

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 46 (1971)

**Artikel:** Embeddings of Open Riemann Surfaces  
**Autor:** Rüedy, Reto A.  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35517>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Embeddings of Open Riemann Surfaces<sup>1)</sup>

RETO A. RÜEDY

### 1. Embedded Surfaces

1.1. In the final section of his famous thesis Riemann states that in his investigations the branched covering surfaces of the plane could be replaced by smooth orientable surfaces embedded in Euclidean space. For the metric structure induced by the surrounding space can be used to define a complex structure in the following natural way: The admissible local parameters are those which preserve angles and orientation.

1.2. We will call  $C^\infty$ -embedded surfaces *classical surfaces* if they are viewed as Riemann surfaces in this way. The existence of the admissible local parameters is highly non-trivial, it means solving the Beltrami differential equation. This was done for analytic embeddings by Gauss, for differentiable embeddings by Korn-Lichtenstein. Because of the fundamental importance of this problem in the theory of quasi-conformal mappings, it was investigated more thoroughly in recent years. For a most elegant treatment see [3], for an elementary one see [10].

### 2. The Embedding Problem

2.1. In his lectures Felix Klein emphasized the concept of viewing classical surfaces as Riemann surfaces, i.e., domains of analytic functions and integrals. It was also he who asked in 1882 if every Riemann surface were conformally equivalent to a classical surface. [F. Klein, *Gesammelte mathematische Abhandlungen*, Bd. 3, (Springer 1923), p. 502 and p. 635.]

2.2. For a long time the only results in this direction were that every compact Riemann surface of genus zero is conformally equivalent to the sphere, every non-compact planar (schlichtartig) surface is conformally equivalent to a subregion of the plane, and a compact Riemann surface of genus 1 is conformally equivalent to a ring surface provided its modulus is purely imaginary (see [16]).

2.3. The first result beyond these facts was obtained by Teichmüller in [15], where he applied his theory of spaces of Riemann surfaces to the embedding problem. He

---

<sup>1)</sup> This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-GP-21325.

could show that not all compact embedded surfaces of genus 1 are conformally equivalent to ring surfaces. More important than this result was the method by which he obtained it: He deformed an embedded surface by moving each point along the normal line and studied the dependence of the modulus of the deformed surface on the deformation.

2.4. Around 1960 Garsia constructed a surprisingly large class of compact Riemann surfaces whose moduli could be determined ([5], [6]). But he succeeded in answering Klein's question in the affirmative for all compact Riemann surfaces only when he abandoned his beautiful models and embarked on Teichmüller's road. His proof in [7] and [8] is an ingenious combination of Teichmüller's ideas and results, constructions inspired by Nash's isometric embeddings, and Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

2.5. We will see in this paper that his methods are even strong enough to prove this theorem for noncompact surfaces too. Because we will use the modifications described in [13] we may formulate our theorem as follows:

**EMBEDDING THEOREM.** *Every Riemann surface  $R$  is conformally equivalent to a complete classical surface. A model can be constructed by deforming any topologically equivalent complete classical surface  $X$  in the direction of the normals. (See also 6.12.)  $X$  is complete, if  $X$  is a closed subset of the Euclidean space.*

2.6. A nontrivial corollary (due to R. Osserman) follows, if  $R$  is the unit disc and  $X = \mathbf{C}$ :

*For a suitable real-valued  $C^\infty$ -function  $f$  the classical surface represented by*

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y, f(x, y)), \quad x + iy \in \mathbf{C},$$

*is hyperbolic.*

2.7. Comparing the proofs in this paper and in [14] it is evident that every Riemann surface is conformally equivalent to an embedded polyhedral surface.

### 3. Outline of the Proof

3.1. We may assume that  $R$  is noncompact because the Embedding Theorem is known to be true otherwise ([13]).

3.2. The first step is to find a topologically equivalent complete classical surface  $X$  and a topological mapping  $f' : R \rightarrow X$ . We choose on  $R$  a regular exhaustion (see [4]),

i.e., a sequence  $\{R_i\}$  of relatively compact regular subregions, such that  $\bar{R}_i \subset \subset R_{i+1}$ ,  $\bigcup R_i = R$ , and  $\partial R_i$  consists of analytic arcs. It is easy to show that  $R_i$  can be mapped by  $f'_i$  topologically onto a classical surface  $X_i$  such that  $\partial X_i$  consists of circles contained in  $\partial B_i$  where  $B_i = \{(x, y, z) \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq i^2\}$ ,  $X_i \subset B_i$ ,  $X_{i+1} \cap B_i = \bar{X}_i$  and  $f'_{i+1}|_{R_i} = f'_i \cdot f' = \lim f'_i$  and  $X = \bigcup X_i$  satisfy the above conditions.

