

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte
Band: 79 (1998)

Artikel: Very long span bridges: concepts, materials and methods
Autor: Müller, Jean M.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-59829>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 01.04.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Very Long Span Bridges: Concepts, Materials and Methods

Jean M. MULLER
Honorary President
Jean Muller Int.
Paris, France



Jean Muller, born 1925.
1947 : Master's Degree in
Civil Engineering in Paris.
1983 : Doctor Honoris Causa
from Lausanne University.
Currently Special Consultant
to his Engineering Co.


Summary

For long spans bridges (from 200 to 1,000 m.), the designer has a choice between different schemes : girder, truss, arch, cable-stayed and suspension. However, very long spans, i.e. in excess of 1,000 m., are necessarily tension structures, either cable-stayed or suspension. Beyond the limit of cable-stayed, the suspension scheme was the only available alternative. The new bi-stayed concept gives now another option for clear spans of 3,000 m. and beyond. Comments are made in this paper on some aspects of design and construction of very long span bridges (elastic stability, resistance to wind and earthquake).


1. Panorama of Long Span Bridges

Four significant examples are given of different possible schemes in the accompanying figures :


- (1) **Composite truss bridge** with concrete chords and steel diagonals (Fig. 1). The deep canyon required a single long span. The proposed truss is built in cantilever from the abutments with no work in the valley. Clear spans of at least 500m. are practical with this scheme.
- (2) **Single cable suspension bridge** (Fig. 2) with two unusual features : (a) the single suspension system (cable and hangers) at the deck center ; (b) construction of the steel deck is by incremental launching outboard from the abutments using the permanent suspension system.
- (3) **Arch bridge** (Fig. 3) with a 602 m. clear span. The outer portion of the arch rib is built in cantilever with temporary stays. The center portion uses a 1,000 t. steel centering assembled in the valley floor, raised 230 m. in place and finally incorporated into the rib. As compared to the Caracas Bridges (152 m.), the span has been increased by a factor of 4 in 50 years.
- (4) **Cable stayed bridge** with a 1,000 meter clear span. There is a single plane of stays in the center, in order to minimize the wind loads. When built, it should be the world's record cable-stayed span.



(a) PHOTO MONTAGE




(b) ELEVATION




(c) CROSS SECTION NEAR ABUTMENT

(d) CROSS SECTION AT MID-SPAN

Fig. 1 Bras de la Plaine Bridge, Reunion Island (1999)



(a) PHOTO MONTAGE



(b) LONGITUDINAL SECTION




Fig. 2 Chavanon Viaduct, France (1999)







Fig. 3 Millau Viaduct, France (Concept)



(a) ELEVATION



(c) PYLON SIDE VIEW AND SECTIONS

Fig. 4 Ceremonial Bridge, Malaysia (Final design)

2. The Bi-Stayed Concept

The clear span of a conventional cable-stayed bridge is limited by the deck capacity (near the pylons) to resist the axial compressive loads created by the horizontal components of the stay forces. For materials currently available (for example : 80 Mpa high strength concrete and 500 Mpa steel yield stress), the span limit is between 1,200 and 1,500 m., depending upon the imagination and the boldnes of the designer. Beyond this limit, only suspension bridges allowed spanning very large crossings. This situation has now changed, owing to the new so-called "Bi-Stayed" concept.




Fig. 5 The Bi-stayed Concept

Deck construction still proceeds in the same fashion as for conventional cable stayed bridges : Starting from the pylons outward in a symmetrical sequence, the deck is suspended to successive stays (marked S1 on fig. 5). At a certain stage of construction (for a deck length equal to "a1" on either side of each pylon for example), the deck axial load will have absorbed the full capacity of the materials (with due provision for the future effect of live loads). No additional deck length may be added, without exceeding the allowable stresses. At this stage, a second family of stays is installed (marked S2 on fig. 5), assigned to suspend the center portion of the main span. They are symmetrical to one another with regard to the main-span centerline but no longer with regard to the pylon. Furthermore, they are not anchored in the deck itself, but rather in outside anchor blocks at both ends of the bridge, much in the same way as the main cables of a suspension bridge. The vertical load applied to each stay is now balanced with a continuous tension chain, starting with the center portion of the deck acting as a tension member, continuing with two symmetrical stays which deviate at the pylon heads, and terminating in anchor blocks outside the bridge deck.

