Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 47 (1972)

Artikel: Infinite Symplectic Groups over Rings

Autor: Maxwell, George

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-36364

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 06.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Infinite Symplectic Groups over Rings

GEORGE MAXWELL

§0. Introduction

Let A be a commutative ring, M a free A-module $A^{(I)}$, for some infinite set I, and $R = \operatorname{End}_A(M)$. In a previous paper [4], we proved that normal subgroups of the group U(R) of units of R must lie in congruence layers determined by the ideals of A. We now suppose that M possesses a nondegenerate alternate bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) and prove a similar result for the infinite symplectic group

$$Sp(R) = \{u \in U(R) \mid (u(x), u(y)) = (x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in M\},$$

at least when $\frac{1}{2} \in A$ and the form (\cdot, \cdot) is "locally hyperbolic". The strategy of the proof is again the one mapped out by Bass in [2] and [3]. When A is a field, our results coincide with those of Spiegel [6]. One should also note that Bak [1], Vaserstein [7] and Vaserstein and Mihalev [8] have recently studied the orthogonal analogue of Bass' results in the "stable" finite case.

§1. Locally Hyperbolic forms

A submodule N of M is called hyperbolic if $M = N \oplus N$ and $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, where N_1 and N_2 are totally isotropic and have bases $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{e^j\}_{j \in J}$ such that $(e_j, e^j) = 1$ for all $j \in J$. The basis $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$ is then called a hyperbolic basis of N. The form (\cdot, \cdot) is called locally hyperbolic if every finitely generated submodule of M is contained in a hyperbolic submodule. When A is a field, this condition is automatically satisfied. In general, it may be satisfied by assuming a priori the existence of a hyperbolic basis for all of M.

(1.1.) Remark. If (\cdot, \cdot) is locally hyperbolic, then for all unimodular $x \in M$ there exists a unimodular $y \in M$ such that (y, x) = 1. For suppose N is a hyperbolic submodule of M containing x and $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$ is a hyperbolic basis of N. If $x = \sum (e_j a_j + e^j a^j)$, there must exist a relation $\sum (b_j a_j + b^j a^j) = 1$ since x is unimodular. It suffices to take $y = \sum (e_j b^j - e^j b_j)$.

If **q** is an ideal of A, the form (\cdot, \cdot) induces, as usual, an alternate bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{q}}$ on the free A/\mathbf{q} -module $M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q} \cong (A/\mathbf{q})^{(I)}$ which, in general, need not be nondegenerate. However, if (\cdot, \cdot) is locally hyperbolic, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{q}}$ is clearly locally hyperbolic and is furthermore nondegenerate. For suppose $x \otimes 1 \in M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}$ is such that $(x \otimes 1, y \otimes 1)_{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ for all $y \in M$. Let N be a hyperbolic submodule of M containing

x and suppose $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$ is a hyperbolic basis of N. If $x = \sum (e_j a_j + e^j a^j)$, we have $(x, e^j) = a_i$ and $(x, e_i) = -a^j$ so that all a_i and a^j must be in \mathbf{q} i.e. $x \otimes 1 = 0$.

We have $\operatorname{End}_{A/\mathbf{q}}(M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}) \cong \operatorname{End}_{A/\mathbf{q}}((A/\mathbf{q})^{(I)}) \cong R/(\mathbf{q})$, where $(\mathbf{q}) = \{u \in U(R) \mid u(M) \subset M \cdot \mathbf{q}\}$ is an ideal of R. The projection $R \to R/(\mathbf{q})$ induces a homomorphism $U(R) \to U(R/(\mathbf{q}))$ and, if we regard $M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}$ as being equipped with the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{q}}$, a homomorphism $\operatorname{Sp}(R) \to \operatorname{Sp}(R/(\mathbf{q}))$. The kernel of this homomorphism is denoted by $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{q})$ and the inverse image of the center of $\operatorname{Sp}(R/\mathbf{q})$ by $\operatorname{Sp}'(\mathbf{q})$.

