

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 46 (1971)

Artikel: Note on Direct Decompositions of Torsion-free Abelian Groups
Autor: Fuchs, L.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35530>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Note on Direct Decompositions of Torsion-free Abelian Groups

L. FUCHS (New Orleans)

All the groups of this note are torsion-free abelian groups under addition.

Jónsson [5] was the first to point out that torsion-free groups of finite rank may have non-isomorphic direct decompositions into (directly) indecomposable groups. He discovered a few pathological phenomena, and using his techniques, Corner [1] furnished examples, both in the finite and in the countable rank cases, with a surprising flexibility even in the choice of the ranks of the indecomposable summands. For groups of countable rank, Corner [1] proved that the same group can have two, basically different direct decompositions: one with just two indecomposable summands and one with infinitely many components. It is not difficult to find more pathological decompositions (see e.g. Fuchs and Loonstra [4]). Unfortunately, no complete survey is known of the variety of direct decompositions a torsion-free group might have.

The aim of this note is to point out that a countable group can have continuously many, pairwise non-isomorphic, indecomposable summands. Moreover, we are going to prove the following two, more general theorems:

THEOREM 1. *For every infinite cardinal m less than the first strongly inaccessible aleph, there exists a torsion-free group A of rank m such that A has direct decompositions*

$$A = B_j \oplus C_j \quad \text{with} \quad B_j \cong C_j$$

and with j ranging over an index set J of cardinality $|J| = 2^m$ where the B_j are pairwise non-isomorphic and indecomposable.

THEOREM 2. *For every m as in Theorem 1 there is a torsion-free group A of rank m such that*

$$A = B_j \oplus C_j \quad (j \in J)$$

holds for an index set J of cardinality 2^m where all the B_j are indecomposable and isomorphic among themselves, while the C_j are indecomposable and pairwise non-isomorphic.

Recall that an infinite cardinal $m^* > \aleph_0$ is said to be strongly inaccessible if (a) $\sum_{i \in I} m_i < m^*$ whenever $m_i < m^*$ for each $i \in I$ and the index set I is of cardinality $< m^*$; (b) $2^n < m^*$ for cardinals $n < m^*$. It is known (this follows from the combination of the method of Fuchs [3] with a set-theoretical result by Corner [2]) that for every cardinal m , less than the first strongly inaccessible cardinal, there is a

(so-called rigid) system $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of torsion-free groups X_i with the following properties:

- (i) $|X_i| = m$;
- (ii) $|I| = 2^m$;
- (iii) $\text{Hom}(X_i, X_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (=integers) or $=0$ according as $i = k$ or $i \neq k$.

Notice that then all the X_i are indecomposable.

Proof of Theorem 1. For the sake of convenience we shall denote by $\{X_i, Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ a system of groups with properties (i), (iii) and $|I| = m$; we assume $0 \in I$. Let $\{X'_i, Y'_i\}_{i \in I}$ be another copy of the same system and $x_i \rightarrow x'_i, y_i \rightarrow y'_i$ fixed isomorphisms between X_i and X'_i, Y_i and Y'_i . Let p, q and r be different odd primes. In view of (iii), we can select $\bar{x}_i \in X_i, \bar{y}_i \in Y_i$ for all $i \in I$ such that \bar{x}_i is not divisible in X_i by p and r , and \bar{y}_i is not divisible in Y_i by q and r . Then the corresponding $\bar{x}'_i \in X'_i$ and $\bar{y}'_i \in Y'_i$ will have the same properties. Writing

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Y_i,$$

and similarly $X' = \bigoplus X'_i, Y' = \bigoplus Y'_i$, we define

$$B = \langle X \oplus Y, p^{-1}(\bar{x}_0 + \bar{x}_i), q^{-1}(\bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0; \\ r^{-1}(\bar{x}_i + \bar{y}_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle$$

and

$$C = \langle X' \oplus Y', p^{-1}(\bar{x}'_0 + \bar{x}'_i), q^{-1}(\bar{y}'_0 + \bar{y}'_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0; \\ r^{-1}(\bar{x}'_i + \bar{y}'_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle.$$

It is then obvious that $B \cong C$.

