Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber: Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band: 34 (1960)

Artikel: Slowly Growing Integral and Subharmonic functions.
Autor: Hayman, W.K.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-26625

Nutzungsbedingungen
Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation
L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use
The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

Download PDF: 11.01.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Slowly Growing Integral and Subharmonic Functions

by W. K. HAYMAN, London

1. G. PIRANIAN [3] recently proved the following

Theorem A. *There exists a sequence* $\{t_n, r_n\}$ *such that the integral function*

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{1 - \left(\frac{z}{r_n}\right)^n\right\}^{t_n}$$

has the property that each half-line contains infinitely many disjoint segments of length 1, *on which* $|f(z)| < 1$. *Corresponding to each real-valued function* $h(r)$ *satisfying the condition*

$$\frac{h(r)}{(\log r)^2} \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

the sequence $\{t_n, r_n\}$ *can be so chosen that the inequality*

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| < h(r)$$

holds for $r > r_0$ *and all real* θ.

ERDÖS conjectured that if on the other hand

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| < A(\log r)^2$$

as $r \to \infty$, uniformly in θ, then $|f(z)| > K$ outside a set of bounded regions subtending angles at the origin whose sum is finite. It would follow that for almost every fixed θ, $|f(re^{i\theta})| \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$.

In this paper the above conjecture will be proved and a little more.

We shall call an $\mathcal{E}$-set any countable set of circles not containing the origin, and subtending angles at the origin whose sum s is finite. The number s will be called the (angular) extent of the $\mathcal{E}$-set.

We make the following remarks

(i) *For almost all fixed* θ *and* $r > r_0(\theta)$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ *lies outside the* $\mathcal{E}$*-set.*

In fact this is the case unless the ray $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < \infty$ meets infinitely many circles of the $\mathcal{E}$-set. We can write $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{E}''$, where $\mathcal{E}'$ contains only a finite number of circles and $\mathcal{E}''$ has extent less than ε. If the ray $z = re^{i\theta}$ meets infinitely many circles of $\mathcal{E}$, then this ray meets $\mathcal{E}''$ and the set of such θ has measure at most ε, i. e. measure zero.

(ii) *The set* E, *of* r *for which the circle* $|z| = r$ *meets the circles of an* $\mathcal{E}$*-set has finite logarithmic measure and à fortiori, zero density.*

Let a circle C_n of an $\mathcal{E}$-set have radius r_n and centre distant d_n from the

origin. Then the logarithmic measure l_n of the set of r corresponding to circles $|z| = r$ which C_n meets is given by

$$l_n = \int_{d_n - r_n}^{d_n + r_n} \frac{dr}{r} = \log \frac{d_n + r_n}{d_n - r_n} < 3 \frac{r_n}{d_n}, \quad \text{if} \quad r_n < \tfrac{1}{2} d_n .$$

The extent c_n of C_n is $2 \sin^{-1} \frac{r_n}{d_n} > \frac{2 r_n}{d_n}$. Thus for all but a finite number of values of n, $l_n < \frac{3}{2} c_n$, and so $\Sigma l_n < +\infty$. If $c(t)$ is the characteristic function of the set E and

$$\int_1^\infty c(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

converges then

$$\int_{r_0}^{r} c(t) dt \leqslant [\int_{r_0}^{r} c(t) \frac{dt}{t} \int_{r_0}^{r} t dt]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} r$$

if $r > r_0(\varepsilon)$, so that E has zero linear density, but the converse is false.

Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic and not constant in the plane and write

$$B(r) = B(r, u) = \sup_{|z|=r} u(z) .$$

Then $B(r)$ is a convex increasing function of $\log r$ and so tends to infinity with r. In the applications we may think of $u(z) = \log |f(z)|$ where $f(z)$ is an integral function, but the more general case has some interest. We then have the following

Theorem 1. *With the above hypotheses suppose that*

$$B(r, u) = O(\log r)^2 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty ; \tag{1.2}$$

then

$$u(re^{i\theta}) \sim B(r) \tag{1.3}$$

uniformly as $re^{i\theta} \to \infty$ *outside an* $\mathcal{E}$*-set.*

Corollary. *The relation* (1.3) *holds as* $r \to \infty$ *for almost every fixed* θ. *It holds uniformly in* θ *as* $r \to \infty$ *outside a set of finite logarithmic measure.*

The special case $u(z) = \log |f(z)|$ where $f(z)$ is regular yields ERDÖS' conjecture and rather more, since ERDÖS only conjectured that $u(z) > 0$ outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set. In this case VALIRON [4, p. 134] showed that (1.3) holds outside a set of linear density 0. As we have just noted an $\mathcal{E}$-set has linear density 0, but the converse is false, so that our result is stronger than that of VALIRON.

We prove a further result generalizing the case $u(z) = \log |f(z)|$, when $f(z)$ is a polynomial.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that* $u(z)$ *is subharmonic and not constant in the plane and that*

$$B(r, u) = O(\log r), \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty .$$

Then $u(re^{i\theta}) = B(r, u) + o(1)$, *uniformly as* $re^{i\theta} \to \infty$ *outside an* $\mathcal{E}$*-set.*

Finally we note that if $e^{u(z)}$ is continuous it is not difficult to prove by means of the HEINE-BOREL theorem that we may select a subsystem $\mathcal{E}'$ from our $\mathcal{E}$-set such that only a finite number of the circles of $\mathcal{E}'$ meet any bounded set. In the general case this is not possible since $u(z) = -\infty$ may take place for a set of z which is dense in the plane.

2. Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic function satisfying $u(0) = 0$. If this condition is not satisfied we replace $u(z)$ inside $|z| < 1$ by the POISSON integral of its values on $|z| = 1$ and leave $u(z)$ unchanged for $|z| \geqslant 1$. The modified function is still subharmonic and is harmonic near $z = 0$, so that $u(0)$ is finite. By subtracting a constant we may suppose that $u(0) = 0$.

It now follows (HEINS [2]) that if the order

$$\varrho = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{\log B(r, u)}{\log r} < 1$$

then u can be represented as

$$u(z) = \int \log \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\mu\, e_\zeta \tag{2.1}$$

where $d\mu$ is a positive measure in the plane for which compact sets have finite measure, and the integral extends over the ζ plane. In our applications $\varrho = 0$, so that the above conditions are satisfied. The formula (2.1) reduces to the WEIERSTRASS product expansion

$$\log |f(z)| = \sum_1^\infty \log \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta_n} \right| \tag{2.1'}$$

when $u(z) = \log |f(z)|$ and $f(z)$ is an integral function of order less than 1. Further let $n(t) = \mu[|z| < t]$,

$$N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)\,dt}{t} .$$

Then JENSEN's formula gives ([1], Lemma 1, p. 473 and (1.7) p. 474).

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta})\,d\theta = N(r)$$

so that in particular

$$N(r) \leqslant B(r) . \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$u(z) \leqslant \int \log\left(1 + \left|\frac{z}{\zeta}\right|\right) d\mu e_\zeta = \int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{t}\right) dn(t) . \tag{2.3}$$

We suppose in all cases that

$$B(r) < C(\log r)^2, \qquad r > r_0 . \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.2) we deduce

$$n(r) \log r \leqslant \int_r^{r^2} n(t) \frac{dt}{t} \leqslant N(r^2) < 4C(\log r)^2 , \qquad r > r_0$$

i.e.

$$n(r) < 4C \log r , \qquad r > r_0 . \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} n(t) = n . \tag{2.6}$$

If $n = 0$, $u(z) \equiv 0$ which is contrary to our hypotheses. If $0 < n < \infty$

$$N(r) \sim n \log r , \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to +\infty . \tag{2.7}$$

If $n = +\infty$

$$\frac{N(r)}{\log r} \to +\infty , \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to +\infty . \tag{2.8}$$

