

Zeitschrift: Swiss review : the magazine for the Swiss abroad

Herausgeber: Organisation of the Swiss Abroad

Band: 21 (1994)

Heft: 6

Artikel: Federal referendums of December 4, 1994 : yes to the sickness insurance law and the compulsory measures

Autor: Rusconi, Giuseppe

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-906797>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 22.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Federal referendums of December 4, 1994

Yes to the sickness insurance law and the compulsory measures

With a respectable participation of 43%, Swiss voters supported government policy three times – which is not always the case. 51.8% said Yes to the new law on sickness insurance; 76.5% said No to the sickness insurance initiative; and the compulsory measures in the legislation on foreign nationals were approved by 72.8%.

The main factor of uncertainty was the outcome of the first of these votes, and this lasted until the end. But it finally became possible to amend the 1911 law. In spite of many positive points, the last few years have brought to light a number of social problems caused by the old law stemming mainly from the explosive rise in health costs.

Commentary

It may reasonably be said that on December 4 Swiss voters made the right decisions. A few remarks.

First: A large majority of those who voted – nearly four-fifths – were against dropping the existing sickness insurance system of premium payments in favour of a «European» one based on wage deductions. The latter was the aim of the initiative put forward by the Social Democrats and the trade unions. Opposition to the proposal was founded on the massive state intervention required, the fear that nationalised medicine would lead to lower quality and the restricted choice which might result. The probable negative consequences of the initiative for an economy now slowly emerging from recession was another factor.

Second: Even so, however, a majority of voters – though slight – were in favour of making a few changes to the sickness insurance system to ensure social justice. This is the aim of the new law, which introduces an obligation to charge the same premiums for all, old and young, men and women. It also extends the benefits provided by basic insurance

were generally against. Canton Jura was at the head of the list of those in favour (with 83.8% Yes), followed by Neuchâtel, Geneva and Ticino; the lowest Yes vote came from Appenzell Inner Rhodes (21.3%), with Thurgau, Upper Unterwalden and Schwyz only slightly higher. The cantons in the Zurich area also voted No, in contrast to the Berne and Basle areas.

The Social Democrat and trade union initiative found a majority in no canton, however. It had some success in Canton Jura (with 41.7% Yes) and – to a lesser extent – in Geneva (37.9%) and Neu-

Advantages for returning Swiss Abroad

The premium equalisation contained in the revised law on sickness insurance will work favourably for older Swiss Abroad who wish to return to Switzerland and are not members of a Swiss sickness fund. Expect more on this in the next issue of Swiss Review.



châtel (34.6%). Its worst defeat was in Appenzell Inner Rhodes (with only 8.2% Yes) and in other half cantons of central Switzerland. It was also decisively rejected in Aargau, St. Gall, Lucerne and Zurich (all with No votes above 80%).

The result on the compulsory measures in the legislation on foreign nationals was eagerly awaited. The main points of interest here were the size of the Yes vote and whether any cantons would say No. The bill was in fact accepted by an overwhelming majority in all cantons. Zurich – the home of Letten – gave an 80.6% Yes vote. Even in Geneva – where the government, almost all parties, and many different organisations had recommended a No vote for legal reasons – the bill was approved by 52.3% of voters. With the exception of Canton Jura (with 56.6% Yes), the rest of the French-speaking cantons gave Yes votes of over 60%. Ticino agreed with a 72% Yes.

It is therefore undisputable that the compulsory measures meet with approval throughout the country – and not just in Zurich. The majority of voters have had quite enough of misdeeds going unpunished in recent years – particularly in drug dealing.

RUS

Drug clampdown at Zurich's Letten station. The new compulsory measures in the legislation on foreign nationals should allow the authorities to tackle foreign drug dealers with greater efficiency. (Photo: Keystone)

Press review

Referendum result

Federal law on sickness insurance

YES 1,020,763 (51.8%)
NO 950,164 (48.2%)

Popular initiative "for sound sickness insurance"

YES 460,834 (23.5%)
NO 1,502,483 (76.5%)
All cantons

Federal law on compulsory measures in the legislation on foreign nationals

YES 1,433,162 (72.9%)
NO 534,588 (27.1%)

Voter participation: 43%

Giornale del Popolo

It was clear to all that the Swiss health system needed a powerful solidarity injection. (...) The special interest distorting lens of the doctors' lobby, a group of sickness funds, and some cantonal governments was not sufficient to mask the reality from voters.

TRIBUNE DE GENÈVE

The voter Yes of this weekend is a call to maintain high-quality medicine for everyone, and it shows that the majority of Swiss are ready to pay the price. The result is the more encouraging in that the solidarity expressed by the electors is also nationwide. (...) On the other hand, the decisive rejection of the socialist and trade union initiative is explained mainly by fear of endangering an economic recovery which is still fragile.

JOURNAL de GENÈVE

The tragic thing about the tidal wave in favour of the compulsory measures was that the ethical and moral arguments counted for almost nothing. All the cantons, all of them, approved. And this triumph smells strongly of xenophobia. (...) Sunday's massive Yes is a serious defeat for humanitarian principles.

Neue Zürcher Zeitung

The fact that the bill (compulsory measures) received above-average support may be attributed not least to those groups which turned the referendum into a matter of principle. The impact of activists who twist political priorities and are hardly involved at all in consultative democracy seems to be growing. (...) Up to a certain point direct democracy can live with this, but the "cost" should not be ignored.

EXPRESS

There is nothing to justify the reproach of xenophobia which is sure to be levelled at the majority of voters: on the contrary, with a law which will prevent the right of asylum from being constantly turned into an ass the dangerous confusion between real refugees and those who break or circumvent the law will be reduced. So beyond the apparent paradox we may say that with this clarification Switzerland's humanitarian tradition will be anchored more firmly in the future.

Der Bund

Many voters obviously understood that the law in force has had its day; they are now ready to try something new. The horror scenario painted by opponents in the referendum campaign did not catch on with the majority. And voters could not help noticing that a section of those against had their own interests uppermost in their minds.