

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 45 (1970)  
  
**Artikel:** Integral Domains with Noetherian Subrings.  
**Autor:** Gilmer, Robert  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-34647>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 17.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Integral Domains with Noetherian Subrings

ROBERT GILMER<sup>1)</sup>

Let  $D$  be an integral domain with identity having quotient field  $K$  and prime subring  $\Pi$ . The purpose of this paper is to determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that each subring of  $D$  with identity be Noetherian. Such conditions are given in the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of integers, then each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian if and only if  $[K:Q] < \infty$ , where  $Q$  denotes the field of rational numbers. If  $\Pi = GF(p)$ , then each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian if and only if either (1)  $K/\Pi$  is algebraic, or (2)  $K$  is a finite algebraic extension of a purely transcendental extension of  $\Pi$  of transcendence degree 1.*

In order to avoid unnecessary duplication in the cases when  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$  and when  $\Pi = GF(p)$ , we proceed through a series of general results which will prove to be pertinent to both of the above cases. As a case in point, both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $GF(p)[X]$  are Dedekind domains in which each nonzero ideal has finite index, so that a theorem proved about such Dedekind domains will apply to both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $GF(p)[X]$ . Each ring considered in this paper is assumed to be commutative.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity which is not its own total quotient ring, and that  $X$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ . There is a non-Noetherian subring of  $R[X]$  with identity.*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be the identity element of  $R$ . There is a regular element  $t$  of  $R$  which is not a unit of  $R$ . Thus if  $A = (t)$ , then  $A \subset R$  and the only element  $x$  of  $R$  such that  $tx = t$  is  $x = e$ , so that  $A$  is a ring without identity. By [5],  $A[X]$  is not Noetherian, and by [6, p. 184], the subring of  $R[X]$  generated by  $A[X]$  and  $e$  is also non-Noetherian.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $D$  is an integral domain with identity and if  $X$  and  $Y$  are indeterminates over  $D$ , then  $D[X, Y]$  contains a non-Noetherian subring with identity; if  $D$  is not a field,  $D[X]$  contains a non-Noetherian subring with identity.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that  $D$  is an integral domain with identity having quotient field  $K$  and prime subring  $\Pi$ , and suppose that each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian. If  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $K$  is algebraic over  $Q$ . If  $\Pi = GF(p)$ , then  $\text{tr.d. } K/\Pi \leq 1$ .*

---

<sup>1)</sup> The author received partial support from National Science Foundation Grant GP-8424 during the writing of this paper.

*Proof.* This is immediate from Corollary 1 and from the fact that  $D$  contains a transcendence basis for  $K$  over the quotient field of  $\Pi$  [16, p. 99].

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose that  $J$  is an integral domain with identity which is algebraic over  $J_0$ , a subring of  $J$ . If  $J'$  is the integral closure of  $J_0$  in  $J$ , then  $J$  and  $J'$  have the same quotient field.*

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that  $J$  is contained in the quotient field of  $J'$ . Thus if  $x \in J$ , then  $x$  is algebraic over  $J_0$  so that  $dx$  is integral over  $J_0$  for some nonzero element  $d$  of  $J_0 \subseteq J'$  [8, p. 78]. Therefore,  $x = dx/d$  belongs to the quotient field of  $J'$ .

Our next result will be obtained as a corollary to a theorem due to Arnold and Gilmer [1, p. 142], and will use the following notation: Let  $D_0$  be a Dedekind domain with quotient field  $K_0$ , let  $L$  be an infinite-dimensional separable algebraic extension field of  $K_0$  which is expressed as the union of a net  $\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of finite algebraic extension fields of  $K_0$  (here *net* means that for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A$ , there exists  $\delta \in A$  such that  $K_\alpha$  and  $K_\beta$  are contained in  $K_\delta$ ), let  $D$  be the integral closure of  $D_0$  in  $L$ , and for each  $\alpha$  in  $A$ , let  $D_\alpha$  be the integral closure of  $D_0$  in  $K_\alpha$ . The theorem of Arnold and Gilmer referred to states that  $D$  is a Dedekind domain if and only if for each prime ideal  $P_0$  of  $D_0$ , there is an element  $\alpha(P_0)$  in  $A$  such that each prime ideal of  $D_{\alpha(P_0)}$  lying over  $P_0$  in  $D_0$  is inertial with respect to  $D$ . The corollary to this theorem which we need is

