(lA)$  actually lies in the subgroup  $GL(mA)$  of  $GL(lA) = E(lA)$  because  $\prod e_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}(a_\alpha) = id$  in  $E(\mu A)$ , which is isomorphic to  $E(lA) \text{ mod } GL(mA)$ . See [16]. Furthermore, since  $\prod e_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}(b_\alpha) = id$  in  $GL(lB)$  and  $f(a'_\alpha) = b_\alpha$ , the matrix  $M_z$  lies in the kernel of  $GL(mA) \rightarrow GL(mB)$ . Hence we can define

$$\theta(z) = \langle M_z \rangle \in K_1(f).$$

Here we are using the natural isomorphism  $GL(A) \cong GL(mA)$ . See [16].

The argument showing  $\theta$  is well defined is essentially a combination of the arguments of Lemma 6.1 of [8] and Lemma 1.2 of [16]. One now checks that there is a transformation of exact sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & K_2(\gamma f) & & \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \theta & \searrow & \\
 K_2(A) \rightarrow & K_2(B) & & & K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B) \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & K_1(f) & & 
 \end{array}$$

Hence the ‘‘five-lemma’’ says  $\theta$  is an isomorphism.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BASS, H., *Algebraic K-Theory*, Benjamin, 1968.
- [2] COHEN, M., *A general theory of relative regular neighborhoods*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 136, 189–229.
- [3] FARRELL F. T. and WAGONER, J. B., *A torsion invariant for proper  $h$ -cobordisms*, Notices of Amer. Math. Soc., 16, No. 6, October 1969.
- [4] —, *Algebraic torsion for infinite simple homotopy types*, to appear.
- [5] GERSTEN, S. M., *On the spectrum of algebraic K-theory*, to appear in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [6] KAROUBI M. and VILLAMAYOR, O., *K-Theorie Hermétienne*, Notes from I.R.M.A., rue René Descartes, Strasbourg.
- [7] —, *Fonteurs  $K^n$  en algebra et en topologie*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 269, (1969) p. 269.
- [8] MILNOR, J., *Notes on Algebraic K-Theory*, Mass. Inst. Techn.
- [9] —, *Whitehead Torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, (1966) 358–426.
- [10] QUILLEN, D., *Cohomology of Groups*, International Congress of Mathematicians, Nice, 1970.
- [11] DERHAM, G., MAUMARY, S. and KERVAIRE, M. A., *Torsion et Type Simple d'Homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 48, Springer-Verlag.
- [12] SIEBENMANN, L., *Infinite Simple Homotopy Types*, Indag. Math., 32, (1970) No. 5.
- [13] SWAN, R., *Algebraic K-Theory*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 76.
- [14] TAYLOR, L. R., *Surgery on Paracompact Manifolds*, Thesis, University of California at Berkeley, 1971.
- [15] WAGONER, J. B., *On  $K_2$  of the Laurent Polynomial Ring*, Amer. Jour. Math, Jan (1971), 123–138.
- [16] —, *Delooping Classifying Spaces in Algebraic K-Theory*, preprint, U.C. at Berkeley

Received January 22, 1972