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# Algebras of cohomologically finite dimension 

by Samuel Eilenberg ${ }^{1}$ )<br>Dedicated to Heinz Hopf on his 60 th anniversary

## 1. Introduction and results

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra over a field $K$ with $(\Lambda: K)<\infty$. The dimension of $\Lambda$ (in the cohomology sense) is defined as the highest integer $n$ for which the cohomology group $H^{n}(\Lambda, A)$ is non zero for some two-sided $\Lambda$-module $A$. If no such integer exists, then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\infty$. Algebras of dimension zero are known to be those which are separable. A very interesting characterization of algebras of dimension $n$ has been given recently by Ikeda, Nagao and Nakayama [4]. The purpose of this paper is to give a new treatment of the results of [4] within the framework of the Cartan-Eilenberg theory [1]. There result considerable simplifications of the proofs, and partly also a sharpening of the results. Relative cohomology and other ad hoc constructions used in [4] are eliminated.

Let $A$ be a left $\Lambda$-module. The dimension of $A$ (notation: $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{A} A$ ) is defined as the least integer $n$ for which there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow X_{n} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow X_{0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0
$$

where the left $\Lambda$-modules $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are projective. If no such sequence exists for any $n$, then $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{A} A=\infty$. The left global dimension of $\Lambda$ is

$$
\text { l. gl. } \operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\sup 1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} A
$$

for all left $\Lambda$-modules $A$. Using the functors Ext, the condition l. $\operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} A \leqq n$ is equivalent with $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n+1}(A, C)=0$ for all left $\Lambda$-modules $C$, while the condition $\operatorname{l} . \operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq n$ is equivalent with $\mathrm{Ext}_{A}^{n+1}=0$.

As for two-sided $\Lambda$-modules $A$, the standard procedure will be to convert them into left modules over the algebra $\Lambda^{e}=\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*}$ where $\Lambda^{*}$ is the algebra opposite to $\Lambda$ and where $\otimes$ stands for the tensor product over $K$. Then (by definition)

[^0]$$
H^{n}(\Lambda, A)=\operatorname{Ext}_{A^{e}}^{n}(\Lambda, A)
$$
so that
$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda^{e}} \Lambda
$$

We shall denote by $N$ the radical of $\Lambda$ and write $\Gamma=\Lambda / N$.
With these preliminaries, the main results may be stated.
Theorem I. $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=1$. gl. $\operatorname{dim} \Omega=1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} \Gamma$, where $\Omega=\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}$.
Theorem II. If $\Gamma$ is separable, then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=1 . \operatorname{dim}_{A} \Gamma$.
Theorem III. If $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<\infty$ then $\Gamma$ is separable.
Note that if $K$ has characteristic zero or is algebraically closed, then $\Gamma$, being semi-simple, always is separable. Further, the separability of $\Gamma$ is equivalent with $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=0$ and also is equivalent with the semisimplicity of $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ (see [1], Ch. IX, prop. 7.9 and 7.10).

An immediate consequence of Theorem III is the following result of Hochschild ([3], p. 946):

Corollary 1. If $\Lambda$ is semi-simple but inseparable then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\infty$. Combining Theorems II and III yields:

Corollary 2. In order that $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=n(n<\infty)$ it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions hold:
(1) $\Gamma$ is separable.
(2) $1 \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma=n$.

If $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma>0$ then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0$ implies ([1], Ch. VI, prop. 2.3) that

$$
\text { 1. } \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma=1+1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} N .
$$

Thus for $n>0$, condition (2) may be replaced by

$$
\text { 1. } \operatorname{dim} N=n-1 .
$$

The characterization given by Ikeda-Nagao-Nakayama in [4] (for $n>0$ ) utilizes conditions ( 1 ) and ( $2^{\prime}$ ), except that condition ( $2^{\prime}$ ) is stated in a more explicit but also more involved form, which however is equivalent. For a proof of this equivalence we refer the reader to Eilenberg-Ikeda-Nakayama [2] where several questions related to this paper are discussed.

