

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte  
**Band:** 82 (1999)  
  
**Artikel:** Design of structural monitoring systems  
**Autor:** Jensen, J. Laigaard / Pedersen, Lars  
**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-62170>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 06.02.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Design of Structural Monitoring Systems

**J. Laigaard JENSEN**

Dr. Eng..

COWI

Lyngby, Denmark

Jakob Laigaard, born 1961, received his civil engineering degree from the Aalborg University, Denmark in 1986. He has specialised in structural monitoring and structural dynamics.

**Lars PEDERSEN**

Civ. Eng.

COWI

Lyngby, Denmark

Lars Pedersen, born 1966, received his civil engineering degree from Aalborg University, in 1991. He has specialised in structural monitoring and instrumentation of large structures.

### Abstract

This paper reviews and discusses approaches and processes involved in the design of structural monitoring systems. Within the civil and offshore engineering industry, monitoring systems are used either as permanent or as ad hoc systems (testing) providing information with objectives of obtaining information maximising revenue with respect to design, construction, operation and maintenance, and repair of structures.

The design of such systems may simply be carried out based on a pragmatic basis resting on compromises within what may be called good engineering judgement, or the design decisions may be made on a more rigor basis applying rational cost-benefit analyses.

Through a discussion of principles and examples, this paper discusses these aspects of the design of structural monitoring systems. It is argued that there are very good reasons for forcing the design process into a more rigor framework based on rational decision approaches, well-known from experimental design in general.

### 1. Structural Monitoring Objectives

In reviewing the design of structural monitoring systems, it is important to focus on the fact that the product being sold is information. The information from structural monitoring systems may interact with decisions to be made with respect to design, construction, operation and maintenance of the structure and the paper discusses some of the main objectives in this respect.

The need for a structural monitoring system should be seen in the light that it is expected that the system will provide information which will have an impact on decisions to be made. This implies answering the questions:


- Should structural monitoring be carried out at all?
- What would the allowable monitoring costs be?
- Of a number of alternative structural monitoring alternatives which should be chosen for implementation?



Answering these questions may be carried out on a quite pragmatic basis or it may be attempted to go through a more rigor and rational decision process.

## 2. Design in a Pragmatic Framework

In practice, design of structural monitoring systems is quite frequently carried out on a fairly pragmatic basis leading to decisions based on compromises between interests involved. The interests involved are represented by a number of players who are engaged in the design decisions.



However all players points of views may not only rest on strict rational arguments with respect to economy and safety issues but also on less rational arguments which may be related to personal, political or secondary commercial interests.

In total, this complexity means that a pragmatic decision process may very well depend somewhat randomly on the power balance of interest involved and thus lead to less efficient structural monitoring systems where benefits are not balanced by the costs.

## 3. Design in a Rational Framework

To avoid ineffective structural monitoring systems, the best approach is to seek to put the decision process into a rational framework with the objective of identifying the expected benefits and costs of the structural monitoring items. In the rational approach, as outlined in the paper, a cost-benefit study is carried out based on a probabilistic assessment of the expected value of gathering information by a structural monitoring system compared to the expected costs of obtaining this information.

The decision maker is hereby forced to face and discuss the consequences and uncertainties associated with the decision process which will result in better and more efficient structural monitoring systems. It is therefore emphasised that this type of rational decision approach are going to become a more important and frequently used tool in the design of structural monitoring systems.

## 4. Structural Monitoring in Practice and Conclusion

A number of examples from Danish bridges suggest that rational aspects in structural monitoring can be identified, and there is a sound basis for maturing the design practice into a rational framework for design of structural monitoring systems. It is foreseen that in the future, design practice will mature and use rational methods for the design of structural monitoring system.