3.3. We may assume that  $R_1$  is a disc. Let  $p \in R_1$  and  $q \in \partial R_1$  be distinguished points and put  $p' = f'(p)$ ,  $q' = f'(q)$  and  $f'(R_i) = X_i$ . If  $R$  is simply connected, we introduce four distinguished points.

3.4. We will deform  $X$  in successive steps such that the  $i$ -th deformation ( $i \geq 2$ ) takes place on  $X_i - X_{i-1}$  only, and we will denote the resulting surface by  $X'$ . Let  $X'_i$  be the part of  $X'$  corresponding to  $X_i$ . We will show that  $R_i$  can be mapped conformally onto  $X'_i$  by a mapping  $f_i$  with the additional properties  $f_i(p) = p'$ ,  $f_i(q) = q'$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . The existence of  $f_1$  follows by Riemann's mapping theorem, the existence of  $f_i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , will be proved by induction.

3.5. If this is accomplished, our theorem is implied by the following

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\{R_i\}$  and  $\{X_i\}$  be exhaustions of the noncompact Riemann surfaces  $R$  and  $X$ , and let  $p$  and  $q$  be fixed points in  $R$ ,  $p'$  and  $q'$  be fixed points in  $X$ . If the mappings  $f_i: R_i \rightarrow X_i$  are conformal and if  $f_i(p) = p'$ ,  $f_i(q) = q'$ ,  $i \geq i_0$ , then  $R$  and  $X$  are conformally equivalent.*

3.6. This is a generalization of a theorem which is well-known, if  $R$  and  $X$  are planar surfaces (see e.g. [11], p. 76). In order to reduce it to this case, we look at the (standard) universal coverings of  $R$  and  $X$ . Their elements are homotopy classes  $[\gamma]$  of arcs  $\gamma$  whose initial points are  $p$  and  $p'$  respectively. We define

$$\tilde{R}_i = \{[\gamma] \mid \exists \gamma_1 \in [\gamma] \text{ with } |\gamma_1| \subset R_i\}$$

where  $|\gamma|$  denotes the point set corresponding to  $\gamma$ .  $\tilde{X}_i$  is defined in the same way.  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are conformally equivalent to subregions  $U_R$  and  $U_X$  of the plane, and  $\tilde{R}_i$  and  $\tilde{X}_i$  may be viewed as subregions of  $U_R$  and  $U_X$ . The functions  $\tilde{f}_i: \tilde{R}_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}_i$  defined by  $\tilde{f}_i([\gamma]) = [f_i \circ \gamma]$  are conformal liftings of  $f_i$  and form a normal family, because the distances between  $\tilde{f}_i(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{p}'$  and the points  $\tilde{f}_i(\tilde{q})$  on  $U_X$  are bounded away from zero. Therefore a suitable subsequence converges uniformly on compact sets to a conformal mapping  $\tilde{f}: U_R \rightarrow U_X$  and so do their projections  $f_i$ . The limit function  $f: R \rightarrow X$  is onto because  $\tilde{f}$  is and it is univalent because of the uniform convergence of the  $f_i$  on compact subsets and the fact that each  $f_i$  is univalent. Our lemma is proved.

#### 4. Teichmüller Spaces of Bordered Riemann Surfaces

4.1. In this section we recall the results about Teichmüller spaces which are necessary for the construction of the deformed surfaces  $X'_i$ . For details and proofs see [1], [2] and [8].

4.2. Let  $S$  be a bordered Riemann surface with two distinguished points  $p$  and  $q$  (four if  $S$  is simply connected) and a finite number of handles and a positive finite number of boundary curves. Double the surface with respect to all boundary curves and finally go over to the two-sheeted covering surface  $S^*$  of this double with branch points at the distinguished points and their doubles.