Sections 2 and 3 contain a sequence of propositions leading to proofs of Theorem I and II. The technique of proofs fully conforms to the system developed in [1]. It should be noted that the results of sections 2 and 3 are obtained under weaker hypotheses than stated above. Indeed, the assumption that $(\Lambda: K)<\infty$ is dropped and $K$ need not be a field.

This added generality is abandoned in sections 4, 5 and 6 devoted to the proof of Theorem III.

## 2. Preliminaries

We shall consider algebras $\Lambda$ over a commutative ring $K$. We shall have the oppurtunity to discuss $K$-modules, left $\Lambda$-modules, right $\Lambda$-modules and two-sided $\Lambda$-modules. It will always be assumed that $K$ and $\Lambda$ have unit elements, and that these unit elements act as the identity on all modules.

For each left ideal $l$ in $\Lambda$ we set $l^{0}=\Lambda$ and $l^{n}=l l^{n-1}$ for $n>0$. We say that $l$ is nilpotent if $l^{k}=0$ for some integer $k$.

Proposition 3. Let $A$ be a left $\Lambda$-module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(A, C)=0$ for each left $\Lambda$-module $C$ with $l C=0$. If $l$ is nilpotent, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(A, C)$ $=0$ for all left $\Lambda$-modules $C$, i. e. $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{A} A<n$.

Proof. For each integer $i>0$ consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow l^{i+1} C \rightarrow l^{i} C \rightarrow l^{i} C / l^{i+1} C \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $l\left(l^{i} C / l^{i+1} C\right)=0$ it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}\left(A, l^{i} C / l^{i+1} C\right)=0
$$

and therefore the homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}\left(A, l^{i+1} C\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{n}\left(A, l^{i} C\right)
$$

induced by inclusion $l^{i+1} C \subset l^{i} C$, is an epimorphism. Since $l^{0} C=C$ and $l^{k} C=0$ for $k$ sufficiently large, it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n}(A, C)=0$.

In the sequel we shall use the following proposition established in [1] (Ch. IX, prop. 4.3).

Proposition 4. Let $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ be $K$-algebras where $K$ is a commutative ring. If $\Lambda$ is $K$-projective and $\Gamma$ is semi-simple then we have the natural isomorphism

$$
H^{n}\left(\Lambda, \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(B, C)\right) \approx \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}}(B, C)
$$

for any left $\Lambda$ - and right $\Gamma$-modules $B$ and $C$.
We shall need some corollaries (also derived in [1], Ch. IX, § 7). First taking $\Gamma=K$ we obtain

Corollary 5. If $\Lambda$ is a $K$-algebra with $K$ semi-simple then

$$
\text { l. gl. } \operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Lambda
$$

Taking $\Gamma=\Lambda$ and noting the inequality

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq \operatorname{gl} \cdot \operatorname{dim} \Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*}
$$

we obtain

Corollary 6. If the $K$-algebra $\Lambda$ is $K$-projective and semi-simple then

$$
\text { gl. } \operatorname{dim} \Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*}=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda .
$$

Finally combining these two corollaries we obtain
Corollary 7. If $\Lambda$ is a $K$-algebra with $K$ semi-simple, then $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=0$ if and only if $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*}$ is semi-simple.

## 3. Proofs of Theorems I and II

In this section we shall consider an algebra $\Lambda$ over a commutative ring $K$. In $\Lambda$ a two sided ideal $l$ will be given with $\Gamma=\Lambda / l$. Every left $\Gamma$-module $A$ will be regarded also as a left $\Lambda$-module with $l A=0$. Similarly for right and two-sided modules.

Proposition 8. If $\Lambda$ is $K$-projective and $\Gamma$ is semi-simple then

$$
H^{n}(\Lambda, C) \approx \operatorname{Ext}_{A \otimes \Gamma^{*}}^{n}(\Gamma, C)
$$

for every left $\Lambda$ - and right $\Gamma$-module $C$.
This follows directly from Proposition 4 by taking $B=\Gamma$ and observing that $C$ is isomorphic with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma, C)$ as a left $\Lambda$ - and right $\Gamma$-module.