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $D$  is a Dedekind domain, then  $D$  is the only ideal of  $D$  having finite index in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $D/M$  is infinite for each maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ . Thus, let  $P_0 = M \cap D_0$  and choose  $\alpha$  in  $A$  such that each prime ideal of  $D_\alpha$  lying over  $P_0$  in  $D_0$  is inertial with respect to  $D$ . Set  $P = M \cap D_\alpha$ . For any  $\beta$  in  $A$  such that  $D_\alpha \subseteq D_\beta$ ,  $PD_\beta$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $D_\beta$  lying over  $P$  in  $D_\alpha$ . Since  $PD_\beta \subseteq M \cap D_\beta \subseteq D_\beta$  for each such  $\beta$ , it then follows that  $M \cap D_\beta = PD_\beta$  for each such  $\beta$ . Now fix a positive integer  $N$ . We show that  $|D/M| > N$  by showing that  $[D/M : D_\alpha/P]$ , the dimension of  $D/M$  as a vector space over  $D_\alpha/P$ , is greater than  $N$ . Since  $[L : K_0] = \infty$  and since  $[K_\alpha : K] < \infty$ , there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that  $K_\alpha \subseteq K_\beta$  and such that  $[K_\beta : K_\alpha] > N$ . Since  $K_\beta/K_\alpha$  is separable, a well-known theorem due to Roquette [15] and to Cohen and Zariski [4] then implies that  $[D_\beta/PD_\beta : D_\alpha/P] = [K_\beta : K_\alpha] > N$ . However,  $D_\alpha/P \subseteq D_\beta/PD_\beta \subseteq D/M$ , so that  $[D/M : D_\alpha/P] > N$  also.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $D$  is an integral domain with identity such that  $D/A$  has nonzero characteristic for each nonzero ideal  $A$  of  $D$ . If each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian, then  $D/A$  is finite for each nonzero ideal  $A$  of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is a nonzero ideal of  $D$  and if  $e$  is the identity element of  $D$ , then by hypothesis, the subring  $A^*$  of  $D$  generated by  $A$  and  $e$  is Noetherian. Hence  $A$ , considered as a ring, is Noetherian. A theorem due to Butts and Gilbert [2, Theorem 6]

then implies that  $D$  is a finite  $A^*$ -module, so that  $D/A$  is a finite  $A^*/A$ -module. Now  $A^*/A \simeq \Pi/(\Pi \cap A)$ , where  $\Pi$  is the prime subring of  $D$ , and the hypothesis on  $D$  implies that  $\Pi/(\Pi \cap A)$  is a finite ring. Hence  $A^*/A$  is finite and  $D/A$  is also finite.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a domain with identity having quotient field  $K$  and prime subring  $Z$ ; we assume that  $K/Q$  is algebraic. If  $D'$  is the integral closure of  $Z$  in  $D$  and if  $Z'$  is the integral closure of  $Z$  in  $K$ , then these conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *Each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian.*
- 2) *Each subring of  $D'$  with identity is Noetherian.*
- 3)  *$Z'$  is a Dedekind domain, and each nonzero ideal of  $Z'$  has finite index in  $Z'$ .*
- 4)  $[K:Q] < \infty$ .
- 5) *Each subring of  $K$  with identity is Noetherian.*

*Proof.* 1)→2): clear.

