

**Zeitschrift:** Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad  
**Herausgeber:** Organisation of the Swiss Abroad  
**Band:** 27 (2000)  
**Heft:** 5

**Artikel:** 24 September 2000 : five noes  
**Autor:** Tschanz, Pierre-André  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-907661>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 31.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# Five Noes

*Voters reject all proposals.*

This signals the end of a project for an ecological tax reform, at least for the time being. The Swiss electorate proved equally unwilling to approve radical measures to reduce the foreign population and extend voting rights in the form of a constructive referendum.

The solar initiative was rejected by 68.1 percent of voters and all cantons, while 53.4 percent voted against the government's counter-proposal. Despite the failure of both these proposals, the majority vote turned in by four cantons and one half-canton in favour of a tax to promote renewable energies should not be ignored. Some of these cantons were also in favour of the environmental energy tax, though this ecological tax reform was rejected by the majority of cantons and over 55 percent of voters.

The results of the referendum on the popular initiative "for immigration control" leave no room for doubt: 63.7 percent of voters as well as all the cantons voted against the proposal to limit the foreign population



Hydroelectricity accounts for 60 percent of our electricity.

urban German-speaking cantons (ZH, BE, BS). Finally, the popular initiative for a constructive referendum was rejected by all cantons as well as almost two-thirds of voters. The turnout was 44 percent. PAT

## COMMENTARY

*It is time for Berne to rethink its environmental and energy policy mechanisms. The electorate proved neither in favour of renewable energies nor for an environmental tax. This is a setback for Swiss environmental policy.*

*However, the triple thumbs-down given by voters to the energy proposals does not mean that Berne has chosen the wrong path. It does not cast doubt on the strategic decisions made by the Federal Council and parliament – neither on the international obligations which Switzerland has entered into to reduce environmental pollution and the greenhouse effect, nor on the efforts to combat energy mismanagement. What voters did not want was energy taxes. Now the government must find new ways and means of achieving their energy and environmental goals. A new consensus is needed. This task is all the more difficult since the results of the vote on 24 September indicate no clear direction. Moreover, the massive rise in oil prices did little to warm Swiss voters to the new energy taxes – particularly since a reduction in fuel taxes is being called for and granted in other countries. This puts a different perspective on the electorate's rejection of incentive and environmental taxes, which nevertheless managed to win 46.6 and 44.6 percent of the vote respectively.*

*Voting habits need to be analysed in more detail in conjunction with the new procedure in cases where simultaneous voting is required on a popular initiative and a counter-proposal. The discrepancy between the results of the solar initiative and the government's counter-proposal leads one to suspect that not all voters were fully cognisant of their new decision options.*

Pierre-André Tschanz

## Results of the Federal Referendums

Canton	Solar initiative		Renewable energy		Energy incentive tax		Immigration control		Constructive referendum		Turnout in %
	YES%	NO%	YES%	NO%	YES%	NO%	YES%	NO%	YES%	NO%	
ZH	38.3	61.7	51.6	48.4	51.8	48.2	35.1	64.9	34.4	65.6	49.5
BE	34.0	66.0	50.2	49.8	49.0	51.0	36.8	63.2	32.7	67.3	44.0
LU	28.4	71.6	43.7	56.3	42.6	57.4	42.2	57.8	32.2	67.8	49.0
UR	26.3	73.7	39.8	60.2	35.6	64.4	39.7	60.3	29.6	70.4	41.6
SZ	23.3	76.7	37.9	62.1	36.8	63.2	48.4	51.6	32.1	67.9	46.4
OW	25.2	74.8	41.3	58.7	38.5	61.5	38.3	61.7	28.0	72.0	45.0
NW	24.5	75.5	37.5	62.5	34.8	65.2	40.5	59.5	34.2	65.8	47.7
GL	26.8	73.2	39.6	60.4	37.2	62.8	44.5	55.5	31.7	68.3	42.0
ZG	28.2	71.8	44.7	55.3	44.1	55.9	35.3	64.7	27.5	72.5	49.5
FR	20.9	79.1	40.8	59.2	34.2	65.8	30.8	69.2	32.5	67.5	46.9
SO	27.3	72.7	38.2	61.8	39.3	60.7	44.5	55.5	36.2	63.8	47.9
BS	42.4	57.6	56.0	44.0	57.0	43.0	36.4	63.6	37.5	62.5	52.3
BL	34.8	65.2	46.9	53.1	46.5	53.5	37.5	62.5	34.1	65.9	46.5
SH	31.4	68.6	38.6	61.4	39.9	60.1	37.0	63.0	33.8	66.2	63.4
AR	29.7	70.3	43.1	56.9	41.2	58.8	38.4	61.6	30.8	69.2	49.0
AI	24.8	76.2	38.7	61.3	35.1	64.9	40.3	59.7	23.9	76.1	38.5
SG	28.5	71.5	45.7	54.3	44.9	55.1	41.4	58.6	32.5	67.5	46.6
GR	36.9	63.1	56.4	43.6	54.7	45.3	31.1	68.9	33.4	66.6	36.5
AG	25.3	74.7	39.2	60.8	38.8	61.2	47.5	52.5	33.2	66.8	41.9
TG	28.0	72.0	40.6	59.4	41.9	58.1	44.1	55.9	31.2	68.8	43.7
TI	30.8	69.2	45.9	54.1	42.9	57.1	40.6	59.4	42.6	57.4	35.7
VD	30.2	69.8	46.6	53.4	38.7	61.3	24.3	75.7	35.4	64.6	38.9
VS	27.4	72.6	43.1	56.9	34.1	65.9	27.6	72.4	30.9	69.1	36.1
NE	30.5	69.5	40.7	59.3	34.1	65.9	25.6	74.4	41.1	58.9	41.3
GE	35.9	64.1	52.6	47.4	44.4	55.6	23.9	76.1	40.8	59.2	50.0
JU	26.6	73.4	41.1	58.9	34.6	65.4	25.6	74.4	35.7	64.3	38.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>31.9</b>	<b>68.1</b>	<b>46.6</b>	<b>53.4</b>	<b>44.6</b>	<b>55.4</b>	<b>36.3</b>	<b>63.7</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>65.8</b>	<b>44.3</b>