

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 47 (1972)

**Artikel:** On Factorization into Prime Ideals  
**Autor:** Gilmer, Robert  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-36351>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 20.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## On Factorization into Prime Ideals

ROBERT GILMER<sup>1)</sup>

Let  $r$  be a regular element of the commutative ring  $R$ . It is well known that if  $r$  can be written as a finite product of prime elements of  $R$ , then this representation is unique. We consider here the corresponding question for ideals:

*If  $A$  is a regular ideal of  $R$  such that  $A$  can be represented as a finite product of prime ideals of  $R$ , is this representation unique?*

We begin by listing some observations concerning this question.

(1) Without the assumption that  $A$  is regular, the answer to the question is negative, even if  $R$  is Noetherian with identity. For example,  $(0)$  is prime in  $R$  for any integral domain  $R$ , and yet  $(0) = [(0)]^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ . If  $R$  is the direct sum of two fields  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , then  $P = F_1 \oplus (0)$  is maximal in  $R$ , and  $P = P^n$  for each positive integer  $n$ .

(2) Even with the assumption that  $A$  is regular, the answer to the question is negative, even if  $R$  is an integral domain with identity. For instance,  $P_1 = P_1 P_2$  for any prime ideals  $P_1, P_2$  of a valuation ring  $R$  with  $P_1 \subset P_2$ ; more generally the equality  $P_1 = P_1 P_2$  holds for any prime ideals  $P_1, P_2$  of a Prüfer domain with  $P_1 \subset P_2$  [1, Theorem 19.3].

(3) The following result appears as Theorem 30.13 of [1]:

*Let  $A$  be a nonzero ideal of a Noetherian domain  $D$  such that  $A$  can be expressed as a finite product of prime ideals of  $D$ . Then this representation is unique if  $D$  contains no identity, and is unique to within factors of  $D$  if  $D$  contains an identity.*

(4) By examining the proof of Theorem 30.13, we can see that the following result, which we label as (\*), is valid.

(\*) *Let  $A$  be a regular ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $A$  can be expressed as a finite product of finitely generated prime ideals of  $R$ . Then this representation is unique if  $R$  contains no identity, and is unique to within factors of  $R$  if  $R$  contains an identity.*

In this paper we extend (\*) to the case where  $A$  is finitely generated, but the prime factors of  $A$  need not be finitely generated (Theorems 1 and 2). In Proposition 1, we prove that our results are stronger than (\*) by proving that for any positive integers

---

<sup>1)</sup> During the writing of this paper, the author received partial support from National Science Foundation Grant GP-19406.

$k$  and  $n$ , there is an integral domain  $D_k$  with identity containing prime ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  such that  $P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \dots P_n^{e_n}$  is finitely generated if and only if  $e_1 + \dots + e_n \geq k$ . Our proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are independent of (\*) and the result cited in (3). Moreover, our proofs are more elementary than the proof of (30.13) in [1]; these proofs rest on the following facts.

**OBSERVATION 1.** *If  $\{A_i\}_1^n$  is a finite family of ideals of the commutative ring  $R$ , then  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$  is regular if and only if each  $A_i$  is regular.*

**OBSERVATION 2.** *If  $A$  is a regular ideal of the commutative ring  $R$  and if  $N$  is a multiplicative system in  $R$ , then the extension of  $A$  to the ring of quotients  $R_N$  is regular in  $R_N$ .*

**RESULT 1.** [1, Corollary 5.2] *If  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of the commutative ring  $R$  such that  $AB=B$ , where  $B$  is finitely generated, then there is an element  $x$  of  $A$  such that  $xb=b$  for each  $b$  in  $B$ : if  $B$  is regular, then  $R$  has an identity element and  $A=R$ .*

**LEMMA 1.** *Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are ideals of the commutative ring  $R$ , where  $B$  is proper, finitely generated, and regular. Moreover, assume that  $\{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$  and  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$  are two families of proper prime ideals of  $R$  such that  $B=AP_1^{s_1} \dots P_m^{s_m}=AQ_1^{t_1} \dots Q_n^{t_n}$ , where each  $s_i$  and each  $t_i$  is positive. Then each minimal element of the set  $\{P_1, \dots, P_m, Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$  occurs both as a  $P_i$  and as a  $Q_j$ , and the corresponding exponents  $s_i$  and  $t_j$  are equal.*