2)→3): Lemma 1 shows that  $D'$  has quotient field  $K$ , and since  $D'$  is integral over  $Z$ ,  $D'$  is one-dimensional. By what Nagata calls the Krull-Akizuki Theorem in [14, p. 115] (see also [3, p. 29]), it then follows that  $Z'$  is one-dimensional Noetherian and that  $Z'/A'$  is a finite  $D'/(A' \cap D')$ -module for each nonzero ideal  $A'$  of  $Z'$ . Since  $Z'$  is integrally closed,  $Z'$  is therefore a Dedekind domain. We observe that since  $D'$  is integral over  $Z$ , each nonzero ideal of  $D'$  meets  $Z$  in a nonzero ideal of  $Z$  so that  $D'/B$  has finite characteristic for each nonzero ideal  $B$  of  $D'$ . By Theorem 2, each such  $D'/B$  is finite; in particular,  $D'/(A' \cap D')$  is finite for each nonzero ideal  $A'$  of  $Z'$  so that  $Z'/A'$  is also finite.

3)→4): This is immediate from Corollary 3 once we observe that the family  $\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of all subfields of  $K$  which are finite-dimensional over  $Q$  form a net with union  $K$ .

4)→5): Apply the Krull-Akizuki Theorem.

5)→1): Clear.

If  $K$  is an algebraic extension field of  $GF(p)$ , then each subring of  $K$  with identity is a field [17, p. 12], and hence is Noetherian. Therefore in characterizing domains of nonzero characteristic for which every subring with identity is Noetherian, we can, by Corollary 2, reduce to the case where the transcendence degree of the quotient field of the domain over its prime subfield is 1.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a domain with identity having quotient field  $K$  and prime subring  $\Pi = GF(p)$ ; we assume that  $\text{tr.d. } K/\Pi = 1$  and that  $X$  is an element of  $D$  transcendental over  $\Pi$ . Let  $J = \Pi[X]$ , let  $D'$  be the integral closure of  $J$  in  $D$ , and let  $J'$  be the integral closure of  $J$  in  $K$ . Then these conditions are equivalent;*

- 1) *Each subring of  $D$  with identity is Noetherian.*
- 2) *Each subring of  $D'$  with identity is Noetherian.*
- 3)  *$J'$  is a Dedekind domain, and each nonzero ideal of  $J'$  has finite index in  $J'$ .*



4)  $[K:\Pi(X)] < \infty$ .

5) *Each subring of  $K$  with identity is Noetherian.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 3, the implications 1)  $\rightarrow$  2) and 5)  $\rightarrow$  1) are clear. The proof that 2) implies 3) is the same as that given in Theorem 3 once we observe that  $J = \Pi[X]$  is one-dimensional and that  $D'/B$  has characteristic  $p$  for each genuine ideal  $B$  of  $D'$ .

3)  $\rightarrow$  4): For this part of Theorem 4 we shall need the following result due to Gilmer, Heinzer, and Kreimer [9]:

*Suppose that  $F$  is a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and that  $F$  is not separably algebraically closed. These conditions are equivalent.*

a)  $F^{1/p}$  is a simple extension of  $F$ .

b) *There are no exceptional extensions of  $F$ . That is, if  $K$  is an inseparable extension of  $F$ , then the purely inseparable part of  $K$  over  $F$  properly contains  $F$ .*

c) *Each algebraic extension of  $F$  splits over  $F$ . That is, if  $K$  is an algebraic extension field of  $F$  and if  $K_i$  is the purely inseparable part of  $K$  over  $F$ , then  $K/K_i$  is separable.*

