

**Zeitschrift:** Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici  
**Herausgeber:** Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft  
**Band:** 42 (1967)

**Artikel:** The Index of a Tangent 2-Field.  
**Autor:** Thomas, Emery  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-32133>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 15.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# The Index of a Tangent 2-Field<sup>1)</sup>

by EMERY THOMAS (Berkeley)

*Dedicated to Professor H. Hopf*

## 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a connected, smooth, Riemannian manifold, and let  $k$  be a positive integer. By a  $k$ -field on  $M$  we mean an ordered set of  $k$  orthonormal tangent vector fields. We say that  $M$  has a  $k$ -field with *finite singularities* if there is a  $k$ -field on the manifold obtained from  $M$  by removing a finite number of points. Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  be such a  $k$ -field. Choose a triangulation of  $M$  such that each singular point of the  $k$ -field lies in the interior of a distinct  $m$ -simplex ( $m = \dim M$ ). Let  $p$  be a singular point, say in the interior of the closed simplex  $\sigma$ . Suppose now that  $M$  is oriented. The tangent bundle of  $M$  restricted to  $\sigma$  is then isomorphic to the trivial bundle  $\sigma \times R^m$ , by an orientation preserving isomorphism, and this isomorphism can be chosen to be compatible with the standard Riemannian metric on  $\sigma \times R^m$ . Thus for each point  $q$  in  $\sigma - \{p\}$  we can regard  $(X_1(q), \dots, X_k(q))$  as an orthonormal  $k$ -frame in  $R^m$  – that is, as a point in the Stiefel manifold  $V_{m,k}$ . Since  $M$  is oriented the boundary of  $\sigma$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}$ , is then an oriented  $(m-1)$ -sphere. By the above remarks one sees that the  $k$ -field restricted to  $\dot{\sigma}$  gives a map  $\dot{\sigma} \rightarrow V_{m,k}$  and the homotopy class of this map is then an element of the homotopy group  $\pi_{m-1}(V_{m,k})$ . We define this homotopy class to be the *index* of the  $k$ -field at the singular point  $p$  (see HOPF [12], [13]), and write this Index  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)_p$ . Now let  $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$  be the set of singular points of the  $k$ -field. We define

$$\text{Index}(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \sum_i \text{Index}(X_1, \dots, X_k)_{p_i} \in \pi_{m-1}(V_{m,k}).$$

One can show that this definition of the index agrees with the definition one obtains via obstruction theory. (See §§ 29–34 in [24].) This implies that the definition is independent of the choices made above; in particular it is independent of the orientation of  $M$ . Also, from obstruction theory it follows that  $\text{Index}(X_1, \dots, X_k) = 0$  iff there is a  $k$ -field without singularities on  $M$  which coincides with  $(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  on the  $(m-2)$ -skeleton of  $M$ . (See 34.2 of [24].)

A 1-field  $X$  on  $M$  is simply a field of unit tangent vectors. Since  $V_{m,1} = (m-1)$ -sphere and  $\pi_{m-1}(V_{m,1}) = Z$ , we may regard  $\text{Index}(X)$  as an integer. The celebrated theorem of H. HOPF [12] states that if  $X$  is a 1-field with finite singularities on a closed manifold<sup>2)</sup>  $M$ , then

$$\text{Index}(X) = \chi(M),$$

where  $\chi(M)$  denotes the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .

---

<sup>1)</sup> Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2)</sup> By using local coefficients one can define the index on a non-orientable manifold (See [24, §39.5].)

Let  $(X_1, X_2)$  be a 2-field with finite singularities on a closed oriented manifold  $M$  of dim  $m$ , with  $m > 4$ . The index of  $(X_1, X_2)$  is then an element of the homotopy group  $\pi_{m-1}(V_{m,2})$ . This group depends on the parity of  $m$  as is shown below (see [8]):

$$\pi_{m-1}(V_{m,2}) = \begin{cases} Z_2 & , \text{ if } m \text{ odd} \\ Z \oplus Z_2 & , \text{ if } m \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus if  $m$  is odd we can regard  $\text{Index}(X_1, X_2)$  as an integer mod 2. If  $m$  is even we write

$$\text{Index}(X_1, X_2) = (\text{Index}_0(X_1, X_2), \text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2)),$$

where  $\text{Index}_0(X_1, X_2) \in Z$ ,  $\text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2) \in Z_2$ . It is easily shown (see § 7 below) that  $\text{Index}_0(X_1, X_2) = \chi(M)$ . In a previous paper [27] we have proved: *If  $m \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ , and if  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a 2-field with finite singularities, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2) &= 0, & \text{if } m &\equiv 2(4), \\ \text{Index}(X_1, X_2) &= 0, & \text{if } m &\equiv 3(4). \end{aligned}$$

The purpose of this paper is to consider 2-fields on  $m$ -manifolds where  $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ .

The case of 4-manifolds has been completely solved by F. HIRZEBRUCH and H. HOPF [11]. For the rest of the section let  $M$  denote a closed oriented manifold of dim  $m$ , with  $m > 4$ . Let  $w_i M \in H^i(M; Z_2)$  denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  Stiefel-Whitney class of  $M$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Recall (see § 39.1 in [24]) that if  $m$  is odd then  $M$  has a 2-field with finite singularities iff  $w_{m-1} M = 0$ , while if  $m$  is even then  $M$  has such a 2-field iff  $\delta^* w_{m-2} M = 0$ . (Here  $\delta^*$  denotes the Bockstein coboundary from mod 2 coefficients to integer coefficients.) MASSEY [17] has shown that if  $m$  is even then one always has  $\delta^* w_{m-2} M = 0$ . Thus an orientable manifold of even dimension always has a 2-field with finite singularities.

Define

$$\chi^+ M = \sum_i \dim H_i(M; Z_2).$$

If  $\chi^+ M$  is an even integer (as will be the case, for example, when  $m$  is odd), we define<sup>3)</sup> an integer mod 2 by

$$\hat{\chi}_2 M = \frac{1}{2} \chi^+ M \pmod{2}.$$

We will prove the following result. (Recall that  $M$  is called a *spin* manifold if  $w_2 M = 0$ .)

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold of dim  $4k+1$ ,  $k > 0$ , such that  $w_{4k} M = 0$ . If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is any 2-field with finite singularities, then*

$$\text{Index}(X_1, X_2) = \hat{\chi}_2 M.$$

As an immediate consequence we have

---

<sup>3)</sup> See KERVAIRE, Math. Ann. 131 (1956) 220.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold of  $\dim 4k+1$ ,  $k>0$ . Then  $M$  has a 2-field without singularities if, and only if,*

$$w_{4k}M = 0, \quad \hat{\chi}_2 M = 0.$$

In case  $M$  is a  $\pi$ -manifold, this is given as part of Theorem 2 in [6].

The case  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  requires an additional hypothesis. Let  $M$  be a manifold of even dimension, say  $2q$ . We call  $M$  *symplectic* if, for all classes  $u \in H^q(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $u^2 = 0$ . We show below that if  $M$  is a spin manifold of  $\dim 8k+4$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , then  $M$  is symplectic. Also, we will show that if  $M$  is symplectic then  $w_{2q}M = 0$ , and so the Euler characteristic of  $M$  is an even integer. Therefore, by Poincaré duality, it follows that  $\chi^+ M$  is also even and so  $\hat{\chi}_2 M$  is defined. We will prove

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold of  $\dim m$ , where  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $m > 4$ . If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  assume that  $M$  is symplectic. Then for any 2-field  $(X_1, X_2)$  with finite singularities.*

$$\text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2) = \hat{\chi}_2 M.$$

Suppose that  $\dim M = 4k$ ,  $k > 0$ ; set  $d_i = \dim H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . By Poincaré duality,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^i 2d_i + d_{2k}, \\ \chi^+ M &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} 2d_i + d_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\chi^+ M = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} 2(1 - (-1)^i) d_i \right) + \chi(M),$$

and so if  $\chi(M)$  is even

$$\hat{\chi}_2 M = (\tfrac{1}{2}\chi(M)) \pmod{2}.$$

In particular

$$\hat{\chi}_2 M = 0 \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \chi(M) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

As a consequence we have

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold as in 1.3. Then  $M$  has a 2-field without singularities if, and only if,  $\chi(M) = 0$ .*

Recall that a manifold  $M$  of even dimension  $2q$  is said to have an *almost-complex* structure if there is a complex  $q$ -plane bundle  $\omega$  over  $M$  such that the tangent bundle of  $M$  is equivalent to the real bundle underlying  $\omega$ . Now this complex bundle  $\omega$  has a complex 1-field with finite singularities, and the index of this 1-field is simply  $\chi(M)$  [19, pp. 61, 65]. Moreover the complex 1-field determines a (real) 2-field on  $M$  also with finite singularities and for this 2-field  $(X_1, X_2)$ ,  $\text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2) = b w_{2q} M$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus by 1.3 and the computation given above for  $\hat{\chi}_2 M$ , we obtain

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold as in 1.3. If  $M$  admits an almost-complex structure, then the Euler characteristic of  $M$  is divisible by 4.*



This argument was originally used by HOPF [13] to show that  $S^4$  and  $S^8$  do not admit almost-complex structures.

Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -manifold and let  $V = \sum_{i=1}^m V_i$  denote the Wu class [29]. That is, if  $u \in H^{m-i}(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  then

$$\text{Sq}^i(u) = u \cdot V_i,$$

where  $\text{Sq}^i$  denotes the mod 2 Steenrod operator of degree  $i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . The Theorem of Wu is that

$$w_k M = \sum_{i=0}^k \text{Sq}^i V_{k-i}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus if  $m$  is even, say  $m = 2q$ ,

$$w_{2q} M = \text{Sq}^q V_q = V_q^2.$$

But by definition,  $M$  is symplectic iff  $V_q = 0$ , and so if  $M$  is symplectic then  $w_{2q} M = 0$ , as asserted above. Also, by an easy extension of [16, Theorem III], one shows that if  $M$  is a spin  $m$ -manifold, then  $V_{4k+2} = 0$ ,  $k \geq 0$  (since  $\text{Sq}^2 H^{m-2}(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ ). Therefore if  $m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ ,  $M$  is symplectic as remarked above.

## 2. Proof of 1.1 and 1.3.

Throughout this section  $M$  will denote a closed oriented  $m$ -manifold, with  $m \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $m > 4$ . We will show in § 7 that if  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a 2-field on  $M$  with isolated singularities, then the index is independent of the particular choice of 2-field. We define a mod 2 integer,  $I_2 M$ , by setting

$$I_2 M = \begin{cases} \text{Index}_2(X_1, X_2), & \text{if } m \equiv 0(4) \\ \text{Index}(X_1, X_2), & \text{if } m \equiv 1(4). \end{cases}$$

Let  $T$  denote the Thom complex of the tangent bundle of  $M$  and  $U \in H^m(T; \mathbb{Z})$  the Thom class (see [25], [19]).  $H^*(T)$  can be regarded as a module over  $H^*(M)$  (integer or mod 2 coefficients). By THOM [25] the map  $H^i(M) \rightarrow H^{m+i}(T)$ , given by  $x \rightarrow U \cdot x$ , is an isomorphism for all  $i > 0$ . Thus to determine the mod 2 integer  $I_2 M$  it suffices to compute  $U \cdot (I_2 M \mu)$ , where  $\mu \in H^m(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the generator. For this we will need a secondary cohomology operation.

Recall that one has the following ADEM relation [2], when  $m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ .

$$(*) \quad \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^{m-1} + \text{Sq}^m \text{Sq}^1 = \text{Sq}^{m+1}.$$

If  $u$  is an integral cohomology class of  $\dim < m+1$ , then

$$\text{Sq}^1 u = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^{m+1} u = 0.$$

Also, if  $m$  is even we can write

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} = \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^{m-2} = (\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2}) \bmod 2.$$

Thus we have the following two non-stable relations:

$$\begin{aligned} m \equiv 0(4): \text{Sq}^2(\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2}) &= 0, \\ m \equiv 1(4): \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^{m-1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where in each case the relation obtains on integral classes of  $\dim \leq m$ .

Let  $\Omega_m$  denote a (non-stable) secondary cohomology operation associated with each of the above relations,  $m \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 4$ . (See [1] and [7].) Thus if  $X$  is a space and if  $u \in H^j(X; Z)$ ,  $j \leq m$ , then  $\Omega_m$  is defined on  $u$ , provided that

$$\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2} u = 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 0(4), \quad \text{Sq}^{m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{if } m \equiv 1(4).$$

Furthermore

$$\Omega_m(u) \quad \text{is a coset in} \quad H^{m+j}(X; Z_2)$$

of the subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^2 H^{m+j-2}(X; Z), & \quad \text{if } m \equiv 0(4), \\ \text{Sq}^2 H^{m+j-2}(X; Z_2), & \quad \text{if } m \equiv 1(4). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a closed spin manifold of  $\dim m$ , where  $m \equiv 0$  or  $1 \bmod 4$  and  $m > 4$ . If  $m$  is odd assume that  $w_{m-1} M = 0$ , while if  $m$  even assume that  $w_m M = 0$ . Then the operation  $\Omega_m$  is defined on the Thom class  $U$  and the operation can be chosen so that*

$$\Omega_m(U) = U \cdot (I_2 M \mu).$$

with zero indeterminacy.

This will be proved in § 7, following the method of MAHOWALD-PETERSON [15]. (Theorem 2.2 is similar to Theorem 3.3.2 in [15], but the details of our proof will be somewhat different as we will use the point of view of § 5 in [27]).

To prove 1.1 and 1.3 we need to compute the operation  $\Omega_m$ . This is done as follows. Assume that the tangent bundle of  $M$  has been given a Riemannian metric; let  $E$  denote the set of tangent vectors of length  $\leq 1$ , and let  $E^1$  denote the set of vectors of length 1. Then  $T = E/E^1$  (= the space obtained from  $E$  by collapsing  $E^1$  to a point). Moreover the collapsing map induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(E/E^1, *) \approx H^*(E, E^1),$$

and so we regard the Thom class  $U$  equally well as a class in  $H^m(E, E^1; Z)$ . MILNOR shows in [19] that there is an isomorphism

$$e: H^*(E, E^1) \approx H^*(M^2, M_2 - \text{diagonal}),$$

where  $M^2 = M \times M$ . Let  $j: M^2 \subset (M^2, M^2 - \text{diagonal})$  denote the inclusion, and set

$$\underline{U} = j^* e(U) \in H^m(M^2; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now the isomorphism  $e$  is induced by maps and so commutes with all cohomology operations. Thus  $\Omega_m$  is defined on  $\underline{U}$ . Assume that  $w_2 M = 0$ . Then

$$\text{Sq}^2 H^{m-2}(M) = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^2 H^{2m-2}(M^2) = 0,$$

and so  $\Omega_m$  is defined with zero indeterminacy on  $U$  and  $\underline{U}$ . By naturality,

$$\Omega_m(\underline{U}) = j^* e \Omega_m(U).$$

But  $j^*$  is injective (as remarked in [3]) and so

$$\Omega_m(\underline{U}) = 0 \quad \text{if, and only if,} \quad \Omega_m(U) = 0.$$

Since a mod 2 integer is unchanged by squaring, we obtain from 2.2,

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a manifold as in 2.2. Then*

$$\Omega_m(\underline{U}) = I_2 M(\mu \oplus \mu) \in H^{2m}(M^2; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

To compute  $\Omega_m(\underline{U})$  we reduce  $\underline{U}$  mod 2. Consider the following non-stable relations (see (\*)):

$$\begin{aligned} m \equiv 0(4): \text{Sq}^2(\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2}) + \text{Sq}^m \text{Sq}^1 &= 0, \\ m \equiv 1(4): \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^{m-1} + \text{Sq}^1(\text{Sq}^{m-1} \text{Sq}^1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where in each case the relation obtains on mod 2 classes of  $\dim \leq m$ . Let  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  denote a (non-stable) operation associated with each relation in 2.4.

Let  $M$  be a manifold as in 2.2. Regarding  $\underline{U}$  as a class mod 2,  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  is defined on  $\underline{U}$ , and with zero indeterminacy when  $m \equiv 1$ . When  $m \equiv 0$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  has  $\text{Sq}^m H^m(M^2)$  as indeterminacy subgroup. But if  $M$  is symplectic then  $\text{Sq}^m H^m(M^2) = 0$ , and so  $\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U})$  will again be defined with zero indeterminacy. By considering the universal examples for  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  it is easily shown that, with all these hypotheses on  $M$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  can be chosen so that

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U}) = \Omega_m(\underline{U}), \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\Omega_m$  denotes the specific choice of operation given in 2.2.

Thus, as our final step, we compute  $\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U})$ . Let  $t: H^*(M^2) \rightarrow H^*(M^2)$  denote the isomorphism induced by interchanging the factors of  $M^2$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -manifold as in 2.2. If  $m$  is even assume that  $M$  is symplectic. Then there is a mod 2 class  $A \in H^m(M^2)$  such that*

•

- a)  $\underline{U} \text{ mod } 2 = A + tA,$
- b)  $A \cup tA = \hat{\chi}_2 M(\mu \otimes \mu),$
- c)  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  is defined on  $A$ .

The proof will be given in § 4.