*Proof.* We assume that the labeling is such that  $P_1$  is a minimal element of  $\{P_i\}_1^m \cup \{Q_j\}_1^n$ . If 'e' denotes extension of ideals with respect to the quotient ring  $R_{P_1}$ , then

$$B^e = A^e (P_1^e)^{s_1} = A^e (Q_j^e)^{w t_j},$$

where  $w=0$  if  $P_1 \notin \{Q_j\}_1^n$ , while  $w=1$  and  $P_1=Q_j$  otherwise. The assumption  $w=0$  would lead to the equation

$$B^e = B^e (P_1^e)^{s_1},$$

where  $B^e$  is finitely generated, regular, and proper, and  $(P_1^e)^{s_1}$  is proper in  $R_{P_1}$ , in contradiction to Result 1. Hence  $w=1$  and

$$B^e = A^e (P_1^e)^{s_1} = A^e (P_1^e)^{t_j}.$$

Again, if  $t_j > s_1$ , we obtain a contradiction, as above, from the equation

$$B^e = B^e (P_1^e)^{t_j - s_1}.$$

Therefore  $s_1 = t_j$ , and our proof is complete.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $B$  be a proper, finitely generated regular ideal of the commutative ring  $R$  such that  $B$  is a product of proper prime ideals of  $R$ . Then the representation of  $B$  as a finite product of proper prime ideals is unique.*

*Proof.* Let

$$B = P_1^{e_1} \dots P_m^{s_m} \quad \text{and} \quad B = Q_1^{t_1} \dots Q_n^{t_n}$$

be two representations of  $B$  as a finite product of proper prime ideals. From the proof of Lemma 1, it follows that the set  $S$  of primes  $P_i$  such that  $P_i = Q_j$  for some  $j$ , and  $s_i = t_j$ , is nonempty. We assume that  $S = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ , where  $r \leq m$  and where  $P_i = Q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Setting  $A = P_1^{s_1} \dots P_r^{s_r}$ , we have

$$B = AP_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}} \dots P_m^{s_m} = AQ_{r+1}^{t_{r+1}} \dots Q_n^{t_n},$$

and the assumption  $r < m$  or  $r < n$  would lead to a contradiction of Lemma 1. Hence  $r = m = n$ , and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a commutative ring without identity and that  $B$  is a finitely generated regular ideal of  $R$  that is representable as a finite product of prime ideals of  $R$ . Then this representation is unique.*

*Proof.* We consider first the case when  $B = R^n$  is a power of  $R$ . It is clear that  $R$  is the only prime factor of  $B$ . Hence we need only prove in this case that  $R^n = R^m$  implies that  $m = n$ . Since  $R$  is a ring without identity, this follows immediately from Result 1.

If  $B$  is not a power of  $R$ , then we write

$$B = R^s P_1^{s_1} \dots P_m^{s_m} = R^t Q_1^{t_1} \dots Q_n^{t_n},$$

where  $\{P_i\}_1^m$  and  $\{Q_i\}_1^n$  are sets of  $m$  and  $n$  proper prime ideals of  $R$ , where  $s_i$  and  $t_j$  are positive, and  $s$  and  $t$  are nonnegative ( $R^0 U$ , for  $U$  an ideal of  $R$ , is defined to be  $U$ ). If  $N = R - [(\cup_1^m P_i) \cup (\cup_1^n Q_i)]$ , and if ' $e$ ' denotes extension of ideals of  $R$  to the quotient ring  $R_N$ , then

$$B^e = (P_1^e)^{s_1} \dots (P_m^e)^{s_m} = (Q_1^e)^{t_1} \dots (Q_n^e)^{t_n}$$

in  $R_N$ . By Theorem 1,  $m = n$  and, by proper labeling,  $P_i^e = Q_i^e$  and  $s_i = t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . It follows that  $P_i = Q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and we have

$$B = R^s P_1^{s_1} \dots P_m^{s_m} = R^t P_1^{s_1} \dots P_m^{s_m}.$$

As before, the assumption  $s > t$  would lead to the equation  $R^{s-t} B = B$ , and to a contradiction of the assumption that  $R$  does not contain an identity.

It is clear that Theorems 1 and 2 imply (\*). It is conceivable, however, that Theorems 1 and 2 are not actually stronger than (\*). That is, if the following statement (\*\*) were true, then (\*) would imply Theorems 1 and 2.

(\*\*) If  $\{P_i\}_1^m$  is a finite family of regular prime ideals of the commutative ring  $R$ , and if  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  are positive integers such that  $P_1^{e_1} \dots P_m^{e_m}$  is finitely generated, then each  $P_i$  is finitely generated.

