**Zeitschrift:** IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte

**Band:** 62 (1991)

**Artikel:** Watertight concrete structures

**Autor:** Kraus, Dieter / Wurzer, Otto

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-47630

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Mehr erfahren

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. En savoir plus

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. Find out more

**Download PDF: 28.11.2025** 

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch



# **Watertight Concrete Structures**

## Etanchéité des structures en béton

# Wasserundurchlässige Betonkonstruktionen

# **Dieter KRAUS**Prof. of Civil Eng. Universität der Bundeswehr Munich, Germany



Dieter Kraus, born 1941, obtained his civil engineering degree in 1969 and his Dr.-Ing. degree in 1975 at the Tech-Universität nische München. After 10 vears project as engineer in a construction company he joined the Universität der Bundeswehr München as Professor for Concrete Engineering.

# Otto WURZER Civil Eng.

Universität der Bundeswehr Munich, Germany



Otto Wurzer, born 1964, obtained his civil engineering degree at the Technische Universität München. After one year, as a member of a construction company, he joined the Universität der Bundeswehr München as a research assistant.

#### SUMMARY

For various projects like basements or subway-tunnels, which are built and remain permanently in the groundwater, watertight concrete structures are of increasing importance. The paper presents general design criteria for such constructions. It deals, in particular, with loading due to restraint under construction and final conditions, waterproof designs and their consideration in different design codes.

#### RÉSUMÉ

L'étanchéité est d'importance grandissante pour des projets variés, qui tels que fondations et tunnels, restant en permanence sous le niveau de la nappe phréatique. Cet article souligne les critères généraux de dimensionnement concernant ces types de constructions: l'accent est mis sur les contraintes en phase constructive et finale, la conception de l'étanchéité elle-même ainsi que sa prise en compte dans diverses normes de dimensionnement.

#### ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Im Rahmen verschiedener Bauaufgaben wie Gründungen und U-Bahn-Tunnel, die in das Grundwasser einbinden, gewinnen wasserdurchlässige Betonkonstruktionen immer mehr an Bedeutung. Im folgenden sollten daher Entwurfskriterien für derartige Bauwerke vorgestellt werden. Insbesondere wird auf die in den verschiedenen Bau- und Endzuständen auftretenden Zwangsbeanspruchungen, auf die Nachweise der Dichtigkeit sowie deren Behandlung in den Normen eingegangen.



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The production of watertight concrete structures without additional waterproofing provisions is being practised successfully since many years [2], [4]. Projects which need watertight structures may be classified in three groups:

- As protection of structures against underground water penetration in cases in which the ground water level is located above the foundation slab (underground garages, cellars, subway tunnels).
- As protection of underground water against contaminating substances from purification plants, from manure dumps or from catch basins of chemical plants.
- Water reservoirs require a certain grade of impermeability (leakage rate) because of operating conditions, although their contents do not endanger the underground water.

The determination of the impermeability can result from two procedures, which differ on their practical evaluation:

- Limitation of the moisture content at the air side regarding the impermeability criteria: complete dry dry to a great extent capillarily soaked -
- Limitation of the amount of moisture penetrated through the cross section (leakage rate).

The permeability or leakage of a buildung can by caused by its materials (because of porosity, that is the concrete texture) or by the construction (because of cracks, improperly performed construction joints or expansion joints, leakages within the range of perforations for installations).

The production of a concrete with enough tight texture is primarily a concrete technological problem. The causes of leakage dependent on the construction can be obviated by means of a corresponding clear construction, that harmonizes optimally with the building function (through states of stress due to loads and restraints which are statically easy to survey and through a practicable construction).

The following report deals mainly with the possible proof and examination of usefulness.

## 2. STATES OF STRESS

#### 2.1 Causes of the states of stress

The states of stress acting on reinforced concrete structural parts may be classified according to the instant at which they occur and according to their causes. According to the instant of occurrence one may distinguish between:

- states of stress during the construction
- states of stress after the end of the construction

According to the causes one may distinguish between:

- states of stress due to loads
- states of stress due to restraints

Stress resultants due to loads (for example owing to dead load or to traffic load) can be easily calculated in most cases. The realistic calculation of stress resultants due to restraints, however, cause considerable difficulties. The dissipation of the heat of hydration [1], shrinkage, temperature and settlements can be regarded as the main reasons for the appearance of restraint stresses.