*Proof of 1.1 and 1.3.* By 2.3 and 2.5,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U}) = I_2 M(\mu \oplus \mu).$$

Now  $\tilde{\Omega}_m$  is a non-stable operation of degree  $m$ . By 2.6 c)  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is defined on  $A$  and thus also on  $tA$ . Therefore, by [7, cf. 2. 3],

$$\tilde{\Omega}(A + tA) = \tilde{\Omega}(A) + \tilde{\Omega}(tA) + A \cup tA.$$

Since  $t$  is the identity on  $H^{2m}(M^2)$ , we have by naturality,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m(A) = t \tilde{\Omega}_m(A) = \tilde{\Omega}_m(tA).$$

Consequently, by 2.6 a) and b),

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U}) = \tilde{\Omega}_m(A + tA) = A \cup tA = \hat{\chi}_2 M(\mu \oplus \mu).$$

But  $\tilde{\Omega}_m(\underline{U}) = I_2 M(\mu \otimes \mu)$ , and so

$$I_2 M = \hat{\chi}_2 M,$$

which completes the proof of 1.1 and 1.3.

### 3. Mod 2 vector spaces

Most of the work in proving Theorem 2.6 will come in the case  $m$  even. This section develops some simple facts about mod 2 vector spaces needed for this case. The proof of 2.6 is then given in the next section.

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional mod 2 vector space. An endomorphism  $t$  of  $V$  is called an *involution* if  $t^2 = 1$ . An endomorphism  $d$  is called a *boundary* if  $d^2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $V$  has an involution  $t$  and a boundary  $d$ . We say that the pair  $(t, d)$  is *regular* if

$$td = dt, \tag{3.1}$$

and

there are subspaces  $A, B$  in  $V$  such that

$$dB = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V = A \oplus tA \oplus dA \oplus tdA \oplus B \oplus tB. \tag{3.2}$$

Define

$$\Delta = t + 1: V \rightarrow V.$$

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $t$  be an involution on  $V$  and  $d$  a boundary such that the pair  $(t, d)$  is regular. Then*

$$(\text{Ker } d) \cap (\text{Ker } \Delta) = \Delta(\text{Ker } d).$$

*Proof.* Because  $V$  is a  $Z_2$ -module,  $\Delta^2 = 0$ . Also by 3.1,  $\Delta d = d\Delta$ , and so

$$\Delta(\text{Ker } d) \subset \text{Ker } d \cap \text{Ker } \Delta.$$

We prove 3.3 by showing that the opposite inclusion holds. Let  $v \in V$  be an element such that

$$dv = 0, \quad \Delta v = 0.$$

By 3.2 we can write  $v$  as

$$v = a_1 + ta_2 + da_3 + tda_4 + b_1 + tb_2,$$

where the  $a$ 's are in  $A$  and the  $b$ 's in  $B$ . Since  $dv=0$  and  $dB=0$ , we must have

$$da_1 = dt a_2 = 0.$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v = & (a_1 + a_2) + (ta_1 + ta_2) + (da_3 + da_4) + (tda_3 + tda_4) \\ & + (b_1 + b_2) + (tb_1 + tb_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta v=0$  this means, by 3.2, that

$$a_1 = a_2, \quad da_3 = da_4, \quad b_1 = b_2.$$

Therefore

$$v = \Delta(a_1 + da_3 + b_1), \quad \text{and} \quad d(a_1 + da_3 + b_1) = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Let  $X$  be a space whose total singular integral homology module is finitely generated. Let  $H^*(X)$  denote the mod 2 cohomology algebra of  $X$ . By the Künneth theorem for cohomology,

$$H^*(X^2) \approx H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X),$$

where  $X^2 = X \times X$ .

Let  $t: H^*(X^2) \rightarrow H^*(X^2)$  denote the involution induced by transposing the factors of  $X^2$ . We will call an element  $v \in H^*(X^2)$  *symmetric* if  $\Delta v = 0$ , where  $\Delta = t + 1$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q)$  be a basis for  $H^*(X^2)$ . An element  $v \in H^*(X^2)$  will be called *symplectic* with respect to  $\alpha$  if

$$v = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j,$$

where all  $c_{ii} = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $v \in H^*(X^2)$  be a symmetric class. If  $v$  is symplectic with respect to one basis, then it is so with respect to any basis.*

*Proof.* With respect to a second basis for  $H^*(X)$ , the matrix  $C = (c_{ij})$  becomes a matrix  $C' = (c'_{ij})$ , which is obtained from  $C$  by symmetric row and column operations [27, p. 188]. Thus  $C'$  is also symmetric. Moreover each such pair of row and column operations leaves unchanged the diagonal elements of  $C$  (since  $c_{ii} = 0$  and we are working over  $Z_2$ ). Thus  $C'$  remains symplectic, i.e.,  $c'_{ii} = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . This completes the proof.

The main result of the section is the following.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let  $v \in H^{2n}(X^2)$ ,  $n > 0$ . Suppose that

$$\Delta v = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^1 v = 0$$

and that  $v$  is symplectic. Then there is a class  $u$  such that

$$\Delta u = v, \quad \text{Sq}^1 u = 0.$$

*Proof.* Set  $d = \text{Sq}^1$ . Then  $d^2 = 0$  and  $td = dt$ . We choose a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  for  $H^*(X)$  so that for some integer  $r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_i &= \alpha_{r+i}, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ d\alpha_j &= 0, & 2r+1 \leq j \leq q. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $W \subset H^*(X^2)$  to be the subspace spanned by all basis elements  $\alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j$ , with  $i \neq j$ . Notice that the class  $v$  is in  $W$  because  $v$  is symplectic.

Now set  $s = q - 2r$ , and let  $b_i = \alpha_{2r+i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , where  $r$  and  $q$  are given above. Define  $A, B \subset W$  to be the subspaces spanned by the basis elements shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} A: & \{ \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j, d\alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq r; \\ & \alpha_i \otimes d\alpha_j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq r; \\ & \alpha_i \otimes b_j, 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s. \} \\ B: & \{ d\alpha_i \otimes d\alpha_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq r; \\ & d\alpha_i \otimes b_j, 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s; \\ & b_i \otimes b_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq s. \} \end{aligned}$$

Then, as is readily seen,

$$(*) \quad W = A \oplus tA \oplus B \oplus tB, \quad dB = 0.$$

For any subspace  $U \subset H^*(X^2)$ , set  $U^i = U \cap H^i(X^2)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Notice that the classes  $d\alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_i \otimes d\alpha_i$  do not occur in  $A^{2p}$ , for any  $i, p > 0$ . Thus

$$dA^{2p} \cap dtA^{2p} = 0,$$

and so

$$(**) \quad dW^{2p} = dA^{2p} \oplus dtA^{2p}, \quad p > 0.$$

Suppose now that the class  $v$ , given in 3.5, has degree  $2n$ ,  $n > 0$ . We set

$$V = W^{2n} \oplus dW^{2n}.$$

By (\*) and (\*\*),

$$V = A^{2n} \oplus tA^{2n} \oplus B^{2n} \oplus tB^{2n} \oplus dA^{2n} \oplus dtA^{2n}.$$

Consequently the pair  $(t, d)$  is regular on  $V$ . By hypothesis  $\Delta v = 0$ ,  $dv = 0$ , and so by 3.3 there is a class  $u \in W^{2n}$  such that

$$\Delta u = v, \quad du = \text{Sq}^1 u = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2.6

We retain the notation of §§ 2, 3. Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -manifold and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$  be a basis for  $H^*(M)$  (mod 2 coefficients). Define  $y_{ij}$  to be the value of  $\alpha_i \cup \alpha_j$  on the fundamental mod 2 homology class  $[M]$ . In particular  $y_{ij}=0$  if  $\deg \alpha_i + \deg \alpha_j \neq m$ ; and  $y_{ij}=y_{ji}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ . Let  $Y$  be the  $q \times q$  matrix  $(y_{ij})$  and set  $C=Y^{-1}$ . Then by MILNOR [19],

$$(*) \quad \underline{U} = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j,$$

where  $C=(c_{ij})$ . Since  $Y$  is symmetric so is  $C$ .