We proceed to show that a very strong negation of (\*\*) is, in fact, true.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers, where  $k \geq 1$ . There is an integral domain with identity containing prime ideals  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  such that the product  $P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \dots P_n^{e_n}$  is finitely generated if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i \geq k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a non-Noetherian domain with identity containing distinct ideals  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  such that  $A_{i_1} + A_{i_2} + \dots + A_{i_r}$  is not finitely generated for any nonempty subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ <sup>2)</sup>. Let  $t$  be an indeterminate over  $D$ , and let  $E_k$  be the subring  $D[t^{k+1}, t^{k+2}, \dots, t^{2k+1}]$  of  $D[t]$ ;  $E_k$  is a graded ring with gradation  $D, Dt^{k+1}, Dt^{k+2}, \dots$ . We set

$$A = (t^{k+1}, t^{k+2}, \dots, t^{2k+1}), \quad B = (t^{k+1}, t^{k+2}).$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$A^n = (t^{n(k+1)}, t^{n(k+1)+1}, \dots, t^{n(k+1)+k})$$

for any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$A^n = B^n \quad \text{for } n \geq k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$B^r = (t^{r(k+1)}, t^{r(k+1)+1}, \dots, t^{r(k+1)+r}) \quad \text{for } r < k.$$

We set  $C_i = B + A_i t^{k+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Each  $C_i$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $E_k$ , and  $B \subset C_i \subset A$  for each  $i$ . Hence

$$C_1^{e_1} C_2^{e_2} \dots C_n^{e_n} = A^{e_1 + \dots + e_n} = B^{e_1 + \dots + e_n}$$

if  $e_1 + \dots + e_n \geq k$ , and  $C_1^{e_1} C_2^{e_2} \dots C_n^{e_n}$  is finitely generated.

If  $e = e_1 + \dots + e_n < k$ , then  $C_1^{e_1} C_2^{e_2} \dots C_n^{e_n}$  is a homogeneous ideal of  $E_k$ , and its homogeneous component in  $Dt^{e(k+1)+(e+1)}$  is

$$(A_{i_1} + A_{i_2} + \dots + A_{i_r}) t^{e(k+1)+(e+1)},$$

where  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$  is the set of integers  $j$  such that  $e_j \neq 0$ . Because  $E_k$  is a graded ring and  $A_{i_1} + A_{i_2} + \dots + A_{i_r}$  is not finitely generated as an ideal of  $D$ , it follows that  $C_1^{e_1} C_2^{e_2} \dots C_n^{e_n}$  is not finitely generated as an ideal of  $E_k$ .

The ideals  $C_i$  of  $E_k$  are not prime in  $E_k$ . To obtain our desired example, we let  $D_k$  be the subring of  $E = E_k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  consisting of all polynomials  $f$  such that the

<sup>2)</sup> Take, for example,  $D = \mathbb{Z}[\{X_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}]$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , take  $A_i = (\{X_j \mid j \in S_i\})$ , where  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are distinct infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of positive integers.

coefficient of  $X_1^{e_1} X_2^{e_2} \dots X_n^{e_n}$  in  $f$  is in  $A_1^{e_1} A_2^{e_2} \dots A_n^{e_n}$  for each  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \geq 0$ . Again  $D_k$  is a graded ring with gradation

$$E_k, \sum A_i X_i, \sum A_i^{e_i} A_j^{2-e_i} X_i^{e_i} X_j^{2-e_i}, \dots;$$

in fact,  $D_k$  is a graded subring of  $E$ , where  $E$  has the usual gradation by degree. The ideal  $X_i E \cap D_k = P_i$  is a homogeneous prime ideal of  $D_k$ : in fact,

$$P_i = A_i X_i + A_i A_j X_i X_j + \dots = A_i X_i D_k.$$

Hence

$$P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \dots P_n^{e_n} = A_1^{e_1} A_2^{e_2} \dots A_n^{e_n} X_1^{e_1} X_2^{e_2} \dots X_n^{e_n} D_k$$

is the set of polynomials  $f$  in  $D_k$  such that the coefficient of  $X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}$  in  $f$  is zero if  $i_j < e_j$  for some  $j$ , and is in  $A_1^{i_1} A_2^{i_2} \dots A_n^{i_n}$  otherwise. Hence  $P_1^{e_1} P_2^{e_2} \dots P_n^{e_n}$  is finitely generated if and only if  $e_1 + \dots + e_n \geq k$ .

We remark that the prime ideals  $P_i$  of Proposition 1 extend to maximal ideals of the quotient ring  $(D_k)_S$ , where  $S = D_k - (\cup_1^n P_i)$ . But  $(D_k)_S$  is a quotient ring of  $L[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , where  $L$  is the quotient field of  $E_k$ , and hence  $(D_k)_S$  is Noetherian.

We have no counterexample to  $(**)$  in the case where the ideals  $P_i$  are maximal in  $R$ . In particular, we know of no example of a regular maximal ideal  $M$  of a commutative ring  $S$  with identity such that  $M$  is not finitely generated, but some power of  $M$  is finitely generated. If such  $M$  and  $S$  exist, then they also exist with  $M$  maximal in a quasi-local ring  $S$ .

The author acknowledges several discussions with Tom Parker concerning factorization into prime ideals. These discussions were helpful in the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] GILMER, R., *Multiplicative Ideal Theory* (Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1968).
- [2] RIBENBOIM, P., *Anneaux de Rees integralement clos*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 204 (1960), 99–107.

*Florida State University, Tallahassee*

Received July 13, 1971