

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 44 (1969)

**Artikel:** Foliations on Open Manifolds, II.  
**Autor:** Philipps, Anthony  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-33780>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 08.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# Foliations on Open Manifolds, II

by ANTHONY PHILLIPS

## 1. Introduction

Consider an  $n$ -dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold  $M$  which is open (i.e. has no compact components) and on  $M$  a field  $\sigma$  of tangent  $k$ -planes. This note gives a sufficient condition for  $\sigma$  to be homotopic to an integrable field. The condition is stated in terms of the complementary  $q$ -plane field  $\sigma^\perp$  ( $q = n - k$ ), which we may consider as a  $q$ -dimensional subbundle of  $TM$ , the tangent bundle of  $M$ .

**THEOREM:** *If the structural group of  $\sigma^\perp$  can be reduced to a discrete group, then  $\sigma$  is homotopic to an integrable field.*

**REMARKS:** This theorem was suggested by the following result of Ehresmann ([1, p. 38], [2, p. 364]): Let  $N \subset M$  be an embedded submanifold; then  $N$  is a leaf of a foliation of a neighborhood of  $N$  in  $M$  if and only if the structural group of the normal bundle of  $N$  in  $M$  can be reduced to a discrete group. It gives a partial answer to a question posed by Reeb [7], Haefliger [2] and Thomas [8]. Since the one-dimensional orthogonal group is discrete, this gives a simpler proof of [6], Theorem 1.2: every  $(n - 1)$ -plane field on  $M$  is homotopic to an integrable field. The restriction to open manifolds allows the use of submersion theory.

The proof of this theorem is given in the next two sections; the last section contains an example.

Early drafts of this note contain a much more restricted theorem. I am very grateful to André Haefliger for pointing out this generalisation.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the universal cover of  $M$ , with  $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  the projection, and denote by  $\alpha: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  the covering transformation corresponding to the element  $\alpha$  of the fundamental group  $\pi$  of  $M$ . We will use the notation  $\alpha_*: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow T\tilde{M}$ , etc., for the differential of  $\alpha: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ , etc. A field  $\tau$  of tangent planes on  $\tilde{M}$  satisfying

$$\alpha_*\tau = \tau \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \pi \tag{*}$$

projects on  $M$  to a field  $p_*\tau$  which is integrable if and only if  $\tau$  is. The fields  $\sigma, \sigma^\perp$  are lifted up by  $p$  to fields  $p^*\sigma, p^*\sigma^\perp$  on  $\tilde{M}$  satisfying (\*). Let us give  $\tilde{M}$  the Riemannian metric pulled back from  $M$  by  $p$ ; then  $p^*\sigma^\perp = (p^*\sigma)^\perp$ .

The universal covering  $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  is a principal bundle over  $M$  with group  $\pi$ . The bundle  $\sigma^\perp$ , having discrete structural group, is isomorphic to  $\tilde{M} \times_\pi R^q$ , the  $q$ -plane bundle associated to  $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  by a representation  $r: \pi \rightarrow O(q)$ . (Compare [3], Lemma 1.) To simplify notation, let  $r_\alpha = r(\alpha)$ . As usual, we construct  $\tilde{M} \times_\pi R^q$  by dividing  $\tilde{M} \times R^q$  by the relation  $(x, y) \sim (\alpha(x), r_\alpha(y))$ , for  $\alpha \in \pi$ . Let  $\varphi: \sigma^\perp \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times_\pi R^q$  be the isomorphism, and  $\tilde{p}: \tilde{M} \times R^q \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times_\pi R^q$  the canonical projection.

There exists a unique trivialisation  $\Phi: p^* \sigma^\perp \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times R^q$  making the following square of bundle maps commute, as can easily be verified.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^* \sigma^\perp & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \tilde{M} \times R^q \\ p_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ \sigma^\perp & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \tilde{M} \times_\pi R^q \end{array}$$

The reason for defining  $\Phi$  is to obtain the tangent bundle map  $H: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow TR^q$  by the composition

$$T\tilde{M} \longrightarrow p^* \sigma^\perp \xrightarrow{\Phi} \tilde{M} \times R^q \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} R^q = TR_0^q \subset TR^q$$

(the first map is orthogonal projection, and  $TR_0^q$  denotes the tangent space at the origin.) This map has kernel  $\ker H = p^* \sigma$ ; furthermore it is easy to check that  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -equivariant epimorphism in the sense that  $H \circ \alpha_* = (r_\alpha)_* \circ H$ , for  $\alpha \in \pi$ , and that  $\text{rank}(H) = q$ .

