Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 40 (1965-1966)

Artikel: Bilinear Forms on k-Vektorspaces of Denumerable Dimension in the

Case of char (k) = 2.

Autor: Gross, H. / Engle, Robert D.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-30638

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Bilinear Forms on k-Vector spaces of Denumerable Dimension in the Case of char (k) = 2

by Herbert Gross and Robert D. Engle, Bozeman (Mont.)

Introduction. The classification, up to metric isomorphism, of finite dimensional k-vector spaces E, supplied with a symmetric bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \to k$, is a rather difficult problem; it has been solved for particular fields k, such as the field of rationals, reals, p-adic numbers or function fields in one variable over a finite constant field. Kaplansky has shown that for k-vector spaces (E, Φ) of a denumerable (algebraic) dimension, these problems vanish in a large number of cases, E admitting an orthonormal basis for an extensive class of underlying fields ([4]; for an investigation of such fields see [3]). In the denumerable case, an exceptional role is once more played by the fields of characteristic 2. For perfect fields of characteristic 2 Kaplansky has proved the following

Theorem. For every \aleph_0 -dimensional k-space (E, Φ) , Φ a non degenerate bilinear form, precisely one of the following four possibilities holds: (1) E possesses an orthonormal basis, (2) E possesses a symplectic basis, (3) E is an orthogonal sum $E = E_0 \oplus L$ where E_0 is spanned by a symplectic basis and L is one-dimensional, (4) E is an orthogonal sum $E_0 \oplus L$, where E_0 has a symplectic basis and L is two-dimensional, spanned by an orthogonal basis ([4] p. 15). Kaplansky has asked what becomes of this theorem if the assumption that every element in the coefficient field be a square, is dropped.

In the following, we investigate the case of an arbitrary field of characteristic 2. Complete results as regards the classification problem are obtained for all fields k of finite dimension over their subfields k^2 (Theorem 2). As a sideresult we obtain an invariant characterization of the k-spaces (E, Φ) of denumerable dimension which admit of orthogonal bases, k an arbitrary field of characteristic 2 (Theorem 3).

I. Notations and Results

Let k be a commutative field. A k-vector space (E, Φ) is a k-vector space E supplied with a symmetric bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \to k$. (E, Φ) is called semisimple if $E \cap E^{\perp} = (0)$. In the following, an isomorphism $(E, \Phi) \cong (G, \psi)$ is a vector space isomorphism $\vartheta: E \to G$ such that $\psi(\vartheta x, \vartheta y) = \Phi(x, y)$

This paper was in part supported by NSF grant 8-0009-54.

- for all $x, y \in E$. If there is no risk of confusion, we simply talk about E instead of (E, Φ) and, we write (x, y) and ||x|| respectively for $\Phi(x, y)$ and the "length" $\Phi(x, x)$ of $x \in E$. A subspace H of (E, Φ) is always considered as being supplied with the restriction Φ/H of Φ to H. The radical of H (rad H) is defined as $H \cap H^{\perp}$. A subspace $H \subset E$ is said to be closed if $H^{\perp \perp} = H$. If H is a closed subspace of (E, Φ) and F a finite dimensional subspace of (E, Φ) then H + F is closed.
- 2. The following lemma, proved by Kaplansky in [4], will be used in the proof of Lemma 4 below. Lemma: Let (E, Φ) be a semi-simple k-vector space of infinite dimension over an arbitrary field k. Let furthermore F be a finite dimensional subspace of E, spanned by the basis f_1, \ldots, f_n , V a subspace of E with $V^{\perp} = (0)$. Then there exists a vector $x \in E$ with $x \in V$, $x \notin V \cap F$ and $\Phi(x, f_i) = \beta_i$ for arbitrarily prescribed $\beta_i \in k$.
- 3. Bases being the central object below, the following notations prove convenient. If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in k$ then $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \rangle$ is an *n*-dimensional *k*-space (E, Φ) possessing an orthogonal basis e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n with $||e_i|| = \alpha_i$. "P" invariably denotes a hyperbolic plane, i.e., a two-dimensional space (E, Φ) having a basis e_1, e_2 with $||e_1|| = ||e_2|| = 0$ and $(e_1, e_2) = 1$. ΣP is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes (i.e., a space spanned by a symplectic basis). $\Sigma \langle \alpha \rangle$ is a space (E, Φ) spanned by an orthogonal basis (finite or infinite), each basis vector of length $\alpha, \alpha \neq 0$. If $\Sigma \langle \alpha \rangle$ is of denumerable dimension, we denote it by $E_{(\alpha)}$.
- 4. In the following investigations, k will always be a field of characteristic 2 unless stated otherwise. Every such field is a vector space over its subfield k^2 of squares.
- 5. If (E, Φ) is a semi-simple k-vector space with dim $E \leq \aleph_0$ then E is an orthogonal sum $\Sigma P \oplus E_0$, where E_0 is spanned by an orthogonal basis.
- 6. Let (E, Φ) be a k-vector-space. We have ||x+y|| = ||x|| + ||y|| for all $x, y \in E$ as char k = 2. Thus, if H is a subspace of E, then the range of the restriction ||H|| is a subspace of the k^2 -vector space k. This range will be denoted by "||H||" throughout. In particular, the set of all isotropic vectors x in E (||x|| = 0) is a vector space. This subspace of E is invariably denoted by E_* . (The subspace of vectors satisfying condition (T) in [1] p. 66.) The subspaces E_* , E_*^{\perp} , $E_*^{\perp \perp}$, rad E_* etc. will play an important role since they are invariant subspaces under orthogonal transformations. We notice that rad $(E_*^{\perp}) \subset E_*$ by the definition of E_* , hence rad $(E_*^{\perp}) \subset \operatorname{rad}(E_*^{\perp}) \cap E_* = \operatorname{rad}E_*$. Therefore rad $E_*^{\perp} = \operatorname{rad}E_*$, the converse inclusion being trivial. This means in particular that rad E_* (= rad $(E_*^{\perp}) = (E_* + E_*^{\perp})^{\perp}$) is a closed space.

II. Bases

Let us mention a few words about the fields. When describing k-spaces (E, Φ) in terms of orthogonal bases, it is clear that the non-square elements of k play an important role. Let g_k be the multiplicative group of non-zero elements in k modulo square factors. If g_k is finite, then its order is a power of 2 since every element of g_k is of order 2. If char $k \neq 2$ then one can find, for every natural n, fields with g_k of order 2^n (even among the denumerable fields, [3]). On the other hand, if char k=2 then k^2 is a subfield of k and the elements of g_k are precisely the straight lines through the origin of the k^2 -vector space k. In other words, the order of g_k is either 1 or equal to card (k). In particular, since g_k is of order 1 for finite fields, g_k is either of order 1 or infinite. In the following discussion of isomorphisms between \aleph_0 -dimensional k-spaces the fields with finite dimension $[k:k^2]$ over their subfields k^2 are seen to play a special role. Since a simple characterization of all non isomorphic spaces over such fields can be given (Theorem 2), let us mention a few elementary facts about these fields.

Clearly, if $[k:k^2]$ is finite, then $[k:k^2]$ is a power of 2. Furthermore, if \bar{k} is a finite algebraic extension of k, $[k:k^2]$ finite, then $[\bar{k}:\bar{k}^2]=[k:k^2]$ ($[\bar{k}:k^2]=[k:k^2]$ ($[\bar{k}:k^2]=[k:k]$). From this follows that $[\bar{k}:\bar{k}^2] \leq [k:k]$ for an arbitrary algebraic extension \bar{k} of k. (< is witnessed by the transition to the algebraic closure.) On the other hand, if $\bar{k}=k(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$, where ξ_1,\ldots,ξ_n are independent transcendentals over k, we have $[\bar{k}:\bar{k}^2]=[k:k^2]\cdot 2^n$ (a basis for \bar{k} over \bar{k}^2 is given by the elements $\alpha_i \; \xi_1^{s_1} \; \xi_2^{s_2} \; \ldots \; \xi_n^{s_n}, \; \varepsilon_j=0$, 1 and α_i running through a k^2 basis of k). In particular:

If k is a field of characteristic 2 with finite $[k:k^2]$, then $[\bar{k}:\bar{k}^2]$ is finite for an arbitrary over field \bar{k} of k, provided its transcendence degree over k is finite. The fields k with finite $[k:k^2]$ form thus a considerable class.

