Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft

Band: 36 (1961-1962)

Artikel: KOEBE Arcs and FATOU Points of Normal Functions.

Autor: Bagemihl, F. / Seidel, W.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-515613

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 20.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Koebe Arcs and Fatou Points of Normal Functions

by F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel¹), Detroit, Michigan (USA)

Let C be the unit circle and D be the open unit disk in the complex z-plane, and C_w , D_w be the corresponding entities in the complex w-plane. The closure of a point set S will be denoted by \overline{S} , and the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set E by m(E).

We begin by setting down some definitions.

Definition 1. Let A be an open arc of C, possibly C itself. A Koebe sequence of arcs (relative to A) is a sequence of Jordan arcs $\{J_n\}$ in D such that (a) for some sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ satisfying the conditions $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, J_n lies in the ε_n -neighborhood of A $(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$, and (b) every open sector Δ of D subtending an arc of C that lies strictly interior to A has the property that, for all values of n except at most a finite number, the arc J_n contains at least one Jordan subarclying wholly in Δ except for its two end points which lie on distinct sides of Δ .

The terminology in Definition 1 is suggested by the appearance of such arcs in Koebe's lemma [2, p. 19].

Definition 2. A strong Koebe sequence of arcs is a Koebe sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}$ with the property that, to every $\zeta \in C$, there corresponds a rectilinear segment extending from ζ to a point of D, which is intersected by infinitely many of the arcs J_n (n = 1, 2, 3, ...).

It is easily verified that a strong Koebe sequence of arcs is a Koebe sequence of arcs relative either to C itself or to C minus a single point of C.

Definition 3. If f(z) is a meromorphic function in D and c is a constant, finite or ∞ , we say that $f(z) \to c$ along a Koebe sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}$, provided that, for some sequence of positive numbers $\{\eta_n\}$, where $\eta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, we have, for every $z \in J_n(n = 1, 2, 3, ...)$, $|f(z) - c| < \eta_n$ or $|f(z)| > 1/\eta_n$, according as c is finite or infinite.

Definition 4. If f(z) is a meromorphic function in D, we say that f(z) is bounded by M on a Koebe sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}$, provided that there

¹⁾ W. Seidel's contribution to this paper was supported by National Science Foundation Grant NSF G-9663 held at the University of Notre Dame, Indiana, U.S.A.

exists a finite positive constant M such that |f(z)| < M for every $z \in J_n$ (n = 1, 2, 3, ...).

Definition 5. Let z' = S(z) denote an arbitrary one-to-one conformal mapping of D onto itself. A function f(z), meromorphic in D, is said to be *normal* in D [5, p. 53], if the family of functions $\{f(S(z))\}$ is normal in D in the sense of Montel, where convergence is defined in terms of the spherical metric.

Definition 6. A FATOU *point* of a meromorphic function in D is a point $\zeta \in C$ such that, for some complex number c (possibly ∞), as $z \to \zeta$ in any STOLZ angle at ζ , $f(z) \to c$; c is then called a FATOU value of f(z).

We show first (Theorem 1) that a normal meromorphic function that tends to a constant along a Koebe sequence of arcs is identically constant. This generalizes a result due to Gross [4, pp. 35–36] as well as a result due to the present authors [1, Corollary 1, p. 266]. Next we prove (Theorem 2) that a normal holomorphic function that is bounded on a strong Koebe sequence of arcs must be a bounded function. This generalizes [1, Corollary 2, p. 266]. (The two results in [1] alluded to involve "boundary paths" instead of Koebe sequences of arcs.)

Theorem 3 asserts that if the set of Fatou points of a normal holomorphic function in D is of measure zero on an arc of C, then that arc contains an everywhere dense set of Fatou points of the function at each of which the corresponding Fatou value is ∞ . This generalizes [1, Theorem 5, p. 267]. It follows immediately that the set of Fatou points of a normal holomorphic function in D is everywhere dense on C, which sharpens [1, Theorem 4, p. 267]. This result is to be contrasted with one given in [5, p. 58], according to which there exist normal meromorphic functions in D possessing no Fatou points. (Cf. also [1, Remark 4, p. 267].) Theorem 4 shows that a normal holomorphic function in D can have its set of Fatou points of arbitrarily small positive measure without having ∞ as a Fatou value. This leads us to pose the following problem, which we have not solved.