§2. Preliminary Results

From now on, the form (\cdot, \cdot) is assumed to be locally hyperbolic. For every unimodular $x \in M$ and every $a \in A$, the mapping $\tau(a, x)(m) = m + x \cdot a(m, x)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sp}(R)$ and is called a transvection. The subgroup generated by all transvections is denoted by $\operatorname{ESp}(R)$. If \mathbf{q} is an ideal of A and $a \in \mathbf{q}$, $\tau(a, x)$ is called a \mathbf{q} -transvection: the subgroup generated by all \mathbf{q} -transvections is denoted by $\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sp}(R)$, the formula

$$\sigma\tau(a, x) \sigma^{-1} = \tau(a, \sigma(x)) \tag{2.1}$$

shows that ESp(q), and in particular ESp(R), is a normal subgroup of Sp(R) for all ideals q. It is clear that ESp(q) = ESp(q') only if q = q'.

(2.2) PROPOSITION. The orbits of ESp(q) operating on the unimodular elements of M are the congruence classes $mod M \cdot q$. In particular, ESp(R) operates transitively.

Proof. Suppose x and y are unimodular elements of M congruent $\operatorname{mod} M \cdot \mathbf{q}$. Since (\cdot, \cdot) is locally hyperbolic, there exists a hyperbolic submodule N containing both x and y. Let $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$ be a hyperbolic basis of N. It is sufficient to show that a fixed $e_i \in N$ can be mapped into any unimodular element $z \equiv e_i \mod M \cdot \mathbf{q}$ by an element of $\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$. For then, applying this with $\mathbf{q} = A$, we first see that $\beta(x) = e_i$ for some $\beta \in \operatorname{ESp}(R)$. Since $\beta(y) \equiv e_i \mod M \cdot \mathbf{q}$, the same argument shows that $\gamma(e_i) = \beta(y)$ for some $\gamma \in \operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$. Therefore $\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta(x) = y$ and $\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta \in \operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$.

By enlarging N if necessary, we may assume that for a certain index $k \in J(k \neq i)$, both e_k and e^k occur with coefficient zero in z. Suppose

$$z = e_i(1 + q_i) + e^i q^i + \sum_{j \neq i} (e_j q_j + e^j q^j).$$

Since z is unimodular, there exists a relation

$$a_i(1+q_i) + a^i q^i + \sum_{j \neq i} (a_j q_j + a^j q^j) = 1.$$

Let

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{j} = \tau\left(-q_{j} - q^{j} - q_{j}q^{j}, e^{i}\right)\tau\left(-q^{j}, e^{j}\right)\tau\left(q^{j}, e^{i} + e^{j}\right)\tau\left(q_{j}, e^{i} + e_{j}\right)\\ &\beta = \tau\left(-q_{i}, e^{k} - e_{i} + e^{i} - e_{k}\right)\tau\left(q_{i}, e^{k} - e_{i}\right)\tau\left(q_{i}\left(1 + q^{i}\right), e^{i} - e_{k}\right)\tau\left(q^{i}, e^{i}\right)\\ &\gamma_{j} = \tau\left(q_{i}a_{j}, e^{j} + e^{k}\right)\tau\left(-q_{i}a_{j}, e^{j}\right)\tau\left(-q_{i}a^{j}, e_{j} + e^{k}\right)\tau\left(q_{i}a^{j}, e_{j}\right)\\ &\delta = \left(\prod_{j \neq k} \gamma_{j}\right)\beta\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \alpha_{j}\right) \end{split}$$

Then $\delta(e_i) = z$ since $\prod_{j \neq i} \alpha_j$ adds $\sum_{j \neq i} (e_j q_j + e^j q^j)$ to e_i , β adds $e_i q_i + e^i q^i$ at the expense of subtracting $e^k q_i$ and $\prod_{j \neq k} \gamma_j$ removes the $e^k q_i$.

(2.3) COROLLARY. The natural homomorphism $\mathrm{ESp}(R) \to \mathrm{ESp}(R/(q))$ is surjective.

Proof. Let $\tau(\bar{a}, \bar{x})$ be a transvection in $\mathrm{ESp}(R/(\mathbf{q}))$: \bar{x} is unimodular in $M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}$, but x need not be unimodular in M. Suppose N is a hyperbolic submodule of M containing x with a hyperbolic basis $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$. Applying (2.2) to $M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}$, we see that $\bar{x} = \bar{\delta}(\bar{e}_i)$ for some $i \in J$ and $\bar{\delta}$ constructed as above; hence $\tau(\bar{a}, \bar{x}) = \bar{\delta}\tau(\bar{a}, \bar{e}_i)\bar{\delta}^{-1}$. However, each of the unimodular elements of $M \otimes_A A/\mathbf{q}$ occurring in the transvections composing $\bar{\delta}$ clearly comes from a unimodular element of M.