Let again k be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2. It is well known that Witt's Cancellation Theorem does not hold for bilinear forms in the case of char k=2. Instead, we have the following orthogonal isomorphisms:

Lemma 1. $\langle \alpha \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \cong \langle \alpha \rangle \oplus P$ (0 $\neq \alpha \in k$, P a hyperbolic plane and all the sums orthogonal).

Lemma 2. $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \beta_i \rangle \cong \langle \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha} \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \beta_i \rangle$ provided that the elements $\{\alpha, \beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over k^2 and span the same subspace of k (over k^2) as the elements $\{\overline{\alpha}, \beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ (card I is finite or infinite; all sums are orthogonal).

Proofs. 1. Let e_1 , e_2 , e_3 be an orthogonal basis of $\langle \alpha \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ with $||e_i|| = \alpha$. Introduce a new basis \overline{e}_1 , \overline{e}_2 , \overline{e}_3 by $\overline{e}_1 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$, $\overline{e}_2 = e_1 + e_2$, $\overline{e}_3 = \alpha^{-1}$ $(e_2 + e_3)$.

2. Let e_{00} , e_{0} , e_{i} ($i \in I$) be an orthogonal basis of $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \beta_{i} \rangle$ with $||e_{00}|| = ||e_{0}|| = \alpha$, $||e_{i}|| = \beta_{i}$. Since $\{\alpha, \beta_{i}\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\overline{\alpha}, \beta_{i}\}_{i \in I}$ span the same subspace of k we have $\overline{\alpha} = \lambda_{0}^{2} \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}$ for suitable λ_{0} , λ_{1} , ..., λ_{n} . Since the elements $\{\overline{\alpha}, \beta_{i}\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over k^{2} we have $\lambda_{0} \neq 0$. For a fixed choice of λ_{0} , λ_{1} , ..., λ_{n} introduce the following basis

$$egin{aligned} \overline{e}_{00} &= rac{\overline{lpha}}{\lambda_0 \, lpha} \, e_{00} + \left(\lambda_0 + rac{\overline{lpha}}{\lambda_0 \, lpha}
ight) e_0 + rac{\Sigma}{2} \, \lambda_i \, e_i \ & ar{e}_0 &= & \lambda_0 \, e_0 + rac{\Sigma}{2} \, \lambda_i \, e_i \ & 2 \leq i \leq n : \overline{e}_i = rac{\lambda_i \, eta_i}{\lambda_0 \, lpha} \, (e_{00} + e_0) & + e_i \ & n < i : \overline{e}_i = e_i \, . \end{aligned}$$

We shall list a few consequences some of which will be of importance later.

Corollary 1. (i) $\bigoplus_{i \in I} E_{(\alpha_i)} \oplus \Sigma P = \bigoplus_{(\alpha_i)}$ (all sums orthogonal).

- (ii) $\langle \alpha_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m \alpha_m \rangle \cong \langle \overline{\alpha}_1 \overline{\alpha}_1 \overline{\alpha}_2 \overline{\alpha}_2 \dots \overline{\alpha}_m \overline{\alpha}_m \rangle$ provided the elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are independent over k^2 and span the same subspace of k (over k^2) as the elements $\overline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_m$.
- (iii) $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \langle \alpha_j \alpha_j \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \beta_i \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \langle \overline{\alpha}_j \overline{\alpha}_j \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \beta_i \rangle$ provided the elements $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ are independent over k^2 and span the same subspace of k as the elements $\{\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_m, \beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ (card I is finite or infinite, m is a natural number, all sums are orthogonal).

We remark that the transformation of Lemma 2 does not lend itself to a generalization of (ii) and (iii) to the case of infinite m. (We have not succeeded in proving or disproving the infinite analogue of (ii) by any other means; cf. Proposition 3.)

Another lemma which we shall use is the following:

Lemma 3. Let (E, Φ) be a k-vector space of denumerable dimension, semi-simple with respect to the bilinear form $\Phi: E \times E \to k$ and k a field of arbitrary characteristic. Let furthermore R be a closed, totally isotropic subspace of $E(R^{\perp \perp} = R)$

and $R \subset R^{\perp}$). There exists a basis $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ of R and a subspace R' of E admitting an orthogonal basis $(r'_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $R \oplus R'$ decomposes into an orthogonal sum of semi-simple planes $K_i = k(r_i, r'_i)$,

$$R \oplus R' = \bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i \text{ card } I = \dim R = \dim R'$$

and, furthermore, such that $R \oplus R'$ admits of an orthogonal supplement in $E: E = (R \oplus R') \oplus H$, $H \perp R \oplus R'$.

In the case of char $k \neq 2$, the planes K_i are hyperbolic and $R \oplus R'$ thus possesses a sympletic basis (cf. Bourbaki, Formes Sesquilinéaires p. 78).

Proof. Let S and T be finite dimensional semi-simple subspaces with the following properties:

$$S \perp T$$
, $T \subset R^{\perp}$, $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} K_i$, $K_i = k(r_i, r'_i)$ and $r_i \in R$ (1)

$$(T \oplus S) \cap R = k(r_i)_{1 < i < n}. \tag{2}$$

Let $(e_m)_{m\geq 1}$ be some fixed basis of the space E and let e_m be the first basis vector not contained in $S\oplus T$. We construct finite dimensional spaces K and L in $(S\oplus T)^{\perp}$ such that $S'=S\oplus K$ and $T'=T\oplus L$ satisfy the properties (1) and (2) with S' and T' in lieu of S and T and such that $e_m \in S' \oplus T'$. In this fashion we obtain a decomposition of E of the required form:

$$E = \cup S \oplus T = (\cup S) \oplus (\cup T)$$
 , $H = \cup T$ and $R \oplus R' = \cup S$.

Since $S \oplus T$ is semi-simple and finite dimensional, we may decompose $e_m : e_m = e'_m + e''_m$ with $e'_m \in S \oplus T$ and $e''_m \perp S \oplus T$. Thus we may without loss of generality assume that $e_m \perp S \oplus T$.

First case. $e_m \in R$. Therefore $||e_m|| = 0$ and, since $(S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ is semisimple, there exists r' with $(e_m, r') \neq 0$. The space $k(e_m, r')$ is semi-simple and we put $S' = S + k(e_m, r')$ and T' = T. We have to determine $(T' + S') \cap R$. Let $r \in (T' \oplus S') \cap R$, $r = t + s + \lambda e_m + \mu r'$ with $t \in T$, $s \in S$ and $r \in R$. Since $T \subset R^{\perp}$ we obtain 0 = (v, R) = (t, R) hence t = 0 as T is semi-simple. Therefore, (since $R \subset R^{\perp}$) we obtain $0 = (r, e_m) = \mu(e_m, r')$. Thus $\mu = 0$ and $v = s + \lambda e_m$. Since $e_m \in R$ in our case therefore $s \in R$ i.e., $s \in S \cap R = k(r_i)_{i \leq n}$ by (2). Thus $(T' \oplus S') \cap R = k(r_1, \ldots, r_m, e_m)$ which, upon relabeling e_m as r_{n+1} (and r' as r'_{n+1}), is (2). The remaining conditions are trivially satisfied.