In the case (2.1′), (2.7) corresponds to the case when $f(z)$ is a polynomial and (2.8) to the case when $f(z)$ is transcendental. In this case VALIRON [4, p. 132] noted that if (2.4) is satisfied then

$$B(r) \sim N(r) \tag{2.9}$$

as $r \to \infty$, and his argument extends at once to subharmonic functions. In fact from (2.3) we obtain

$$B(r) \leqslant \int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \frac{r}{t}\right) dn(t) = r \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t)\,dt}{t(t+r)} .$$

Suppose now first that n is finite in (2.6). Let η be a fixed small positive number and choose r so large that $n(t) > n - \eta$ for $t \geqslant \eta r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(r) &\leqslant \int_0^{\eta r} \frac{r n(t)\,dt}{t(t+r)} + \int_{\eta r}^\infty \frac{n r\,dt}{t(t+r)} \leqslant N(\eta r) + n \log \frac{r + \eta r}{\eta r} \\ &= N(\eta r) + n \log\left(\frac{r}{\eta r}\right) + n \log(1+\eta) \\ &\leqslant N(\eta r) + \int_{\eta r}^{r} (n(t) + \eta) \frac{dt}{t} + n \log(1+\eta) \\ &= N(r) + \eta \log \frac{1}{\eta} + n \log(1+\eta) . \end{aligned}$$

Since η may be chosen as small as we please, we deduce in this case that

$$B(r) \leqslant N(r) + o(1)\,, \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to \infty\,.$$

In the case (2.8), when (2.4) holds we deduce from (2.5)

$$B(r) \leqslant N(r) + r \int_r^\infty \frac{O(\log t)}{t^2}\, dt \leqslant N(r) + O(\log r) \sim N(r)\,.$$

Since (2.2) holds in all cases we deduce (2.9) and in the case (2.7) the stronger result

$$B(r) = N(r) + o(1)\,, \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to \infty\,. \tag{2.10}$$

3. In order to prove our results we note that (2.1) and (2.3) give

$$u(z) - B(r) \geqslant \int \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|}\, d\mu e_\zeta = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \tag{3.1}$$

say, where I_1 is taken over the range $|\zeta| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|z|$, I_2 over the range $\frac{1}{2}|z| < |\zeta| < 2|z|$, and I_3 over the range $|\zeta| \geqslant 2|z|$.

We note that $\log \dfrac{1+x}{1-x} < 3x$, for $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$, so that for $|z| = r$

$$-I_1 \leqslant \int_{|\zeta| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|z|} \log \frac{1 + \left|\dfrac{\zeta}{z}\right|}{1 - \left|\dfrac{\zeta}{z}\right|}\, d\mu e_\zeta < \frac{3}{|z|} \int_{|\zeta| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|z|} |\zeta|\, d\mu e_\zeta = \frac{3}{r} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}r} t\, dn(t)\,.$$

Similarly

$$-I_3 < 3r \int_{2r}^\infty \frac{1}{t}\, dn(t)\,.$$

In case n is finite in (2.6), suppose that $n(t) > n - \varepsilon$, $t > t_0$. Then if $r > 2t_0$, we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}r} t\, dn(t) \leqslant \int_0^{t_0} t\, dn(t) + \int_{t_0}^{\frac{1}{2}r} t\, dn(t) \leqslant t_0 n + \tfrac{1}{2} r\varepsilon\,,$$

so that

$$I_1 \to 0\,, \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to \infty\,.$$

Similarly we have for $r > t_0$

$$I_3 < \frac{3r}{2r} \int_{2r}^\infty dn(t) < \tfrac{3}{2}\varepsilon\,.$$

Thus in this case

$$I_1 \to 0\,, \qquad I_3 \to 0\,, \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \to \infty\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Consider next the case when (2.4) and hence (2.5) holds. In this case we have for $r > r_0$,

$$I_1 \leqslant \frac{3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} r}{r} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}r} dn(t) \leqslant 6C \log r ,$$

$$I_3 \leqslant 3r \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} dn(t) = 3r\left[-\frac{n(2r)}{2r} + \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)dt}{t^2}\right] \leqslant 12Cr \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{\log t dt}{t^2}$$
$$= 6C[\log(2r) + 1] .$$

Thus in case (2.4) holds we have, uniformly as $z \to \infty$,

$$I_1 = O(\log |z|) , \quad I_3 = O(\log |z|) . \tag{3.3}$$

4. It remains to estimate I_2 and this estimation is the crux of the paper. We need a form (Lemma 2) of the BOUTROUX-CARTAN Lemma applicable to subharmonic functions.