**LEMMA:** *The map  $H$  is homotopic through  $\pi$ -equivariant epimorphisms to the differential  $f_*$  of a submersion  $f: \tilde{M} \rightarrow R^q$ .*

This lemma is proved in the next section. It yields the proof of the theorem, as follows. If  $H_t$  is the homotopy,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then  $\ker H_t$  defines a homotopy between  $p^* \sigma$  and the  $k$ -plane field  $\ker(f_*)$ , which is tangent to the foliation given by the manifolds  $\{f = \text{constant}\}$ . By equivariance each  $\ker H_t$  satisfies (\*), so  $p_* \ker H_t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , gives a homotopy between  $\sigma$  and the integrable field  $p_* \ker(f_*)$ .

### 3. Proof of Lemma

First, following [5], Corollary 1.2, realize  $M$  as  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty U_i$ , an expanding union of compact manifolds with boundary, such that  $U_0$  is an  $n$ -disc and either a)  $U_{i+1}$  retracts into  $U_i$  through embeddings which leave  $U_{i-2}$  fixed, or b)  $U_{i+1}$  is  $U_i$  with a handle of index  $\leq n-1$  attached. We may assume that the  $p^{-1}U_i$  give a similar decomposition for  $\tilde{M}$ ; of course now in case b)  $p^{-1}U_{i+1}$  will be  $p^{-1}U_i$  with one handle attached for each element of  $\pi$ . This can be guaranteed, for instance, by taking neighborhoods of the simplexes of an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional spine of  $M$  (which exists by [9], Theorem 3.2) in a sufficiently fine triangulation.

For convenience in indexing, we will parametrize the homotopy by  $[0, \infty]$ . Let  $U_0^*$  be one component of  $p^{-1}U_0$ ; then  $U_0^*$  is an  $n$ -disc and, by Lemma 2.1 of [5],  $H|U_0^*$  is homotopic through bundle epimorphisms to the differential  $f'_*$  of a submersion  $f':U_0^*\rightarrow R^q$ . Let  $V_0$  be an open set containing  $U_0^*$  and such that  $V_0\cap\alpha(V_0)=\emptyset$  for  $\alpha\in\pi$ , and let  $H'_t, t\in[0, 1]$ , be a homotopy, fixed outside  $V_0$ , between  $H$  and a bundle epimorphism  $H'_1$  equal to  $f'_*$  on  $U_0^*$ . Such a homotopy, through bundle epimorphisms, exists by [5], Lemma 5.1. A  $\pi$ -equivariant homotopy  $H_t:TM\rightarrow TR^q$ ,  $0\leq t\leq 1$ , is now defined by  $\tilde{H}_t=H$  outside  $p^{-1}p(V_0)$ , and  $H_t|_{\alpha(x)}=(r_\alpha)_*\circ H'_t|_x\circ\alpha_*^{-1}$  for  $x\in V_0$ , and  $\alpha\in\pi$ . Also define  $f_0:p^{-1}U_0\rightarrow R^q$  by  $f_0\circ\alpha(x)=r_\alpha\circ f'(x)$ , for  $x\in U_0^*$ ,  $\alpha\in\pi$ .

Induction hypothesis: between  $t=0$  and  $t=k$  we have deformed  $H$  through  $\pi$ -equivariant epimorphisms to a map  $H_k$  which over  $p^{-1}U_k$  is the differential of a submersion  $f_k$ . Observe that the deformation between  $k$  and  $k+1$  will leave this map fixed on  $p^{-1}U_{k-2}$ . The induction step will thus imply the existence of a well-defined homotopy, since for any  $x\in p^{-1}U_k$ ,  $H_t|_x=H_{k+2}|_x$  for  $t\geq k+2$ .