Notice that  $q=\chi^+ M$ . By the hypotheses of 2.6,  $q$  is even, say  $q=2d$ . We choose the basis  $\{\alpha_i\}$  in a special way. Suppose first that  $m$  is odd, say  $m=2k+1$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  be an arbitrary basis for the graded vector space

$$\sum_{i=0}^k H^i(M).$$

By Poincaré duality,  $H^i(M)$  and  $H^{m-i}(M)$  are orthogonally paired by the cup-product. Consequently we can choose a basis  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  for

$$\sum_{i=0}^k H^{m-i}(M)$$

such that if  $\deg \alpha_i + \deg \beta_j = m$ , then

$$\alpha_i \cup \beta_j = \delta_{ij} \mu.$$

Take as total basis for  $H^*(M)$  the elements  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_d, \dots, \beta_1\}$ . Then the matrix  $Y$  has the form shown below:

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & \cdot & \\ & 0 & & \cdot & \\ & & 1 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $C=Y$  and so by (\*) we obtain

$$\underline{U} = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i + \beta_i \otimes \alpha_i. \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose on the other hand that  $m$  is even, say  $m=2k+2$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}, \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  be bases for the respective vector spaces

$$\sum_{i=0}^k H^i(M), \quad \sum_{i=0}^k H^{m-i}(M),$$

chosen as above so that

$$\alpha_i \cup \beta_j = \delta_{ij} \mu,$$

if  $\deg \alpha_i + \deg \beta_j = m$ . Assume, as in 2.6, that  $M$  is symplectic. Then (see [28]) one can choose a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s$  for  $H^{k+1}(M)$  such that

$$x_i \cup x_j = 0, \quad y_i \cup y_j = 0, \quad x_i \cup y_j = \delta_{ij} \mu.$$

Now by definition

$$2(r+s) = q = 2d.$$

Set

$$\alpha_{r+i} = x_i, \quad \beta_{r+i} = y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

Then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta_d, \dots, \beta_1\}$  is a basis for  $H^*(M)$  yielding as above

$$\underline{U} = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i + \beta_i \otimes \alpha_i. \quad (4.2)$$

For  $m$  even or odd we set

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i.$$

Then by (4.1) and (4.2),  $\underline{U} = A + tA$ , which proves 2.6 i). Now

$$(\alpha_i \otimes \beta_i) \cup (\beta_j \otimes \alpha_j) = (\alpha_i \beta_j \otimes \beta_i \alpha_j) = 0$$

unless  $i=j$ . For if  $\deg \alpha_i + \deg \beta_j = m$ , then by definition  $\alpha_i \cup \beta_j = \delta_{ij} \mu$ , while if  $\deg \alpha_i + \deg \beta_j \neq m$  then one of the pairs  $\alpha_i \beta_j, \beta_i \alpha_j$  has degree greater than  $m$  and so is zero. Thus

$$A \cup tA = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \beta_i \otimes \alpha_i \beta_i = d(\mu \otimes \mu) = \hat{\chi}_2 M(\mu \otimes \mu),$$

since  $2d = q = \chi^+ M$ . Therefore the class  $A$  satisfies 2.6 ii).

To prove 2.6 iii) we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be an orientable manifold of  $\dim m, m > 1$ . Let  $u \in H^r(M)$ ,  $v \in H^s(M)$ , where  $r+s=m$  and  $0 < r \leq s$ .*

a) *Suppose that  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . If  $r < s$ , then*

$$\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2}(u \otimes v) = 0.$$

*If  $r=s$ , then*

$$\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2}(u \otimes v) = \delta^* \text{Sq}^{r-2} u \otimes v^2 + u^2 \otimes \delta^* \text{Sq}^{r-2} v.$$

b) *Suppose that  $m$  is odd. If  $r < s-1$ , then*

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1}(u \otimes v) = 0.$$

*If  $r=s-1$ , then*

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1}(u \otimes v) = u^2 \otimes \text{Sq}^{s-1} v.$$



c) Suppose that  $m$  is odd and that  $w_2 M = 0$ . Then

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} \text{Sq}^1 H^m(M^2) = 0.$$

The proof of (a) and (b) follows at once by the Cartan formula, using the fact that  $H^m(M; Z) \approx Z$ . Thus

$$\delta^* H^{m-1}(M) = \text{Sq}^1 H^{m-1}(M) = 0.$$

We leave the details of the proof to the reader. For (c) suppose that  $m = 2k + 1$ . Then by ADEM [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^{m-1} \text{Sq}^1 &= \text{Sq}^{2k} \text{Sq}^1 = \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^{2k-1} + \varepsilon \text{Sq}^{2k+1} \\ &= \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^{2k-1} + \varepsilon \text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon = 0$  or  $1$ . But

$$\text{Sq}^2 H^{2m-2}(M^2) = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^1 H^{2m-1}(M^2) = 0,$$

since  $w_1 M = w_2 M = 0$ . Therefore  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} \text{Sq}^1 H^m(M^2) = 0$ , as claimed, which completes the proof of the lemma.

*Proof of 2.6 iii).* We must show that the operation  $\Omega_m$  is defined on the class  $A$ .

CASE I:  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By 2.4 this means we must show that

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} \text{Sq}^1 A = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^{m-1} A = 0.$$

The first assertion follows by 4.3 (c). To prove the second assertion, we assume that the basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  is ordered so that

$$\deg \alpha_i \leq \deg \alpha_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d-1.$$

Suppose that  $\alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_d$  are precisely those basis elements with degree  $(m-1)/2$ . Then by 4.3 (b),

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} A = \sum_{i=j}^d \alpha_i^2 \otimes \text{Sq}^{s-1} \beta_i,$$

where  $s-1 = (m-1)/2$ . Consequently,

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} t A = t \text{Sq}^{m-1} A = \sum_{i=j}^d \text{Sq}^{s-1} \beta_i \otimes \alpha_i^2.$$

Now  $\underline{U} = A + t A$ , and by § 2 we know that  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} \underline{U} = 0$ , which means that

$$\text{Sq}^{m-1} A + \text{Sq}^{m-1} t A = 0.$$

But, as is seen by the above calculation,  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} A$  and  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} t A$  occur in disjoint summands of the bi-graded vector space  $H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$ . Namely,  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} A$  has bi-degree  $(m-1, m)$ , while  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} t A$  has bi-degree  $(m, m-1)$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}^{m-1} A = 0$ , as claimed, which completes the proof of case I.

CASE II:  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . We will show that the class  $A$  can be replaced by a class  $B$ , which will continue to satisfy 2.6 i) and ii) and for which

$$\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2} B = 0, \quad \text{Sq}^1 B = 0.$$

Thus the class  $B$  will satisfy 2.6 iii) (see 2.4) and so the proof of 2.6 will be completed.

By 4.1 (a) we see that  $\delta^* \text{Sq}^{m-2} H^m(M^2) = 0$ ; for if the classes  $u$  and  $v$  in 4.1 (a) have degree  $m/2$ , then  $u^2 = v^2 = 0$ , since  $M$  is symplectic by hypothesis.

In general it is not necessarily true that  $\text{Sq}^1 A = 0$ . Thus we must find a new class  $B$ , satisfying 2.6 i) and ii), such that  $\text{Sq}^1 B = 0$ .

As usual we set  $\Delta = 1 + t$ . Then  $\Delta \underline{U} = 0$ , and so by 3.5 there is a class  $B \in H^m(M^2)$  such that

$$\Delta B = \underline{U}, \quad \text{Sq}^1 B = 0.$$

Set  $D = B - A$ ; since  $\Delta A = \underline{U}$  it follows that  $\Delta D = 0$ . Moreover,

$$B \cup tB = (A + D) \cup (tA + D) = A \cup tA + A \cup D + D \cup tA + D \cup D.$$

Since  $M$  is symplectic, an easy argument shows that  $M^2$  is too; therefore  $D \cup D = 0$ . In a moment we show that  $A \cup D = D \cup tA$ . This then implies that

$$B \cup tB = A \cup tA = \hat{\chi}_2 M(\mu \otimes \mu).$$

Thus the class  $B$  satisfies 2.6 (i)–(iii), and so the proof of 2.6 is complete.

We are left with showing that  $A \cup D = D \cup tA$ . By commutativity of the cup-product,  $A \cup D = D \cup A$ . Furthermore, since  $t$  is the identity on  $H^{2m}(M^2)$ , we have by naturality of the cup-product,

$$A \cup D = D \cup A = t(D \cup A) = tD \cup tA.$$

But  $tD = D$  since  $\Delta D = 0$ . Thus,  $A \cup D = D \cup tA$  as claimed.

## 5. The relative Thom complex

Let  $\xi$  be an oriented  $n$ -plane bundle over a space  $B$  and suppose that  $\xi$  has a Riemannian metric [19, p. 21]. Denote by  $E$ ,  $E^1$  the respective subspaces of the total space of  $\xi$  consisting of those vectors of norm  $\leq 1$  and those of norm 1. (In order to avoid confusion we may sometimes write these spaces as  $E(\xi)$ ,  $E^1(\xi)$ .) We define the Thom complex  $T(\xi)$  to be  $E/E^1$ .

