Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 46 (1971)

Artikel: Pinching Implies Strong Pinching
Autor: Karcher, Hermann
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35510

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 05.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Pinching Implies Strong Pinching

by HERMANN KARCHER[1])

The author was led to look for bounds as in (2) by a preprint manuscript of the article by E. Ruh which precedes this paper. Denote by δ the minimum, by Δ the maximum of the sectional curvatures at $p \in M$ ($\dim M = n$) and by λ the smallest, by Λ the largest eigenvalue of the curvature operator $\varrho: M_p \wedge M_p \to M_p \wedge M_p$ (defined by $\varrho\omega(X, Y) := \operatorname{trace}_Z \omega(R(X, Y)Z, Z)$ in terms of the curvature tensor R of the Riemannian metric on M).

It is well known that $\lambda \leqslant 2\delta$ and $2\Delta \leqslant \Lambda$. We prove:

$$\textit{trace}\,\varrho = \sum_{i \neq k} \langle R(X_i, X_k) X_k, X_i \rangle = \textit{scalar curvature.} \tag{1}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\Delta + \delta - \tfrac{2}{3}(\Delta - \delta)\left[n(n-1)(n+\tfrac{1}{4})\right]^{1/2} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \Lambda \leqslant \\ &\quad \Delta + \delta + \tfrac{2}{3}(\Delta - \delta)\left[n(n-1)(n+\tfrac{1}{4})\right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2}$$

From (2) and Ruh's theorem [3] we have the

COROLLARY. *A complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with* $\delta/\Delta \geqslant 1 - [\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{3}(n(n-1)(n+\frac{1}{4}))^{1/2}]^{-1}$ *has* $\lambda/\Lambda \geqslant \frac{2}{3}$, *hence is diffeomorphic to the standard sphere*

Remark. The numerical values are worse than the ones obtained by Gromoll (explicitly computed up to $n = 12$); for example for $n = 7$ resp. $n = 12$ we need $\delta/\Delta \geqslant 0.966$ resp. 0.985, Gromoll's figures are 0.819 resp. 0.931.

Proof. Use a normal coordinate system at $p \in M$ with associated basis X_i ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) of M_p. For $\omega \in M_p \wedge M_p$ put $\omega_{ik} = \omega(X_i, X_k)$, hence $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \neq k} \omega_{ik} X_i \wedge X_k$. The scalar product in $M_p \wedge M_p$ is given by $\langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \neq k} \omega_{ik}\bar{\omega}_{ik}$.

We have

$$\varrho(X_i \wedge X_j)(X_k, X_l) = 2R_{klji} = \langle \varrho(X_i \wedge X_j), X_k \wedge X_l \rangle, \tag{3}$$

hence

$$\langle \varrho\omega, \omega \rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum{}' R_{klji}\omega_{ij}\omega_{kl}. \qquad \left(\sum{}' = \sum_{k \neq l,\, i \neq j} \text{ here and below}\right) \tag{4}$$

(3) and (4) imply $\lambda \leqslant 2\delta$ and $2\Delta \leqslant \Lambda$, but (3) shows also that the eigenvectors ω^ν ($\nu = 1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$) of ϱ are in general not forms of rank 2 so that the reversed inequalities

---

[1]) This paper was prepared under the program "Sonderforschungsbereich Theoretische Mathematik" at Bonn University.

cannot be expected. (4) implies (1) immediately:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{trace}\varrho &= \sum_\nu \langle \varrho\omega^\nu, \omega^\nu\rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum{}' R_{klji}\sum_\nu \omega^\nu_{ij}\omega^\nu_{kl}\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum{}' R_{klji}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) = \sum_{k\neq l} R_{klik}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $R_0(X, Y)Z := \frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\delta)(\langle Y, Z\rangle X - \langle X, Z\rangle Y)$ and $D := R - R_0$. Since D and R have the same symmetries we have from (7), (8), (9) in [2] for unit vectors in M_p:

$$|\langle D(X, Y)Y, U\rangle| \leqslant 2^{-1/2}(\Delta-\delta), \qquad (\leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}(\Delta-\delta) \quad \text{if} \quad X\perp U) \tag{5}$$

$$\text{If} \quad Z\perp X, Y \quad \text{then} \quad |\langle D(X, Y)Z, U\rangle| \leqslant \tfrac{2}{3}(\Delta-\delta) \tag{6}$$

$$\|D\| = \max_{|X|=|Y|=|Z|=|U|=1} |\langle D(X, Y)Z, U\rangle| \leqslant (34/36)^{1/2}(\Delta-\delta). \tag{7}$$

