

Zeitschrift: Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad
Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad
Band: 27 (2000)
Heft: 2

Artikel: 12 March 2000 : one Yes, four Noes
Autor: Tschanz, Pierre-André
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-907634>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 22.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

One Yes, four Noes



Photo: Markus Senn

Now that judicial reform has been approved, the Federal Court is able to concentrate on its core tasks.

Once more the Swiss electorate unconditionally followed the recommendations of the Federal Council and parliamentary majority. The turnout was approximately 42%.


JUDICIAL REFORM, the second package of constitutional reform measures, was overwhelmingly accepted by all cantons and more than 80% of the electorate. In the cantons of Geneva (92.3%), Basle City (91.7%)

and Ticino (90.1%) the Yes's even exceeded 90%, while voters in Obwalden (69.2%) and the Valais (70.7%) were slightly less enthusiastic about the reforms.

The initiative "for an acceleration of direct democracy" was defeated by all cantons as well as 69.8% of the voters. The rejection was most marked in Geneva (25%) and the Jura (25.2%). With 38.9% in favour, the Ticino delivered by far the highest number of Yes votes.

Only 18.2% voted in favour of the initiative "for equal representation for women in the Federal authorities", one of the lowest ever registered number of Yes's. Here, too, the cantons' rejection was unanimous. The clearest thumbs-down was given by the canton of Appenzell Ausserrhoden (92.9%), while the canton of Geneva's rejection (68.9%) was the mildest.

The initiative "for dignified reproduction" was rejected in all cantons and defeated by a margin of over 70%. The initiative found most favour in Basle City (36.2% Yes) and St. Gallen (35%), but it was overwhelmingly rejected in western Switzerland (especially in Vaud with only 15.2% of the vote and in Geneva with 15.3%).

Finally, only 20% of the vote was cast in favour of the "traffic halving initiative". The clearest Noes came from voters in the Jura (14%) and Schwyz (14.2%), while Basle City achieved the best result with 33.9%. PAT 

COMMENTARY

Clear and unequivocal, with the anticipated fivefold government endorsement – the results of the federal referendums on 12 March can be reduced to this formula. Once more the electorate followed the recommendations of Federal Council and parliament.

The electorate gave the second part of the constitutional reform, governing judicial reform, its almost wholehearted blessing, voting in its favour by an overwhelming 86%. Nevertheless it is important to remember that parliament spent a great deal of effort in removing from the proposal anything that could have incited controversy – even at the risk of undermining the original objective of this reform, to relieve the High Court of some of its workload.

The four popular initiatives put to the vote on 12 March were all regarded as too extreme and rejected by all cantons by margins of 70% to 82%.

Despite this resounding success, the government would be well advised not to feel too smug about its victory; because the next few referendums – particularly the one on 21 May concerning the bilateral agreements with the European Union – are of major importance. Switzerland cannot afford another European flop. However, there appears to be little danger of over-confidence, since the electorate's rejection of membership of the European Economic Area is still all too fresh in the minds of most politicians.

While the results of Sunday's voting are music to the government's ears, to the instigators of these popular initiatives they are a painful cacophony. The people's verdict must give them pause for thought since, leaving aside the Yes's from dissatisfied voters, the initiators were only able to win over a small, diminishing segment of the electorate to their cause.

Pierre-André Tschanz

Results of the federal referendums

Judicial reform

YES 86.4% NO 13.6%

Acceleration of initiative process

YES 30.2% NO 69.8%

Women's quota

YES 18.2% NO 81.8%

Reproductive technology

YES 28.4% NO 71.6%

Halving of traffic

YES 21.5% NO 78.5%

Turnout: 42%