Proposition 9. If $\Lambda$ is $K$-projective and $\Gamma$ is semi-simple then

$$
\text { 1. } \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} \Gamma \leqq 1 . \operatorname{gl} . \operatorname{dim} \Omega \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Lambda, \quad \Omega=\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}
$$

If further $l$ is nilpotent, then equalities hold.
Proof. The first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4. To prove the second half assume $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} \Gamma<n$. Then, by Proposition 8, $H^{n}(\Lambda, C)=0$ for each $\Omega$-module $C$. Since the sequence

$$
\Lambda \otimes l^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact and $l$ is nilpotent, it follows that the kernel of the mapping $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}=\Omega$ is nilpotent. Thus Proposition 3 implies that $H^{n}(\Lambda, C)=0$ for all two sided $\Lambda$-modules $C$, i. e. $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<n$.

Theorem I is an immediate consequence of Proposition 9.
Proposition 10. If $\Gamma$ is $K$-projective and $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ is semi-simple, then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A \otimes \Gamma^{*}}^{n}(B, C) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{A}(\Gamma, B), C\right)
$$

for any left $\Lambda$ - and right $\Gamma$-module $B$ and any two-sided $\Gamma$-module $C$.

Proof. We first note the abvious natural isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}}(B, C) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{\Lambda} B, C\right)
$$

Now let $X$ be a $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}$-projective resolution of $B$. Replacing $B$ by $X$ and passing to homology we obtain

$$
H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}}(X, C)\right) \approx H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{A} B, C\right)\right)
$$

The left hand side is $\operatorname{Ext}_{A \otimes \Gamma^{*}}^{n}(B, C)$. To calculate the right hand side we first observe that since $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ is semi-simple, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}$ is exact. Therefore

$$
H^{n}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{\Lambda} B, C\right)\right) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(H_{n}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{\Lambda} B\right), C\right)
$$

Further, since $\Gamma$ is $K$-projective, $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ is $\Lambda$-projective. Consequently $X$ is also a $\Lambda$-projective resolution of $B$ and thus $H_{n}\left(\Gamma \otimes_{A} B\right)=$ $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{A}(\Gamma, B)$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 11. If $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are $K$-projective and $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ are semi-simple then

$$
H^{n}(\Lambda, C) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{\Lambda}(\Gamma, \Gamma), C\right)
$$

for every two sided $\Gamma$-module $C$.
This follows directly from Proposition 8 and Proposition 10 with $B=\Gamma$.

Let $\gamma$ denote the smallest integer $n$ such that $\operatorname{Tor}_{n+1}^{4}(\Gamma, \Gamma)=0$. If no such integer exists then $\gamma=\infty$.

Proposition 12. If $K$ and $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ are semi-simple and $l$ is nilpotent then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma=\gamma
$$

Proof. The inequality

$$
\gamma \leqq 1 \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma
$$

holds without any assumptions. Since $K$ is semi-simple, Corollary 5 implies

$$
\text { 1. } \operatorname{dim}_{\Lambda} \Gamma \leqq \operatorname{dim} \Lambda
$$

To prove the inequality

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda \leqq \gamma
$$

assume $\gamma<\infty$ and set $n=\gamma+1$; then $\operatorname{Tor}_{n}^{\Lambda}(\Gamma, \Gamma)=0$. Next observe that the semi-simplicity of $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=0$ and therefore, by Corollary 5 , implies the semi-simplicity of $\Gamma$. Thus the
conditions of Proposition 11 are satisfied and we have $H^{n}(\Lambda, C)=0$ for all two sided $\Gamma$-modules $C$. Now we utilize the exact sequence

$$
l \otimes \Lambda^{*}+\Lambda \otimes l^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $l$ is nilpotent, it follows that the kernel of $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{*} \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Gamma^{*}$ is nilpotent. Thus Proposition 3 implies that $H^{n}(\Lambda, C)=0$ for all two sided $\Lambda$-modules $C$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<n$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem II is an immediate consequence of Proposition 12.