Case 2. $e_m \notin R$ and $e_m \in R^{\perp}$. We first convince ourselves that $e_m \notin R$ + $+ (S \oplus T)$; assume that $e_m = r + s + t$ with $r \in R$, $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. Since $e_m \perp S + T$ and $T \subset R^{\perp}$, we have in particular $0 = (e_m, T) = (t, T)$; hence t=0 as T is semi-simple. Since $e_m \in R^{\perp}$ in the present case, and $R \subset R^{\perp}$, we obtain furthermore $0 = (e_m, R \cap S) = (s, R \cap S)$ i.e., $S \perp S \cap R$. From the explicit form of $S = \bigoplus k(r_i, r'_i)$ we see that necessarily $s \in R \cap S$. Thus $e_m = r + s \in R$, a contradiction. Since $(R + S + T)^{\perp \perp} =$ =R+S+T, we conclude from $e_m \in R+S+T$ that $(R+S+T)^\perp \subset e_m^\perp$. Hence there exists a vector $t \in (R + S + T)^{\perp} = R^{\perp} \cap (S + T)^{\perp}$ with $(e_m, t) \neq 0$. Thus, if $||e_m|| = 0$ then $k(e_m, t)$ is a semi-simple space and we put S' = S, $T' = T + k(e_m, t)$. If, on the other hand, $||e_m|| \neq 0$, we simply put and S' = S and $T' = T + k(e_m)$. We have to determine $(T' \oplus S') \cap R$. Let, in the first case, $r \in T' \oplus S'$ i.e., $r = s + t + \lambda e_m + \mu t$ with $s \in S$, $t \in T$ and $r \in R$. Since $e_m \in R^{\perp}$ and $||e_m|| = 0$ we find $0 = (r, e_m) = \mu(t, e_m)$, therefore $\mu = 0$. Since $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\perp} \cap (S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ we then find $0 = (r, t) = \lambda(e_m, t)$. Hence $\lambda = 0$. This shows that $(T' \oplus S') \cap R = (T \oplus S) \cap R$. In the other case, $||e_m|| \neq 0$, it is even simpler to verify that $(T' \oplus S') \cap R = (T \oplus S) \cap R$. The remaining conditions (1) are trivially satisfied for S' and T'.

Case 3. $e_m \notin R^{\perp}$. As in the second case one verifies that $e_m \notin R^{\perp} + S + T$. Since $(R^{\perp} + S + T)^{\perp \perp} = R^{\perp} + S + T$, we conclude from $e_m \notin R^{\perp} + S + T$ that $(R^{\perp} + S + T)^{\perp} \not\subset e_m^{\perp}$. In other words there exists a vector $r \in (R^{\perp} + S + T)^{\perp} = R^{\perp \perp} \cap (S \oplus T)^{\perp} = R \cap (S \oplus T)^{\perp}$ with $(e_m, r) \neq 0$. Since $r \in R$ we have ||r|| = 0 and the space $k(r, e_m)$ is semi-simple. We put $S' = S \oplus k(r, e_m)$ and T' = T. Upon relabeling r as r_{n+1} (and e_m as r'_{n+1}) the conditions (1) and (2) are verified as in case 1. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3 often finds application in the following situation. Suppose that G is a subspace of E such that the radical $R = G \cap G^{\perp}$ of G happens to be a closed subspace of E. We then have a decomposition $E = (R \oplus R') \oplus H$, $H \perp (R \oplus R')$. Furthermore, one can always find an algebraic complement L of R in G such that $L \subset H$. For, if L_0 is some algebraic complement of R in G then $L_0 \perp R$. Every vector $l_0 \in L_0$ has a decomposition $l_0 = r + r' + h$. Since $l_0 \perp R$ necessarily r' = 0. In other words, $L_0 \subset R \oplus H$ which shows that there is a complement L of R in G with $L \subset H$.

We are interested in decompositions of E of the following sort: E is an orthogonal sum $E=\oplus E_i$ such that the ranges $||E_i||$ of the summands are either 0 or 1-dimensional subspaces of the k^2 -vector space ||E|| and such that the elements spanning the non trivial $||E_i||$ are linearly independent over k^2 . In other words,

$$E = \Sigma P \oplus \Sigma \langle \alpha_1 \rangle \oplus \Sigma \langle \alpha_2 \rangle \oplus \dots$$

where the P_s are hyperbolic planes and where the field elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ are linearly independent over k^2 . In view of Lemma 1 we may assume that the summands $\Sigma \langle \alpha_i \rangle$ are either of infinite dimension or of dimension ≤ 2 . Thus, collecting 1-, 2- and \aleph_0 -dimensional summands we may rewrite the above decomposition as follows:

$$E = \sum P \oplus_{i \in I_1} E_{(\beta_i)} \oplus_{i \in I_2} \langle \gamma_i \gamma_i \rangle \oplus_{i \in I_3} \langle \delta_i \rangle$$
 (1)

where all the field elements β_i , γ_i , δ_i together are independent over k^2 .

We shall determine those k-space (E, Φ) which admit of a decomposition of type (1). We first have

Proposition 1. If E admits of a decomposition (1) then

$$E_{\star}^{\perp} \oplus E_{\star}^{\perp \perp} = (\text{rad } E_{\star})^{\perp}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let for every $i \in I_1$ the space $E_{(\beta i)}$ be spanned by the vectors $(e_{ii})_{i\geq 1} \cdot (E_{(\beta_i)})_*$ is spanned by the vectors $(e_{i1} + e_{ii})_{i\geq 1}$ and, the orthogonal complement of $(E_{(\beta_i)})_*$ in $E_{(\beta_i)}$ is (0). Let furthermore, for every $i \in I_2$, $\langle \gamma_i \gamma_i \rangle$ be spanned by the vectors f_i, f'_j . Since all the elements $\beta_i, \gamma_j, \delta_e$ together are independent over k^2 (by assumption), we obtain for E_* from (1)

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{*} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{P} \oplus \boldsymbol{\oplus} \boldsymbol{E}_{(\boldsymbol{\beta_{i}})*} \oplus \boldsymbol{\oplus}_{i \in I_{2}} \boldsymbol{k} \left(f_{i} + f_{i}' \right) \boldsymbol{\oplus} \left(0 \right).$$

Furthermore

$$E_{\,igstar}^{\,\perp} = (0) \oplus \oplus k \, (f_i + f_i') \oplus \oplus \langle \delta_i
angle \ \ ext{and} \ \ E_{\,igstar}^{\,\perp\,\perp} = \varSigma P \oplus E_{(eta_i)\,igstar} \, E_{(eta_i)\,igstar} \oplus \oplus k \, (f_i + f_i').$$

From this we readily read off that (2) holds.

Condition (2) is not always satisfied. The simplest kind of counter-example is the following. Let E be spanned by the basis vectors $\{e_i\}_{i\geq 1} \cup \{f_i\}_{i\geq 1} \cup \{g_0\}$ and let Φ be defined on the basis as follows: $||e_i|| = \alpha$ and $(e_i, e_j) =$ $=0 (i \neq j, i, j \geq 1), ||f_i|| = \beta_i \text{ and } (f_i, f_j) = 0 (i \neq j, i, j \geq 1), ||g_0|| = \gamma$ and $(e_i, f_j) = 0$, $(e_i, g_0) = \alpha$, $(f_i, g_0) = \beta_i$, $(i, j \ge 1)$ for $\alpha, \gamma, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots$ independent over k^2 (a field with $[k:k^2] \geq \aleph_0$ is required). Here rad $E_* = 0$ and $(\operatorname{rad} E_*)^{\perp} = E$, but $E_*^{\perp} + E_{*-}^{\perp \perp}$ falls short of E by one dimension. We remark that (2) is equivalent to $E_*^{\perp} \oplus E_*^{\perp \perp}$ being closed.

We shall prove that the converse of Proposition 1 is true. This is accomplished by reducing the general case to the cases of spaces E with $E_*^{\perp} = (0)$ or $E_*^{\perp} = E_*$. We start out with these special cases.

Lemma 4. Let (E, Φ) be a semi-simple space of denumerable dimension with $E_*^{\perp} = (0)$. Then for every $\alpha \in ||E||$ and every orthogonal decomposition $E = H \oplus H^{\perp}$ with finite dimensional H we have $\alpha \in ||H^{\perp}||$.