Remark. In forthcoming papers on the differentiable pinching problem Sugimoto and Shiohama have used $\|D\|\leqslant k$ (with normalization $\Delta+\delta=2$) as a pinching condition. $\|D\|\leqslant k$ clearly implies for the sectional curvatures $1-k\leqslant K\leqslant 1+k$. The converse is not true since for the complex projective space $\|D\|=\frac{4}{3}k$. However $1-k\leqslant K\leqslant 1+k$ and (7) imply $\|D\|\leqslant(34/9)^{1/2}\,k$.
We rewrite (4) as

$$\langle \varrho\omega, \omega\rangle = \Delta+\delta+\tfrac{1}{2}\sum{}' D_{klji}\omega_{ij}\omega_{kl} \tag{8}$$

and apply Schwarz' inequality (note $|\omega|=1$):

$$|\tfrac{1}{2}\sum{}' D_{klji}\omega_{ij}\omega_{kl}| \leqslant \left(\sum{}' D^2_{klji}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{9}$$

(Schwarz' inequality can be applied in various ways to (8) leading to different expressions for the [ ]-bracket in (2); in our computations they were all of the order $n^{3/2}$ or worse. If (2) is a poor estimate the loss probably occurs in (9) since the following estimates seem fairly sharp to us.)

$$\sum{}' D^2_{klji} = \sum_{k,l=j,i} D^2_{klji} + \sum_{k,l=i,j} D^2_{klji} + \sum_{\substack{k,l,i,j\\ l\neq i,j}} D^2_{klji} \tag{10}$$

We have from (5) with l, i fixed and $a_k := D_{kjji}(\sum_k D^2_{kjji})^{-1/2}$

$$2^{-1/2}(\Delta-\delta) \geqslant \left|\sum_k a_k D_{kjji}\right| = \left(\sum_k D^2_{kjji}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{11}$$

If $l\neq i, j$ we have from (6) with $a_k := D_{klji}(\sum_k D^2_{klji})^{-1/2}$

$$\tfrac{2}{3}(\Delta-\delta) \geqslant \left|\sum_k a_k D_{klji}\right| = \left(\sum_k D^2_{klji}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{12}$$

Insert (11) and (12) in (10) to get

$$\sum{}' D_{klji}^2 \leqslant \sum_{j \neq i} (\Delta - \delta)^2 + \sum_{l \neq i \neq j \neq l} \tfrac{4}{9} (\Delta - \delta)^2 = \tfrac{4}{9} (\Delta - \delta)^2 n (n-1)(n + \tfrac{1}{4}). \quad (13)$$

(8), (9) and (13) prove (2).

Remark. The method can also be used to improve Berger's estimate [1] for the Eulercharacteristic:

$$\chi(M^{2m}) = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{3m}\pi^m m!} \int_M (\varepsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_{2m}} \cdot \varepsilon_{j_1 \ldots j_{2m}} \cdot R_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2} \cdots R_{i_{2m-1} i_{2m} j_{2m-1} j_{2m}})\, dV$$
$$\leqslant 2^{-m} (2m)! \, (\Delta/\delta)^m.$$

Berger estimates the integrand by $((2m)!)^2 \Delta^m$. We use first $m \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m a_i \leqslant \sum |a_i|^m \leqslant \leqslant \max |a_i|^{m-2} \sum a_i^2$, then Berger's $|R_{klij}| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \delta)$, $|R_{klji}| \leqslant \frac{2}{3}(\Delta - \delta)$ – see (5), (6) – and the analogues of (11), (12) for R instead of D to estimate the integrand by

$$((2m-2)!)^2 \, \Delta^m 2 \cdot 2m(2m-1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{\Delta}\right)^m ((\tfrac{1}{4})^m + (m-1)(\tfrac{4}{9})^m) \right].$$

We obtain $(m \geqslant 3)$

$$\chi(M^{2m}) \leqslant 2.4 \cdot 2^{-m} (2m-2)! \, (\Delta/\delta)^m.$$

In Dimension 4 Chern's coordinate choice [1] simplifies the integrand to $32(R_{1212}R_{3434} + R_{1313}R_{2424} + R_{1414}R_{2323} + R_{1234}^2 + R_{2314}^2 + R_{3124}^2)$ which Berger estimates by $32(3\Delta'^2 + \frac{8}{9}(\Delta' - \delta')^2)$. Here Δ' and δ' are minimum and maximum at each point. However $R_{1212} = \delta'$ in Chern's coordinates. This improves the estimate to $32(\Delta'\delta' + 2\Delta'^2 + \frac{8}{9}(\Delta' - \delta')^2) \leqslant 32 \cdot 3\Delta'^2$ and gives $\chi(M^4) \leqslant (\Delta/\delta)^2$ instead of $\leqslant (\Delta/\delta)^2 + \frac{8}{27}((\Delta/\delta) - 1)^2$. The same estimate improves known lower bounds for the volume in terms of the second Betti number:

$$2 + b_2 = \chi(M^4) \leqslant (4\pi^2)^{-1} \cdot 3\Delta^2 \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M^4).$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] M. Berger, *On the Characteristic of Positively Pinched Riemannian Manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Ac. Science *48* (1962), 1915–1917.

[2] H. Karcher, *A Short Proof of Berger's Curvature Tensor Estimates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Nr. 4, 1970.

[3] E. Ruh, *Curvature and Differentiable Structure on Spheres*, Comment Math. Helv. 66(1971) fasc. 2.

Received October 20, 1970