Along the deck, an axial compression load created by the first family of stays appears in the vicinity of the pylons. It is changed into a tension axial load at the centerline of the main span by the second family of stays. In this first application of the new concept, one may increase the maximum clear span in the ratio of (a_1+a_2 / a_1) , i.e. about 1.5.

In fact, it is possible to go much beyond that range, while improving the quality of the structure by the creative use of prestressing. On the portion of the deck suspended to the second family of stays, prestressing tendons are installed to offset at least all axial-tension forces due to dead and live loads. When no live load is applied, the deck is subjected to a compression load P_2 , which vanishes when the bridge is fully loaded. With the usual proportions of dead to live loads, the maximum span length can now be increased by a factor of 2. 5. Therefore, one may consider now with confidence the construction of a clear span of 3,000 m.

A practical example of the new concept was prepared for an exceptional crossing in South-East Asia with a 1,200 m. clear main span (fig. 6). The deck carried six lanes of highway traffic, two train tracks and two special lanes for emergency vehicles. The bridge is also subject to strong typhoons. The comparison of deflections with a suspension bridge shows the overwhelming superiority of the bi-stayed bridge over a suspension scheme in terms of rigidity.




Fig. 6 Example of 1,200 m. Bi-stayed Bridge



3. Stays for Cable Stayed Bridges

3. 1 Type of Stays

Steel for stays is delivered in the form of parallel wires, strands, bars, locked cables or bridge ropes. Up to now, corrosion protection has been insured by : (1) galvanization or epoxy-coating ; (2) painted steel pipes or plastic ducts, like P/E, either for each strand individually or for a complete stay ; (3) combination of both means. Galvanization has been considered so far essentially as a sacrificial protection by most specifications.

An experience of now more than twenty years (Brotonne Bridge in particular) has shown the excellent performance of stays made of prestressing strands encased in a cement grouted steel pipe. Unfortunately, such stay is both heavy and grossly over-sized compared to the size and weight of the strands themselves. This is an undue handicap for very long spans because of increased sag and exposure to wind. Galvanized (or epoxy-coated) exposed strands would afford the ideal solution. It would even be possible to compact the strands to reduce the overall diameter of the stay and the related wind load. The potential savings in very long spans would be considerable. Later on, composite materials could be used.

3. 2 Use of Stays

Stays comprise a vital part of a structure, however their importance is not reflected in the proportion of their financial value (only 15 % of the bridge cost for a main span of 300 to 400 m.). Today, without exception, all projects should afford the following : (1) Satisfactory protection not only against climatic aggression, but also against vehicular impact. Certain designs are particularly sensitive in that respect. (2) Allowance for the adjustment of the tension of all stays during the life of the structure. (3) Allowance for the replacement of a stay, without imperiling the structural stability or imposing an undue burden on the operation of the facility.

3. 3 Allowable Stresses in Stays

Suspension bridge constructors have always limited the stress in the main suspension cables to control the deck flexibility. This historical practice has probably reflected in early cable-stayed designs, where the stay stress was limited to 0.42 of the guaranteed ultimate strength (while the steel pipe was further ignored structurally).

Precedence quickly becomes jurisprudence, even for scientific minds. Today, the allowable stress is still limited worldwide at the service state to 0.45 of the ultimate (with minor national differences between codes), regardless of the structure type. No scientific reason has ever been given to justify this arbitrary boundary. Why should a stay be considered differently than any other tension member, when due provision has been taken for local bending from angular variations at the anchors ? The practical consequences are serious : stiff and heavy structures - for example concrete box girders- are unduly penalized in comparison to lighter and more flexible designs. This situation does not improve the quality of structures.

The limitation of stay stress should address fatigue stresses (both axial stress and bending) in harmony with the actual characteristics of the stays and their anchors.

