

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 44 (1969)

Artikel: On the Finsler and Doner-Tarski Arithmetical Hierarchies.
Autor: Levitz, Hilbert
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-33758>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 17.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

On the Finsler and Doner-Tarski Arithmetical Hierarchies¹⁾

by HILBERT LEVITZ (New York University)

In [5], [1; pg. 64] FINSLER set up a transfinite sequence of binary operations $\{h_c\}$ ²⁾ on the ordinals. The first four operations are $h_0(a, b) = a + 1$, $h_1(a, b) = a + b$, $h_2(a, b) = a \cdot b$, and $h_3(a, b) = a^b$. The hierarchy satisfies the recursion formula $h_{c+1}(a, b+1) = h_c(h_{c+1}(a, b), a)$; this generalizes the formulae $a + (b+1) = (a+b) + 1$, $a \cdot (b+1) = a \cdot b + a$, $a^{b+1} = a^b \cdot a$. DONER and TARSKI [3] have also set up a hierarchy $\{g_c\}$ ³⁾ of binary operations where each operation is related to the succeeding operation by this same recursion formula, and which, moreover, has a rather simple definition: $g_0(a, b) = a + b$, $g_c(a, b) = \bigcup_{d < b, e < c} [g_e(g_c(a, d), a)]$ for $c > 0$. For every c and every $a \neq 0$, $g_c(a, x)$ is a continuous strictly increasing function of x . On the other hand, this can fail to be the case for $h_c(a, x)$ if c is a limit ordinal. Our basic result is that the Doner-Tarski hierarchy is *essentially* what one would get if one deleted from Finsler's hierarchy those operations whose subscript is a limit ordinal. We show also that this deletion does not diminish the representation power of the hierarchy in the sense that if $d = h_c(a, b)$ for some $a, b, c, < d$, then $d = g_{c'}(a', b')$ for some $a', b', c', < d$.

Let $\{f_c\}$ be the hierarchy of continuous increasing functions defined inductively by: $f_0(x) = \omega^x$, if $c \neq 0$ f_c enumerates in order those ordinals which are fixed points of f_s for all $s < c$ (existence proof given in [1]). The fixed points of the function $f_x(0)$ are called the *strongly critical epsilon numbers*. In [6] we showed that the least strongly critical epsilon number κ_0 is the least number greater than ω which is inaccessible by means of Finsler's hierarchy; by that we mean the least number $d > \omega$ such that $a, b, c, < d$ implies $h_c(a, b) < d$. Using the results announced in the above paragraph we will show that κ_0 plays the same role with respect to the Doner-Tarski hierarchy. FEFERMAN [4], SCHÜTTE [9], [10], and TAIT [11] have obtained results which show that κ_0 plays a significant role in ramified type theory.

DEFINITION. To each ordinal c we associate an ordinal c^* as follows: $c^* = c + 1$ if $c = d + n$ where d is a limit ordinal and $0 \leq n < \omega$, $c^* = c$ otherwise. It is easy to see that:

$$c^* + 1 = (c + 1)^* \tag{1}$$

THEOREM 1. If $c \geq 4$ and $a \geq \omega$ then $g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) = h_{c^*}(a, b)$.

¹⁾ This work was supported by a grant from the Office of Scientific Research of the United States Air Force.

²⁾ We write $h_c(a, b)$ for Finsler's $\phi_c(b, a)$ (Note the interchange of the variables). Finsler's restriction that $a, b, c < \Omega_1$ can be lifted [1; pg. 64].

³⁾ We write $g_c(a, b)$ for Doner-Tarski's $a0_c b$.