Now B is indecomposable. For, if $B = G \oplus H$ then the full invariance of the subgroups X_i and Y_i in B implies that $X_i = (X_i \cap G) \oplus (X_i \cap H)$ and $Y_i = (Y_i \cap G) \oplus (Y_i \cap H)$, so by indecomposability, each of X_i, Y_i must be contained entirely either in G or in H . Arguing with the additional generators of B , standard techniques (see e.g. [3]) show that all of X_i and Y_i have to belong to the same component of B . This proves that B (and hence C) is indecomposable.

We define $A = B \oplus C$, and D as the divisible hull of A ; then $|A| = m$. We wish to change B and C in order to get other decompositions for A . For each $i \in I$, choose an integer k_i (to be specified later), and consider the following subgroups of A (recall that $x_i \rightarrow x'_i, y_i \rightarrow y'_i$ are fixed maps):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} U_i &= X_i; & V_i &= \{k_i y_i + (k_i^2 - 1) y'_i \mid y_i \in Y_i\}, \\ U'_i &= \{k_i x_i + x'_i \mid x_i \in X_i\}, & V'_i &= \{y_i + k_i y'_i \mid y_i \in Y_i\}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Then $x_i \rightarrow k_i x_i + x'_i, y_i \rightarrow k_i y_i + (k_i^2 - 1) y'_i, y_i \rightarrow y_i + k_i y'_i$ are isomorphisms. Let U, U', V, V' have the obvious meaning, and

$$\bar{u}_i = \bar{x}_i, \quad \bar{u}'_i = k_i \bar{x}_i + \bar{x}'_i, \quad \bar{v}_i = k_i \bar{y}_i + (k_i^2 - 1) \bar{y}'_i, \quad \bar{v}'_i = \bar{y}_i + k_i \bar{y}'_i.$$

We consider the following subgroups of D :

$$B^* = \langle U \oplus V, p^{-1}(\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_i), q^{-1}(\bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0; \\ r^{-1}(\bar{u}_i + k_i \bar{v}_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle$$

and

$$C^* = \langle U' \oplus V', p^{-1}(\bar{u}'_0 + \bar{u}'_i), q^{-1}(\bar{v}'_0 + \bar{v}'_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0; \\ r^{-1}(\bar{u}'_i + k_i \bar{v}'_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle.$$

From the definition it is readily seen that $B^* \cong C^*$. Notice that if the k_i are chosen so as to satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} k_i &\equiv k_0 \pmod{pq} \\ k_i^2 &\equiv 1 \pmod{r} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

for all $i \in I$, then in A

$$\bar{u}'_0 + \bar{u}'_i = k_0(\bar{x}_0 + \bar{x}_i) + (k_i - k_0)\bar{x}_i + (\bar{x}'_0 + \bar{x}'_i)$$

is divisible by p ,

$$\bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i = k_0(\bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_i) + (k_i - k_0)\bar{y}_i + (k_0^2 - 1)(\bar{y}'_0 + \bar{y}'_i) + (k_i^2 - k_0^2)\bar{y}'_i, \\ \bar{v}'_0 + \bar{v}'_i = (\bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_i) + k_0(\bar{y}'_0 + \bar{y}'_i) + (k_i - k_0)\bar{y}'_i$$

are divisible by q , while

$$\bar{u}_i + k_i \bar{v}_i = (\bar{x}_i + \bar{y}_i) + (k_i^2 - 1)\bar{y}_i + k_i(k_i^2 - 1)\bar{y}'_i, \\ \bar{u}'_i + k_i \bar{v}'_i = k_i(\bar{x}_i + \bar{y}_i) + (\bar{x}'_i + \bar{y}'_i) + (k_i^2 - 1)\bar{y}'_i$$

are divisible by r . In other words, B^* and C^* are subgroups of A ; they are obviously disjoint. From (1) it is evident that all of X_i , X'_i , Y_i and Y'_i are contained in $B^* \oplus C^*$, and it is straightforward to check that all the other generators of B and C also belong to $B^* \oplus C^*$. Consequently, $A = B^* \oplus C^*$. Since no k_i can be divisible by r , the indecomposability of B^* can be established in the same way as was done above for B .