Let  $B'$  be a space and  $f: B' \rightarrow B$  a map. Let  $f^* \xi$  denote the bundle over  $B'$  induced from  $\xi$  by  $f$ . Give  $f^* \xi$  the induced Riemannian metric. Then the natural bundle map  $\tilde{f}: f^* \xi \rightarrow \xi$  induces a map

$$T(f): T(f^* \xi) \rightarrow T(\xi).$$

Let  $B''$  be a second space and  $g: B'' \rightarrow B'$  a map. Then, up to homeomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} T(g^* f^* \xi) &= T((fg)^* \xi), \\ T(f) \cdot T(g) &= T(fg). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Suppose that  $A$  is a subspace of  $B$ . Then the inclusion  $A \subset B$  induces an inclusion  $T(\xi_A) \subset T(\xi)$ , where  $\xi_A = \xi|_A$ . Thus, if  $f: (B', A') \rightarrow (B, A)$  is a map of pairs, we obtain a map of pairs

$$T(f): (T(f^* \xi), T(f^* \xi_A)) \rightarrow (T(\xi), T(\xi_A)).$$

Now let  $U \in H^n(E, E^1)$  denote the Thom class of the bundle  $\xi$  and let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  denote the projection. Thom shows that the homomorphism

$$H^i(B) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(E, E^1),$$

given by  $x \rightarrow p^* x \cup U$ , is an isomorphism ( $i \geq 0$ ). Since the pair  $(E, E^1)$  enjoys the homotopy-extension property (e.g., we can regard  $E$  as the mapping cylinder of  $p|_{E^1}$ ), the collapsing map  $(E, E^1) \rightarrow (T(\xi), *)$  induces an isomorphism in cohomology. Following THOM we define

$$\psi_B: H^i(B) \approx H^{n+i}(T(\xi), *)$$

to be the composite isomorphism. We prove<sup>4)</sup>

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $A$  be a closed subspace of  $B$ . Set  $T_B = T(\xi)$ ,  $T_A = T(\xi_A)$ . Then there is a homomorphism*

$$\psi_{B,A}: H^q(B, A) \rightarrow H^{q+n}(T_B, T_A)$$

*with the following properties.*

a) *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \rightarrow H^q(B, A) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^q(B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^q(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{q+1}(B, A) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \downarrow \psi_{B,A} & & \downarrow \psi_B & & \downarrow \psi_A & & \downarrow \psi_{B,A} \\ \cdots \rightarrow H^{q+n}(T_B, T_A) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^{q+n}(T_B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^{q+n}(T_A) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{q+n+1}(T_B, T_A) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Here  $i^*$ ,  $j^*$  denote homomorphisms induced by inclusions and  $\delta$  is the coboundary operator.

b)  $\psi_{B,A}$  is an isomorphism for all  $q$ .

c) Let  $f: (B', A') \rightarrow (B, A)$  be a map of pairs. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(B, A) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^q(B', A') \\ \downarrow \psi_{B,A} & & \downarrow \psi_{B', A'} \\ H^{q+n}(T_B, T_A) & \xrightarrow{T(f)^*} & H^{q+n}(T_{B'}, T_{A'}) \end{array}$$

---

<sup>4)</sup> The result is well known, but I am unaware of a reference.

d) Let  $x \in H^*(B, A)$ , mod 2 coefficients. Then,

$$\text{Sq}^k \psi_{B,A}(x) = \sum_{i+j=k} \psi_{B,A}(w_i \xi \cup \text{Sq}^j x).$$

*Proof:* Following Spanier we define the *relative Thom pair* of the bundles  $(\xi, \xi_A)$  to be the pair  $(E, E_A \cup E^1)$ , where  $E_A = E(\xi_A)$ . Let  $p': (E, E_A) \rightarrow (B, A)$  denote the projection. Notice that if  $x \in H^i(B, A)$ , then

$$p'^* x \cup U \in H^{n+i}(E, E_A \cup E^1),$$

and so we obtain a homomorphism

$$\psi'_{B,A}: H^i(B, A) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(E, E_A \cup E^1), \quad i \geq 0.$$

If  $A$  is empty then  $\psi'_{B,A}$  is simply the isomorphism  $\psi_B$  given above.

Notice that if we collapse  $E^1$  to a point in the pair  $(E, E_A \cup E^1)$  we obtain the pair  $(T_B, T_A)$ . Thus by the 5-lemma the collapsing map induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(E, E_A \cup E^1) \approx H^*(T_B, T_A).$$

We define  $\psi_{B,A}: H^i(B, A) \rightarrow H^{n+i}(T_B, T_A)$  to be the composition of  $\psi'_{B,A}$  with the isomorphism given above. The properties of  $\psi_{B,A}$  will then follow from the analogous properties of  $\psi'_{B,A}$ . We proceed to develop the properties of  $\psi'_{B,A}$ .

By SPANIER [23, 5.4.9] we see that there is a coboundary operator

$$\Delta: H^j(E_A, E_A^1) \rightarrow H^{j+1}(E, E_A \cup E^1)$$

so that the following diagram commutes and has exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^q(B) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^q(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{q+1}(B, A) \xrightarrow{j^*} \dots \\ & & \downarrow \psi_B & & \downarrow \psi_A & & \downarrow \psi'_{B,A} \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^{q+n}(E, E^1) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^{q+n}(E_A, E_A^1) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H^{q+n+1}(E, E_A \cup E^1) \xrightarrow{j^*} \dots \end{array}$$

(Because  $E$  and  $E_A$  are disk bundles the excision properties required in [23] are easily seen to be satisfied.) Since  $\psi_B$  and  $\psi_A$  are isomorphisms, it follows from the 5-lemma that  $\psi'_{B,A}$  is an isomorphism.

Suppose that  $f: (B', A') \rightarrow (B, A)$ . Then one easily sees that  $f$  induces a map  $\tilde{f}: (E_{B'}, E_{A'} \cup E_{B'}^1) \rightarrow (E, E_A \cup E^1)$ , where  $E_{B'} = E(f^* \xi)$ ,  $E_{A'} = E(f^* \xi_A)$ . Thus the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(B, A) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^q(B', A') \\ \downarrow \psi'_{B,A} & & \downarrow \psi'_{B',A'} \\ H^{q+n}(E, E_A \cup E^1) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^*} & H^{q+n}(E_{B'}, E_{A'} \cup E_{B'}^1). \end{array}$$

Suppose finally that  $x \in H^*(B, A)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^k(\psi'_{B,A} x) &= \text{Sq}^k(p'^* x \cup U) = \sum_{i+j=k} p'^* \text{Sq}^i x \cup \text{Sq}^j U = \\ &= \sum_{i+j=k} p'^* \text{Sq}^i x \cup (p^* w_j \xi \cup U) = \\ &= \sum_{i+j=k} p'^* (\text{Sq}^i x \cup w_j \xi) \cup U = \sum_{i+j=k} \psi'_{B,A} (\text{Sq}^i x \cup w_j \xi). \end{aligned}$$

(Here  $w_j \xi$  denotes the  $j$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of  $\xi$ ,  $j \geq 0$ .) The proof of 5.2 now follows from these properties of  $\psi'_{B,A}$  and the definition of  $\psi_{B,A}$ .

*Remark.* As indicated in § 2, we sometimes will regard the Thom class  $U$  as an element of  $H^n(T(\xi), *)$ —i.e.,  $U = \psi_B(1)$ —and then we write  $\psi_B(x) = U \cdot x$ , for  $x \in H^i(B)$ .

## 6. Lifting the Postnikov invariant

We suppose now that all spaces have basepoint (written  $*$ ), and that all maps preserve basepoints.

Let  $B, B'$  be complexes, and  $\pi: B' \rightarrow B$  a map. Let  $w \in H^n(B; J)$ , where  $J = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $p$  a prime. Suppose that  $w \neq 0$  but that  $\pi^* w = 0$ . We regard  $w$  as a map  $B \rightarrow K(J, n)$  and let

$$\Omega K(J, n) \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$$

denote the principal fibration over  $B$  induced by  $w$ . (See [26]). Since  $\pi^* w = 0$ , there is a map  $q: B' \rightarrow E$  such that  $p q = \pi$ . That is, we have the following commutative diagram, where  $F = \Omega K(J, n)$ :

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \downarrow i & \\ & E & \\ q \nearrow & \downarrow p & \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B \end{array} \quad \pi = p q$$

Let  $k \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  be a class such that  $q^* k = 0$ . In our applications  $\pi$  will be a fiber map and  $k$  will be a Postnikov invariant for  $\pi$ . However in this section we consider  $k$  in the more general setting given above, and we study the problem of expressing such a class  $k$  in terms of cohomology invariants determined by  $B$ .

Suppose that  $k$  has degree  $t$ . We assume that the mod  $p$  cohomology morphism  $\pi^*$

is surjective in degree  $t$  and that  $t < 2n - 2$ . Then there is an element  $\alpha$  of the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra such that

$$i^* k = \alpha \iota,$$

where  $\iota$  denotes the fundamental class of  $\Omega K(J, n)$ .

For simplicity we now assume that  $p = 2$ . We will say the class  $w$  is *realizable* if:

(6.1) *there is a vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $B$  (of dim  $s$ , say) such that*

$$w = w_n \xi.$$

Furthermore, if  $J = \mathbb{Z}$ , we assume that  $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Let  $T$  and  $U$  denote the Thom complex and class of the bundle  $\xi$ . If  $Y$  is any space and  $g: Y \rightarrow B$  a map, we let  $T_Y, U_Y$  denote the Thom complex and class of  $g^* \xi$ .