4. Elastic Stability of Cable-Stayed Decks

Three essential problems are encountered in the design of very long span bridges (cable-stayed bridges in particular) : (1) elastic stability and buckling ; (2) wind resistance ; (3) response to seismic actions. Structural optimization with regard to these three design aspects often leads to contradictory results, and a final compromise is necessary. For example, weight is an advantage for stability against wind actions, but becomes the worse enemy for earthquakes. Structural rigidity is often favorable for the first two factors, but has a negative impact for the third factor, where flexibility and ductility are preferred. Some comments based on experience are offered in the following paragraphs on those three points.

A cable-stayed deck is subject to high axial compression from the horizontal components of the stay forces, accompanied by significant flexural moments due to the live loads, climatic conditions and seismic motions. The suspension (all the stays) is a complex system, the response of which depends upon : (1) the position of the point under consideration along the deck (the suspension becomes more rigid when travelling from mid-span towards the pylons) ; (2) the nature and magnitude of the exterior actions (the suspension becomes more rigid near the ultimate as compared to the design stage) ; (3) the factor being considered (flexural moments or deflections for example). The problem is globally complex ; however, the analogy with a beam on elastic foundation with variable spring factor shows to be very enlightening.

4. 1 Deck Buckling Axial Load

The beam spring factor depends essentially upon the stays (rigidity per unit length and angle of stay with regard to the deck). The pylon rigidity and the response of the stay anchor point in the side spans must also be taken into account. The corresponding characteristic length is easily computed therefrom, together with the buckling wavelength. It should be noticed that the global response of the stays is strongly damped at the level of the wavelength, which is proportional only to the fourth root of the suspension rigidity. When the stay rigidity is doubled, the wavelength increases only by 22 %.

In practice, flexible decks have often a slenderness ratio of 90 to 100 and even more. In such a case, the allowable axial stress is reduced by 50 %, to account for buckling provisions.

4. 2 Second Order Moments

It has been common practice to consider the effect of structure geometry changes on the flexural moments. Usually a negligible factor for beams subjected to flexure, it becomes significant for columns under large compression and bending : This is precisely the case of a cable stayed deck. For a column under compression and bending, an approximate expression of moment magnification due to second-order effects is given by the well-known formula : $1/(1-P/P_{cr})$.

For stayed decks, the suspension damping effect must be accounted for. The experience gained from many designs has shown that the amplification factor could be taken as the square root of the above expression as for a beam on elastic foundation.



4. 3 Stress Check

The deck is subjected to the following actions : (1) An axial load P , which must be compared to the ultimate buckling load P_{cr} ; (2) A bending moment M , to be increased by its magnification factor. These two factors must be taken into account **simultaneously** in the stress check -both at service and at limit states- using an **interaction formula**.

It is recognized that such a procedure errs on the side of safety for the limit-state analysis. Studies of large displacements have shown that a stayed structure becomes more rigid near the ultimate state, because an increase of the external loads is partially compensated by a membrane effect. Before a global theory has been developed and carefully tested on actual projects, it is wise to abide with the spirit of current design codes, and follow the interaction procedure for columns under combined compression and bending. Design engineers are kindly invited to be cautious in this regard. Should a design itself lack sufficient safety, future corrective measures are costly and difficult, notwithstanding the danger of an unexpected serious accident.

5. Aeroelastic Stability of Cable Stayed Bridges

5. 1 Different Aspects of Aeroelastic Stability

Once the wind characteristics are established (basic wind speed and turbulence intensity)¹, the following checks must be made : (1) Torsion divergence. (2) Galloping (in bending). (3) Torsion flutter. (4) Classical flutter (combined vertical bending and torsion). For this factor, the ratio between bending and torsion natural frequencies is important. (5) Vortex shedding. Aside from the structural safety, the aspect of the users' comfort depends upon the amplitude of the wind induced displacements. (6) Turbulence response. (7) Stay vibration. (8) Stability during construction. The subject is as vast as important. Therefore, only a few remarks will be offered on specific points.