4.3.  $S^*$  is a compact Riemann surface whose universal covering surface is the upper halfplane  $H$ . The group  $G$  of decktransformations with respect to  $S^*$  is a subgroup of index 2 of the group  $\Gamma$  of decktransformations with respect to the double of  $S$ . Denote by  $j: H \rightarrow H$  an anticonformal involution whose projection on  $S^*$  maps each point onto its double.  $\gamma \rightarrow j \circ \gamma \circ j$  is an automorphism of both  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ .

4.4. A quasiconformal mapping  $f: S \rightarrow S_1$  can be extended in an obvious way to a mapping  $f^*: S^* \rightarrow S_1^*$  and lifted to  $H$  in such a way that the lifting  $\tilde{f}: H \rightarrow H$  satisfies

$$\tilde{f} \circ j = j_1 \circ \tilde{f} \tag{1}$$

and such that

$$\tilde{f} \circ \gamma = \gamma_f \circ \tilde{f} \tag{2}$$

defines isomorphisms  $\theta_f(\gamma) = \gamma_f$  of  $G$  onto  $G_1$  and  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma_1$ .

4.5. For the complex dilatation  $\mu = \tilde{f}_{\bar{z}}/\tilde{f}_z$  the above equations imply that

$$\mu(j(z)) \frac{\overline{j_{\bar{z}}}}{j_{\bar{z}}} = \overline{\mu(z)} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mu(\gamma(z)) \frac{\overline{\gamma'(z)}}{\gamma'(z)} = \mu(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{4}$$

In particular  $\mu$  is a Beltrami differential with respect to  $G$  (i.e., on  $S^*$ ).

4.6. Conversely if  $\tilde{f}$  is a global solution of the Beltrami differential equation  $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu w_z$  mapping  $H$  onto itself and if  $\mu$  satisfies (3) and (4), then  $\tilde{f}$  satisfies (1) and

(2) for suitable groups  $G_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and induces a quasiconformal mapping  $f[\mu]$  of  $S$  onto a bordered Riemann surface  $S_1$ .

4.7. DEFINITION. *The Teichmüller space  $T(S)$  is the set of equivalence classes  $[f]$  of quasiconformal orientation-preserving mappings  $f: S \rightarrow f(S)$  with respect to the following equivalence relation:  $f_1 \sim f_2$  if and only if  $f_2^* \circ f_1^{*-1}$  is homotopic to a conformal mapping  $g^*$  of  $f_1^*(S^*)$  onto  $f_2^*(S^*)$ .*

*Remark.*  $g^*$  automatically induces a conformal mapping  $g: f_1(S) \rightarrow f_2(S)$  which is homotopic to  $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$  and maps  $f_1(p)$  onto  $f_2(p)$  and  $f_1(q)$  onto  $f_2(q)$ .

4.8.  $T(S)$  is a metric space with respect to the Teichmüller distance  $d$  defined by

$$d([f_1], [f_2]) = \inf_{g \approx f_2^* \circ f_1^{*-1}} (\sup D_g(z)),$$

$$D_g(z) = \frac{|\tilde{g}_z(z)| + |\bar{\tilde{g}}_z(z)|}{|\tilde{g}_z(z)| - |\bar{\tilde{g}}_z(z)|} \quad (= \text{dilatation of } \tilde{g} \text{ at } z),$$

and at the same time it is a manifold. Local parameters may be defined using the spaces of Beltrami differentials  $B(S)$  and holomorphic quadratic differentials  $Q(S)$ . But in order to do so, some preparations are necessary.

4.9. We introduce the following notations where  $\varphi$  represents holomorphic and  $\mu$  measurable and essentially bounded functions in  $H$ :

$$Q(S^*) = \{\varphi \mid (\varphi \circ \gamma) \cdot (\gamma')^2 = \varphi \quad \forall \gamma \in G\},$$

$$B(S^*) = \{\mu \mid (\mu \circ \gamma) \cdot \bar{\gamma}'/\gamma' = \mu \quad \forall \gamma \in G\},$$

$$N(S^*) = \{\mu \in B(S^*) \mid \int \int_{H/G} \mu(z) \varphi(z) dx dy = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in Q(S^*)\}.$$