d) *Each finite-dimensional extension of  $F$  is simple over  $F$ .*

To apply this theorem to our problem, we observe that  $[\Pi(X)]^{1/p} = \Pi(X^{1/p}) = \Pi(X)(X^{1/p})$  since  $\Pi$  is a perfect field. Hence the result of Gilmer, Heinzer, and Kreimer cited above shows that if  $K_i$  is the purely inseparable part of  $K/\Pi(X)$ , then  $K$  is separable over  $K_i$ . We let  $J_i$  be the integral closure of  $J$  in  $K_i$ . Since  $J'$ , the integral closure of  $J_i$  in  $K$ , is a Dedekind domain in which each nonzero ideal has finite index, then  $J_i$  is a Dedekind domain [10, p. 750], and since  $K/K_i$  is separable, Corollary 3 implies that  $[K:K_i]$  is finite. Finally, since  $AJ' \cap J_i = A$  for each ideal  $A$  of  $J_i$  [7, p. 563],  $J_i/A$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $J'/AJ'$ , and consequently,  $J_i/A$  is finite for each nonzero ideal  $A$  of  $J_i$ . To prove that  $[K:\Pi(X)]$  is finite, we now need only to show that  $[K_i:\Pi(X)]$  is finite; that is, we can reduce to the case when  $K/\Pi(X)$  is purely inseparable.

It is known (see footnote 5 of [13]) that any finite-dimensional purely inseparable extension of  $\Pi(X)$  is of the form  $\Pi(X^{1/p^e})$  for some positive integer  $e$ , so that  $\Pi(\{X^{1/p^e}\}_{e=1}^{\infty})$  is the only purely inseparable infinite-dimensional extension field of  $\Pi(X)$ . However,  $T = \Pi[\{X^{1/p^e}\}_{e=1}^{\infty}]$  is the integral closure of  $\Pi[X]$  in  $\Pi(\{X^{1/p^e}\}_1^{\infty})$ , and  $T$  is not a Dedekind domain since  $\{X^{1/p^e}\}_1^{\infty} T$  is an idempotent maximal ideal of  $T$ . Therefore, since  $J_i$  is a Dedekind domain,  $[K_i:\Pi(X)]$  is finite, and 4) holds.

4)  $\rightarrow$  5): Consider a subring  $R$  of  $K$  with identity, and let  $L$  be the quotient field of  $R$ . If  $L/\Pi$  is algebraic, we have already observed that each subring of  $L$  is Noetherian. If  $L/\Pi$  is not algebraic, then  $L$  has transcendence degree 1 over  $\Pi$  and we can choose  $Y$  in  $R$  such that  $Y$  is transcendental over  $\Pi$ . Since  $\text{tr.d. } K/\Pi = 1$ ,  $K$  is algebraic over  $\Pi(Y)$ . Therefore,  $[\Pi(Y, X):\Pi(Y)] < \infty$ , and since  $[K:\Pi(X)] < \infty$ ,  $[K:\Pi(Y, X)] < \infty$  also. We conclude that  $[K:\Pi(Y)] = [K:\Pi(Y, X)][\Pi(Y, X):\Pi(Y)]$  is finite, and since  $\Pi(Y) \subseteq L \subseteq K$ ,  $[L:\Pi(Y)]$  is also finite. Applying the Krull-Akizuki theorem to

$\Pi[Y]$ ,  $R$ ,  $\Pi(Y)$ , and  $L$ , we then conclude that  $R$  is Noetherian, and our proof that 4) implies 5) is complete.

*Remark.* If  $R$  is a ring with identity  $e$  and if each subring of  $R$  with identity is Noetherian, then each subring of  $R$  is Noetherian, for if  $S$  is any subring of  $R$ , then  $S[e]$  is Noetherian, and as previously mentioned, this implies that  $S$  is Noetherian.

We observe that our proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 show that if each subring of a domain  $D$  with identity is Noetherian, then each subring of  $D$  with identity has dimension  $\leq 1$ . Using the notion of *valuative dimension* introduced by Jaffard in [11] (see also [12, Chap. 4] and [8, § 25]), it is easy to characterize domains  $D$  with identity having what we shall designate here as property  $(d_n)$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer.

$(d_n)$ : Each subring of  $D$  with identity has dimension  $\leq n$ .

