

Zeitschrift:	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band:	47 (1972)
Artikel:	On the Parametrization of Quasiconformal Mappings with Invariant Boundary Points in an Annulus
Autor:	Lawrynowicz, Julian
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-36361

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 20.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

On the Parametrization of Quasiconformal Mappings with Invariant Boundary Points in an Annulus

JULIAN ŁAWRYNOWICZ (Łódź)

Introduction

In two recent papers [5, 6] Reich and Strebel have considered the class of Q -quasiconformal selfmappings of the closed unit disc with invariant boundary points. Here under a Q -quasiconformal mapping of the closure D of a domain bounded by disjoint Jordan curves (in particular by one Jordan curve) we mean any homeomorphism which is, when restricted to $\text{int}D$, a Q -quasiconformal mapping. The class in question, which will be denoted by F_Q , is very natural from a variational point of view and plays an important role in the Teichmüller theory of extremal quasiconformal mappings. This class was introduced and first investigated by Teichmüller [7].

In [6] Reich and Strebel proved the following two theorems:

THEOREM A. *Suppose that Φ is holomorphic in the open unit disc, Φ' has zeros at z_j , $j=1, 2, \dots$ (we do not exclude the case where $\Phi'(z) \neq 0$, $|z| < 1$),*

$$\iint_{|\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\overline{\Phi'(\zeta)} d\zeta d\eta}{\Phi'(\zeta) z - \zeta} = 0 \quad \text{for } |z| = 1 \quad \text{and } z = z_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (\zeta = \xi + i\eta), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\Phi'(w) - \Psi_w(w, t)}{t\Phi'(w)} \right| \leq M, \quad 1 < M < +\infty, \quad \text{for } |w| < 1, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\Psi(w, t) = \Phi(w) + t \left[\overline{\Phi(w)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \Phi'(w) \iint_{|\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\overline{\Phi'(\zeta)} d\zeta d\eta}{\Phi'(\zeta) w - \zeta} \right]. \quad (3)$$

Then the homeomorphic selfmapping f of the closed unit disc, uniquely determined (cf. e.g. [1], p. 204) by the conditions

$$f_{\bar{z}} = \mu(z, t) f_z, \quad \mu(z, t) = \overline{t\Phi'(z)}/\Phi'(z), \quad 0 \leq t < 1, \quad (4)$$

and $f(s_k, t) = s_k$, $|s_k| = 1$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, $0 \leq t < 1$, belongs to $F_Q(t)$, $Q(t) = (1+t)/(1-t)$, and satisfies the relations $f(z_j, t) = z_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\Psi(f(z, t), t) = \Phi(z) + \overline{t\Phi(z)}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover,

$$\iint_{|\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\overline{\Psi_\zeta(\zeta, t)} d\zeta d\eta}{\Psi_\zeta(\zeta, t) w - \zeta} = 0 \quad \text{for } |w| = 1 \quad \text{and } w = z_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

THEOREM B. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem A with $t \leq 1/2M$ the function f appearing in that theorem is uniquely determined as a solution $w = f(z, t)$ of the differential equation*

$$w_t = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - \zeta} d\zeta d\eta \quad (7)$$

with the initial condition $f(z, 0) = z$, $|z| \leq 1$, where

$$\varphi(w, t) = (1 - t^2)^{-1} \overline{\Psi_w(w, t)} / \Psi_w(w, t). \quad (8)$$

Denote by F_Q^* the subclass of F_Q consisting of functions satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem A with $t \leq (Q-1)/(Q+1)$. It is easily seen that in Theorem B the classes F_Q^* are restricted to $Q < 3$. This restriction, however, can be rejected and we have (cf. [4])

THEOREM C. *The conclusion of Theorem B is valid for $0 \leq t < 1$.*

In this paper we obtain an analogue of Theorem C in the case of annuli, which is itself a counterpart of the general parametrization theorems established by the present author [2, 3]. The paper is concluded by some suggestions concerning further generalizations of this result.