The elements of  $N(S^*)$  are called trivial Beltrami differentials. Analogously we define

$$Q(S) = \{\varphi \mid (\varphi \circ \gamma) \cdot (\gamma')^2 = \varphi \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, (\varphi \circ j) \cdot (j_{\bar{z}})^2 = \bar{\varphi}\},$$

$$B(S) = \{\mu \mid (\mu \circ \gamma) \cdot \bar{\gamma}'/\gamma' = \mu \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, (\mu \circ j) \cdot \bar{j}_{\bar{z}}/j_{\bar{z}} = \bar{\mu}\},$$

$$N(S) = B(S) \cap N(S^*).$$

4.10. The mapping

$$\Lambda^*: B(S^*)/N(S^*) \rightarrow Q(S^*)$$

defined by

$$\mu \mapsto -\frac{6}{\pi i} \iint_H \frac{(\bar{\mu} z)}{(\bar{z} - \zeta)^4} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \varphi(\zeta)$$

is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear isomorphism, its inverse is given by

$$\varphi \mapsto -\bar{\varphi} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} z)^2.$$

4.11. LEMMA 2.  $\Lambda^*$  induces an isomorphism

$$\Lambda: B(S)/N(S) \rightarrow Q(S).$$

4.12. *Proof.* If  $\mu \in B(S)$  and  $\varphi = \Lambda^*(\mu)$ , then

$$\varphi(j(\zeta)) = -\frac{6}{\pi i} \iint_H \frac{\bar{\mu}(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(\bar{z} - j(\zeta))^4} = \frac{6}{\pi i} \iint_H \frac{\bar{\mu}(j(z)) dj \wedge \bar{d}j}{(j(\bar{z}) - j(\zeta))^4},$$

because  $j$  is an anticonformal mapping of  $H$  onto itself. Applying the identity

$$(\gamma(z_1) - \gamma(z_2))^2 = (z_1 - z_2)^2 \gamma'(z_1) \gamma'(z_2)$$

to the Möbius transformation  $\gamma = -\bar{j}$ , we obtain

$$\varphi(j(\zeta)) = \frac{6}{\pi i} \iint_H \frac{(\mu(z) \bar{j}_{\bar{z}}/j_{\bar{z}}) (-j_{\bar{z}} j_{\bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z})}{(z - \zeta)^4 j_{\bar{z}}^2 \cdot j_{\bar{z}}(\zeta)^2} = \overline{\varphi(\zeta)} \cdot j_{\bar{z}}(\zeta)^{-2}.$$

$$\varphi(\gamma(z)) \gamma'(z)^2 = \varphi(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

is proved by similar computations.

4.13. If  $\varphi \in Q(S)$  and  $\mu = \Lambda^{*-1}(\varphi)$ , then

$$\mu(j(z)) = -\bar{\varphi}(j(z)) \cdot (\operatorname{Im} j(z))^2 = -\varphi(z) \cdot \bar{j}_{\bar{z}}^{-2} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \cdot j_{\bar{z}} \cdot \bar{j}_{\bar{z}} = \bar{\mu}(z) \cdot j_{\bar{z}} \cdot \bar{j}_{\bar{z}}^{-1}$$

and

$$\mu(\gamma(z)) = \mu(z) \gamma'(z) / \overline{\gamma'(z)}$$

by a similar computation, and Lemma 2 is an immediate consequence.

4.14. Now we are ready to define local parameters in  $T(S)$ . Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$  be a basis of  $B(S)/N(S)$ . The mapping defined by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_N) \rightarrow \left[ f \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \mu_i \right] \right]$$

maps a ball in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  topologically onto a neighborhood of  $[f_0 = \text{id}]$  in  $T(S)$ , and  $(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  shall be our local parameters (see [2], pp. 137–145).

4.15. LEMMA 3. *If  $\mu \in B(S)$  and  $\varphi \in Q(S)$  then  $(\mu, \varphi) = \iint_H \mu \varphi \, dx \, dy$  is real.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu, \varphi) &= \iint_{H/G} \mu \varphi \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2i} = \iint_{j(H)/G} \mu \varphi \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2i} = - \iint_{H/G} \mu(j(z)) \varphi(j(z)) \frac{d\bar{z} \wedge \bar{d}j}{2i} \\ &= - \iint_{H/G} \overline{\mu(z)} \frac{\bar{j}_z}{j_z} \bar{\varphi}(z) j_z^{-2} j_z \bar{j}_z \frac{d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{2i} = \overline{(\mu, \varphi)} \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