In fact, we have

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain with identity having quotient field  $K$  and prime subring  $\Pi$ .*

a) *If  $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $D$  has property  $(d_n)$  if and only if  $\text{tr.d.}(K/Q) \leq n-1$ .*

b) *If  $\Pi = GF(p)$ , then  $D$  has property  $(d_n)$  if and only if  $\text{tr.d.}(K/\Pi) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and if  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are indeterminates over  $R$ , then  $\dim R[X_1, \dots, X_k] \geq \dim R + k$ , so that if  $D$  has property  $(d_n)$ , then  $\text{tr.d.}(K/Q) \leq n-1$  in case a) and  $\text{tr.d.}(K/Q) \leq n$  in case b).

To prove the converses, let  $J$  be any subring of  $K$  and let  $L$  be the quotient field of  $J$ . To show that  $\dim J \leq n$ , it suffices to show that the valuative dimension of  $J$  is at most  $n$  [8, p. 211]. Thus if  $V$  is a valuation ring on  $L$  containing  $J$ , then in case a),  $\dim V \leq \dim(V \cap Q) + \text{tr.d.}(L/Q) \leq \dim(V \cap Q) + n-1 \leq n$  (see [12, p. 10] or [8, p. 239]), the last inequality holding because any valuation ring on  $Q$  has rank at most 1. And in case b),  $\dim V \leq \dim(V \cap \Pi) + \text{tr.d.}(L/Q) = \text{tr.d.}(L/Q) \leq n$ . Consequently, in case a) or case b), the valuative dimension of  $J$  is at most  $n$ , and hence the dimension of  $J$  is at most  $n$ .

*Remark.* If  $S$  is a commutative ring with identity  $e$ , if  $R$  is a subring of  $S$ , and if  $R^*$  is the subring of  $S$  generated by  $R$  and  $e$ , then it is known that  $\dim R \leq \dim R^* \leq \dim R + 2$ . Hence if each subring of  $S$  with identity has dimension  $\leq n$ , then each subring of  $S$  has dimension  $\leq n$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. ARNOLD and R. GILMER, *Idempotent ideals and unions of nets of Prüfer domains*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I 31 (1967), 131–145.
- [2] H. S. BUTTS and J. GILBERT, *Rings satisfying the three Noether axioms* (to appear in J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.).
- [3] I. S. COHEN, *Commutative rings with restricted minimum condition*, Duke Math. J. 17 (1950), 27–42.

- [4] I. S. COHEN and O. ZARISKI, *A fundamental theorem in the theory of extensions of valuations*, Ill. J. Math. 1 (1957), 1–8.
- [5] R. GILMER, *If  $R[X]$  is Noetherian,  $R$  contains an identity*, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 700.
- [6] —, *Eleven nonequivalent conditions on a commutative ring*, Nagoya Math. J. 26 (1966), 183–194.
- [7] —, *Contracted ideals with respect to integral extensions*, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 561–572.
- [8] —, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory* (Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario 1968).
- [9] R. GILMER, W. HEINZER, and F. KREIMER, *On the existence of exceptional field extensions* (unpublished).
- [10] W. HEINZER, *Some properties of integral closure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 749–753.
- [11] P. JAFFARD, *Dimension des anneaux de polynomes: La notion de dimension valuative*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 246 (1958), 3305–3307.
- [12] —, *Théorie de la Dimension dans les Anneaux de Polynomes* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1960).
- [13] S. MACLANE and O. F. G. SCHILLING, *Infinite number fields with Noether ideal theories*, Amer. J. Math. 61 (1939), 771–782.
- [14] M. NAGATA, *Local Rings* (Interscience, New York 1962).
- [15] P. ROQUETTE, *On the prolongation of valuations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 42–56.
- [16] O. ZARISKI and P. SAMUEL, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 1 (D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton 1958).
- [17] —, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 2 (D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton 1960).

Florida State University

Received April 24, 1969