Proof. Let $E = H \oplus H^{\perp}$ be any decomposition with finite dimensional H, furthermore α some arbitrarily fixed element in ||E||. We apply Lemma 1.2 with E_* and H in the roles of V and F respectively. Since $\alpha \in ||E||$, there exists some vector $x_0 \in E$ with $||x_0|| = \alpha$. Hence there exists a vector $x \in E_*$ with $(x, f_i) = -(x_0, f_i), f_1, \ldots, f_n$ a fixed basis of H. Therefore $(x_0 + x, f_i) = 0$ i.e., $x_0 + x \perp H$. Since $x \in E_*$ we have $||x_0 + x|| = ||x_0|| = \alpha$.

Proposition 2. Let (E, Φ) be a semi-simple space of denumerable dimension with $||E|| \neq 0$. We have an orthogonal decomposition

$$E=\mathop{\oplus}\limits_{i\,\epsilon\, I}E_{(\pi_i)}$$

where $\{\pi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a k²-basis for ||E|| if and only if $E_*^{\perp} = (0)$.

Proof. If E admits such a decomposition it is readily verified that $E_*^{\perp}=(0)$. Let us then assume that $E_*^{\perp}=(0)$. We construct a decomposition of E of the required type step by step. Let $F=\Sigma P\oplus \Sigma\langle\pi_1\rangle\oplus\ldots\oplus\Sigma\langle\pi_n\rangle$ be a finite dimensional subspace of E, the P_s hyperbolic planes and the field elements π_1,\ldots,π_n linearly independent over k^2 . Let furthermore $(e_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be some fixed basis for the space E and assume that e_m is the first basis vector not contained in F. We shall construct a finite dimensional subspace E in E such that E is and E is an E in E is of the form E is an E in E

Since F is finite dimensional and semi-simple, we may decompose $e_m: e_m = e'_m + e''_m$ with $e'_m \in F$ and $e''_m \perp F$. Three cases are possible: $||e''_m|| = 0$ and e''_m is contained in some hyperbolic plane $P' \subset F^\perp$ or $||e''_m|| \neq 0$ or $||e''_m|| = 0$ and $e''_m \in \langle \delta, \delta \rangle \subset F^\perp$ for some $0 \neq \delta \in k$. In the first case we may choose P' for H and we put $F' = F \oplus P'$. In the second case we put $F' = F \oplus k(e''_m)$ provided that $e''_m \notin ||F||$. If, on the other hand, we should have $e''_m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \pi_i$ with, say $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, then we apply Lemma 4 a finite number of times and find a sequence of mutually orthogonal vectors h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n in $(F + k(e''_m))^\perp$ with $||h_1|| = ||e''_m||, ||h_i|| = \pi_i, 2 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 2 the space H spanned by $e''_m, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n$ is isomorphic to $\langle \pi_1 \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3, \ldots, \pi_n \rangle$ and we put $F' = F \oplus H$. The third case is treated in

the same way, the first two vectors for the construction of H already at hand. Thus, in all three cases we find $F' = F \oplus H$, $e_m \in F'$ where F' again is of the form $\Sigma P \oplus \Sigma \langle \pi_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \Sigma \langle \pi_r \rangle$, the $\pi_i s$ linearly independent over k^2 . In this fashion we find an orthogonal decomposition of E as follows, $E=\cup F=\varSigma P\oplus \varSigma \langle \pi_1 \rangle \oplus \varSigma \langle \pi_2 \rangle \oplus \ldots$. In view of the independence of the $\pi_i s$ we have $E_* = \Sigma P \oplus (\Sigma \langle \pi_1 \rangle)_* \oplus \ldots$ Not all of the summands $\Sigma\langle\pi_i\rangle$ can be (0) since $||E||\neq 0$. Thus, if one of the summands should be finite dimensional we would have $E_*^{\perp} \neq (0)$, contrary to assumption. Hence all the summands $\Sigma \langle \pi_i \rangle$ are infinite dimensional. Application of Corollary 1 finally yields $E \cong E_{(\pi_1)} \oplus E_{(\pi_2)} \oplus \dots$

Corollary 2. If (E, Φ) is a space with $E_*^{\perp} = (0)$ whose range $||E|| \neq 0$ is spanned by the elements π_1, \ldots, π_m (not necessarily independent over k^2) then E is isomorphic to $E_{(\pi_n)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\pi_m)}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2 $E \cong E_{(\sigma_n)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\sigma_1)}$ where $\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_n$ is a k^2 -basis for ||E||. Let then π_1, \ldots, π_n $(n \leq m)$ be a subset of elements independent over k^2 . By Corollary 1 (ii) we have

$$\langle \pi_1 \pi_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \pi_n \pi_n \rangle \cong \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \sigma_n \sigma_n \rangle.$$

Hence trivially $E_{(\sigma_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\sigma_n)} \cong E_{(\pi_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\pi_n)}$. Let $\pi_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i^2 \pi_i$. After renumbering $\pi_1 \ldots \pi_n$ we may assume that $\lambda_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq r \leq i$. Hence by Corollary 1 (ii) $\langle \pi_{n+1} \pi_{n+1} \pi_2 \dots \pi_r \rangle \cong \langle \pi_1 \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n \rangle$. Thus $E_{(\pi_{n+1})} \oplus E_{(\pi_2)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\pi_r)} \cong E_{(\pi_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\pi_r)}$ can be arranged in a trivial fashion. In this manner we obtain $E_{(\pi_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\pi_m)} \cong E$.

Proposition 3. Let (E, Φ) be a semi-simple space of at most denumerable dimension. We have an orthogonal decomposition

$$E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \langle \pi_i \pi_i \rangle$$

where the π_i form some k^2 -basis for ||E|| if and only if $E_*^{\perp} = E_*$.

Proof. If E admits such a decomposition we trivially have $E_*^{\perp} = E_*$. Conversely, let us assume that $E_*^{\perp} = E_*$. We first remark that E cannot contain a triple of mutually orthogonal vectors of the same length $\neq 0$. For,

assume that z_1, z_2, z_3 were such vectors, $||z_1|| = ||z_2|| = ||z_3|| \neq 0$. We decompose according to the decomposition $E = E_* \oplus L : z_1 = e_1 + l_1$, $z_2 = e_2 + l_2$, $z_3 = e_3 + l_3$. Thus $||l_1|| = ||l_2|| = ||l_3||$. Since L contains no isotropic vectors we must necessarily have $l_1 = l_2 = l_3$. Since E_* is totally isotropic in our case, the three orthogonality conditions reduce to $0 = (e_1 + e_2, l_1) + || l_1 ||, \ 0 = (e_1 + e_3, l_1) + || l_1 ||, \ 0 = (e_2 + e_3, l_1) + || l_1 ||.$ Adding the first two of these equations we obtain $(e_2 + e_3, l_1) = 0$ which contradicts the third one as $||l_1|| \neq 0$. We now construct a decomposition of E step by step as in the proof of Proposition 2. Let $F=\langle \pi_1\,\pi_1\rangle\oplus\langle \pi_2\,\pi_2\rangle\oplus$ $\oplus \ldots \oplus \langle \pi_n \pi_n \rangle$ be a finite dimensional subspace of E, $\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_n$ linearly independent over k^2 . Furthermore, let e_m again be the first basis vector of some fixed basis for E not contained in F. Without loss of generality we may proceed assuming that $e_m \perp F$. We consider first the case that $||e_m|| \neq 0$. We try to find a vector $l \in F^{\perp} \cap E_*$ with $(l, e_m) \neq 0$. Suppose that there is no such vector l, in other words $F^{\perp} \cap E_* \subset e_m^{\perp}$. Since E_* is closed in our case, we find $(F + E_*^{\perp})^{\perp} = F^{\perp} \wedge E_*^{\perp} = F^{\perp} \wedge E_* \subset e_m^{\perp}$ therefore $e_m \in (F + E_*^{\perp})^{\perp \perp} = F + E_*^{\perp}$ i.e., $e_m \in F + E_*^{\perp} = F + E_*$. Thus $e_m = f + f_0$ with $||e_m|| = ||f|| \neq 0$.