In order to prove this we use the following result ([1], Lemma 4, p. 482).

Lemma 1. *Suppose that* $\mu[|z| < h] = n \geqslant 0$, *and that* $0 < d < \frac{1}{2}h$. *Then there exists a set of circles S the sum of whose radii is at most d and such that for* $|z| < \frac{1}{2}h$, *and z outside S we have*

$$\int_{|z-\zeta|<\frac{1}{2}h} \log \left| \frac{h}{2(z-\zeta)} \right| d\mu e_\zeta < n \log \frac{16h}{d} .$$

We deduce

Lemma 2. *Suppose that μ is a positive measure in the plane vanishing outside a compact set*[1]), *and such that the measure n of the whole plane satisfies* $0 < n < \infty$. *Then we have*

$$\int \log |z - \zeta| \, d\mu e_\zeta \geqslant n \log \varrho$$

outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most 32ϱ.

Suppose that $\mu[|\zeta| > R] = 0$. In this case we have for $|z| > R + \varrho$

$$\int \log |z - \zeta| \, d\mu e_\zeta \geqslant \int \log (|z| - R) d\mu e_\zeta = n \log (|z| - R) \geqslant n \log \varrho .$$

Thus we may confine ourselves to points in the circle $|z| < R + \varrho$. In Lemma 1 choose $h = 4(R + \varrho)$. Then we have for $|z| < \frac{1}{4}h$ and z lying outside the set S of circles, the sum of whose radii is at most d

$$\int_{|z-\zeta|<\frac{1}{2}h} \left\{\log \frac{h}{2} + \log \frac{1}{|z-\zeta|}\right\} d\mu e_\zeta < n \log \frac{16h}{d} ,$$

---

[1]) This condition is not essential but simplifies the proof.

provided $d < \frac{1}{2}h$. The result holds also if $d \geqslant \frac{1}{2}h$ since we can choose for S the single circle $|z| < \frac{1}{2}h$. Since the circle $|z - \zeta| < \frac{1}{2}h$ includes the circle $|\zeta| < R$, the integral on the left-hand side may be taken over the whole plane. We deduce

$$\int \log\left|\frac{1}{z-\zeta}\right| d\mu e_\zeta \leqslant n \log \frac{32}{d}$$

for $|z| < R + \varrho$, outside the set of circles S the sum of whose radii is at most d, and setting $d = 32\varrho$ Lemma 2 follows.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that μ is a positive measure in the plane such that the measure of the whole plane outside the origin is n, where $0 < n < \infty$. Suppose also that $K \geqslant 7$. Then we have*

$$I_2(z) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} d\mu e_\zeta > -nK$$

when $z \neq 0$ and z lies outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set S of angular extent at most $4000e^{-K}$.

Set $R_\nu = 2^\nu$, $\nu = -\infty$ to ∞ and let $\mu_\nu = \mu[\zeta \mid R_{\nu-1} < |\zeta| \leqslant R_{\nu+2}]$.