5. 2 Deck and Pylons

The deck and the pylon(s) must be considered together as one single body to resist the static and dynamic wind effects. There are two essential factors : (1) the deck transverse slenderness (the ratio between clear span and width), and the deck horizontal bending capacity, and, (2) the rigidity and transverse resistance of the pylon(s). For clear spans of 300 to 400 m. and decks of 20 to 30 m. width (like Brotonne or Sunshine Skyway bridges), the deck transverse slenderness is as low as 10 to 15, and the wind induced horizontal bending remains very low. The deck stabilizes the pylon, and a single center tower answers perfectly its desired function. Conversely, for a 900 m. clear span and a deck only 20 m. wide, the large transverse slenderness (as high as 45) makes the deck horizontal bending critical. The pylon must now stabilize the deck with the cooperation of the stays, rather than the deck stabilizing the pylon.

¹ The worse conditions were encountered in Hong Kong (a very sensitive typhoon area) with a basic wind speed of **53 m/sec** (on 10 min. at deck level), with turbulence coefficients of **0.12** (longitudinal) and **0.07** (vertical).

5. 3 Pylon Rigidity

A recent design allowed interesting studies on the behaviour of a relatively slender reinforced concrete pylon 100 meter high above the foundations. The most critical condition occurred during construction. Under the combined effect of loads (dead weight random deviations, construction equipment loads, and wind) the horizontal displacement of the pylon top (using the concrete gross sections) was **0.78 m**. Second-order effects induced a moderate increase to **0.87 m**. However, the situation changed drastically when taking into account the reduced rigidity due to concrete cracking in the tensile zones. The pylon top displacement increased to **2.85 m**, approximately three times the value computed with conventional methods. Once more, such results would call for caution. This remark applies not only to the effect of wind loads, but also for seismic actions.

5. 4 The Pendulum Analogy

Suspension bridges constructors have long recognized the favourable effect of the deck transverse displacement on its resistance against lateral wind loads. This “pendulum effect” balances a large portion of the wind load, and allows significant values of the deck transverse slenderness, often up to 40 or even 45.

This stabilizing factor appears also in the conventional cable-stayed bridges (see fig. 7 a). The deck horizontal displacement at a stay anchor point creates a reaction opposite to the direction of the wind. Unfortunately, another aggravating effect appears simultaneously. The line joining the two anchor points of each stay (which carries the deck axial load created by the stay component) follows the deck displacement. Consequently, there appears second order moments, which must be accounted for. Although it has not been formally demonstrated, one finds that the two opposite factors just balance each other. Finally, the deck must be designed for the full wind load bending with due provision for buckling (due to the axial load). For long spans (800 m. and over), the choice of a deck slenderness ratio based upon the design practice of suspension bridges may result in critical problems, both with regard to resistance and excessive flexibility.

The situation is rectified with bi-stayed bridges, because the “pendulum effect” is again fully available for the portion of the deck suspended to the second family of stays (fig. 7b).




Fig. 7 Wind on decks : Pendulum Analogy



6. Seismic Design

The engineering profession has gained considerable knowledge in this critical field through the analysis of the damaging results of earthquakes which have recently appeared worldwide. Humility belongs to all engineers who realize their feebleness and their limited knowledge in the face of the overwhelming power of nature. Some basic principles emerge from this analysis.

(1) Avoid natural periods of vibration which are close to peaks in the response spectra (see Fig. 8). Beyond a certain value (often 2 or 3 seconds) the advantage is no more significant. One must be careful not to ignore the existence of low-frequency sites.

(2) Use rigid connections between structural components wherever possible. Expansion joints and bearings should be kept to the minimum : they are generally a source of trouble.

(3) Where necessary, hydraulic dampers are successfully used at the transition between structural components -between deck and pylons for exemple- or between the structure and the ground, over abutments for example. Displacements are thus limited while seismic energy is absorbed. A promising new area of research would be the application of parastressing in seismic design (see par. 7).

(4) A large amount of ductility is necessary to accommodate the actual displacements of the structure. Seismic energy is best dissipated in plastic hinges. Confined concrete (encased in a steel or composite pipe, or reinforced transversaly by closely spaced ties or hoops) is the usual answer to that requirement. An improved concept would be to use what Eugene FREYSSINET called “**prestrained**” concrete. Among the rich scientific heritage of his ingenious mind, this particular idea was never pursued to reach the industrial stage. The confinement (pipe or hoops) of a concrete member is prestressed such as to create a permanent transverse compression stress. The capacity towards axial loads is considerably increased, while brittleness disappears completely to the profit of ductility. The concept would be ideally applied to large members subject to high seismic actions.