Problem. Let f(z) be a normal holomorphic function in D. Suppose that an arc A of C exists such that the measure of the set of Fatou points of f(z) on every subarc of A is less than the length of that subarc. Does A contain a Fatou point of f(z) at which the corresponding Fatou value is ∞ ? We proceed now to the proofs of our theorems.

Theorem 1. Let f(z) be a normal meromorphic function in D. If $f(z) \to c$ along a Koebe sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}$, then $f(z) \equiv c$.

Proof. We may assume that c = 0, for otherwise we can replace the normal meromorphic function f(z) by the normal meromorphic function f(z) - c if c is finite, or 1/f(z) if $c = \infty$.

Let the given sequence $\{J_n\}$ be a Koebe sequence relative to the arc A (see Definition 1), and consider an arc $B=\{z:|z|=1,\ q_1<\arg z< q_2\}$ strictly interior to A. Denote by Δ the open sector of D with vertex at the origin and vertex angle β , subtending the arc B. The sides of Δ will be called s_1, s_2 , where these segments terminate in e^{iq_1} , e^{iq_2} , respectively. In view of (b) in Definition 1, there is no loss of generality in asserting now that for every n the arc J_n contains a Jordan subarc Γ_n lying wholly in Δ except for its endpoints $P_n^{(1)}$, $P_n^{(2)}$ which lie on s_1, s_2 , respectively. It is obvious that $\{\Gamma_n\}$ is a Koebe sequence of arcs relative to B.

Set

$$r_n = \min_{z \in \Gamma_n} |z|, R_n = \max_{z \in \Gamma_n} |z| \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, ...).$$

It follows from (a) in Definition 1 that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} R_n = 1. \tag{1}$$

For $n=1,2,3,\ldots$, we now define a Jordan curve K_n . Let the circle $|z|=R_n$ intersect s_1 and s_2 in the respective points $Q_n^{(1)}, Q_n^{(2)}$, and denote the radial segments $P_n^{(1)}Q_n^{(1)}, P_n^{(2)}Q_n^{(2)}$ by $t_n^{(1)}, t_n^{(2)}$, respectively (these segments may reduce to single points). Then, if B_n is the open arc of the circle $|z|=R_n$ which lies in Δ and B_n^* is the complementary arc, we put

$$K_n = t_n^{(1)} \circ B_n^* \circ t_n^{(2)} \circ \Gamma_n$$
.

The interior of K_n will be called Ω_n , and we set $G_n = \{z : |z| < R_n\}$. Carleman's Extension Principle for harmonic measure implies [7, p. 70] that

$$\omega(0, t_n^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_n \cup t_n^{(2)}, \Omega_n) \ge \omega(0, B_n, G_n) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi}.$$

We have [7, p. 26]

$$\omega(0, t_n^{(1)} \cup \Gamma_n \cup t_n^{(2)}, \Omega_n) = \omega(0, t_n^{(1)} \cup t_n^{(2)}, \Omega_n) + \omega(0, \Gamma_n, \Omega_n).$$

An inequality due to Ostrowski [3, p. 42] shows that

$$\omega(0, t_n^{(1)} \cup t_n^{(2)}, \Omega_n) \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{2\sqrt{\frac{R_n - r_n}{2} \cdot \frac{R_n + r_n}{2}}}{\frac{R_n - r_n}{2} + \frac{R_n + r_n}{2}} = \frac{4}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{R_n^2 - r_n^2}}{R_n},$$

and (1) implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega(0, t_n^{(1)} \cup t_n^{(2)}, \Omega_n) = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\omega(0,\,\Gamma_n,\,\Omega_n)\geqq\frac{\beta}{2\pi}.$$

Consequently, if D_w is mapped conformally onto Ω_n by means of the function $z = \psi_n(w)$, where $\psi_n(0) = 0$ and the point $w = e^{iq_1}$ corresponds to the point $z = P_n^{(1)}$, then each arc Γ_n , for n sufficiently large, is the image of an arc of C_w of length at least $\beta/2$ with its end point of smaller argument at e^{iq_1} .