Then $\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_\nu = 3n$. Also we have by Lemma 2 for $R_\nu \leqslant |z| \leqslant R_{\nu+1}$

$$\int_{R_{\nu-1}<|\zeta|<R_{\nu+2}} \log|\zeta - z| \, d\mu e_\zeta \geqslant \mu_\nu \log \varrho_\nu$$

outside a set S_ν of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $32\varrho_\nu$. We assume $32\varrho_\nu < \frac{1}{4}R_\nu$. In this case each circle either lies entirely in $|z| < R_\nu$, in which case we ignore it, or in $|z| > \frac{1}{2}R_\nu$, in which case if h is its radius, the angle it subtends at the origin is at most $2\sin^{-1}\frac{2h}{R_\nu} < \frac{2\pi h}{R_\nu}$. Hence the extent of all the circles of S_ν which meet the range $R_\nu \leqslant |z| \leqslant R_{\nu+1}$ is at most $\theta_\nu = \frac{64\pi\varrho_\nu}{R_\nu}$ provided $\varrho_\nu < \frac{R_\nu}{128}$. Since also $|z| + |\zeta| < 6R_\nu$ in the range we have outside these circles

$$\int_{R_{\nu-1}\leqslant|\zeta|\leqslant R_{\nu+2}} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} d\mu e_\zeta > \mu_\nu\left[\log \varrho_\nu + \log \frac{1}{6R_\nu}\right].$$

Hence à fortiori

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} d\mu e_\zeta > \mu_\nu \log \frac{\varrho_\nu}{6R_\nu} = -nK$$

say. We have supposed $\varrho_\nu < \frac{R_\nu}{128}$, which is certainly satisfied if $K > \log 768 = 6.64$, since $\mu_\nu \leqslant n$. In this case

$$\theta_\nu = 64\pi \frac{\varrho_\nu}{R_\nu} = 384\pi \exp\left(-\frac{nK}{\mu_\nu}\right) \leqslant 384\pi \frac{\mu_\nu}{n} e^{-K},$$

since for $x \geqslant 1$, and $y \geqslant 1$, $e^{-xy} \leqslant \frac{1}{y} e^{-x}$. Thus we have in the whole plane

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} d\mu e_\zeta > -nK$$

outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set of extent at most

$$\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta_\nu < 3.384\pi e^{-K} < 4000 e^{-K}.$$

This proves Lemma 3.

5. Proof of Theorem 2. We can now prove our results. We start with the simpler Theorem 2. Suppose then that n is finite in (2.6) and that $n(t) > n - \frac{1}{p^2}$ for $r > r_p$. Then it follows from Lemma 3 that for $p \geqslant 7$ and $|z| > 2r_p$, we have

$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} d\mu e_\zeta > -\frac{1}{p} = -\frac{1}{p^2} \cdot p$$

outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set $\mathcal{E}_p$ of extent at most $4000 e^{-p}$. For in Lemma 3 we set $d\mu e_\zeta = 0$ for $|\zeta| \leqslant r_p$, and the total measure of the remainder of the plane is then at most p^{-2}. Thus we may take $n = p^{-2}$, $K = p$ in Lemma 3.

If $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{p=7}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_p$, then we have if z is outside $\mathcal{E}$ and $|z| > 2r_p$,

$$I_2 > -\frac{1}{p}.$$

In view of (2.10), (3.1) and (3.2) we deduce that

$$u(z) = B(r) + o(1) = N(r) + o(1)$$

as $z \to \infty$ outside $\mathcal{E}$, and this proves Theorem 2, since the extent of $\mathcal{E}$ is at most

$$\sum_{p=7}^{\infty} 4000 e^{-p} = \frac{4000 e^{-6}}{e - 1}.$$

6. Proof of Theorem 1. In view of Theorem 2, we may assume without loss of generality that $n(r) \to \infty$, as $r \to \infty$.

Let r_p be the upper bound of all numbers t such that $n(t) < p$. Then r_p is nondecreasing with increasing p and $r_p \to \infty$ as $p \to \infty$. In Lemma 3 take for $d\mu$ the mass distribution $d\mu e_\zeta$ of (2.1) for $|\zeta| < 2r_{p+1}^2$, and set $d\mu = 0$ otherwise. By (2.5), the total measure of the plane is then at most

$$4C \log (2r_{p+1}^2) = 8C \log r_{p+1} + O(1)$$

when p is large. Hence it follows from Lemma 3 that for large p, we have for $|z| < r_{p+1}^2$,

$$I_2(z) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} \, d\mu e_\zeta > -8C\sqrt{p} \log r_{p+1} \tag{6.1}$$

outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set of extent $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}}$.