## 4. Idempotents

From now on we assume that $\Lambda$ is an algebra over a field $K$ with ( $\Lambda: K)<\infty$. We denote by $N$ the radical of $\Lambda$, set $\Gamma=\Lambda / N$, and denote by $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ the natural factorization homomorphism. All $\Lambda$-modules will be assumed to be finitely generated.

We shall be concerned with primitive idempotents in. $\Lambda$. For any two such primitive idempotents $e$ and $f$ the following four conditions are equivalent:
(1.1) the left $\Lambda$-modules $\Lambda e$ and $\Lambda f$ are isomorphic,
(r.1) the right $\Lambda$-modules $e \Lambda$ and $f \Lambda$ are isomorphic,
(1.2) the left $\Gamma$-modules $\Gamma(\varphi e)$ and $\Gamma(p f)$ are isomorphic,
(r.2) the right $\Gamma$-modules $(\varphi e) \Gamma$ and $(\varphi f) \Gamma$ are isomorphic.

For the equivalence (1.1) $\Leftrightarrow(1.2)$ see Artin-Nesbitt-Thrall, Rings with Minimum Conditions, p.99. Analogously (r.1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (r.2). There remains the equivalence (r. 1$) \Leftrightarrow($ r. 2), or what amounts to the same, the equivalence $(1.1) \Leftrightarrow(1.2)$ for $\Lambda$ semi-simple. In this case either (1.1) or (1.2) signify that $e$ and $f$ are in the same simple component of $\Lambda$.

The primitive idempotents $e$ and $f$ in $\Lambda$ are said to be isomorphic if either of the four conditions listed above is satisfied. A set consisting of one idempotent out of each isomorphism class will be called a maximal set (abbreviated for "maximal set of non-isomorphic primitive idempotents').

A decomposition of unity is a sequence $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of mutally orthogonal primitive idempotents such that $e_{1}+\cdots+e_{n}=1$.

Proposition 13. Each decomposition of unity $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ contains a maximal set.

Proposition 14. If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is a maximal set then each projective left $\Lambda$-module is a direct sum of modules isomorphic with $\Lambda e_{i}$.

We prove both propositions jointly. First let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a decom-
position of unity. Then $\Lambda=\Lambda e_{1}+\cdots+\Lambda e_{n}$ is a representation of $\Lambda$ as a direct sum of indecomposable left $\Lambda$-modules. Consequently every free $\Lambda$-module $F$ is a direct sum of modules isomorphic with $\Lambda e_{i}$. From the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem it then follows the same for each direct summand of $F$, i. e. for each projective left $\Lambda$-module.

In particular, for each primitive idempotent $f$, the left $\Lambda$-module $\Lambda f$ is indecomposable and therefore isomorphic with $\Lambda e_{i}$ for some $i=1, \ldots, n$. It follows that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ contains a maximal set. Further for this maximal set the conclusion of Proposition 14 holds. Therefore Proposition 14 holds in general.

Remark. Proposition 14 was established only for finitely generated projective left $\Lambda$-modules, and will be used in the sequel in this form only. However, the conclusion is valid for arbitrary projective left $\Lambda$-modules as was ingenieously proved by Nagao-Nakayama [5].

Proposition 15. The map $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ maps the set of primitive idempotents in $\Lambda$ onto the set of primitive idempotents of $\Gamma$ and establishes a $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{l}$ correspondence between the isomorphisms classes of primitive idempotents in $\Lambda$ and in $\Gamma$.

Proof. It is known that the image in $\Gamma$ of a primitive idempotent in $\Lambda$ is primitive and that each primitive idempotent in $\Gamma$ is the image of an idempotent in $\Lambda$, which must be primitive. The statement concerning isomorphism classes follows from the equivalence (1.1) $\Leftrightarrow(1.2)$.