Proof of induction step: cases a) and b) must be distinguished. In case a) there is a homotopy  $h_t, t\in[0, 1]$ , of embeddings of  $U_{k+1}$  in itself, joining the identity map of  $U_{k+1}$  to  $h_1:U_{k+1}\rightarrow U_k$ , and such that each  $h_t$  is the identity on  $U_{k-2}$ . Covering this homotopy defines a unique similar homotopy  $\tilde{h}_t$  on  $p^{-1}U_{k+1}$ . Let  $f_{k+1}=f_k\circ\tilde{h}_1$ . Extend the homotopy  $(h_t)_*:TU_{k+1}\rightarrow TU_{k+1}$  to a homotopy of bundle epimorphisms  $L_t:TM\rightarrow TM$  (see [5], Lemma 5.1); cover this homotopy to define  $\tilde{L}_t:TM\rightarrow TM$ , and finally let  $H_{k+1}=H_k\circ\tilde{L}_1$ , for  $t\in[0, 1]$ .

In case b), let  $U_{k+1}^*$  be one of the components of  $p^{-1}U_{k+1}-p^{-1}U_k$ . It follows from the proof of [5], Lemma 6.2 that  $H_k|U_{k+1}^*$  is homotopic through epimorphisms fixed near  $p^{-1}U_k$  to  $f'_*$ , where  $f':U_{k+1}^*\rightarrow R^q$  is a submersion extending  $f_k$ . Proceed as in the case  $k=0$  to define  $f_{k+1}$  and a homotopy from  $H_k$  to  $H_{k+1}$  satisfying the induction hypothesis.

#### 4. An Example

Consider the punctured projective space  $P^6-x$ . This manifold is doubly covered by  $S^5\times R$ . On  $S^5\times R$  the 2-plane field spanned by  $v$  (the pullback of a non-zero vectorfield on  $P^5$  via the projections  $S^5\times R\rightarrow S^5\rightarrow P^5$ ) and  $w=\partial/\partial t$  is invariant under the antipodal map and so defines a 2-plane field on  $P^6-x$ . This bundle clearly has discrete structural group; it now follows that  $P^6-x$  has a 4-dimensional foliation.

The existence of such a foliation cannot be directly deduced from submersion theory, for the following argument shows there can be no submersion from  $P^6-x$  to a 2-dimensional manifold  $M$ . Such a submersion  $f$  would split  $T(P^6-x)$  as  $\eta^2\oplus\xi^4$ , where  $\eta=f^*TM$ . Note first that for  $i\leq 5$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Stiefel-Whitney class [4] of  $P^6-x$  is  $w_i(P^6-x)=a^i$ , where  $a$  generates  $H^1(P^6-x; Z_2)=Z_2$ . The equation  $w_5(P^6-x)=w_1\eta w_4\xi+w_2\eta w_3\xi$  shows that  $w_1\eta$  and  $w_2\eta$  cannot both be 0. Suppose  $w_1\eta\neq 0$ , that

is  $w_1\eta = a$ . This would imply  $a^3 = w_1(f^*TM)^3 = f^*(w_1TM)^3 = 0$ . Similarly  $w_2\eta \neq 0$  is also impossible.

Finally, note that the 2-plane field spanned by  $v$  and  $w$  on  $S^5 \times R$  is integrable, and thus projects to give an integrable 2-plane field on  $P^6 - x$ . This manifold therefore carries foliations of dimensions 1 (integrate a non-zero vectorfield), 2, 4 and 5 (see the Remarks in § 1). On the other hand, a straightforward argument with Stiefel-Whitney classes shows that there can exist no 3-plane field on  $P^6 - x$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. EHRESMANN, *Les connexions infinitesimales dans un espace fibré différentiable*, Colloque de Topologie du CBRM, Liège (1950), pp. 29–55.
- [2] A. HAEFLIGER, *Variétés feuilletées*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa (3) 16 (1962), 367–397.
- [3] J. MILNOR, *On the existence of a connection with curvature 0*, Commentarii Math. Helv. 32 (1957), 215–223.
- [4] J. MILNOR, *Lectures on Characteristic Classes* (mimeo. notes) (Princeton 1958).
- [5] A. PHILLIPS, *Submersions of open manifolds*, Topology 6 (1967), 171–206.
- [6] A. PHILLIPS, *Foliations on open manifolds*, I, Commentarii Math. Helv. 43 (1968), 204–211.
- [7] G. REEB, *Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles (Hermann, Paris 1952).
- [8] E. THOMAS, *Fields of tangent  $k$ -planes on manifolds*, Inventiones math. 3 (1967), 334–347.
- [9] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD, *The immersion of an open 3-manifold in Euclidean 3-space*, Proc. Lond. Phil. Soc. (3) 11 (1961), 81–90.

*University of California, Berkeley and  
State University of New York, Stony Brook*

Received November 1, 1968