Proof: By transfinite induction on $\Omega_i c + b$. Our induction hypothesis is that $c \geq 4$ and $\Omega_i c' + b' < \Omega_i c + b$ implies that $g_{-1+c'}(a, 1+b') = h_{(c')^*}(a, b')$ for all $a \geq \omega$.⁴⁾ We must show under this assumption that $g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) = h_{c^*}(a, b)$ for all $a \geq \omega$. Let $a \geq \omega$ be given:

CASE 1. $c=4$; using [3; 3(iii)] and [5; pg. 80] we get:

$$g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) = g_3(a, 1+b) = a^{(a^b)} = h_4(a, b) = h_c(a, b) = h_{c^*}(a, b).$$

CASE 2. $c > 4$;

CASE 2.1 $b=0$; using [3; 17(iii)] and [5; th. 9] we get:

$$g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) = g_{-1+c}(a, 1) = a = h_{c^*}(a, 0) = h_{c^*}(a, b).$$

CASE 2.2 $b \neq 0$;

CASE 2.2.1 b is a limit ordinal; using [3; 17(vi)], our induction hyp. and the fact that $h_{c^*}(a, x)$ is a continuous increasing function of x [1; pg. 65] we get:

$$g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) = \sup_{d < b} g_{-1+c}(a, 1+d) = \sup_{d < b} h_{c^*}(a, d) = h_{c^*}(a, b).$$

CASE 2.2.2 b is a successor ordinal $v+1$;

CASE 2.2.2.1 c is a successor ordinal $d+1$; then using [3; 17(iv)] and the fact that $a \geq \omega$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) &= g_{-1+(d+1)}(a, 1+(v+1)) = g_{(-1+d)+1}(a, (1+v)+1) \\ &= g_{-1+d}(g_{(-1+d)+1}(a, 1+v), a) = g_{-1+d}(g_{(-1+d)+1}(a, 1+v), 1+a). \end{aligned}$$

Now by [3; corr. 5(i)] we note that $g_{-1+d}(a, 1+v) \geq a \geq \omega$, so using successive applications of our induction hypothesis followed by (1), [5; pg. 6] and (1) again, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1+d}(g_{(-1+d)+1}(a, 1+v), 1+a) &= h_{d^*}(g_{-1+(d+1)}(a, 1+v), a) \\ &= h_{d^*}(h_{(d+1)^*}(a, v), a) = h_{d^*}(h_{d^*+1}(a, v), a) \\ &= h_{d^*+1}(a, v+1) = h_{(d+1)^*}(a, b) = h_{c^*}(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

CASE 2.2.2.2. c is a limit ordinal; using [3; 17(v)] we get:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-1+c}(a, 1+b) &= g_c(a, 1+(v+1)) = g_c(a, (1+v)+1) \\ &= \sup_{d < c} g_d(g_c(a, 1+v), a) = \sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(g_c(a, 1+v), a) \\ &= \sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(g_{-1+c}(a, 1+v), a). \end{aligned}$$

⁴⁾ Our induction is up to Ω_i^2 , where Ω_i is an initial ordinal and $a, b, c < \Omega_i$.

Now by [3; corr. 5(i)] and induction hyp. $\omega \leq g_{-1+c}(a, 1+v) = h_{c^*}(a, v)$ so

$$\sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(g_{-1+c}(a, 1+v), a) = \sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(h_{c^*}(a, v), a) = \sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(h_{c^*}(a, v), 1+a).$$

Now by a further application of the induction hypothesis, Finsler's definition, and [5, pg. 6] we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{d < c} g_{-1+d}(h_{c^*}(a, v), 1+a) &= \sup_{d < c} h_{d^*}(h_{c^*}(a, v), a) \\ &= \sup_{d < c} h_d(h_{c^*}(a, v), a) = h_c(h_{c^*}(a, v), a) = h_c(h_{c+1}(a, v), a) \\ &= h_{c+1}(a, v+1) = h_{c^*}(a, b) \quad (\text{Q.E.D.}). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 1. *If $a, b, c, < \kappa_0$ then $g_c(a, b) < \kappa_0$.*

Proof: Follows from the main theorem together with the fact that Finsler's hierarchy has the same property [6, th. 3].

COROLLARY 2. *If $x \geq 1$, then*

$$f_c(-1+x) = \begin{cases} g_{2c+2}(\omega, \omega x) & \text{if } 1 \leq c < \omega \\ g_c(\omega, 1+x) & \text{if } c \text{ is a limit ordinal} \\ g_{a+2n}(\omega, \omega x) & \text{if } c = a+n \text{ where } a \text{ is a limit} \\ & \text{ordinal and } 1 \leq n < \omega \end{cases}$$

Proof: Follows from the main theorem together with [6, th. 1].