1. Formulation of the Main Result

Our main result may be formulated as follows:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem A are fulfilled and that*

$$\frac{\overline{\Phi'(z)}}{\Phi'(z)} = \frac{z^2}{\bar{z}^2} \left[\frac{\overline{\Phi'(s)}}{\Phi'(s)} \right]_{s=r^{2v}/\bar{z}} \quad \text{for } r^{2v} \leq |z| < r^{2v-1}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\overline{\Phi'(z)}}{\Phi'(z)} = \left[\frac{\overline{\Phi'(s)}}{\Phi'(s)} \right]_{s=z/r^{2v}} \quad \text{for } r^{2v+1} \leq |z| < r^{2v}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

where $0 < r < 1$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) *The differential equation*

$$\varrho' = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\varrho \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \varrho \left[\frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{\zeta^2} + \frac{\overline{\varphi(\zeta, t)}}{\zeta^2} \right] d\zeta d\eta, \quad (11)$$

where φ is given by (8), has a unique solution $\varrho = R(t)$, $0 \leq t < 1$, subject to the initial condition $R(0) = r$.

(iii) The homeomorphic mapping f of the annulus $\{z: r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$ onto $\{w: R^*(t) \leq |w| \leq 1\}$, uniquely determined (cf. [2], p. 26) by the conditions (4) and $f(s_0, t) = s_0$, $|s| = 1$ (for an s_0), $0 \leq t < 1$, is the restriction of a mapping of the class $F_{Q(t)}$, $Q(t) = (1+t)/(1-t)$, to the annulus $\{z: r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$, and satisfies the relations $f(z_j, t) = z_j$ provided $|z_j| \geq r$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and (5). Moreover, $R^*(t) = R(t)$, $0 \leq t < 1$.

(iii) Relation (6) holds for $|w| = 1$ and $w = z_j$, $|z_j| \geq r$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

(iv) The function f is uniquely determined as a solution $w = f(z, t)$ of the differential equation

$$w_t = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{R(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - \zeta} + \sum_{v=1}^{+\infty} R^{4v}(t) \left[\frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - R^{2v}(t)} \zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta^3} \frac{\overline{\varphi(\zeta, t)}}{R^{2v}(t) - w\zeta} \right] \right\} d\zeta d\eta \quad (12)$$

with the initial condition $f(z, 0) = z$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$, where $R^{2v}(t) = [R(t)]^{2v}$ and φ is given by (8).

In order to prove Theorem 1 we cannot apply our parametrization theorems for annuli established in [2, 3] since in the case of annuli we have no counterpart of Theorem A and we leave this problem open (cf. the conjecture in Section 5). Thus we will utilize Theorems A and C, and some of our results for annuli which do not require any generalization of Theorem A.

For more clearness we divide our proof into parts corresponding to the statements posed in Theorem 1. We always assume that the hypotheses of Theorem A are fulfilled and that Φ satisfies (9) and (10).

2. Proof of (i)

It is known ([2], Theorem 6, where in the first line of this theorem “a function” should be read as “a measurable function” and in the proof, on p. 48, line 15, “ $d \rightarrow \infty$ ($c > 0$)” should be read as “ $c \rightarrow 0+$ ($c < d$)”) that if ψ is a measurable function of w and τ , defined for $|w| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, and bounded by $\frac{1}{2}$, then the differential equation (11) with φ replaced by ψ has a unique solution $\varrho = R(T_0\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, subject to the initial condition $R(0) = r$. In our case, if we put $t = T_0\tau$, (11) and (8) become

$$\varrho_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\varrho \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \varrho \left[\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2} \right) T_0 \varphi(\zeta, T_0\tau) + \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^2} \right) T_0 \varphi(\zeta, \overline{T_0\tau}) \right] d\zeta d\eta \quad (13)$$