Proposition 16. Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ be algebras such that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ are direct products of full matrix algebras over $K$. If $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{m}^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ are maximal sets in $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ respectively, then the set $\left\{e_{i}^{\prime} \otimes e_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, m, j=1, \ldots, n$, is a maximal set in the algebra $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \Lambda^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Consider the algebra $\Gamma=\Gamma^{\prime} \otimes \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ and the map $\varphi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ given by $\varphi=\varphi^{\prime} \otimes \varphi^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\Gamma$ is again a direct product of full matrix algebras and therefore is semi-simple. Since the kernel of $\varphi$ is nilpotent, it follows that $\Gamma$ may be regarded as the quotient of $\Lambda$ by its radical. It follows from Proposition 15 that we may restrict ourselves to the case $\Lambda^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma^{\prime \prime}, \Lambda=\Gamma$. By applying the direct product decompositions of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ we further reduce the proof to the case when $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are full matrix algebras. Maximal sets in $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are then given by single primitive idempotents $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$ which may be chosen to be matrices with one unit on the diagonal and zeros elsewhere. Then $\Lambda$ is again a full
matrix algebra and $e=e^{\prime} \otimes e^{\prime \prime}$ has a similar form. Thus $e$ is primitive and therefore is a maximal set for $\Lambda$.

## 5. Cartan matrices

Let $A$ be a left $\Lambda^{\prime}$ - and right $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$-module where $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are $K$-algebras. Given maximal sets $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{m}^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ in $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ respectively we consider the $m$ by $n$ matrix $M(A)$ of integers

$$
a_{i j}=\left(e_{i}^{\prime} A e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right)
$$

The isomorphism

$$
e_{i}^{\prime} A e_{j}^{\prime \prime} \approx e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\prime}} A \otimes_{\Lambda^{\prime \prime}} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}
$$

shows that the matrix does not change if the idempotents are replaced by isomorphic idempotents. Of course a change in the order of the idempotents $e_{i}^{\prime}$ (or $e_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ) interchanges the rows (or columns) of the matrix. To eliminate this ambiguity it is appropriate the regard the matrix $M(A)$ as indexed by the pairs of isomorphism classes of primitive idempotents.

In particular an algebra $\Lambda$ may be regarded as a two-sided $\Lambda$-module and this leads to the square matrix $M(\Lambda)$ called the Cartan matrix of $\Lambda$.

We have the following obvious proposition :
Proposition 1\%. If $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}$ is the direct product of algebras $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ then

$$
M(\Lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
M\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) & 0 \\
0 & M\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

If $\Lambda$ is a simple algebra, then all primitive idempotents are isomorphic and thus a maximal set consists of one element $e$. The matrix $M(\Lambda)$ has then order 1 (and indeed consists of the integer $(\Lambda: K) / n^{2}$ where $n$ is the length of a decomposition of unity in $\Lambda$ ). It is further well known that $(e \Lambda e: K)=1$ if and only if $\Lambda$ is a full matrix algebra over $K$. This yields

Proposition 18. If $\Lambda$ is semi-simple then $M(\Lambda)$ is diagonal. Further (assuming $\Lambda$ semi-simple) $M(\Lambda)$ is the unit matrix if and only if $\Lambda$ is isomorphic with the direct product of full matrix algebras over $K$.