THEOREM 2. *If $d > \omega$ and $d = h_c(a, b)$ where $a, b, c, < d$, then $d = g_u(v, w)$ where $u, v, w < d$.*

Proof: By [6, th. 3] $d \neq f_d(0)$; but then by [8; (5.1)] $d = a+b$ where $a, b < d$ or $d = f_c(a)$ where $c, a < d$:

CASE 1. $d = a+b$ where $a, b < d$; then $d = g_0(a, b)$.

CASE 2. $d = f_c(a)$ where $c, a < d$; write $a = -1+b$ where $b \geq 1$:

CASE 2.1 $c \neq 0$; then d is an epsilon number, so $a < d$ implies $b < d, 1+b < d$, and $\omega b < d$.

CASE 2.1.1 c is a limit ordinal; then by corollary 2:

$$c, 1+b, \omega < d = f_c(-1+b) = g_c(\omega, 1+b).$$

CASE 2.1.2 $c = e+n$ where e is a limit ordinal and $1 \leq n < \omega$; then by corollary 2:

$$e+2n, \omega, \omega b < d = f_c(-1+b) = g_{e+2n}(\omega, \omega b).$$

CASE 2.1.3 c is finite; then by corollary 2:

$$2c+2, \omega, \omega b < d = f_c(-1+b) = g_{2c+2}(\omega, \omega b).$$

CASE 2.2 $c=0$; now $a \geq 2$ because $\omega < d = f_0(a) = \omega^a$, thus by [3, 3(ii)] $d = f_2(\omega, a) > 2, a, \omega$. (Q.E.D.)

COROLLARY 3. κ_0 (the least strongly critical epsilon number) is the least ordinal inaccessible by means of the Doner–Tarski hierarchy.

Proof: κ_0 is inaccessible by corollary 1. That it is the least such number follows from the main theorem together with the result of [6] that κ_0 is the least ordinal greater than ω which is inaccessible by means of Finsler's hierarchy.

REFERENCES

- [1] BACHMANN, H., *Transfinite Zahlen* (Springer, Berlin/Göttingen/Heidelberg 1955 [Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgeb., Neue Folge, 1]).
- [2] ———, *Vergleich und Kombination zweier Methoden von Veblen und Finsler zur Lösung des Problems der Ausgezeichneten Folgen von Ordnungszahlen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 26 (1952), 55–62.
- [3] DONER, J. and TARSKI, A., *An Extended Arithmetic of Ordinal Numbers*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
- [4] FEFERMAN, S., *Systems of Predicative Analysis*, J. Symbolic Logic 29 (1964), 1–30.
- [5] FINSLER, P., *Eine Transfinite Folge Arithmetischer Operationen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 25 (1951), 75–90.
- [6] LEVITZ, H., *Über die Finslerschen höheren arithmetischen Operationen*, Comment. Math. Helv. 41 (1966–1967), 273–286.
- [7] RUBIN, A. L. and RUBIN, J. E., *Extended Operations and Relations on the Class of Ordinal Numbers*, Abstract, Notices of Amer. Math. Soc. 15, No. 1 (1968), 196.
- [8] SCHÜTTE, K., *Kennzeichnung von Ordnungszahlen durch rekursive erklärte Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 127 (1954), 15–32.
- [9] ———, *Predicative Well-Orderings*, in *Formal and Systems Recursive Functions*, edited by F. N. CROSSLEY and M. A. E. DUMMETT (North Holland, Amsterdam 1965).
- [10] ———, *Eine Grenze für die Beweisbarkeit der Transfiniten Induktion in der Verzweigten Typenlogik*, Archiv. f. math. Logic und Grundlagenforschung, 7 (1965), 45–60.
- [11] TAIT, W. W., *Cut Elimination in Infinite Propositional Logic*, Abstract, J. Symbolic Logic, 31 (1966), 151–152.
- [12] VEULEN, O., *Continuous Increasing Functions of Finite and Transfinite Ordinals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1908), 280–292.

Received March 1, 1968