4.16. Let  $U$  be any parametric disc in  $S$  with  $p \notin \bar{U}$ ,  $q \notin \bar{U}$ , and denote by  $U^*$  the corresponding open set in  $S^*$  which consists of four discs. Using Lemma 3 and the definition of  $N(S)$ , we obtain easily the following

**COROLLARY.** *There is a basis  $\{\mu_i\}$  of  $B(S)/N(S)$ , where the  $\mu_i$  are  $C^\infty$ -functions whose support is contained in  $U^*$ .*

4.17. *Proof.* We have to find a basis  $\{\varphi_i\}$  of  $Q(S)$  and  $\{\mu_i\}$  as above such that  $(\mu_i, \varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i \leq j$ , which can easily be done by induction. The construction of a similar basis was described more closely by T. Klotz in [9].

4.18. Good estimates for the distance of two points in  $T(S)$  will be crucial. The following lemma which serves these purposes is due to Garsia. In order to formulate it, we have to fix in  $H$  a fundamental domain  $D_S$  for the group generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $j$ . Denote the restriction of the projection to  $D_S$  by  $\pi_S$ . Assume that  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) = t$  are local parameters for a neighborhood of  $[\text{id}]$  in  $T(S)$  provided

$$\|t\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2} \leq 2r.$$

Let  $B_r$  be the set of elements in  $T(S)$  corresponding to  $\|t\| \leq r$  and write  $\varphi[f] = t$ , if  $[f]$  corresponds to  $t$ .

4.19. DEFORMATION LEMMA. *If  $[f] \in B_r$ , and if there is a quasiconformal mapping*

$$\chi: f(S) \rightarrow g(S), \quad \chi^* \simeq g^* \circ f^{*-1},$$

whose dilatation  $D_x$  satisfies

- 1)  $D_x \leq K_0$ ,
- 2)  $D_x \leq 1 + \delta$  except on  $A \subset f(S)$ ,
- 3)  $|\pi_S^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(A)| \leq \eta$

( $|\dots|$  denotes the areal measure), then

$$\|\varphi[g] - \varphi[f]\| \leq b(K_0, \delta, \eta),$$

where  $b(K_0, \delta, \eta) \rightarrow 0$  if  $K_0$  is bounded while  $(\delta, \eta) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . The proof is given in [8], pp. 100–102.

### 5. The Existence of the Functions $f_i$

5.1. Let  $\{R_i\}$  be the exhaustion of  $R$ ,  $\{X_i\}$  the corresponding exhaustion of  $X$ , mentioned in the outline, and let us assume that  $X_{i-1}$  is deformed into a surface  $X'_{i-1}$  such that a conformal mapping  $f_{i-1}: R_{i-1} \rightarrow X'_{i-1}$  with  $f_{i-1}(p) = p'$  and  $f_{i-1}(q) = q'$  exists. We are going to construct  $X'_i$  and  $f_i$ .

5.2. Fix global uniformizing parameters for  $R_i$  and  $X''_i = (X_i - X_{i-1}) \cup X'_{i-1}$  as in the deformation lemma. We extend  $f_{i-1}$  to  $R_i$  such that the extended mapping  $g: R_i \rightarrow X''_i$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal for a suitable  $K$ ,  $C^\infty$  except perhaps on  $\partial R_{i-1}$  and such that

$$g_{\bar{z}}/g_z = \frac{i}{2}$$

on a disc  $U$  in  $R_i - R_{i-1}$ . Such an extension is certainly possible (see [11], p. 89).

5.3. We identify  $X''_i$  and  $R_i$  (as topological surfaces) by  $g$ , in order to use the same parameter  $z \in D_{R_i}$ . We may also define on  $X''_i$  the conformal structure for which  $z$  is admissible. While the natural structure of  $X''_i$  is induced by the metric  $(dX''_i)^2 = |dg|^2 = \lambda^2 |dz + \mu \bar{d}z|^2$  the second structure may be viewed as induced by the metric  $ds_0^2 = \lambda^2 |dz|^2$ . Therefore we denote  $X''_i$  together with the second structure by  $X''_i(ds_0^2)$ .