Recall the cohomology operation  $\alpha$  given above. We will say that the pair  $(w, \alpha)$  is *admissible* if the following conditions are fulfilled.

(6.2) *There is a relation*

$$\alpha \text{Sq}^n = 0,$$

*which holds on integral cohomology classes of degree  $\leq s$ .*

(6.3) *There is a secondary cohomology operation  $\Omega$  associated with relation 6.2 such that*

$$\Omega(U_{B'}) = T(\pi)^* M,$$

*where  $M$  is a coset in  $H^{s+t}(T)$  of the indeterminacy subgroup of  $\Omega$ .*

*Remark 1.* If  $n$  is odd and  $J = \mathbb{Z}$ , then in 6.1 we regard  $w_n$  as  $\delta^* w_{n-1}$ , while in 6.2, we regard  $\text{Sq}^n$  as  $\delta^* \text{Sq}^{n-1}$ .

*Remark 2.* Recall that for any space  $X$ ,  $\Omega$  has indeterminacy subgroup  $\alpha H^*(X; J)$ . Define  $\kappa \subset H^t(E)$  to be the coset of  $k$  with respect to the subgroup

$$\text{Kernel } q^* \cap \text{Kernel } i^* \cap H^t(E).$$

We prove

**THEOREM 6.4.** *Let  $(w, \alpha)$  be an admissible pair as defined above. Then there is a class  $k' \in \kappa$  and a class  $m \in H^t(B)$  such that*

$$U_B \cdot m \in M \quad \text{and} \quad U_E \cdot (k' + p^* m) \in \Omega(U_E).$$

Before giving the proof we note the following consequence.

Let  $X$  be a complex and  $h: X \rightarrow B$  a map. Suppose that  $h^* w = 0$ . Then there is a map  $l: X \rightarrow E$  such that  $p \circ l = h$ . By naturality we obtain from 6.4,

**COROLLARY 6.5.** *For any such map  $l$ ,  $U_X \cdot (l^* k' + h^* m) \in \Omega(U_X)$ .*

We precede the proof of 6.4 with some remarks. Consider the following commutative diagram, with the notation defined below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & T_F & & \Omega K(J, n+s) & \\
 & \downarrow T i & & \downarrow \hat{i} & \\
 (**) & & T_E & \xrightarrow{f} & \hat{E} \\
 & \nearrow T q & \downarrow T p & & \downarrow \hat{p} \\
 T_{B'} & \xrightarrow{T \pi} & T_B & = & T_B \xrightarrow{\psi_B(w)} K(J, n+s).
 \end{array}$$

The left hand portion of the diagram is obtained from diagram (\*) by taking the Thom complex of the various bundles induced from  $\xi$ . Commutativity follows from 5.1. The map  $\hat{p}$  in the above diagram is the principal fibration induced by the cohomology class  $\psi_B(w)$ . By 5.2 (c),

$$(T p)^* \psi_B(w) = \psi_E p^* w = 0,$$

and so the map  $T p$  lifts to a map  $f$  as shown.

Let  $\hat{i}$  denote the fundamental class of  $\Omega K(J, n+s)$ . At the end of the section we prove

LEMMA 6.6. *There is a class  $\hat{k} \in H^{t+s}(\hat{E})$  such that*

$$\hat{i}^* \hat{k} = \alpha \hat{i}, \quad T q^* f^* \hat{k} = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $\hat{\kappa}$  denotes the coset in  $H^{t+s}(\hat{E})$  of  $\hat{k}$  with respect to the subgroup

$$\text{Kernel } \hat{i}^* \cap \text{Kernel } (f \circ T q)^* \cap H^{t+s}(\hat{E}),$$

then

$$f^* \hat{\kappa} \subset U_E \cdot \kappa.$$

We use 6.6 to prove 6.4.

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Since  $w = w_n \xi$  it follows from THOM (see 5.2d) that

$$\psi_B(w) = \text{Sq}^n U.$$

Thus we can regard the map  $\psi_B(w): T_B \rightarrow K(J, n+s)$  as the composite of the following maps:

$$T_B \xrightarrow{U} K(Z, s) \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^n \iota_s} K(J, n+s),$$

where  $\iota_s$  denotes the fundamental class of  $K(Z, s)$ .

Let  $f: T_E \rightarrow \hat{E}$  be the map given in diagram (\*\*). Set  $\tilde{f} = T q \circ f: T_{B'} \rightarrow \hat{E}$ , and consider the following commutative diagram, where the notation is explained below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Omega K(J, n+s) & = & \Omega K(J, n+s) & & \\
\downarrow \hat{i} & & \downarrow j & & \\
& \hat{E} & \xrightarrow{v} & Y & \\
\nearrow \hat{f} & \downarrow \hat{p} & & \downarrow r & \\
T_{B'} & \xrightarrow{T\pi} & T_B & \xrightarrow{U} & K(J, s) \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}^n \iota_s} K(J, n+s).
\end{array}$$

The map  $r$  is the principal fibration with  $\text{Sq}^n \iota_s$  as classifying map, and  $j$  is the fiber inclusion. Since  $\hat{p}$  is defined to be the fibration with  $\psi_B(w)$  as classifying map and since  $\psi_B(w) = \text{Sq}^n U$ , we may regard  $\hat{p}$  as the fibration induced by  $U$  from  $r$ . Thus  $v$  is simply the natural map for the induced fibration.

Notice that  $Y$  is the universal space for the operation  $\Omega$ . Let  $\omega \in H^{t+s}(Y)$  denote a representative class for  $\Omega$ , chosen according to the specific choice of  $\Omega$  given in 6.3. Set  $k_0 = v^* \omega \in H^{t+s}(\hat{E})$ . Since  $j^* \omega = \alpha \hat{i}$ , we have  $\hat{i}^* k_0 = \alpha \hat{i}$ . Furthermore,

$$\hat{f}^* k_0 \in \Omega(T\pi^* U) = \Omega(U_{B'}).$$

But by 6.3 there is then a class  $m \in H^t(B)$  such that

$$U \cdot m \in M \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{f}^* k_0 = T\pi^*(U \cdot m).$$

Set  $k'_0 = k_0 - \hat{p}^*(U \cdot m)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{i}^* k'_0 &= \hat{i}^* k_0 - \hat{i}^* \hat{p}^*(U \cdot m) = \hat{i}^* k_0 = \alpha \hat{i} = \hat{i}^* \hat{k}, \\
\hat{f}^* k'_0 &= \hat{f}^* k_0 - \hat{f}^* \hat{p}^*(U \cdot m) = \hat{f}^* k_0 - T\pi^*(U \cdot m) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by definition of the coset  $\hat{k}$ ,  $k'_0 \in \hat{k}$ . On the other hand  $k_0 \in \Omega(\hat{p}^* U)$  and so

$$k'_0 + \hat{p}^*(U \cdot m) \in \Omega(\hat{p}^* U).$$

By 6.6 there is a class  $k' \in \kappa \subset H^t(E)$  such that

$$\hat{f}^* k'_0 = U_E \cdot k'.$$

Therefore, by naturality,

$$U_E \cdot (k' + \hat{p}^* m) \in \Omega(U_E),$$

since

$$\hat{p} \hat{f} = T p, \quad T p^* U = U_E, \quad T p^*(U \cdot m) = U_E \cdot \hat{p}^* m.$$

Thus  $k'$  is the desired class and the proof of 6.4 is complete.

We are left with proving 6.6. Before so doing we prove a preliminary result. Let  $\xi$  be the  $s$ -plane bundle over  $B$  given in 6.1. Now it is easily seen that the Thom complex of  $\xi|_*$  is simply an  $s$ -sphere  $S^s$ , which we may regard as embedded in  $T_B$ . Since the



fiber map  $p: E \rightarrow B$  maps  $F$  to  $*$  in  $B$ , it follows that  $T_p(T_F) = S^s \subset T_B$ . Furthermore the map  $\psi_B w: T_B \rightarrow K(J, n+s)$  can be chosen so that  $\psi_B w(S^s) = *$  in  $K(J, n+s)$ . Since  $\hat{E}$  is the fiber space induced by  $\psi_B w$ , it follows that  $S^s$  is embedded in  $\hat{E}$  in a natural way. Set  $K = K(J, n+s)$ . Then,  $\hat{p}^{-1}(S^s) = \Omega K \times S^s \subset \hat{E}$ , and diagram (\*\*) gives the commutative diagram shown below, where bold face letters denote maps of pairs.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T_E, T_F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} & (\hat{E}, \Omega K \times S^s) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{T}_P & & \downarrow \hat{\mathbf{p}} \\ (T_B, S^s) & = & (T_B, S^s). \end{array}$$