Since $f \in F$ we should therefore have three mutually orthogonal vectors of the same length $||e_m|| \neq 0$, a contradiction (if F contains one vector of some length $\alpha \neq 0$, then it contains, by virtue of its form, two orthogonal vectors of that length). Thus we must have $F^{\perp} \cap E_* \subset e_m^{\perp}$ and there exists a vector $l \in F^{\perp} \cap E_*$ with $(e_m, l) \neq 0$. Hence e_m and $e'_m = e_m + \frac{||e_m||}{(l, e_m)} l$ are mutually orthogonal vectors of F^{\perp} with $||e_m|| = ||e'_m||$. We put $F' = F \oplus k (e_m, e'_m)$. There remains the possibility that $||e_m|| = 0$. Since E_* is totally isotropic, e_m cannot be contained in a hyperbolic plane, therefore $e_m \in \langle \delta, \delta \rangle \subset F^{\perp}$ for some $0 \neq \delta \in k$ (F^{\perp} is semi-simple). Since there cannot be more than two orthogonal vectors of the same length $\neq 0$ we must have $\delta \notin ||F||$ and we put $F' = F \oplus \langle \delta \delta \rangle$ similar to the former case. In this fashion we obtain a decomposition of E of the required form, $E = \cup F = \langle n_1 n_1 \rangle \oplus \langle n_2 n_2 \rangle \oplus \ldots$ where all the $\pi_i s$ are linearly independent over k^2 . We now prove the converse of Proposition 1.

Theorem 1. Let char k=2 and (E,Φ) a semi-simple k-space of denumerable dimension and let E_* be the subspace of vectors of length zero. If

$$E_{*}^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} + E_{*}^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp \perp} = (\operatorname{rad} E_{*})^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp}$$

then E admits of an orthogonal decomposition

Bilinear Forms on k-Vectorspaces of Denumerable Dimension in the Case of char (k) = 2 257

$$E = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} E_{(r_i)} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} \langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} \langle \alpha_i \rangle \tag{I}$$

or

$$E = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} P_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} \langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_3} \langle \alpha_i \rangle$$
 (II)

where, in the first case, the elements of the union $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I_1} \cup \{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_2} \cup \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_3}$ are a k^2 -basis of the range ||E|| over k^2 , in the second case the same for the elements of the union $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in I_2} \cup \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I_3}$ (the P_i s are hyperbolic planes).

Proof. Let $R = \text{rad}(E_*^{\perp \perp}) = (E_* + E_*^{\perp})^{\perp}$. Since R is totally isotropic and closed, we can apply Lemma 3 and obtain a decomposition

$$E = (R \oplus R') \oplus H$$
, $H \perp (R \oplus R')$
 $R \oplus R' = \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} k (r_i, r'_i)$, $R = \bigoplus k (r_i)_{i \in I_2}$. (1)

Since $R \perp E_*^{\perp \perp}$, we can find an algebraic complement S of R in $E_*^{\perp \perp}$ with $S \perp R'$ (see the remark following the proof of Lemma 3). Hence $S \perp R \oplus R'$:

$$E_*^{\perp \perp} = R \oplus S$$
, $S \subset H$. (2)

Furthermore S is semi-simple. If T is the orthogonal of S in H, we obtain from (2) $E_*^{\perp} = E_*^{\perp \perp \perp} = R \oplus T$. On the other hand, by the assumption of the theorem $R \oplus H = R^{\perp} = E_*^{\perp} + E_*^{\perp \perp} = R \oplus (S \oplus T)$. Since $S + T \subset H$ therefore S + T = H. Furthermore, since S is semi-simple, the sum S + T is direct. Thus E is decomposed into three orthogonal summands:

$$E = (R \oplus R') \oplus S \oplus T \tag{3}$$

and it remains to discuss the spaces $R \oplus R'$, S and T. With regard to S we first remark that

$$E_* = R \oplus S_* . \tag{4}$$

For $R \oplus S_* \subset E_*$ is trivial. Conversely, if $x \in E_* \subset E_*^{\perp \perp} = R \oplus S$ we have x = r + s with $r \in R$ and $s \in S$. Therefore 0 = ||x|| = ||r|| + ||s|| = ||s|| and $s \in S_*$. This shows $E_* \subset R + S_*$. Let then $S_*^{\perp s}$ be the orthogonal of S_* in S. Since $S_*^{\perp s} \subset S$ and $S \perp R$ we have $S_*^{\perp s} \subset E_*^{\perp}$ by (4). Also $S_*^{\perp s} \subset S \subset E_*^{\perp \perp}$, hence $S_*^{\perp s} \subset E_*^{\perp} \cap E_*^{\perp \perp} = R$. Therefore $S_*^{\perp s} = (0)$ as

 $S_*^{\perp_s} \subset S$ and $S \cap R = (0)$. Thus, S is semi-simple and $S_*^{\perp_s} = (0)$. Two cases are possible for S: Either $S = S_*$ in which case S is a sum of hyperbolic planes or else $S \neq S_*$ in which case the range ||S|| is different from 0 and Proposition 2 can be quoted: Thus

either
$$S = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} P_i$$
 or $S = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} E_{(\gamma_i)}$. (5)

From (4) we learn that $R' \cap E_* = (0)$. Therefore, taking orthogonals in R + R', we obtain $(R + R')_* = R = R^{\perp} = (R + R')_*$ and we may cite Proposition 3:

$$R \oplus R' = \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} \langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle . \tag{6}$$

Finally $E_* \cap T = (0)$ by (4), i.e., T contains no isotropic vectors. Hence T possesses an orthogonal basis, $T = \bigoplus_{i \in I_s} \langle \alpha_i \rangle$ where all the $\alpha_i s$ are independent over k^2 . Summarizing the facts about the decomposition (3) we see that E admits of an orthogonal decomposition of the form

$$E = \underset{i \in I_1}{\oplus} E_{(?_i)} \oplus \underset{i \in I_2}{\oplus} \langle \beta_i \beta_i \rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I_3}{\oplus} \langle \alpha_i \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad E = \underset{i \in I_1}{\oplus} P_i \oplus \underset{i \in I_2}{\oplus} \langle \beta_i \beta_i \rangle \oplus \underset{i \in I_3}{\oplus} \langle \alpha_i \rangle.$$

A dependence $0 = \sum v_i^2 \gamma_i + \sum \mu_i^2 \beta_i + \sum \kappa_i^2 \alpha_i$ defines an isotropic vector $x = \sum v_i c_i + \sum \mu_i b_i + \sum \kappa_i a_i$, $\sum v_i c_i \in S$, $\sum \mu_i b_i \in R + R'$ and $\sum \kappa_i a_i \in T$. By (4) $x \in E_* = R + S_*$ and thus $\kappa_i = 0$, $||\sum v_i c_i|| = \sum v_i^2 \gamma_i = 0$ and $||\sum \mu_i b_i|| = \sum \mu_i^2 \beta_i = 0$. However, the $\gamma_i s$ are linearly independent over k^2 by Proposition 2. Therefore $v_i = 0$. Proposition 3 guarantees the independence of the $\beta_i s$ and therefore $\mu_i = 0$. This proves that the elements $\gamma_i, \beta_j, \alpha_e$ together are independent over k^2 and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 1 can be used to discuss the problem of isomorphism between \aleph_0 -dimensional k-spaces (E, Φ) in a large number of cases. We shall give here a complete discussion of the cases where the underlying field k is of finite dimension over its subfield k^2 . Thus, let k be a field with $[k:k^2]$ finite. For a space (E, Φ) we have codim $E_* \leq [k:k^2]$ or else an algebraic complement of E_* in E should contain an isotropic vector which is impossible. Since dim $E_*^{\perp} \leq \operatorname{codim} E_*$, the space E_*^{\perp} is finite dimensional and $E_*^{\perp \perp} + E_*^{\perp}$ is therefore closed. Hence every space of denumerable dimension over such a field admits of a basis as described by Theorem 1. (The following discussion also includes that of spaces (E, Φ) with ||E|| finite dimensional over k^2 , k an arbitrary field.)