and

$$T_0\varphi(w, T_0\tau) = T_0(1 - T_0^2\tau^2)^{-1}\overline{\Psi_w(w, T_0\tau)}/\Psi_w(w, T_0\tau),$$

respectively. Since Ψ is holomorphic, in order to assure the existence of a unique solution $\varrho = R(T_0\tau)$ of (13) subject to the initial condition $R(0) = r$, we have to assume that $T_0/(1 - T_0^2/\tau^2) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. It is easily seen that if we take $T_0, T_0 > 0$, sufficiently small, we can get $t, t < 1$, arbitrarily close to 1, and this completes the proof of (i).

3. Proof of (ii)

By the theorem on existence and uniqueness of quasiconformal mappings in doubly connected domains (cf. [2], p. 26), for each $t, 0 \leq t < 1$, there is exactly one number $R^*(t), 0 < R^*(t) < 1$, and a quasiconformal mapping f of the annulus $\{z: r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$ onto $\{w: R^*(t) \leq |w| \leq 1\}$, determined uniquely by the conditions (4) and $f(s_0, t) = s_0, |s_0| = 1$ (for an s_0), $0 \leq t < 1$. In order to apply Theorem A let us continue f into the inner disc $\{z: |z| < r\}$ by the formulae

$$f^*(z, t) = R^{*2v}(t)/\overline{f(r^{2v}/\bar{z}, t)} \quad \text{for } r^{2v} \leq |z| < r^{2v-1}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (14)$$

$$f^*(z, t) = R^{*2v}(t)f(z/r^{2v}, t) \quad \text{for } r^{2v+1} \leq |z| < r^{2v}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (15)$$

Obviously, we admit $f^*(z, t) = f(z, t)$ for $r \leq |z| \leq 1$ and $f^*(0, t) = 0$. It is easily seen that f^* is the function uniquely determined in Theorem A, so, by this theorem, it belongs to $F_{Q(t)}$, $Q(t) = (1+t)/(1-t)$, and satisfies the relations $f^*(z_j, t) = z_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$, and (5), where f is replaced with f^* .

In order to complete the proof of (ii) we have to show that $R^*(t) = R(t), 0 \leq t < 1$. To this end we apply Theorem 3A of [3]. This theorem asserts among others that if g maps $Q(t)$ -quasiconformally the annulus $\{z: r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$ onto $\{w: R^*(t) \leq |w| \leq 1\}$ so that $g(1, t) = 1$, and is generated by the complex dilatation $v(z, t) = tv(z, T), 0 \leq t \leq T$, then R^* is a solution $\varrho = R^*(t)$ of the differential equation (11) with the initial condition $R^*(0) = r$, where φ is replaced with ψ , given by the formula

$$\psi(w, t) = \frac{v(g^{-1}(w, t), T)}{1 - t^2|v(g^{-1}(w, t), T)|^2} \exp(-2i \arg g_w^{-1}(w, t)).$$

In our case, denote by μ^* the complex dilatation of f^{-1} . Since $\mu^* = -\mu \exp(-2i \arg f_w^{-1})$ (cf. e.g. [1], p. 193), then, by (4), we get

$$\psi(w, t) = -(T/t)\mu^*(w, t)/\{1 - T^2|\mu^*(w, t)|^2\}$$

On the other hand, by (5) and holomorphicity of Ψ , we have

$$\mu^*(w, t) = \frac{\{(1 - t^2)^{-1}[\Psi(w, t) - t\overline{\Psi(w, t)}]\}_w}{\{(1 - t^2)^{-1}[\Psi(w, t) - t\overline{\Psi(w, t)}]\}_w} = -t \frac{\overline{\Psi_w(w, t)}}{\Psi_w(w, t)}, \quad (16)$$

whence

$$\psi(w, t) = T(1 - T^2 t^2)^{-1} \overline{\Psi_w(w, t)} / \Psi_w(w, t). \quad (17)$$

For $T \rightarrow 1^-$ relation (17) reduces to $\psi = \varphi$, where $0 \leq t < 1$ and φ is given by (8). Finally, by assertion (i), we conclude that $R^* = R$, as desired.