Now let l be an integer such that

$$l \equiv 1 \pmod{pq} \quad \text{and} \quad l \equiv -1 \pmod{r}.$$

We fix $k_0 = 1$ and, for each $i \neq 0$, we let either $k_i = 1$ or $k_i = l$. Such a choice will satisfy conditions (2), thus for each choice of the $k_i (i \in I, i \neq 0)$ we get a decomposition $A = B^* \oplus C^*$ with indecomposable components $B^* \cong C^*$. Because of $|I| = m$, there are 2^m different ways of selecting $\{k_i\}$. Therefore the proof will be completed if we can verify that for a different choice, say $\{k_i^*\}$, the corresponding group B^{**} can not be isomorphic to B^* .

Suppose $\phi: B^* \rightarrow B^{**}$ is an isomorphism. Owing to (iii), ϕ must induce on each U_i and V_i an isomorphism with U_i^* and V_i^* ($\subseteq B^{**}$), respectively. In particular, ϕ acts on the selected elements $\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i \in B^*$ and $\bar{u}_i^*, \bar{v}_i^* \in B^{**}$ as follows:

$$\bar{u}_i \rightarrow \pm \bar{u}_i^* \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \pm \bar{v}_i^* \quad \text{for all } i. \quad (3)$$

As divisibility by integers is preserved by ϕ , $p \mid \bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_i \rightarrow \pm (\bar{u}_0^* \pm \bar{u}_i^*)$, $q \mid \bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \pm (\bar{v}_0^* \pm \bar{v}_i^*)$ and $r \mid \bar{u}_0 + \bar{v}_0 \rightarrow \pm (\bar{u}_0^* \pm \bar{v}_0^*)$ imply that in (3) we must have the same sign throughout, say $\phi: \bar{u}_i \rightarrow \bar{u}_i^*, \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \bar{v}_i^*$. Hence we infer $\phi: \bar{u}_i + k_i \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \bar{u}_i^* + k_i \bar{v}_i^*$, thus $r \mid \bar{u}_i^* + k_i \bar{v}_i^*$ for every $i \in I$. Since $r \mid \bar{u}_i^* + k_i^* \bar{v}_i^*$ and \bar{v}_i^* is not divisible by r in A , we must have $k_i \equiv k_i^* \pmod{r}$ for every i . This is impossible if one of k_i, k_i^* is equal to 1 and the other is l . Hence different choices of the k_i yield non-isomorphic groups B^* , in fact. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{W, Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a system of groups satisfying (i), (iii) and $|I| = m$; we may again assume $0 \in I$. Let p and q be two odd primes such that $p \neq q > 3$, and let $\bar{w} \in W, \bar{y}_i \in Y_i$ be chosen such that neither $q \mid \bar{w}$ in W , nor $p, q \mid \bar{y}_i$ in Y_i . Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be another system with $Y_i \cong X_i$ under fixed isomorphisms $y_i \rightarrow x_i$ under which $\bar{y}_i \rightarrow \bar{x}_i$. We define $A = B \oplus C$ where

$$B = \langle \bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i, p^{-1}(\bar{x}_0 + \bar{x}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0 \rangle,$$

$$C = \langle W \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} Y_i, p^{-1}(\bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0, q^{-1}(\bar{w} + \bar{y}_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle.$$

Let s and t be integers satisfying $ps - qt = 1$, and set

$$U_i = \{\alpha_i x_i + \beta_i y_i \mid y_i \in Y_i\}, \quad V_i = \{\gamma_i x_i + \delta_i y_i \mid y_i \in Y_i\}$$

for all $i \in I$ such that

$$\text{either } \alpha_i = s, \beta_i = t, \gamma_i = q, \delta_i = p, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{or } \alpha_i = s + l_1 p, \beta_i = t + l_2 p, \gamma_i = q, \delta_i = 2p, \quad (5)$$

where the integers l_1 and l_2 are chosen so as to have $l_2 q - 2l_1 p = s$. Thus $\alpha_i \delta_i - \beta_i \gamma_i = 1$ for both cases.