Set  $g = f|_{T_F}: T_F \rightarrow \Omega K \times S^s$ . We use the above diagram to prove

LEMMA 6.7.  $g^*(\hat{i} \otimes 1) \bmod 2 = \psi_F(\iota) \bmod 2$ , where  $\hat{i}$  and  $\iota$  denote respectively the fundamental classes for  $\Omega K$  and  $F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{p}: (E, F) \rightarrow (B, *)$  denote the map of pairs determined by  $p$ . Since  $p$  has  $w$  as classifying map, we have

$$(a) \quad \delta \iota = -\mathbf{p}^* w \in H^n(E, F);$$

and similarly,

$$(b) \quad \delta(\hat{i} \otimes 1) = -\mathbf{p}^* \psi_{B,*}(w) \in H^{n+s}(\hat{E}, \Omega K \times S^s).$$

Therefore by naturality and the commutative diagram above

$$\delta g^*(\hat{i} \otimes 1) = \mathbf{f}^* \delta(\hat{i} \otimes 1) = -\mathbf{f}^* \hat{\mathbf{p}}^* \psi_{B,*}(w) = -\mathbf{T}_p^* \psi_{B,*}(w).$$

By 5.2 (c) and by (a) above,

$$-\mathbf{T}_p^* \psi_{B,*}(w) = -\psi_{E,F} \mathbf{p}^* w = \psi_{E,F}(\delta \iota).$$

But by 5.2 (a),  $\psi_{E,F}(\delta \iota) = \delta \psi_F(\iota)$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\delta(g^*(\hat{i} \otimes 1)) = \delta \psi_F(\iota) \quad \text{in} \quad H^{n+s}(T_E, T_F; J).$$

By SERRE [21, p. 469],  $\mathbf{p}^* w \not\equiv 0 \bmod 2$  since (by 6.1)  $w \not\equiv 0 \bmod 2$ . Thus by (a) above and 5.2 (a),

$$\delta: H^{n+s-1}(T_F; Z_2) \rightarrow H^{n+s}(T_E, T_F; Z_2)$$

is injective and so  $g^*(\hat{i} \otimes 1) = \psi_F(\iota) \bmod 2$ , as claimed.

*Proof of 6.6.* Since  $w = w_n \xi$ , it follows by THOM that

$$\psi_B w = \text{Sq}^n \psi_B(1) = \text{Sq}^n U.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be the mod 2 Steenrod operation given at the beginning of the section. By 6.2,  $\alpha \text{Sq}^n = 0$  and so  $\alpha \psi_B w = 0$ . Applying the SERRE exact sequence [21, p. 468] to the fibration  $\hat{p}$  (see diagram (\*\*)), we see that by exactness there is a class  $\hat{k} \in H^{t+s}(\hat{E})$  such that

$$\hat{i}^* \hat{k} = \alpha \hat{i}.$$

Furthermore, by using the exact sequence given in § 3 of [26] (with respect to the map  $f \circ T_q: T_B' \rightarrow \hat{E}$ ) it is easily shown that  $\hat{k}$  can be chosen so that, in addition,  $T_q^* f^* \hat{k} = 0$ .

Now the inclusion  $\hat{i}: \Omega K \subset \hat{E}$  can be factored into the composite

$$\Omega K \xrightarrow{l} \Omega K \times S^s \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} \hat{E},$$

where  $l$  is the natural injection and where  $\hat{j}$  is the inclusion. Since

$$\hat{i}^* \hat{k} = \alpha \hat{i},$$

it follows that

$$\hat{j}^* \hat{k} = \alpha(\hat{i} \otimes 1).$$

Let  $k_1 \in H^t(E)$  be the unique class such that

$$U_E \cdot k_1 = f^* \hat{k} \in H^{t+s}(T_E).$$

We will show that  $k_1 \in \kappa$ , which then will complete the proof of 6.6.

Using 5.2 we have:

$$U_{B'} \cdot q^* k_1 = T q^* (U_E \cdot k_1) = T q^* f^* \hat{k} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $q^* k_1 = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$U_F \cdot i^* k_1 = T i^* (U_E \cdot k_1) = T i^* f^* \hat{k}.$$

But by definition of  $g$  and  $\hat{j}$ ,  $f \cdot T_i = \hat{j} \cdot g$ . Thus

$$T_i^* f^* \hat{k} = g^* \hat{j}^* \hat{k} = g^* (\alpha(\hat{i} \otimes 1)),$$

by the above computation. By 6.7,

$$g^* (\alpha(\hat{i} \otimes 1)) = \alpha g^* (\hat{i} \otimes 1) = \alpha \psi_F(\iota).$$

Now the bundle  $i^* p^* \xi$  is trivial and so by 5.2 (d),

$$\alpha \psi_F(\iota) = \psi_F(\alpha \iota).$$

Also, by definition,

$$U_F \cdot i^* k_1 = \psi_F(i^* k_1).$$

Therefore

$$\psi_F(\alpha \iota - i^* k_1) = 0$$

and so  $i^* k_1 = \alpha \iota$ . Consequently,  $k_1 \in \kappa$ , which completes the proof of 6.6.

*Remark 3.* The theory leading up to 6.4 can be generalized in the following way. The single cohomology class  $w$  can be replaced by a vector of cohomology classes  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_a)$ , with  $\pi^* w_i = 0$ . By making the appropriate changes in 6.1–6.3 one then can state a more general version of 6.4 so that it includes, for example, Theorem 3.3.2 of [15] as a special case.

*Remark 4.* Theorem 6.4 (as well as the generalization suggested above) is a special case of Theorem 5.9 in [27]. The Thom class  $U$  is a “generating class” for  $\kappa$ , in the language of § 5 of [27].

## 7. Proof of 2.2

Let  $n$  be an integer greater than three and set

$$B' = BS0(n-1), B = BS0(n+1).$$

For any group  $G$  we let  $BG$  denote the classifying space for  $G$  defined by MILNOR [21]. We denote the various rotation groups by  $S0(q)$ ,  $q \geq 2$ . The inclusion  $S0(n-1) \subset S0(n+1)$  induces a map  $\pi: B' \rightarrow B$ . If we regard  $\pi$  as a fiber map, its fiber is the Stiefel manifold  $V_{n+1, 2}$ .

Let  $X$  be a complex. Then a map  $\xi: X \rightarrow B$  can be regarded as an oriented  $(n+1)$ -plane bundle over  $X$ . Moreover this bundle has two linearly independent cross-sections iff the map  $\xi$  can be factored through  $B'$  via  $\pi$ .

We construct a Postnikov resolution for the map  $\pi$ , through dimension  $n+1$ , as shown below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i & & \\ & & \nearrow & & \\ K(J, n-1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E & & \\ & \searrow q & \downarrow p & & \\ B' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(J, n). \\ & \pi & w & & \end{array}$$

Here

$$\begin{array}{lll} J = Z_2, & w = w_n \gamma, & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ J = Z, & w = \delta^* w_{n-1} \gamma, & \text{if } n \text{ odd,} \end{array}$$

where  $\gamma$  denotes the canonical  $(n+1)$ -plane bundle over  $B$ . The map  $p$  is the principal fibration with  $w$  as classifying map, and  $i$  is the inclusion of the fiber of  $p$  into  $E$ .

Let  $F$  denote the “fiber” of the map  $q$  (in the sense of [9]). By the choice of  $w$ , we see that  $F$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected and that

$$\pi_n F = Z_2 \text{ or } Z \oplus Z_2,$$

according to whether  $n$  is even or odd. Let  $\gamma_n \in H^n(F; Z_2)$  denote the fundamental class if  $n$  is even; for  $n$  odd let it denote the cohomology class corresponding to the homomorphism  $Z \oplus Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2$  given by projection on the right hand summand. Let  $k \in H^{n+1}(E; Z_2)$  denote the transgression of the class  $\gamma_n$ . Then (see [10], [26]),

$$i^* k = \text{Sq}^2 \iota, q^* k = 0,$$

where  $\iota$  denotes the fundamental class of  $K(J, n-1)$ . Moreover, a simple argument

using the transgression operator (e.g., see [18]) shows that

$$\text{Kernel } i^* \cap \text{Kernel } q^* \cap H^{n+1}(E) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ even} \\ p^* w_{n+1}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Let  $\xi$  be a bundle over a complex  $X$  as above, and suppose that  $\xi^* w = 0$ . Then the map  $\xi$  lifts to the space  $E$ . We define

$$k(\xi) = \bigcup_{\eta} \eta^* k \subset H^{n+1}(X),$$

where the union is over all maps  $\eta: X \rightarrow E$  such that  $p\eta = \xi$ . It is easily shown (see [14], [26]) that if  $n$  is even then  $\xi|X^{n+1}$  lifts to  $B'$  iff  $0 \in k(\xi)$ , while if  $n$  is odd then  $\xi|X^{n+1}$  lifts to  $B'$  iff  $\chi(\xi) = 0$  and  $0 \in k(\xi)$ . In particular,  $\xi|X^n$  lifts to  $B'$ .