We now distinguish two cases

(i) *Suppose that* $r_{p+1} < 2r_p^2$.

In this case we have for $r_p^2 \leqslant r < r_{p+1}^2$,

$$N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \geqslant \int_{r_p}^{r_p^2} \frac{n(t)\,dt}{t} \geqslant p \log r_p \geqslant p \log\left(\frac{r_{p+1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geqslant \frac{p}{2}[\log r_{p+1} + O(1)] .$$

Thus in this case we have for $r_p^2 \leqslant |z| < r_{p+1}^2$, when p is large,

$$I_2(z) > -\frac{17C}{\sqrt{p}} N(|z|) , \tag{6.2}$$

outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set of extent at most $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}}$.

(ii) *Suppose next that* $r_{p+1} \geqslant 2r_p^2$.

Then

$$\mu\{\zeta \mid \tfrac{1}{2}r_p^2 < |\zeta| < r_{p+1}\} \leqslant 1 ,$$

if $\frac{1}{2}r_p^2 > r_p$, i.e. $r_p > 2$ and so by Lemma 3 we have

$$I_2(z) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}|z|<|\zeta|<2|z|} \log \frac{|\zeta - z|}{|\zeta| + |z|} \, d\mu e_\zeta > -\sqrt{p} , \tag{6.3}$$

for $r_p^2 \leqslant |z| < \frac{1}{2}r_{p+1}$, outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set of extent at most $4000e^{-\sqrt{p}}$. Also in this range

$$N(|z|) \geqslant \int_{r_p}^{r_p^2} \frac{n(t)\,dt}{t} \geqslant p(\log r_p) .$$

Thus (6.3) implies

$$I_2(z) \geqslant -\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}\log r_p} N(|z|) . \tag{6.4}$$

Also for $\frac{1}{2}r_{p+1} \leqslant |z| < r_{p+1}^2$, we have

$$N(r) \geqslant \int_{r_p}^{\frac{1}{2}r_{p+1}} n(t) \frac{dt}{t} \geqslant p \log \frac{r_{p+1}}{2r_p} \geqslant p \log\left(\frac{r_{p+1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{p}{2}\{\log r_{p+1} + O(1)\} .$$

Hence in view of (6.1) we deduce that for large p and $\frac{1}{2}r_{p+1} \leqslant |z| < r_{p+1}^2$

we have

$$I_2(z) > \frac{-17C}{\sqrt{p}} N(|z|)$$

outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set of extent at most $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}}$. In view of (6.2) and (6.4) we see that in all cases we have for $p > p_0$ and $r_p^2 \leqslant |z| < r_{p+1}^2$

$$I_2(z) > -\frac{17C}{\sqrt{p}} N(|z|)$$

provided z lies outside an $\mathcal{E}$-set $\mathcal{E}_p$ of extent at most $2e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{p=p_0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_p$. then the extent of $\mathcal{E}$ is finite and as $z \to \infty$ outside $\mathcal{E}$

$$I_2(z) = o\{N(|z|)\} = o\{B(|z|)\}$$

in view of (2.9). Using (2.8), (3.1) and (3.3) we deduce Theorem 1.

I am greatly indebted to Professor PIRANIAN for letting me see the M. S. of his paper and to Dr. ERDÖS for suggesting the problem to me.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] W. K. HAYMAN, *The minimum modulus of large integral functions, Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 2 (1952), 469–512.

[2] M. H. HEINS, *Entire functions with bounded minimum modulus; subharmonic function analogues. Ann. of Math.* (2) 49 (1948), 200–213.

[3] G. PIRANIAN, *An entire function of restricted growth. Comment Math. Helv.* 33/4.

[4] G. VALIRON, *Lectures on the general theory of integral functions* (Chelsea, 1949).

(Received September 10, 1959)