(2.4) PROPOSITION.

$$ESp(q) = [ESp(R), ESp(q)].$$

Proof. In view of (2.2) and (2.1), it is sufficient to prove that all q-transvections $\tau(a, x)$ for some particular unimodular $x \in M$ are in [ESp(R), ESp(q)]. Choose a hyperbolic submodule N with a hyperbolic basis $\{e_i, e^i\}_{1 \le i \le 3}$. The easily verified identity

$$\tau(-a, e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \tau(a, e_1 + e_2) \tau(a, e_1 + e_3) \tau(a, e_2 + e_3) \tau(-a, e_1).$$

$$\tau(-a, e_2) \tau(-a, e_3) = 1$$

can be written in the form

$$\tau(a, e_1) = [\beta, \tau(-a, e_2 + e_3) \tau(a, e_3)] [\gamma, \tau(a, e_2)],$$

where $\beta = \tau(-1, e^3) \tau(1, e^3 + e_1)$ and $\gamma = \tau(-1, e^2) \tau(1, e^2 + e_1)$ are in ESp(R) and have the effect, respectively, of sending e_3 to $e_3 + e_1$ and e_2 to $e_2 + e_1$.

(2.5) PROPOSITION.

$$[\mathrm{ESp}(R),\mathrm{Sp}'(\mathbf{q})]=\mathrm{ESp}(\mathbf{q}).$$

Proof. We first show that $[ESp(R), Sp(q)] \subset ESp(q)$. If $\tau(a, x)$ is any transvection and $\sigma \in Sp(q)$, then by (2.2) $\sigma(x) = \beta(x)$ for some $\beta \in ESp(q)$. Hence $[\tau(a, x), \sigma] = \tau(a, x)$ $\tau(-a, \sigma(x)) = [\tau(a, x), \beta] \in ESp(q)$. Reducing mod \mathbf{q} , we see that $[ESp(R), Sp'(q)] \subset Sp(q)$; therefore $[ESp(R), [ESp(R), Sp'(q)]] \subset ESp(q)$. The "3-subgroups" lemma [5, p. 59] now implies that $[[ESp(R), ESp(R)], Sp'(q)] \subset ESp(q)$. However, [ESp(R), ESp(R)] = ESp(R) by (2.4) so that $[ESp(R), Sp'(q)] \subset ESp(q)$; the opposite inclusion follows from (2.4).

§3. The Main Theorem

From now on, we assume that $\frac{1}{2} \in A$. In the following propositions, G is a subgroup of Sp(R) normalised by ESp(R).

(3.1) PROPOSITION. If $(x, \sigma(x)) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in G$ and all unimodular $x \in M$, then G is contained in the center of Sp(R).

Proof. Linearising the identity $(x, \sigma(x)) = 0$, we conclude that if x, y and x + y are all unimodular, then $(x, \sigma(y)) + ((y, \sigma(x))) = 0$. Since every $x \in M$ can be written in the form $\sum e_i a_i$ for some basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of M, we conclude that $(x, \sigma(x)) = \sum (e_i, \sigma(e_i)) a_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (e_i, \sigma(e_j)) + (e_j, \sigma(e_i)) a_i a_j = 0$ for all $x \in M$.

Therefore, for all $x, y \in M$, we have $(x, \sigma(y)) = -(y, \sigma(x)) = (\sigma(x), y) = (x, \sigma^{-1}(y))$. Since (\cdot, \cdot) is nondegenerate, we conclude that $\sigma = \sigma^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in G$, i. e. G is an abelian group consisting of involutions.