5.4. It is clear that  $g: R_i \rightarrow X''_i(ds_0^2)$  is conformal. On the other hand, for the natural structure we have

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{K-1}{K+1}, \quad \mu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad R_{i-1}, \quad \mu = \frac{i}{2} \quad \text{on} \quad U.$$

Here of course, we identified  $D_{R_i}$  and  $R_i$ .

5.5. As in the corollary, we choose a basis  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^N$  of  $B(R_i)/N(R_i)$ , where  $\mu_k$  is

$C^\infty$  and  $\mu_k=0$  outside  $U^*$  for all  $k$ . Finally we determine a ball  $B_{2r} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$\varphi^{-1}: t \rightarrow [\text{id}_t \circ g: R_i \rightarrow X_i''(ds_t^2)]$$

is a topological mapping of  $B_{2r}$  into  $T(R_i)$ . Here

$$ds_t^2 = \lambda^2(z) \left| dz + \left( \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \mu_i(z) \right) d\bar{z} \right|^2$$

and  $\text{id}_t$  represents the identity projection.

5.6. In the next section we will construct real-valued  $C^\infty$ -functions  $h_t$  with support in  $X_i - X_{i-1}$  such that the deformed surfaces  $X_t$  described by

$$X_t(z) = g(z) + h_t(z) \cdot N(z), \quad z \in D_{R_i},$$

where  $N(z)$  is the positive unit normal vector of  $X_i''$  at  $g(z)$ , satisfy the following conditions: If we view  $X_t = X_t(z)$  as a mapping defined on  $R_i$ , then

- a)  $t \rightarrow \varphi[X_t]$  is continuous in  $B_r$ ,
- b)  $\|\varphi[X_t] - \varphi[\text{id}_t \circ g]\| \leq r \quad \forall t \in B_r$ .

In addition, the functions  $h_t$  will be so small, that all the surfaces  $X_t$  are embedded surfaces.

5.7. Brouwer's fixed point theorem applied to the mapping  $t \rightarrow t - \varphi[X_t] \equiv \varphi[\text{id}_t \circ g] - \varphi[X_t]$  implies that there exists a point  $t_0 \in B_r$  such that  $t_0 = t_0 - \varphi[X_{t_0}]$ , i.e.,  $\varphi[X_{t_0}] = 0$ , which means that the embedded surface  $X'_i = X_{t_0}$  can be mapped conformally onto  $R_i$  by a mapping  $f_i$  which is homotopic to  $g$  and satisfies the conditions  $f_i(p) = p', f_i(q) = q'$  (see the Remark in 4.7.).

### 6. The Construction of the Family $X_t$

6.1. Garsia's Deformation Lemma implies that the family  $X_t$  satisfies condition a), if the coefficients of  $(dX_t)^2$  depend continuously on  $(z, t) \in R_i \times B_r$ . That this condition is satisfied can be seen by direct inspection, as soon as we have written down an explicit formula for the functions  $h_t$ .

6.2. In order to check condition b), we will again apply the Deformation Lemma 4.19 putting  $\chi = \text{id}_t \circ g \circ X_t^{-1}$ . Its dilatation  $D_t$  satisfies

$$D_t^2 = \sup \frac{dX_t^2}{ds_t^2} / \inf \frac{dX_t^2}{ds_t^2} \quad (\text{sup and inf taken over all directions}).$$

6.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t^2 &= (dX_t'')^2 + (dh_t)^2 + O(|h_t| \cdot |dz|^2) \\ &= \lambda^2 |dz + \mu d\bar{z}|^2 + \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} h_t \right) dz + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} h_t \right) d\bar{z} \right) + O(|h_t| \cdot |dz|^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$ds_t^2 = \lambda^2 |dz + \mu_t d\bar{z}|^2 = \lambda^2 \left| dz + \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \mu_i d\bar{z} \right|^2, \quad t \in B_r.$$

6.4. Assume that  $r$  is taken so small that  $|\mu_t| < \frac{1}{2}$  if  $t \in B_r$ . Then there is also a positive number  $\varrho^* < 1$  such that

$$|\mu| \leq \sup \left| \frac{\mu_t - \mu}{1 - \mu_t \bar{\mu}} \right| \leq \varrho^*.$$

Finally put  $K_0 = 4(1 - \varrho^*)^{-3}$  and choose  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $\eta_0 > 0$  such that in the Deformation Lemma  $b(K_0, \delta_0, \eta_0) \leq r$ .