(5) Elastic stability and second order moments become critical in large members. The reader’s attention is drawn again on the importance of the statements made earlier on : (1) The problems of elastic stability and buckling in par. 4 and, (2) The effect of concrete cracking on the structural rigidity in par. 5. 3.

(7) Foundations (including piles) should preferably remain in the elastic range without permanent damage because of the difficulties to repair or retrofit them.




Fig. 8 Seismic Response Spectra




Fig. 9 Example of Parastressing

7. Parastressing

Up to now, civil engineering structures have been essentially of a **passive** nature. Their geometry, their dimensions and the materials with which they are made, are predetermined by the designer to resist safely all foreseeable **external** loads (weight, wind, seismic actions, etc...). The basic idea of **prestressing** is to place the structure into a more favorable state of **internal** stresses to fulfill that purpose. For example, a permanent compression stress is artificially created within a concrete member in the tension zones.

The idea of **parastressing** is to go one step further : Internal stresses are now **actively** adjusted during the operation of the structure to improve its behaviour in terms of strength, rigidity, comfort, durability, etc...The idea in itself is not new, and has been extensively used in other fields of engineering (dynamic positioning of floating platforms, fully computed aircraft operations, etc...). Civil engineers have been slow to take advantage in their work of such tremendous progress made by others. This situation needs to change and parastressing is one avenue to seriously follow. Among many possibilities, the following three are mentioned :

(1) **Geometry control of girder bridges.** Early cantilever bridges with mid-span hinges showed to be overly sensitive to geometry changes due to concrete creep and steel relaxation. Full control in this situation may be regained by placing a moment transmitting member through the hinge (fig. 9). Initial and periodic readjustments of the member will counteract any angle break of the pavement. One further step would be to continually adjust the magnitude of the bending moment through the hinge, in direct relation to the traffic loads. Computer controlled jacks would thus be activated by sensors tied to geometric parameters.

(2) **Active bumpers in paraseismic structures.** The typical passive dampers would be changed into active computer controlled hydraulic rams. With the usual periods of natural vibration of long spans (several seconds), the technical problems of developing the required mechanical systems are not insurmountable.

(3) **Geometry control of cable-stayed or suspension spans.** Loads in stays or hangers could be continuously adjusted to the traffic loads by jacks. This concept would be particularly suitable for railway bridges to control the track geometry (see par. 2 and fig. 6).




Fig. 10 Ceremonial Bridge, Malaysia (Photo-montage)

8. Conclusions

In the field of medium spans (up to 100 to 200 m.), cable stayed designs allow innovative and economical solutions. Two cable-stayed bridges were recently completed in Bolivia, with clear spans of 130 and 110 m. The deck makes use of the channel section developed for a new generation of standardized overpass bridges. It is more rigid and more economical than a solid slab (because of the structural participation of the barrier curbs).

For long spans (from 200 to 1,000 m.), the conventional cable-stayed bridges maintain all their value. When a free long span is not mandatory, the choice of a stayed design is difficult to justify on the sole criterion of cost. A girder bridge (box design or open web), or an arch (with spans strictly limited to the site needs) are generally more economical.

In the field of very long spans (beyond 1,000 m.), heretofore reserved for suspension bridges, the bi-stayed concept allows new solutions, which are technically better and more economical. What length of time will elapse before centennial habits will be overcome to accept this new concept and generalize its use? Future will tell.

Meanwhile, research and development continue in the use of composite materials. There are available today materials insensitive to corrosion, possessing the strength of steel, deformability comparable to that of concrete and a unit weight only slightly more than water. The essential problems encountered in their industrial use pertain to their long term behaviour and longevity. The assembly of shop prefabricated members into a full structure must also be solved. The design itself of long span structures with these new materials leads the civil engineer into exploring new fields where knowledge is still limited. For example, the problem of flutter in the wind becomes more critical as compared to a concrete (or even a steel) structure, in view of the drastic reduction of dead loads. In spite of these new engineering challenges, the use of composite materials looks very promising.