Using the obvious notations $\bar{u}_i = \alpha_i \bar{x}_i + \beta_i \bar{y}_i, \bar{v}_i = \gamma_i \bar{x}_i + \delta_i \bar{y}_i$, let us define

$$B^* = \langle \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i, p^{-1}(\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0 \rangle,$$

$$C^* = \langle W \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i, p^{-1}(\bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i) \text{ for all } i \neq 0, q^{-1}(\delta_i \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \rangle.$$

Since $\bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_i$ and $\bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i$ are divisible by p in A , and since $\delta_i \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i = q x_i + \delta_i (\bar{w} + \bar{y}_i)$ are divisible by q in A , it is clear that B^* and C^* are subgroups of A . They generate their direct sum $B^* \oplus C^*$ in A . Owing to $\alpha_i \delta_i - \beta_i \gamma_i = 1$, all of X_i and Y_i are contained in

$B^* \oplus C^*$, and so are all the additional generators of B and C , as readily checked. We thus have $A = B^* \oplus C^*$ where, obviously, $B^* \cong B$. The indecomposability of the groups B, C, C^*, \dots can easily be established.

Since $|I| = m$, there are 2^m different ways of choosing the coefficients $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i$ as described in (4) and (5). In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it will therefore suffice to prove that different choices yield non-isomorphic groups C^* .

Let C^{**} be defined in terms of $\alpha_i^*, \beta_i^*, \gamma_i^*, \delta_i^*$ as generated by $W \oplus \bigoplus_i V_i^*$, $p^{-1}(\bar{v}_0^* + \bar{v}_i^*)$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $q^{-1}(\delta_i^* \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i^*)$ for all $i \in I$. Any isomorphism $\phi: C^* \rightarrow C^{**}$ must induce an automorphism on W and isomorphisms $V_i^* \rightarrow V_i^{**}$ for every $i \in I$ which must act on the selected elements as $w \rightarrow \pm w, \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \pm \bar{v}_i^*$. Investigating the divisibility of $\bar{v}_0 + \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \pm (\bar{v}_0^* \pm \bar{v}_i^*)$ by p , we conclude that the signs of \bar{v}_i^* must be the same, say $+1$, for all i . From $q \mid \delta_i \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i \rightarrow \pm \delta_i \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i^*, q \mid \delta_i^* \bar{w} + \bar{v}_i^*$ and $q \nmid \bar{w}$ we obtain that $\delta_i^* \equiv \pm \delta_i \pmod{q}$. In view of (4) and (5) this is impossible unless $\delta_i^* = \delta_i$ for all i . Q.E.D.

It is easy to see that for $m = \aleph_0$, all the groups X_i, Y_i, W in the construction can be chosen to be of rank 1, and for an arbitrary m , to be of rank n where $n \leq m \leq 2^n$.

Using Pontrjagin's duality theory, we conclude that, *to every cardinal m less than the first strongly inaccessible aleph, there exists a connected compact group of cardinality 2^m which has 2^m non-isomorphic closed summands*. Moreover, as a closer examination of the invariants reveals, we may add that *these summands are algebraically all isomorphic*.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. S. CORNER, *A note on rank and direct decompositions of torsion-free abelian groups*. I: Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 57 (1961), 230–233; II: ibid. 66 (1969), 239–240.
- [2] A. L. S. CORNER, *Endomorphism algebras of large modules with distinguished submodules*, J. of Algebra 11 (1969), 155–185.
- [3] L. FUCHS, *The existence of indecomposable abelian groups of arbitrary power*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 10 (1959), 453–457.
- [4] L. FUCHS and F. LOONSTRA, *On direct decompositions of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank*, Rendiconti Sem. Mat. Padova 44 (1971) (to appear).
- [5] B. JÓNSSON, *On direct decompositions of torsion-free abelian groups*, Math. Scand. 5 (1957), 230–235.

Tulane University
New Orleans, Louisiana, USA

Received January 18, 1971