If we set

$$g_n(w) = f(\psi_n(w)) \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, ...),$$
 (2)

then [5, p. 57] $g_n(w)$ is a normal meromorphic function in D_w . Since f(z) is normal in D, there exists [5, p. 56] a finite positive constant γ such that for every $z \in D$,

$$\frac{|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2}(1-|z|^2) \leq \gamma. \tag{3}$$

Now from (2) we obtain

$$\frac{|g'_n(w)|}{1+|g_n(w)|^2}\left(1-|w|^2\right)=\frac{|f'(\psi_n(w))|\cdot|\psi'_n(w)|}{1+|f(\psi_n(w))|^2}\left(1-|w|^2\right). \tag{4}$$

According to [9, p. 133], if $D_1(z)$ denotes the radius of univalence at the point $z = \psi_n(w)$ of the region Ω_n , we have

$$(1 - |w|^2) |\psi'_n(w)| \le 4D_1(z), \qquad (5)$$

and since Ω_n lies in D,

$$D_1(z) \le 1 - |z| \le 1 - |z|^2. \tag{6}$$

Combining (3) to (6), we find that

$$\frac{|g'_n(w)|}{1+|g_n(w)|^2}(1-|w|^2) \leq \frac{4|f'(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^2} \leq 4\gamma. \tag{7}$$

Let S denote the subarc of C_w whose end point of smaller argument is e^{iq_1} and whose length is $\beta/2$. The hypothesis that $f(z) \to 0$ along the Koebe sequence $\{J_n\}$ implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(w) = 0$ uniformly on S. This together with (7) shows, in view of [5, p. 64], that the sequence $\{g_n(w)\}$ tends uniformly to zero on every compact subset of D_w .

We shall now show that $f(z) \equiv 0$. Suppose that, on the contrary, $f(z_0) \neq 0$ for some $z_0 \in D$. By (a) in Definition 1, $z_0 \in \Omega_n$ for all sufficiently large values

of n. Let $w = \varphi_n(z)$ be the inverse of the function $z = \psi_n(w)$. Then, according to (2),

$$g_n\left(\varphi_n(z_0)\right) = f(z_0)$$

for all sufficiently large values of n. Since $\{g_n(w)\}$ tends uniformly to zero on every compact subset of D_w , but $f(z_0) \neq 0$, we must have $\lim |\varphi_n(z_0)| = 1$.

But this is impossible; for if we fix ϱ so that $|z_0| < \varrho < 1$, then Schwarz's lemma yields

$$\mid \varphi_n(z_0) \mid \leqq \frac{|z_0|}{\rho} < 1$$

for all sufficiently large values of n. Our supposition has thus led to a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. Let f(z) be a normal holomorphic function in D. If f(z) is bounded by M on a strong Koebe sequence of arcs $\{J_n\}$, then f(z) is bounded by M throughout D.

Proof. If f(z) is bounded in D, then Definition 2 implies that none of its radial limits, except perhaps one, is greater than M in modulus, and the representation of f(z) by its Poisson integral shows immediately that |f(z)| < M throughout D.