Proposition 19. If $0 \rightarrow A^{\prime} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime}=0$ is an exact sequence of left $\Lambda^{\prime}$ - and right $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$-modules then $M(A) \rightarrow M\left(A^{\prime}\right)+M\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

This follows readily from the exactness of the sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow e^{\prime} A^{\prime} e^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow e^{\prime} A e^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow e^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime} e^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

for any idempotents $e^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime \prime}$.
Proposition 20. Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ be algebras such that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ are direct products of full matrix algebras. If $A$ is a left $\Lambda^{\prime}$ - and right $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ module such that

$$
\text { 1. } \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} A<\infty, \quad \Omega=\Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \Lambda^{\prime \prime *},
$$

then

$$
M(A)=M\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right) D^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime} M\left(\Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are integral matrices.
Proof. Let $1 . \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} A=n$ with $0<n<\infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \quad$ with $X \quad \Omega$-projective and l. $\operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} B=n-1$. By the preceding proposition

$$
M(A)=M(X)-M(B)
$$

Thus if the conclusion applies to $X$ and $B$ it also applies to $A$. This reduces the proof to the case $n=0$ i. e. to the case when $A$ is $\Omega$-projective.

Let $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{m}^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ be maximal sets in $\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$. Then, by Proposition 16, $\left\{e_{i}^{\prime} \otimes e_{j}^{\prime *}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, m, j=1, \ldots, n$, is a maximal set in $\Omega$, and therefore, by Proposition 14, $A$ is isomorphic with a direct sum of modules of the form $\Omega\left(e_{u}^{\prime} \otimes e_{v}^{\prime \prime *}\right)$. Thus we may assume that $A$ is one of these modules. Then

$$
A=\left(\Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \Lambda^{\prime \prime *}\right)\left(e_{u}^{\prime} \otimes e_{v}^{\prime \prime *}\right)=\Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime} \otimes \cdot \Lambda^{\prime \prime *} e_{v}^{\prime \prime *}
$$

or if we prefer to regard $A$ as a left $\Lambda^{\prime}$ - and right $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$-module

$$
A=\Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime} \otimes e_{v}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e_{i}^{\prime} A e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right) & =\left(e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime} \otimes e_{v}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right) \\
& =\left(e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime}: K\right)\left(e_{v}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right) \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} e_{k}^{\prime}: K\right) \delta_{k u}\left(e_{v}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right) \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime}: K\right) \delta_{v k}\left(e_{k}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M(A)=M\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right) D^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime} M\left(\Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are the ma-
trices of integers

$$
d_{i j}^{\prime}=\left(e_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime} e_{u}^{\prime}: K\right) \delta_{v j}, \quad d_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{i u}\left(e_{v}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda^{\prime \prime} e_{j}^{\prime \prime}: K\right)
$$

The proof is now complete.

## 6. Proof of Theorem III

Let $L$ be the algebraic closure of the field $K$. For a $K$-algebra $\Lambda$, we denote by $\Lambda_{L}$ the ring $\Lambda \otimes_{K} L$ regarded as an $L$-algebra; thus $\Lambda_{L}$ is the algebra obtained by extension of the ground field. It is known (see [1], Ch. IX, prop. 7.2) that $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{L}$. Theorem III now follows from the following two propositions

Proposition 21. If $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<\infty$ then $\operatorname{det} M\left(\Lambda_{L}\right)= \pm \mathbf{1}$.
Proposition 22. If $\operatorname{det} M\left(\Lambda_{L}\right)= \pm 1$ then $\Gamma=\Lambda / N$ is separable.
This last proposition was established by Ikeda, Nagao and Nakayama ([4], Lemma 6). No cohomology theory is involved in the proof which will not be reproduced here.

As for Proposition 21, since $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{L}$, we may assume that $K$ is algebraically closed. Then $\Gamma$ is a direct product of full matrix algebras. By Theorem I

$$
\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=1 \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{\Omega} \Gamma, \quad \Omega=\Lambda \otimes \Gamma^{*}
$$

Therefore, if $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda<\infty$ then by Proposition 20

$$
M(\Gamma)=M(\Lambda) D
$$

where $D$ is a matrix of integers. Here $M(\Gamma)$ is the matrix of $\Gamma$ regarded as a left $\Lambda$ - and right $\Gamma$-module. However, in view of Proposition 15, this matrix coincides with the Cartan matrix of $\Gamma$ and therefore is the unit matrix $I$. Thus $M(\Lambda) D=I$ and the proof is complete.
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