Furthermore, by a standard argument ([14], [26]), one sees that  $k(\xi)$  is a coset in  $H^{n+1}(X)$  of the subgroup  $S^{n+1}(X, \xi)$  consisting of all classes of the form

$$\text{Sq}^2(u) + u \cup w_2(\xi),$$

for all  $u \in H^{n-1}(X; J)$ . In particular if  $\text{Sq}^2 u = u \cup w_2 \xi$  for all such  $u$ , then  $k(\xi)$  consists of a single class. We use the theory of § 6 to compute the coset  $k(\xi)$ .

At the end of the section we prove

LEMMA 7.2. *Let  $n \equiv -1$ , or  $0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Then the operation  $\Omega_{n+1}$  (see § 2) can be chosen so that*

$$U_{B'} \cdot (w_2 w_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n+1}(U_{B'})$$

where  $U_{B'}$  denotes the Thom class of  $\pi^* \gamma$ .

By definition,  $w$  is realizable as given in 6.1. Furthermore by relation 2.1 and by 7.2 it follows that the pair  $(w, \text{Sq}^2)$  is admissible, in the sense of 6.2 and 6.3. (To satisfy 6.3 we need only observe that  $\pi^*: H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(B')$  is surjective.)

Let  $T_X, U_X$  denote the Thom complex and Thom class for the bundle  $\xi (= \xi^* \gamma)$ . If  $S^{n+1}(X, \xi) = 0$ , then one easily sees that  $\text{Sq}^2 H^{2n}(T_X; J) = 0$ . Therefore, if  $\xi^* w = 0$ , then  $\Omega_{n+1}$  is defined on  $U_X$  with zero indeterminacy.

Notice that by 7.1  $\kappa$  is a coset of 0 if  $n$  is even, while if  $n$  is odd  $\kappa$  is a coset of the subgroup generated by  $p^* w_{n+1}$ . Thus by 6.5 and 7.2, we have

THEOREM 7.3. *Let  $\xi$  be an oriented  $(n+1)$ -plane bundle over  $X$  such that*

$$\xi^* w = 0, \quad S^{n+1}(X, \xi) = 0.$$

*Then*

$$U_X \cdot (k(\xi) + w_2(\xi) w_{n-1}(\xi) + b_{n+1} w_{n+1}(\xi)) = \Omega_{n+1}(U_X),$$

*with zero indeterminacy, where  $\Omega_{n+1}$  is given in 7.2, where  $b_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and where  $b_{n+1} = 0$  if  $n$  is even.*

*Proof of 2.2.* Take  $X$  to be a spin manifold  $M$  of dim  $m = n+1$ , and take  $\xi = \tau$ ,

its tangent bundle. If  $n=4s-1$ , MASSEY shows that  $\delta^* w_{4s-2} \tau = 0$ . If  $n=4s$ , we assume (as in 2.2) that  $w_{4s} \tau = 0$ . Thus in either case  $\tau^* w = 0$  and so  $\tau$  restricted to  $M^n$  has 2 independent cross-sections – i.e., there is a tangent 2-field on  $M$  with isolated singularities. By WU [29],  $S^{n+1}(M, \tau) = 0$ . Thus the class  $k(\tau)$  is independent of the particular choice of 2-field. In the language of § 2,  $k(\tau) = (I_2 M) \mu$  and so 2.2 follows directly from 7.3 since  $w_2 M = 0$ , and since we assume (in 2.2) that  $w_m M = 0$ , when  $m$  is even.

*Proof of 7.2.* We recall the following facts about Thom complexes, due to ATIYAH [4].

(7.4) (ATIYAH). *Let  $X$  be a complex and let  $\eta$  be a vector bundle over  $X$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  denote (in general) the trivial line bundle. Then*

$$T(\eta \oplus \varepsilon) = \Sigma T(\eta), \quad U(\eta \oplus \varepsilon) = \Sigma U(\eta),$$

where  $\Sigma$  denotes the reduced suspension operator and where  $T, U$  denote the appropriate Thom complex and Thom class. Furthermore, if  $x \in H^*(X)$ , then

$$\Sigma(U(\eta) \cdot x) = (\Sigma U(\eta)) \cdot x.$$

Let  $\gamma'$  denote the canonical  $(n-1)$ -plane bundle over the classifying space  $B'$ . Then

$$\pi^* \gamma = \gamma' \oplus 2\varepsilon,$$

and so by 7.4,

$$T_{B'} = \Sigma^2 T', \quad U_{B'} = \Sigma^2 U',$$

where  $T', U'$  denote the Thom complex and class of  $\gamma'$ . Also by 7.4 we have

$$U_{B'} \cdot (w_2 w_{n-1}) = \Sigma^2(U' \cdot w_2 w_{n-1}).$$

But

$$\Sigma^2(U' \cdot w_2 w_{n-1}) = \Sigma^2(U' \cdot \text{Sq}^2 U'),$$

since  $\text{Sq}^2 U' = U' \cdot w_2$ ,  $U' \cdot w_{n-1} = \text{Sq}^{n-1} U' = (U')^2 \pmod{2}$ . Thus

$$U_{B'} \cdot (w_2 w_{n-1}) = \Sigma^2(U' \cdot \text{Sq}^2 U'),$$

and so 7.2 is simply a special case of the following result.

LEMMA 7.5. *Let  $X$  be a complex and let  $u \in H^{m-2}(X)$ ,  $m \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\Omega_m$  is defined on  $\Sigma^2 u$  and  $\Omega_m$  can be chosen so that*

$$\Sigma^2(u \cdot \text{Sq}^2 u) \in \Omega_m(\Sigma^2 u),$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that given by MAHOWALD-PETERSON for Theorem 2.2.1 in [15], and so is omitted.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. ADAMS, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 20–104.
- [2] J. ADEM, *The relations on Steenrod powers of cohomology classes*, in *Algebraic Geometry and Topology*, Princeton, 1957, 191–238.
- [3] J. ADEM-S. GITLER, *Secondary characteristic classes and the immersion problem*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicano 1963, 53–78.
- [4] M. ATIYAH, *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. [third series] XI (1961), 291–310.
- [5] W. BARCUS-J. P. MEYER, *The suspension of a loop space*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 895–920.
- [6] G. BREDON-A. KOSINSKI, *Vector fields on  $\pi$ -manifolds*, Ann. of Math., 84 (1966), 85–90.
- [7] E. BROWN-F. PETERSON, *Whitehead products and cohomology operations*, Quart. J. Math. 15 (1964), 116–120.
- [8] B. ECKMANN, *Espaces fibrés et homotopie*, Colloque de Topologie, Centre Belges de Recherches mathématiques, 1950, 83–99.
- [9] B. ECKMANN-P. HILTON, *Operators and Cooperators in homotopy theory*, Math. Ann. 141 (1960), 1–21.
- [10] R. HERMANN, *Secondary obstructions for fiber spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1959), 5–8.
- [11] F. HIRZEBRUCH-H. HOPF, *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 136 (1958), 156–172.
- [12] H. HOPF, *Vectorfelder in  $n$ -dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 96 (1927), 225–260.
- [13] H. HOPF, *Zur topologie der komplexen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, in *Studies and Essays presented to R. Courant*, Interscience, 1941, 167–186.
- [14] M. MAHOWALD, *On obstruction theory in orientable fiber bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1964), 315–349.
- [15] M. MAHOWALD-F. PETERSON, *Secondary cohomology operations on the Thom class*, Topology 2 (1964), 367–377.
- [16] W. MASSEY, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold*, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 92–102.
- [17] W. MASSEY, *On the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 938–942.
- [18] J. P. MEYER, *The characterization of Moore-Postnikov invariants*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 1963, 92–94.
- [19] J. MILNOR, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, (mimeographed notes), Princeton Univ., 1957.
- [20] J. MILNOR, *Construction of universal bundles II*, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956), 430–436.
- [21] J. P. SERRE, *Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 425–505.
- [22] J. P. SERRE, *Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane*, Comment. Math. Helv. 27 (1953), 198–231.
- [23] E. SPANIER, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [24] N. STEENROD, *The topology of fiber bundles*, Princeton Univ., 1951.
- [25] R. THOM, *Espaces fibrés en spheres et carrés de Steenrod*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 69 (1952), 109–182.
- [26] E. THOMAS, *Seminar on fiber spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [27] E. THOMAS, *Postnikov invariants and higher order cohomology operations*, Ann. of Math., to appear.
- [28] O. VELEN, *Analysis Situs*, second edition, Amer. Math. Soc., 1931.
- [29] W. T. WU, *Classes caractéristique et  $i$ -carrés d'une variété*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 230 (1950), 918–920.

(Eingegangen, 23. Aug. 1966)