We shall now suppose that f(z) is unbounded in D, and show that this leads to a contradiction of the hypothesis that $\{J_n\}$ is a strong Koebe sequence. The set of all points $z \in D$ at which |f(z)| > M+1 is open and not empty; let R_1 be some component of this set. At all boundary points of R_1 that lie in D, we have |f(z)| = M+1, and the maximum principle implies that R_1 cannot lie wholly in some disk $|z| < \varrho < 1$. Hence, the boundary of R_1 contains at least one point of C. The region R_1 cannot have more than one accessible boundary point on C, for if it had two such points ζ_1 and ζ_2 , they could be connected by a Jordan arc Γ lying, except for its end points ζ_1 and ζ_2 , in R_1 , and Γ would decompose D into two subregions. But R_1 , and hence Γ , meets none of the arcs J_n $(n=1,2,3,\ldots)$, and therefore infinitely many of these arcs would have to lie in one of the two subregions of D, contradicting the remark following Definition 2 and (b) in Definition 1.

We now map D_w conformally onto the universal covering surface R_1^* of R_1 by means of the single-valued function $z = \varphi(w)$, and set

$$g(w) = f(\varphi(w))$$

in D_w . We have $|\varphi(w)| < 1$ in D_w . The Fatou values of $\varphi(w)$ are of

modulus 1 on at most a subset of measure zero of C_w ; this follows from the RIESZ uniqueness theorem [7, p. 209] and the fact that R_1 has at most one accessible boundary point on C. Since R_1^* is unbranched over R_1 , almost all the FATOU values of $\varphi(w)$ are points in D that lie on the boundary of R_1 . Hence, g(w) possesses limits of modulus M+1 along almost all radii of C_w . It follows that f(z) is unbounded in R_1 , because otherwise we should have |g(w)| < M+1 throughout D_w , contradicting the definition of R_1 .

The set of all points $z \in R_1$, at which |f(z)| > M + 2 is open and not empty; let R_2 be some component of this set. Then $R_2 \in R_1$, and if we apply to R_2 the foregoing argument for R_1 , we arrive at the conclusion that f(z) is unbounded in R_2 . Proceeding in this manner, we obtain a sequence of nested regions

$$R_1 \supset R_2 \supset R_3 \supset \ldots$$

such that, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$,

$$|f(z)| > M + n \quad (z \in R_n). \tag{8}$$

Now take

$$z_1 \in R_1, z_2 \in R_2 - \{z_1\}, z_3 \in R_3 - \{z_1, z_2\}, \ldots, z_n \in R_n - \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n-1}\}, \ldots,$$

and join z_1 to z_2 by means of a Jordan arc K_1 lying in R_1 , join z_2 to z_3 by means of a Jordan arc K_2 lying in R_2 and having no point except z_2 in common with K_1, \ldots , join z_n to z_{n+1} by means of a Jordan arc K_n lying in R_n and having no point except z_n in common with $K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \ldots \cup K_{n-1}, \ldots$. We thus obtain a path

$$P=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}K_{n}$$

in D. Its initial point is z_1 , and its "end" lies on C because, due to (8) and the fact that $K_n \in R_n$ (n = 1, 2, 3, ...),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{z\in K_n} |f(z)| = \infty,$$

and f(z), by hypothesis, is holomorphic in D. The path P then is a "boundary path" in D along which $f(z) \to \infty$. According to [1, Corollary 1, p. 266], the end of P is a single point $\zeta \in C$. Since f(z) is normal in D, ζ is a Fatou point of f(z) with ∞ as the corresponding Fatou value [5, p. 53]. But, in view of Definition 2, this contradicts the hypothesis that $\{J_n\}$ is a strong Koebe sequence, because f(z) is bounded on $\{J_n\}$; and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. Let f(z) be a normal holomorphic function in D and A be an open subarc of C. If the set of Fatou points of f(z) on A is of measure zero, then A contains a Fatou point of f(z) at which the corresponding Fatou value is ∞ .