4. Proof of (iii) and (iv)

Assertion (iii) is an immediate consequence of Theorem A, especially formula (6).

We proceed to prove (iv). Let f^* be the mapping determined in Theorem A. Then, by Theorem C, it is a solution of the differential equation (7) with the initial condition $f^*(z, 0) = z$, $|z| \leq 1$, where φ is given by (8). On the other hand, since Φ satisfies (9) and (10), then, by the uniqueness of f^* (cf. Theorem A), we get

$$f^*(z, t) = R^{2v}(t) / \overline{f^*(r^{2v}/\bar{z}, t)} \quad \text{for } r^{2v} \leq |z| \leq r^{2v-1}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (18)$$

$$f^*(z, t) = R^{2v}(t) f^*(z/r^{2v}, t) \quad \text{for } r^{2v+1} \leq |z| \leq r^{2v}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (19)$$

where $R(t)$ is uniquely determined by (4) with f replaced by f^* (cf. [2], p. 26). Therefore, since, by Theorem A, f^* is one-to-one, we have

$$f^{*-1}(w, t) = r^{2v} / \overline{f^{*-1}(R^{2v}(t)/\bar{w}, t)} \quad \text{for } R^{2v}(t) \leq |w| \leq R^{2v-1}(t), \quad v = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$f^{*-1}(w, t) = r^{2v} f^{*-1}(w/R^{2v}(t), t) \quad \text{for } R^{2v+1}(t) \leq |w| \leq R^{2v}(t), \quad v = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Consequently, by (16), where μ^* is the complex dilatation of f^{*-1} , we obtain

$$\frac{\overline{\Psi_w(w, t)}}{\Psi_w(w, t)} = \frac{w^2}{\bar{w}^2} \left[\frac{\overline{\Psi_s(s, t)}}{\overline{\Psi_s(s, t)}} \right]_{s=R^{2v}(t)/\bar{w}} \quad \text{for } R^{2v}(t) \leq |w| \leq R^{2v-1}(t), \quad v = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\overline{\Psi_w(w, t)}}{\Psi_w(w, t)} = \left[\frac{\overline{\Psi_s(s, t)}}{\overline{\Psi_s(s, t)}} \right]_{s=w/R^{2v}(t)} \quad \text{for } R^{2v+1}(t) \leq |w| \leq R^{2v}(t), \quad v = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (21)$$

Relations (7), (8), (20), and (21) yield

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{R(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - \zeta} d\zeta d\eta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{v=1}^{+\infty} \left\{ \iint_{R^{2v+1}(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq R^{2v}(t)} \frac{\varphi(\zeta/R^{2v}(t), t)}{w - \zeta} d\zeta d\eta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \iint_{R^{2v}(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq R^{2v-1}(t)} \frac{\varphi(R^{2v}(t)/\bar{\zeta}, t)}{w - \zeta} d\zeta d\eta \right\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{R(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - \zeta} d\zeta d\eta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{v=1}^{+\infty} R^{4v}(t) \iint_{R(t) \leq |\zeta| \leq 1} \left[\frac{\varphi(\zeta, t)}{w - R^{2v}(t)\zeta} - \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}^3} \frac{\overline{\varphi(\zeta, t)}}{R^{2v}(t) - w\bar{\zeta}} \right] d\zeta d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be checked with help of the well known Weierstrass' test that the series of integrands in the above formula is uniformly convergent. Since all integrals appearing in that formula are continuous with respect to w (cf. e.g. [8], p. 27), then for any w of $\{w: R(t) \leq |w| \leq 1\}$ we can interchange the order of integration and summation, and this implies that the function f , defined as the restriction of f^* to $\{z:r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$, is a solution $w=f(z, t)$ of (12) with the initial condition $f(z, 0)=z$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$, as desired.