Theorem 2. Let k be a field of characteristic 2 of finite dimension n over its subfield k^2 $(n = [k : k^2])$, (E, Φ) an \aleph_0 -dimensional semi-simple space over k. Then (i) E is of the form:

$$E = E_{(\gamma_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\gamma_r)} \oplus \langle \beta_1 \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_2 \ldots \beta_s \beta_s \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_t \rangle \quad r \geq 1 \quad (I)$$

or

$$E = \overset{\boldsymbol{\circ}}{\Sigma} P \oplus \langle \beta_1 \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_2 \dots \beta_p \beta_p \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_q \rangle, \tag{II}$$

where all the sums are orthogonal and, in the first case, the elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$ are independent over k^2 and the same for $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q$ in the second case (thus $r + s + t \leq n, p + q \leq n$).

- (ii) E is uniquely determined, up to orthogonal isomorphism, by its range ||E||, the range $||E_{*}^{\perp\perp}||$ and by the space E_{*}^{\perp} . (In particular, the numbers r, s and t, respectively p and q are orthogonal invariants of the space E.)
- (iii) In terms of the above bases: If $||E_{*}^{\perp}|| \neq 0$ (i.e., E_{*} not closed) then E is of type (I), if $||E_*^{\perp\perp}|| = 0$ (i.e., E_* closed) then E is of type (II). (Thus (I) and (II) represent non isomorphic spaces.) A space of type (I) is uniquely determined, up to orthogonal isomorphism, by ||E||, the subspace of k (over k^2) spanned by the elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ and by the space $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t \rangle$. A space of type (II) is uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, by ||E|| and by the space $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q \rangle$.

Proof. It only remains to discuss the question of isomorphisms. For a space of type (I) let $E_{(\gamma_i)}$ be spanned by a basis $\{e_{ij}\}_{j\geq 1} \cdot E_{(\gamma_i)_*}$ is then spanned by the vectors $e_{i1} + e_{ij}$ $(j \ge 1)$ and the orthogonal of $E_{(\gamma_i)}$ in $E_{(\gamma_i)}$ is 0. Let $\langle \beta_1 \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s \beta_s \rangle$ be spanned by a basis $\{e_i, e_i'\}_{1 \le i \le s}$ and let R be the totally isotropic space $k(e_i + e'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$. We then have, by virtue of the independence of the lements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_1, \ldots$

$$egin{aligned} E_* &= E_{(\gamma_1)\,*} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\gamma_r)\,*} \oplus R, \ E_*^\perp &= R \oplus \langle lpha_1, \, \ldots, lpha_t
angle \, , \end{aligned}$$
 $E_*^{\perp\perp} &= E_{(\gamma_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\gamma_r)} \oplus R \, .$

Let \overline{E} be another space falling into category (I), $\overline{E} = E_{(\gamma_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\gamma_{\overline{r}})} \oplus$ $\oplus \langle \overline{\beta_1} \overline{\beta_1}, \ldots, \overline{\beta_{\bar{s}}} \overline{\beta_{\bar{s}}} \rangle \oplus \langle \overline{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_t} \rangle$ such that $||E|| = ||\overline{E}||, ||E_{*}^{\perp \perp}|| = ||\overline{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}||$ and $E_*^{\perp} \cong \overline{E}_*^{\perp}$. We have to prove that $E \cong \overline{E}$. Since $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ and $\overline{\gamma}_1, \ldots, \overline{\gamma}_{\overline{r}}$ are independent over k^2 we first have $r = \overline{r}$ (since $||E_*^{\perp \perp}|| =$ $= ||\overline{E}_*^{\perp \perp}||$). By Corollary 2 we see that $|E_*^{\perp \perp} \cong \overline{E}_*^{\perp \perp}$. Hence we may introduce a new basis in $\overline{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ such that $\overline{\gamma}_{i}$, $= \gamma_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. From the isomorphism $R \oplus \langle \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t} \rangle \cong \overline{R} \oplus \langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{\overline{t}} \rangle$ we conclude that $\langle \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t} \rangle \cong \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{\overline{t}} \rangle$ since R and \overline{R} are totally isotropic orthogonal summands and since both $\langle \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t} \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{\overline{t}} \rangle$ are semi-simple (even non-isotropic by the independence of the αs). Thus t = t and we may introduce a new basis in $\langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{t} \rangle$ such that $\overline{\alpha}_{i} = \alpha_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Finally, since $||E|| = ||\overline{E}||$ and since $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}, \ldots$ and $\overline{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots$ are independent over k^{2} we have $r + s + t = \overline{r} + \overline{s} + \overline{t}$; therefore $s = \overline{s}$ as $r = \overline{r}$ and $t = \overline{t}$. Furthermore, having introduced the new bases in $\overline{E}_{*}^{\perp \perp}$ and $\langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{t} \rangle$ we may cite Corollary 1 (ii), $\langle \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r} \rangle \oplus \langle \beta_{1}\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\beta_{s} \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t} \rangle \cong \langle \overline{\gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\gamma}_{r} \rangle \oplus \langle \overline{\beta}_{1}\overline{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\beta}_{s}\overline{\beta}_{s} \rangle \oplus \langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{t} \rangle$. A fortiori $E_{(\gamma_{1})} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\gamma_{r})} \oplus \langle \beta_{1}\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}\beta_{s} \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t} \rangle \cong E_{(\overline{\gamma}_{1})} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\overline{\gamma}_{r})} \oplus \langle \overline{\beta}_{1}\overline{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\beta}_{s}\overline{\beta}_{s} \rangle \oplus \langle \overline{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{t} \rangle$ and thus $E \cong \overline{E}$. The simpler case of spaces falling into category (II) is treated in the same way. This proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 may also be expressed in the following way: If $[k:k^2]$ is finite and (E,Φ) an \aleph_0 -dimensional, semi-simple k-space with E_* not closed, then there exist three finite dimensional k-spaces F,G and H such that $F\oplus G\oplus H$ contains no isotropic vectors and E is isomorphic to the (external) orthogonal sum $(\Sigma F) \oplus G \oplus G \oplus H$. E is uniquely determined by the ranges ||F+G+H||, ||F|| and by the space H; on the other hand, if E_* is closed, then there exist two finite dimensional k-spaces G and H such that $G \oplus H$ contains no isotropic vector and E is isomorphic to the (external) orthogonal sum $(\Sigma P) \oplus G \oplus G \oplus H$. In this case E is uniquely determined by the ranges ||G+H|| and by the space H.

We should like to mention that Theorem 2 alone can be obtained more directly by proving Theorem 1 only for spaces E with ||E|| of finite dimension over k^2 . This is done by an induction on $\dim_{k^2}||E||$. For $\dim_{k^2}||E||=0$ we have $E=\Sigma P$. After induction assumption two cases arise which have to be treated differently: First case, there exists some decomposition $E=H\oplus H^\perp$ with finite dimensional H such that $\dim_{k^2}||H^\perp||<\dim_{k^2}||E||$. Hence there is a basis of the required sort for H^\perp by the induction assumption. The required basis for E is then found easily by applications of Corollary 1. Second case, there is no such decomposition of E. In that case, one proves directly that $E=E_{(\pi_1)}\oplus\ldots\oplus E_{(\pi_n)}$ where π_1,\ldots,π_n span ||E||. This is accomplished along the line of the proof of Proposition 2, where now the assumption of our case replaces the function of Lemma 4.