Proof. Take a point $\zeta \in A$. The function f(z) cannot be bounded in any neighborhood of ζ , because otherwise, by a simple extension of Fatou's theorem, the set of Fatou points of f(z) on A would be of positive measure, contrary to hypothesis. Hence, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that the region $H=D \cap \{z: |z-\zeta|<\delta\}$ satisfies the conditions that $H \cap C \in A$ and f(z) is unbounded in H. Consequently there exists a sequence of points $\{z_n\}$ in D such that $z_n \to \zeta$ and $M_n = |f(z_n)| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, where $1 < M_1 < M_2 < \cdots < M_n < \cdots$. For $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, let V_n be the open set of all points of D at which $|f(z)| > M_n - 1$, and denote by R_n that component of V_n which contains the point z_n . Evidently $|f(z)| = M_n - 1$ at all boundary points of R_n that lie in D. The maximum principle implies that $R_n \cap C$ is not empty. As $n \to \infty$, the diameter of R_n tends to zero. For if $r_n = \min_{z \in \mathcal{L}} |z|$, the hypothesis that f(z) is holomorphic in D implies that $\lim r_n = 1$, so that if the diameter of R_n did not tend to zero as $n \to \infty$, one could obtain a Koebe sequence of arcs along which $f(z) \to \infty$, which is impossible in view of Theorem 1. Thus there exists a natural number N such that $R_N \in H$, and we set $G_1 = R_N$.

We shall show that f(z) is unbounded in G_1 . Let G_1^* be the smallest simply connected region containing G_1 , and $z = \varphi(w)$ be a function that maps D_w conformally onto G_1^* . The set $B^* = \overline{G_1^*} \cap C$ is not empty; we denote by B_1^* the set of all points of B^* that are accessible from the region G_1^* . According to Fatou's theorem, $\varphi(w)$ has a radial limit at almost all points of C_w ; we put

$$\varphi^*(e^{i\mu}) = \lim_{r \to 1} \varphi(re^{i\mu})$$

for every μ for which the limit exists. The set

$$E_1 = \{e^{i\mu} : | \varphi^*(e^{i\mu}) | = 1\}$$

is a Borel set, and is therefore measurable, and we have

$$B_1^* = \{ \varphi^*(e^{i\mu}) : e^{i\mu} \in E_1 \}$$
.

Consider the function

$$g(w) = f(\varphi(w))$$

in D_w . We are going to show that g(w) is unbounded in D_w . Assume that g(w) is bounded in D_w . We have either $m(E_1) > 0$ or $m(E_1) = 0$.

Suppose first that $m(E_1) > 0$. Let E_0 be the Borel subset of positive measure of E_1 at each point of which g(w) possesses a radial limit, and B_0^* be the image of E_0 under the mapping $z = \varphi(w)$. An application of an extension of Löwner's theorem [10, p. 322] shows that B_0^* is a measurable subset of B_1^* with $m(B_0^*) > 0$. Let $\zeta_0 \in B_0^*$. Then there is a path in G_1^* terminating in ζ_0 , and this path is the image, under the mapping $z = \varphi(w)$, of a path in D_w that terminates in a point $e^{i\mu_0} \in E_0$. Now $\varphi^*(e^{i\mu_0}) = \zeta_0$, and g(w) has a radial limit at the point $e^{i\mu_0}$; therefore f(z) tends to a limit along a path in G_1^* terminating in ζ_0 . By hypothesis, f(z) is normal in D, and consequently [5, p. 53] ζ_0 is a Fatou point of f(z). Since ζ_0 was an arbitrary point of B_0^* , and $m(B_0^*) > 0$, we have arrived at a contradiction of the hypothesis that the set of Fatou points of f(z) on A is of measure zero.

Suppose next that $m(E_1) = 0$. Since every boundary point of G_1^* is a boundary point of G_1 , the italicized remark in the first paragraph of the proof implies that the Fatou values of g(w) are equal to $M_N - 1$ in modulus almost everywhere on C_w . The representation of g(w) by its Poisson integral shows that $|g(w)| \leq M_N - 1$ throughout D_w , which implies that $|f(z)| \leq M_N - 1 = L$ throughout $G_1 = R_N$, contrary to the definition of R_N .