If $\sigma \in G$ and $x \in M$ is unimodular, $[\sigma, \tau(1, x)] = \tau(1, \sigma(x)) \tau(-1, x) \in G$ and is therefore an involution. Moreover, $\tau(1, \sigma(x))$ commutes with $\tau(-1, x)$ since $(x, \sigma(x)) = 0$. We conclude that $\tau(2, \sigma(x)) = \tau(2, x)$ i. e. $2(y, \sigma(x)) \sigma(x) = 2(y, x) x$ for all $y \in M$. In view of (1.1), we can choose y such that $(y, \sigma(x)) = 1$; since $\frac{1}{2} \in A$, it follows that $\sigma(x) = xa_x$ for some $a_x \in A$. If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis of M and $\sigma(e_i) = e_i a_i$, then $\sigma(e_i + e_j) = (e_i + e_j)a_{ij} = e_i a_i + e_j a_j$, so that $a_i = a_{ij} = a_j$ for $i \neq j$. Hence a_x is independent of x and σ is in the center.

(3.2) PROPOSITION. If G is not contained in the center of Sp(R), then G contains a transvection $\tau \neq 1$.

Proof. By (3.1), $(x, \sigma(x)) = a \neq 0$ for some $\sigma \in G$ and some unimodular $x \in M$. Then $\sigma_1 = [\sigma, \tau(1, x)] = \tau(1, \sigma(x))$. $\tau(-1, x) \in G$. Let N be a hyperbolic submodule of M containing both x and $\sigma(x)$; suppose $\{e_j, e^j\}_{j \in J}$ is a hyperbolic basis of N. Enlarging N if necessary, we may assume that for some $k \in J$, both e_k and e^k occur with zero coefficient in x and $\sigma(x)$. Then G contains $\sigma_2 = [\tau(-1, \sigma(x)) \tau(1, e_k + \sigma(x)), \sigma_1] = \tau(1, \sigma(x)) \tau(-1, x + e_k a) \tau(1, x) \tau(-1, \sigma(x))$ and hence $\sigma_3 = \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \tau(1, x) \cdot \tau(-1, x + e_k a)$.

The construction of (1.1) produces an element $y \in N$ such that (y, x) = 1 and both

 e_k and e^k occur with zero coefficient in y. Thus G contains $[\tau(1, y) \tau(-1, e_k + y), \sigma_3]$ = $\tau(1, x + e_k) \tau(-1, x + (a+1)e_k) \tau(1, x + ae_k) \tau(-1, x) = \tau(-2a, e_k)$.

(3.3) PROPOSITION If G contains a transvection $\tau \neq 1$, then $G \supset \text{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$ for some $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\tau(a, x) \in G$ for some $a \neq 0$ and some unimodular $x \in M$. In view of (2.1), (2.2) implies that $\tau(a, x) \in G$ for all unimodular $x \in M$. To prove that $\mathrm{ESp}(aA) \subset G$, it is therefore sufficient to show that $\tau(ab, x) \in G$ for a particular unimodular $x \in M$ and all $b \in A$.

Let N be a hyperbolic submodule of M with a hyperbolic basis $\{e_j, e^j\}_{1 \le j \le 3}$. As in the proof of (2.4), the identity

$$\tau(-a, e_2 + e_3 + be_1) \tau(a, e_2 + be_1) \tau(a, e_3 + be_1) \tau(a, e_2 + e_3) \tau(-a, e_2).$$

$$\tau(-a, e_3) \tau(-ab^2, e_1) = 1$$

can be written as

$$\tau(ab^{2}, e_{1}) = [\beta, \tau(-a, e_{2} + e_{3}) \tau(a, e_{3})] [\gamma, \tau(a, e_{2})]$$

where $\beta = \tau(-b, e^3) \tau(b, e^3 + e_1)$ and $\gamma = \tau(-b, e^2) \tau(b, e^2 + e_1)$ are in ESp(R) and $\tau(-a, e_2 + e_3) = \tau(a, e_2 + e_3)^{-1}$, $\tau(a, e_3)$ and $\tau(a, e_2)$ belong to G in view of the initial remarks. Hence $\tau(ab^2, e_1) \tau(ac^2, e_1)^{-1} = \tau(a(b^2 - c^2), e_1) \in G$ for all $a, b \in A$. Since $\frac{1}{2} \in A$, any element in A can be written in the form $b^2 - c^2$, proving the assertion.

We now come to our principal result.