Thus, for fields k with finite $[k:k^2]$ a complete list of non isomorphic k-spaces (E, Φ) of denumerable dimension can easily be given on the basis of Theorem 2, provided one knows the finite dimensional, non-isotropic k-spaces $(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t \rangle!)$. It is advantageous to first subdivide the spaces according to the dimensions of E/E_* , E_*^\perp and $\operatorname{rad}(E_*)$. In the notations of Theorem 2: p+q, $r+s+t=\dim(E/E_*)$; p+q, $s+t=\dim(E_*^\perp)$; $p,s=\dim(\operatorname{rad}E_*)$ p+q, $r+s+t\leq [k:k^2]$. We may use uniformly the notations r,s,t by interpreting a triple (r,s,t) with r=0 as belonging to a space of type (II). There are $\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$ ordered triples (r,s,t) with $0\leq r+s+t\leq n$; they yield a subdivision of all semi-simple (r,s,t) with (r,s,t) and (r,s,t) are then taken of (r,s,t) into (r,s,t) and (r,s,t) are then taken. For the sake of illustration, we give a complete list for an underlying field k with $[k:k^2]=2$:

$\dim E/E* \ r+s+t$	$\dim E_*^{\perp}$ $s+t$	$\dim \atop (\operatorname{rad} E_*)$	
0	0	0	$\overset{oldsymbol{\infty}}{\Sigma P}$
1	0	0	$E_{(u)}$
1	1	0	$\overset{f \infty}{\Sigma}P\oplus\langle v angle$
1	1	1	$\overset{f \infty}{\Sigma}P\oplus\langle u, u angle$
2	0	0	$E_{(\alpha)} \oplus E_{(\beta)}$
2	1	0	$E_{(v)} \oplus \langle \mu \rangle \ v \neq \mu$
2	1	1	$E_{(\alpha)} \oplus \langle \beta, \beta \rangle, E_{(\nu)} \oplus \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \nu \neq \alpha$
2	2	0	$\overset{\infty}{\Sigma}P\oplus\langlelpha,oldsymbol{ u} angle $
2	2	1	$\overset{\infty}{\Sigma P} \oplus \langle eta, eta angle \oplus \langle lpha angle, \ \overset{\infty}{\Sigma P} \oplus \langle lpha, lpha angle \oplus \langle u angle \ u eq lpha$
2	2	2	$\overset{f \infty}{\Sigma}P \oplus \langle lpha , lpha angle \oplus \langle eta , eta angle$

All the sums are orthogonal, $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is some fixed basis of k over k^2 ; ν and μ run independently through a fixed set of representatives of g_k (the multi-

plicative group of k modulo square factors), subject only to conditions listed in the table. All the spaces thus obtained are mutually non isomorphic and they are, up to orthogonal isomorphisms, all semi-simple k-spaces (E, Φ) of denumerable dimension.

III. Orthogonal bases

Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2. If the semi-simple k-space (E, Φ) is finite dimensional, then either $E = \Sigma P$ or E possesses an orthogonal basis (Lemma 1). Let (E, Φ) be a space of denumerable dimension. E is an orthogonal sum $\Sigma P \oplus E_0$ where E_0 possesses an orthogonal basis. If $\dim_k(E/E_*)$ is infinite (i.e., $\dim_{k^2}||E||$ is infinite), then dim E_0 is infinite and E has an orthogonal basis by virtue of Lemma 1. Thus, if E does not admit of an orthogonal basis, then E/E_* is of finite dimension and there exists a decomposition of E as described in Theorem 2 (necessarily of type (II)): $E = \Sigma P \oplus E_0$, where E_0 is finite dimensional and spanned by an orthogonal basis. Conversely, a space of this form does not admit of an orthogonal basis for, $\Sigma P \oplus E_0 \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} k(e_i)$ gives $E_0 \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} k(e_i)$ for a suitable N and thus, for the respective orthogonals, we obtain $\bigoplus_{N+1} k\left(e_i\right) \subset \Sigma P$. This is a contradiction as $||e_i|| \neq 0$ for an orthogonal basis of a semi-simple space. Thus, a space (E, Φ) of denumerable dimension admits of no orthogonal basis if and only if E_* is closed and E/E_* finite dimensional. These conditions may be formulated in various ways. Here is a selection:

Theorem 3. Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic 2, (E, Φ) a semi-simple k-space of denumerable dimension. The following statements are equivalent:

- (j) E possesses no orthogonal basis;
- (jj) E/E_* is finite dimensional and E_* is closed;
- (jjj) E_*^{\perp} is finite dimensional and dim $E/E_* = \dim E_*^{\perp}$;
- (jv) E/E_* is finite dimensional and dim (rad E_*) = dim $E/(E_*+E_*^{\perp})$.

IV. Automorphisms

We shall add here a few remarks about the group $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ of all metric automorphisms of a space (E, Φ) , i.e., the group of all vector space auto-

morphisms $T: E \to E$ which satisfy $\Phi(Tx, Ty) = \Phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in E$. The underlying field k is of characteristic 2 and dim $E = \aleph_0$. The structure of the group $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ is unknown in the general case. If (E, Φ) satisfies the conditions

$$E_*^{\perp} + E_*^{\perp\perp}$$
 is closed, dim (rad E_*) $< \aleph_0$ (1)1)

- which always takes place when the underlying field is of finite dimension $[k:k^2]$ over k^2 - then the study of $\mathfrak{D}(E,\Phi)$ can be reduced to the study of simpler groups. They are the (sympletic) group $\mathfrak{D}(E,\Phi)$, where the \mathfrak{R}_0 -dimensional space (E,Φ) is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes, and the group $\mathfrak{D}(E,\Phi)$, where (E,Φ) is an orthogonal sum $E_{(\alpha_1)} \oplus E_{(\alpha_2)} \oplus \ldots$ and the elements α_1,α_2,\ldots independent over k^2 (cf. 1.3 for notations). This reduction, possible for the spaces subject to (1), shall be carried out here.

For a space satisfying (1) there is decomposition (Theorem 1):

$$E = E_0 \oplus (R + R') \oplus E_1 , \qquad (2)$$

where E_0 , $R \oplus R'$ and E_1 are orthogonal summands such that

$$R = \operatorname{rad} E_{*}, \ E_{*} = E_{0*} \oplus R, \ E_{*}^{\perp} = R \oplus E_{1}, \ E_{*}^{\perp \perp} = E_{0} \oplus R$$
 (3)

and, furthermore, $R \oplus R'$ is an orthogonal sum of planes $k(r_i, r_i')$, $i \in I$ for $\{r_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{r_i'\}_{i \in I}$ a basis of R and R' respectively. For every $T \in \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ we have $T(E_*) = E_*$, T(R) = R, $T(E_*^{\perp}) = E_*^{\perp}$ and $T(E_*^{\perp \perp}) = E_*^{\perp}$. When $x \in R' \oplus E_1$ we write Tx = x + Lx. Hence ||Lx|| = 0 and $Lx \in E_* \subset E_*^{\perp \perp}$,

$$Lx \in E_0 \oplus R \text{ for } x \in R' \oplus E_1.$$
 (4)

In particular, if $x \in R$ and $y \in R'$ then (x, y) = (Tx, Ty) = (Tx, y + Ly) = (Tx, y) since $Tx \in R \perp E_0 \oplus R$. Therefore (x - Tx, y) = 0 for all $y \in R'$ or $x - Tx \in R'^{\perp}$, $R'^{\perp} \cap R = 0$; hence x - Tx = 0 since x - Tx also belongs to R. Thus the restriction T/R of T to R leaves the vectors of R fixed,

$$T|_{R} = \mathbf{1}_{R}. \tag{5}$$

¹⁾ We recall an earlier example where the second condition is satisfied but not the first. See the remark at the end of this section.