6.5. For the definition of  $h_t$  we have to solve the equation

$$|dz + \mu_t d\bar{z}|^2 = c_t (|dz + \mu d\bar{z}|^2 + (a_t dz + \bar{a}_t d\bar{z})^2).$$

The explicit solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} c_t &= \frac{|1 - \mu_t \bar{\mu}|^2}{(1 - |\mu|^2)^2} (1 - |[\mu_t, \mu]|)^2, \\ a_t &= \frac{\sqrt{|[\mu_t, \mu]| + \operatorname{Re} [\mu_t, \mu]}}{\sqrt{2}(1 - |[\mu_t, \mu]|)} \left( 1 + \bar{\mu} - i \frac{(1 - \bar{\mu}) \operatorname{Im} [\mu_t, \mu]}{|[\mu_t, \mu]| + \operatorname{Re} [\mu_t, \mu]} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[\mu_t, \mu] = \frac{\mu_t - \mu}{1 - \mu_t \bar{\mu}}.$$

The following regularity properties and estimates will be essential:  $a_t$  and  $c_t$  are continuous in  $B_r \times D_R$ ,  $c_t$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $D_R$  for all  $t \in B_r$  and  $a_t$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $D_R$  for all  $t \in B_r$  at least if  $\mu = i/2$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} a_t < 0$  if  $\mu = i/2$ ,  $|a_t| < 4(1 - \varrho^*)^{-1}$ ,  $(1 - \varrho^*)^4 < |c_t| < 16(1 - \varrho^{*2})^{-2}$ . In addition, we have  $\mu_t = 0$  outside  $U$  and  $c_t = 1$  and  $a_t = 0$  in  $R_{i-1}$ .

6.6. In  $U$  we may multiply the differential  $\omega = \bar{a}_t dz + a_t d\bar{z}$  by a positive function  $\varrho < 1$  which is continuous in  $U \times B_r$  and  $C^\infty$  in  $U$  for all  $t \in B_r$  (see [13], pp. 435–436) such that  $\varrho \cdot \omega$  is exact. Then  $\varrho \omega = dk(z, t)$ , where  $k$  is again continuous in  $U \times B_r$  and  $C^\infty$  in  $U$ .

6.7. Triangulate the bordered surface  $R_i - R_{i-1} - U$  in such a way that in each triangle  $\Delta_j$

$$|a_t(z_1) - a_t(z_2)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in \Delta_j, \quad t \in B_r,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is to be determined later. Choose in each  $\Delta_j$  a point  $z_j$ , put  $L = \bigcup \partial\Delta_j$ , and denote by  $v_\eta$  a non-negative  $C^\infty$ -function which vanishes in a neighborhood of  $L \cup R_{i-1}$  and is equal to 1 on  $R_i - R_{i-1} - A_1$ , where  $|A_1| < \eta_0/2$ .

6.8. We extend the functions  $k$  and  $\varrho$  defined on  $U$  in the following way

$$k = \begin{cases} 2 \operatorname{Re}[za_t(z_j)] & \text{if } z \in \Delta_j \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in L \cup R_{i-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\varrho = 1 \quad \text{in } R_i - U.$$

6.9. Finally denote by  $s_\eta$  a saw-shaped  $C^\infty$ -function defined on  $\mathbf{R}$  with the following properties:

$$-1 \leq s_\eta(x) \leq 1, \quad |\dot{s}_\eta| = \left| \frac{ds_\eta}{dx} \right| \leq 1,$$

$$s_\eta(x+2) = -s_\eta(x), \quad s_\eta(x) = x \quad \text{if } |x| < 1 - \eta.$$

6.10. Now we define  $h_t$  by

$$h_t(z, M) = \lambda(z) v_\eta(z) \frac{1}{\varrho(z)} \frac{1}{M} s_\eta(Mk(z, t)),$$

where  $M$  is a natural number. For each  $M$ ,  $h_t$  is continuous in  $R_i \times B_r$  and is  $C^\infty$  in  $R_i$ , and we have

$$(dh_t)^2 = \lambda^2 v_\eta^2 \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \dot{s}_\eta^2(Mk) \cdot (dk)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{M} |dz|^2\right).$$

Except on a small part  $A_1 \cup A_2$  of  $D_{R_i}$ , this reduces to

$$(dh_t)^2 = \lambda^2 (a_t dz + \bar{a}_t d\bar{z})^2 + O\left(\left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{M}\right) |dz|^2\right).$$

We have  $|A_2| < \eta_0/2$  and therefore  $|A_1 \cup A_2| < \eta_0$ , if  $\eta$  is sufficiently small and  $M$  sufficiently large (see [13] p. 437 for details).