- (3.4) THEOREM. Suppose $\frac{1}{2} \in A$ and the form (\cdot, \cdot) is locally hyperbolic. The following assertions are equivalent:
 - (i) G is a subgroup of Sp(R) normalised by ESp(R).
 - (ii) There exists a unique ideal q in A such that $ESp(q) \subset G \subset Sp'(q)$.

Proof. Choose **q** maximal w.r.t. the property $\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q}) \subset G$. Suppose $G \not\subset \operatorname{Sp'}(\mathbf{q})$; then the image \overline{G} of G in $\operatorname{Sp}(R/(\mathbf{q}))$ will not be in the center. Since the homomorphism $\operatorname{ESp}(R) \to \operatorname{ESp}(R/(\mathbf{q}))$ is surjective by (2.3), we may apply (3.2) and (3.3) to \overline{G} and conclude that $\overline{G} \supset \operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q'/q})$ for some ideal $\mathbf{q'} \supset \mathbf{q'}$; lifting to A, we have $\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q'}) \subset \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot G$. Now by (2.4) and (2.5), $\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q'}) = [\operatorname{ESp}(R), \operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q'})] \subset [\operatorname{ESp}(R), \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{q})] \subset G$, contradicting the maximality of \mathbf{q} . Therefore $G \subset \operatorname{Sp'}(\mathbf{q})$.

If $\operatorname{ESp}(q) \subset G \subset \operatorname{Sp}'(q)$ then by (2.4) and (2.5) we have $\operatorname{ESp}(q) = [\operatorname{ESp}(R), \operatorname{ESp}(q)] \subset \subset [\operatorname{ESp}(R), G] \subset [\operatorname{ESp}(R), \operatorname{Sp}'(q)] \subset \operatorname{ESp}(q) \subset G$. This shows that q is unique and that (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

- (3.5) COROLLARY. The following are equivalent:
- (i) G is a normal subgroup of ESp(R).

(ii) There exists a unique ideal q such that

$$\operatorname{ESp}(\mathbf{q}) \subset G \subset \operatorname{ESp}(R) \cap \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{q}).$$

The groups $\delta(\mathbf{q}) = \mathrm{ESp}(R) \cap \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbf{q})/\mathrm{ESp}(\mathbf{q})$ are all abelian.

Proof. Suppose G is normal in ESp(R); (3.4) provides a unique ideal \mathbf{q} such that $ESp(\mathbf{q}) \subset G \subset ESp(R) \cap Sp'(\mathbf{q})$. To show (i) \Rightarrow (ii), it suffices to prove that $ESp(R) \cap Sp'(\mathbf{q}) = ESp(R) \cap Sp(\mathbf{q})$. However, it is easy to see that the center of $Sp(R/(\mathbf{q}))$ consists of homotheties, of which only 1 can lie in $ESp(R/(\mathbf{q}))$.

Both (ii) \Rightarrow (i) and the commutativity of $\delta(\mathbf{q})$ are implied by (2.5).

(3.6) COROLLARY. If **q** is a maximal ideal of A, the group $\operatorname{ESp}(R)/\operatorname{ESp}(R) \cap \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{q})$ is simple.

REFERENCES

- [1] BAK, A., On modules with quadratic forms, Algebraic K-theory and its applications. Lecture Notes Math. no. 108 Springer, Berlin 1969.
- [2] Bass, H., K-theory and stable algebra, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 22 (1964), 5-60.
- [3] —, Algebraic K-theory, (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
- [4] MAXWELL, G., Infinite general linear groups over rings, Trans. A. M. S. 151 (1970), 371-375.
- [5] SCOTT, W. R., Group theory, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964).
- [6] Spiegel, E., On the structure of the infinite dimensional unitary group, Math. Ann. 172 (1967), 197–202.
- [7] VASERSTEIN, L. N., Stabilisation of unitary and orthogonal groups over a ring with involution, (Russian) Math. Sbornik, 81 (123) (1970), 328-351.
- [8] VASERSTEIN, L. N. and MIHALEV, A. V., On normal subgroups of the orthogonal group over a ring with involution, (Russian). Algebra i Logika, 9 (1970), 629-632.

Department of Mathematics University of British Columbia Vancouver 8, B.C., Canada

Received January 7, 1972