Let then $x \in E_1$ and $y \in R'$. Since $E_1 \subset E_*^{\perp}$ and $T(E_*^{\perp}) = E_*^{\perp}$ we have $Lx \in R$; hence (x, y) = (Tx, Ty) = (x + Lx, y + Ly) = (x, y) + (Lx, y). Thus (Lx, y) = 0 for all $y \in R'$ i.e., $Lx \in R'^{\perp}$, $R'^{\perp} \cap R = 0$ and therefore Lx = 0 as $Lx \in R$. In other words,

$$T|_{E_1} = \mathbf{1}_{E_1}. \tag{6}$$

Thus, every automorphism of E leaves E_*^{\perp} pointwise fixed. Therefore we have for every $x \in R'$ and $y \in E_*^{\perp}$ that (x,y) = (Tx,Ty) = (Tx,y) hence $x - Tx \in E_*^{\perp \perp} = E_0 + R$ for every $x \in R'$. Therefore, and in view of (5) and (6) we can decompose the image Tx for every $x \in (R \oplus R') + E_1$ as follows, $Tx = x + L_0x + L_1x$ with $L_0x \in E_0$ and $L_1x \in R$. Computing ||Tx|| shows furthermore that even $L_0x \in E_{0*}$. We therefore have $(x \in R \oplus R' \oplus E_1)$

$$Tx = x + L_0 x + L_1 x \tag{7}$$

where the projections L_0 and L_1 are linear maps

$$L_0: R \oplus R' \oplus E_1 \rightarrow E_{0*}, L_0(R \oplus E_1) = (0);$$

$$L_1: R \oplus R' \oplus E_1 \rightarrow R, \ L_1(R \oplus E_1) = (0).$$

On the other hand, for $x \in E_0 \subset E_*^{\perp \perp} = E_0 \oplus R$ we have

$$(x \in E_0)$$
 $Tx = L_2x + L_3x$ $L_2x \in E_0$, $L_3x \in R$. (8)

Since R is totally isotropic and orthogonal to E_0 , $L_2: E_0 \to E_0$ is a metric automorphism of E_0 ; L_3 is some linear map $E_0 \to R$. If we express Tx for an arbitrary $x \in E$ by using (7) and (8), then the condition that (x, y) = (Tx, Ty) for all $x, y \in E$, $T \in \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ is equivalent with the conditions

$$(x, L_3 y) + (L_0 x, L_2 y) = 0$$
 for all $x \in R', y \in E_0$ (9)

$$(x, L_1 y) + (L_1 x, y) + (L_0 x, L_0 y) = 0$$
 for all $x, y \in R'$ (10)

(9) and (10) permits a discussion of $\mathfrak{D}(E,\Phi)$ as in the finite dimensional case

([2]). First, the system (9) and (10) admits of solutions L_0 and L_1 for arbitrarily prescribed L_2 and L_3 , L_2 an automorphism of E_0 and L_3 : $E_0 \to R$ a linear map. Indeed. For given L_2 and L_3 (9) defines a linear map L_0 : $R' \to E_{0*}$ in a unique manner. We then extend it to L_0 : $R \oplus R' \oplus E_1 \to E_{0*}$ by defining $L_0(R \oplus E_1) = (0)$. Appealing to the basis of $R \oplus R' = \bigoplus k (r_i, r_i')$ we put $L_1 r_i' = \sum \alpha_{ij} r_j$. Condition (10) is satisfied with the previously found L_0 provided that $\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{ji} = (L_0 r_i', L_0 r_j')$. Since $(L_0 r_i', L_0 r_i') = ||L_0 r_i'|| = 0$ as $L_0 r_i' \in E_{0*}$, there are always solutions for the unknowns α_{ij} ; (this is the only place where use is made of the assumption (1) that dim $R < \aleph_0$. This proves our assertion. Thus, if T runs through $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$ then the restriction $T|_{E_0 \oplus R}$ (it leaves $E_0 \oplus R = E_+^{\perp}$ invariant!) runs through the group \mathfrak{G} of all automorphisms of the space $E_0 \oplus R$ that leave R pointwise fixed (as we have just proved, every element of \mathfrak{G} can be extended to an automorphism of E). $T \to T|_{E_0 \oplus R}$ defines an epimorphism

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi) \to \mathfrak{G}. \tag{11}$$

The kernel $\mathfrak{C}=\ker\varphi$ can easily be described. $T\in\mathfrak{C}$ means that $T|_{E_0\oplus R}$ is the identical transformation of $E_0\oplus R$. For such a T and every $x\in E_0\oplus R\oplus E_1,\ y\in R'$ we obtain from (x,y)=(Tx,Ty)=(x,Ty) that $y-Ty\in(E_0+R+E_1)^\perp=R$. Thus

$$Tx = x + L_4x$$
, $L_4x \in R$, $x \in E$, $L_4(E_0 + R + E_1) = (0)$ (12)

(x, y) = (Tx, Ty) yields

$$(y, L_4 x) + (L_4 y, x) = (0).$$
 (13)

Conversely, every linear map $L_4: R' \to R$ meeting (13) defines an element $T \in \mathbb{C}$ by means of (12). \mathbb{C} is thus seen to be isomorphic to the additive group of linear maps $L: R \to R'$ satisfying (13). Thus, as $s = \dim R$ is finite, $\mathbb{C} \cong k^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}}$. Let us turn to the group \mathfrak{G} . It contains the subgroup \mathfrak{G}_0 of automorphisms $T': E_0 \oplus R \to E_0 \oplus R$ of the form $T': x \to x + L_5 x$ where L_5 is an arbitrary linear map $L_5: E_0 \oplus R \to R$ with $L_5(R) = (0)$. \mathfrak{G}_0 is an invariant subgroup of \mathfrak{G} and $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_0 \cong \mathfrak{D}(E_0, \Phi|_{E_0})$. \mathfrak{G}_0 is isomorphic to the additive group of all linear maps $L: E_0 \to R$, and $\mathfrak{G}_0 \cong k^{\omega}$ or $\mathfrak{G}_0 \cong (1)$.

Thus, if we put $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \varphi^{-1}\mathfrak{G}_0$, we have the series of invariant subgroups

$$\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{C}_0 \subset \mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)$$

with $\mathfrak{C} \cong k^{\frac{s(s+1)}{2}}$, $\mathfrak{C}_0/\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{G}_0$, $\mathfrak{D}(E, \Phi)/\mathfrak{C}_0 \cong \mathfrak{D}(E_0, \Phi|_{E_0})$, $s = \dim (\operatorname{rad} E_*)$. E_0 is an algebraic complement of $\operatorname{rad} E_*$ in $E_*^{\perp \perp}$; it is either an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes or an orthogonal sum $E_{(\alpha_1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus E_{(\alpha_n)}$, the elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ independent over k^2 .

Remark (added in proof). The condition in (1) that dim $R = \dim (\operatorname{rad} E_*) <_{\aleph_0}$ is quite unnecessary for the discussion that followed. Setting $L_1 r_i' = \sum \alpha_{ij} r_j$ the matrix equation $\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{ji} = (L_0 r_i', L_0 r_j')$ admits row-finite solutions (which actually define a map L_1); for example $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ $(j \ge i)$, $\alpha_{ij} = (L_0 r_i', L_0 r_j')$ for j < i. For the normal series of groups obtained we have in the case dim $R = \aleph_0$: $G_0 \cong k^{\omega}$ and $C \cong k^{\omega}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBARI N.: Formes Sesquilinéaires et Formes Quadratiques. Paris 1959.
- [2] DIEUDONNÉ J.: Sur les groupes classiques. Paris 1958.
- [3] GROSS H. and FISCHER H. R.: Non Real Fields and Infinite Dimensional Vector Spaces. Math. Ann. 159, 285-308 (1965).
- [4] KAPLANSKY I.: Forms in Infinite Dimensional Spaces. Anais Acad. Brazil. Ciencias XXII, 1-17 (1950).

This paper was in part supported by NSF grant GP-4205.

(Received September 13, 1965)