6.11. Now it is easy to check that the dilatations  $D_t$  satisfy all the conditions in the assumption of the Deformation Lemma, as soon as we have chosen  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small and then  $M$  sufficiently large. Therefore we can conclude that the family  $X_t$

satisfies conditions a) and b) which completes the proof of the Embedding Theorem.

6.12. Incidentally we proved a result which is somewhat stronger than what is actually needed for the Embedding Theorem. Therefore we will state it as a corollary.

Let  $S_0$  be a classical properly embedded surface,  $X_0: S_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$  an embedding function ( $C^\infty$ ) and  $N_0(p)$  the positive unit normal vector of  $S_0$  at  $p \in S_0$ . If  $h: S_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a sufficiently small differentiable function, then  $\pi_h$  defined by

$$\pi_h(p) = X_0(p) + h(p) N_0(p),$$

maps  $S_0$  onto an embedded surface. Denote by  $S_h$  the corresponding classical surface.

**COROLLARY.** *To any positive continuous function  $\varepsilon: S_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and any topological orientation-preserving mapping  $f$  of  $S_0$  onto a Riemann surface  $S$ , there is a differentiable function  $h: S_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $|h| < \varepsilon$ , such that  $\pi_h \circ f^{-1}$  is homotopic to a conformal mapping of  $S$  onto  $S_h$ .*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] AHLFORS, L., *On Quasiconformal Mappings*, J. d'Analyse Math. 3, 1–58 (1953/4).
- [2] AHLFORS, L., *Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings* (Van Nostrand, 1966).
- [3] AHLFORS, L. and BERS, L., *Riemann's Mapping Theorem for Variable Metrics*, Ann. of Math. 72, No. 2 (1960).
- [4] AHLFORS, L. and SARIO, L., *Riemann Surfaces* (Princeton Univ. Press, 1960).
- [5] GARSIA, A. M., *Calculation of Conformal Parameters for Some Imbedded Riemann Surfaces*, Pac. J. Math. 10, 121–165 (1960).
- [6] GARSIA, A. M., *Imbedding of Riemann Surfaces by Canal Surfaces*, Rend. Circ. Math., Palermo [Ser. II] IX, 313–333 (1960).
- [7] GARSIA, A. M. and RODEMICH, E., *An Imbedding of Riemann Surfaces of Genus One*, Pac. J. Math. 11, 193–204 (1961).
- [8] GARSIA, A. M., *An Embedding of Closed Riemann Surfaces in Euclidean Space*, Comment. Math. Helv. 35, 93–110 (1961).
- [9] KLOTZ, T., *Imbedding Compact Riemann Surfaces in 3-space*, Pac. J. Math. 11, 1035–1043 (1961).
- [10] KUUSALO, T., *Verallgemeinerter Riemannscher Abbildungssatz und quasikonforme Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. 409 (1967).
- [11] LEHTO, O. and VIRTANEN, K., *Quasikonforme Abbildungen* (Springer, 1965).
- [12] RICHARDS, I., *On the Classification of Noncompact Surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 106, 259–269 (1963).
- [13] RÜEDY, R., *Einbettungen Riemannscher Flächen in den dreidimensionalen euklidischen Raum*, Comment. Math. Helv. 43, 417–442 (1968).
- [14] RÜEDY, R., *Deformations of Embedded Riemann Surfaces* (to appear).
- [15] TEICHMÜLLER, O., *Beweis der analytischen Abhängigkeit des konformen Moduls einer analytischen Ringflächenschar von den Parametern*, Deutsche Math. 7, 309–336 (1944).
- [16] WEYL, H., *Die Idee der Riemannschen Fläche*, 3. Aufl. (Teubner, Stuttgart 1965).

Columbia University, New York, N.Y. 10027

Received November 19, 1970