Zeitschrift: IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte

Band: 83 (1999)

Artikel: Computer-aided quality monitoring of bridge construction

Autor: Lunabba, Torsten

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-62940

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more


Download PDF: 09.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch



Computer-Aided Quality Monitoring of Bridge Construction

Torsten LUNABBA Licentiate of Technology Road Administration Finnra Helsinki, Finland



Torsten Lunabba, born 1946, received his civil engineering degree from Helsinki University of Technology 1972 and his Licentiate degree 1985. After working for a consultant and the Helsinki Public Works Office he has been with Finnra since 1990.

Summary

This paper describes the Computer-Aided Quality Assurance system used in Finland for bridge construction. An exhaustive list of Quality Requirements is recorded by the consultant in a data file, which is submitted to the construction site. The contractor makes his checks and documentation in the same data file.

1. Introduction

Site Control and Inspection by the client supervisors have gradually been replaced by the companies' self-control and by applying of Quality Assurance Systems. This has been a necessity, since a lot of the production takes place in subcontractor's workshops and their time schedule is so tight, that no client can provide enough supervisors to implement a comprehensive control.

2. Quality Requirements

According to contracting praxis in Finland, the client is not providing the contractor with any guidelines how to implement his works. Instead, the Quality Requirements are precisely and in detail defined particularly for the end result of different structural components. Most Quality Requirements to be applied are found in the General Quality Requirement for Bridge Construction handbooks published by the Finnish National Road Administration [1]. The consultant shall define Quality Requirements for each work step of a bridge project. This rather long list is created easily by computer using the database of the software, which include the most common Requirements as well as National Codes for different construction materials. Requirements for a new bridge project also may be copied from that general database or from a previous project.

The consultant does not need to produce any text such as guidelines, but instead he shall define the Requirements in charts, which are easy to use for Quality verifications. Typical and commonly used Requirements for a foundation pit are presented in Table 1. The digits 1, 2 or 3 indicate the type of the Requirement. The Requirement types are as follows:

Type 1. This bridge specific Requirement is set by the consultant. In this case the consultant has decided to have the water to be pumped from the pit so that the water level shall be 0..0.5 m below the bottom level. This is set to avoid hydraulic collapse in case the water is lowered too much. On other hand the pit shall also be dry, which is set by putting an upper bound on the water level.

Type 2. This is a standard Requirement, which is found in the General Quality Requirements of the Finnish National Road Administration and references thereto. Type 2 Requirements are in fact the dominating ones. The type 2 Requirements do not need to be printed out for Tendering and not necessary later either. The list of Requirements shall be sent to the site in a file on a diskette or by e-mail and after that handled only by the computer.



Type 3. This is a non-obligatory Requirement recommended by the consultant. The slope of the pit is here set to 45 degrees (1:1), but the contractor may also excavate in a strutted pit or apply any other acceptable working method.

7110 Excavation and blasting of the foundation pit 7111 Excavation without strutting

Code	Work Specification	Туре	Required		Upper	Unit
				limit	limit	
100	Level of ground water	1		-500	-100	mm
	measured from the bottom level					
200	Horizontal location of bottom	2		-300	50	mm
	measured from the theoretical					
	level					
300	Base levelness	2		0	150	mm
	measured from the lowest point					
400	Slope of the pit	3			45	degrees

Table 1. Quality Requirements for excavation without strutting.

3. Work Steps

The Quality Requirement items shall be defined for each Work Step, which describe the implementation of works and also constitute the structure for the Quality Requirement file and the Proof of Acceptability documentation. These are typically:

BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION (General features)

EXCAVATION AND BLASTING OF THE FOUNDATION PIT

EARTHWORKS, FILLING, PILING WORK

FOUNDATION

ABUTMENTS AND PIERS

SUPERSTRUCTURE

DECK SURFACE STRUCTURES

EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES

The Work Steps are divided into subdivisions, and those are for example for a prestressed superstructure as follows:

SUPERSTRUCTURES

Scaffolding and formwork

Reinforcing

Concrete casting

Prestressing

Finished product

4. Quality Assurance

The proof of acceptability shall also be done in a straightforward manner. A computer system is used to store and sort out such essential data such as deviations. The data file of Quality Requirements for a particular bridge gathered by the consultant is a natural base for site Quality Documentation. When all measurement and check data is logged into the data block of each individual Quality Requirement, acceptability is easily and sufficiently proved. This will also facilitate the systematic analysis of the entire work performance.

References

[1] General Quality Requirements for Bridge Construction SYL parts 1 – 7. Finnish National Road Administration, Helsinki 1996. 600 pp.