Thus g(w) is unbounded in D_w , which implies that f(z) is unbounded in G_1^* and hence in G_1 . It follows that the open set of all points of G_1 at which |f(z)| > L + 1 is not empty, and letting G_2 denote a component of this set, we conclude as above that f(z) is unbounded in G_2 . Continuing in this manner, we obtain a sequence of nested subregions $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset G_3 \supset \ldots$ of H, and now an argument employed in the proof of Theorem 2 enables us to infer the existence of a Fatou point of f(z) on A at which the corresponding Fatou value is ∞ , thus completing the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 1. The set of Fatou points of a normal holomorphic function in D is everywhere dense on C.

Theorem 4. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a normal holomorphic function f(z) in D whose set of Fatou points is of measure less than ε but for which ∞ is not a radial limit.

Proof. Consider first the function $\varphi(w) = g(w) + h(w)$ in D_w , where g(w) is the elliptic modular function, holomorphic and normal in D_w , whose set of Fatou points E is enumerable and whose Fatou values are $0, 1, \infty$, and h(w) is bounded and holomorphic in D_w and possesses a radial limit at every point of $C_w - E$ but no radial limit at any point of E [6, Theorem 6,

p. 14]. Now $\varphi(w)$ is holomorphic and normal in D_w [5, p. 53]; its set E_0 of Fatou points is enumerable, and ∞ is its only Fatou value.

Choose a positive number δ so small that, if $\varrho = \cos \frac{\delta}{2}$, then

$$\frac{L}{\left|\log\frac{\delta}{\varrho}\right|+1}<\varepsilon\,,\tag{9}$$

where L is a certain positive absolute constant to be specified later. Let P be a perfect nowhere dense set on C_w that contains no point of E_0 and for which $m(P) > 2\pi - \delta$, and set $H = C_w - P$. Denote by R the simply connected subregion of D_w whose boundary consists of the points of P and the open chords of C_w that subtend the components of the open set H. The boundary of R is evidently a rectifiable Jordan curve of length less than 2π . Since each component of H is of length less than δ , the region R contains the disk $|w| < \varrho$. Let the function $w = \lambda(z)$ map D conformally onto R so that $\lambda(0) = 0$, and let S be the set of all points on C that correspond under this mapping to points on the chords of C_w subtending components of H. Since the sum of the lengths of these chords is less than δ , we have, by a theorem of LAVRENTIEV [8, p. 125],

$$m(S) < \frac{L}{\left|\log \frac{\delta}{\varrho}\right| + 1}$$
 (10)

Now consider the function $f(z) = \varphi(\lambda(z))$ in D. It is holomorphic and normal in D [5, p. 57], does not have ∞ as a Fatou value, and its set of Fatou points is S. According to (9) and (10), $m(S) < \varepsilon$, and this completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. BAGEMIHL and W. SEIDEL, Behavior of meromorphic functions on boundary paths, with applications to normal functions. Arch. Math. 11 (1960), 263-269.
- [2] L. BIEBERBACH, Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie. Bd. II, 2. Aufl., Leipzig und Berlin, 1931.
- [3] C. Gattegno et A. Ostrowski, Représentation conforme à la frontière; domaines généraux. Paris, 1949.
- [4] W. Gross, Über die Singularitäten analytischer Funktionen. Monatsh. Math. Phys. 29 (1918), 3-47.
- [5] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions. Acta Math. 97 (1957), 47-65.
- [6] A. J. Lohwater and G. Piranian, The boundary behavior of functions analytic in a disk. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I 239 (1957), 1-17.
- [7] R. NEVANLINNA, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. 2. Aufl., Berlin, Göttingen und Heidelberg, 1953.

- 18 F. BAGEMIHL / W. SEIDEL KOEBE Arcs and FATOU Points of Normal Functions
- [8] I. I. Priwalow, Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen. Berlin, 1956.
- [9] W. Seidel and J. L. Walsh, On the derivatives of functions analytic in the unit circle and their radii of univalence and of p-valence. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1942), 128-216.
- [10] M. TSUJI, Potential theory in modern function theory. Tokyo, 1959.

(Received October 10, 1960)