Consider now the differential equation (12) with the initial condition $f(z, 0)=z$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$, where φ is given by (8). From the first part of the proof of (iv) it follows that if f^* is a solution $w=f^*(z, t)$ of (7) with the initial condition $f^*(z, 0)=z$, $|z| \leq 1$, and it satisfies (18) and (19), then the function f , defined as the restriction of f^* to $\{z:r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$, is a solution $w=f(z, t)$ of (12) with the initial condition $f(z, 0)=z$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$. On the other hand, if f is a solution $w=f(z, t)$ of (12) with the initial condition $f(z, 0)=z$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$, then f^* , defined by (14) and (15) with $R^*=R$ and, obviously, $f^*(z, t)=f(z, t)$, $r \leq |z| \leq 1$, $f^*(0, t)=0$, is a solution of (7) with the initial condition $f^*(z, 0)=z$, $|z| \leq 1$. Hence, by Theorem C, f^* is the restriction of a mapping of the class $F_{Q(t)}$, $Q(t)=(1+t)/(1-t)$, namely f^* , to the annulus $\{z:r \leq |z| \leq 1\}$, as desired. This completes the proof.

5. Remarks and Conclusions

The author conjectures that the statements of Theorem 1 remain valid if we assume that Φ is defined as a holomorphic function satisfying (2) for $r < |z| < 1$ only. He also conjectures that if we assume (1) for $|z|=r$ as well, we get $f(z, t)=[R(t)/r]z$ for $|z|=r$ and (6) for $|w|=R(t)$. These questions are hoped to be answered in a subsequent paper.

Finally, in the same way as above, we can prove in the case $r=0$, i.e. in the case of mappings of F_Q^* with the additional invariant point 0, the following analogue of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem A are fulfilled and that (1) holds also for $z=0$. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *The homeomorphic selfmapping of the closed unit disc, uniquely determined (cf. e.g. [1], p. 204) by the conditions (4) and $f(s_0, t)=s_0$, $|s_0|=1$ (for an s_0), and $f(0, t)=-0$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, belongs to $F_{Q(t)}$, $Q(t)=(1+t)/(1-t)$, and satisfies the relations $f(z_j, t)=z_j$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, and (5).*

(ii) *Relation (6) holds for $|w|=1$, $w=0$, and $w=z_j$, $j=1, 2, \dots$*

(iii) *The function f is uniquely determined as a solution $w=f(z, t)$ of the differential equation (7) with the initial condition $f(z, 0)=z$, $|z| \leq 1$, where φ is given by (8).*

REFERENCES

- [1] LEHTO, O. und VIRTANEN, K. I., *Quasikonforme Abbildungen* (Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1965).
- [2] ŁAWRYNOWICZ, J., *On the parametrization of quasiconformal mappings in an annulus*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **18** (1964), 23-52.
- [3] ŁAWRYNOWICZ, J., *Some parametrization theorems for quasiconformal mappings in an annulus*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **15** (1967), 319-323.
- [4] ŁAWRYNOWICZ, J., *On the parametrization of quasiconformal mappings with invariant boundary points in the unit disc*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. [to appear].
- [5] REICH, E. and STREBEL, K., *On quasiconformal mappings which keep the boundary points fixed*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (1969), 211-222.
- [6] REICH, E. und STREBEL, K., *Einige Klassen Teichmüllerscher Abbildungen, die die Randpunkte festhalten*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI **457** (1970), 19 pp.
- [7] TEICHMÜLLER, O., *Ein Verschiebungssatz der quasikonformen Abbildung*, Deutsche Mathematik **7** (1944), 336-343.
- [8] VEKUA, I. N., *Generalized analytic functions* (Oxford-London-New York-Paris 1962).

*Institute of Mathematics
of the Polish Academy of Sciences,
Laboratory in Łódź,
Łódź, Poland*

Received February 4, 1972