#### 2.2 Restraint stress resultants

#### 2.2.1 Calculation

The restraint stresses of a thick structural member of concrete (Fig. 1) often have a nonlinear development over the thickness of the structure. These non-linear restraint stresses can be divided into a constant part (shortening by restraint), a linear part (change of curvature by restraint) and a non-linear part (residual stresses). It is characteristic for the state of residual stresses, that these stresses do not create stress resultants.




Fig. 1 Stress-strain of a thick concrete member due to non-linear restraint


The following denotations are valid for the calculation of the restraint stress resultants:

$$N_{zw} = \epsilon_r \cdot (EA_c)_{ef} \cdot \delta \cdot c_s$$
 (1)

$$M_{zw} = \chi_r \cdot (EI_c)_{ef} \cdot \delta \cdot c_s$$
 (2)

 $\begin{array}{c} (EA_c)_{ef}: effective \ longitudinal \ stiffness \\ (EI_c)_{ef}: effective \ bending \ stiffness \\ c_s: reduction \ of \ restraint \ by \ creep \\ \delta: \ grade \ of \ impediment \ by \ the \ structural \ member \\ \end{array}$ 

The effective stiffness used in equations (1) and (2) can be studied clearly by a centrically loaded member in Fig. 2.



Up to the load of the first crack ( $\sigma_c = f_{c,t}$ ) the structural member remains in state I. After that a successive cracking starts. When the fully developed cracking pattern is reached, there is another ascent of the stress - strain - diagram, which is almost parallel to the plain state II, at a distance of  $0.4 \cdot f_{c,t}/E_{s} \cdot \mu$ (tension-stiffening-effect)

 $egin{array}{ll} f_{ct} & : \mbox{tensile strengh of concrete} \\ \epsilon_{ctu} & : \mbox{corresponding strain of} \end{array}$ concrete

E<sub>s</sub>,E<sub>c</sub>: modulus of elasticity of steel

and of concrete

: percentage of reinforcement

Fig. 2 Stress-strain-relationship and effective stiffness of a concrete member under longitudinal force  $(A_i = A_c \cdot (1 + n \cdot \mu); n = E_s / E_c)$ 



At the final state the restraint effects (change of temperature, settlements) are of minor importance for the constructions, which are mostly box-shaped and bedded to the ground. During the construction however, the restraint effects, such as dissipation of the heat of hydration and shrinkage, are to be analysed more carefully. That is especially important for monolitically connected structures, which are cast however at different times. Regarding the dissipation of the heat of hydration, the calculation of the corresponding restraint stress resultants is very difficult because reliable informations about modulus of elasticity, reduction by creep and coefficient of thermal expansion for new (not matured) concrete are hardly available. An estimation of the restraint forces through shrinkage is possible in form of a difference value for shrinkage at structural components which are cast at different times (foundation slab/walls).

#### 2.2.2 Evaluation of the limits of stress resultants for special structural components


#### - Regarding the load for first cracking

The upper limit of the restraint stress resultant at the instant of appearance of the first crack can bei determined through the sectional forces of the crack for state I.

$$N^{I}_{Crack} = A_{c} \cdot f_{ct,ef}$$
 (3)  
 $M^{I}_{Crack} = W_{c} \cdot f_{ct,ef}$  (4)

#### - Regarding the interaction soil - building

For foundation slabs it is possible to get further limitations for the normal force due to restraint considering the effects of frictional forces. In this connection it has to be examined, whether slide of the foundation slab with respect to the soil results or whether a total bond exists. Fig. 3 shows the diagram of the forces at the contact surface with the soil and the forces at the foundation slab in the case of friction, bond and a combination of both effects.




Friction:

Friction / Bond:

Forces at the bottom side of the foundation slab

Bond:



In the case of skidding friction the maximum normal force in the foundation slab results:

$$N_{\mu} = 1/2 \mu g l_{\mu}$$
 (5)

Assuming a total bond between foundation slab and soil the following value results:

$$N_{B} = \frac{\epsilon_{m}}{\frac{1}{E_{cf} t_{f}} + \frac{1}{(2 E_{s} t_{s} + E_{cb} t_{b})}}$$
 (6)

Fig. 3 Longitudinal forces due to restraint of a foundation slab



#### 3. PROOF OF WATER TIGHTNESS

# 3.1 Method of proof

The proof of watertightness is made for the limit state of serviceability. In addition to the demonstration of an available sufficient tight concrete texture, the leakage caused by construction is limited further through the following criteria.

- sufficient depth of the compression zone:

xnec

- limitation of the width of separating cracks: - limitation of tensile stresses in concrete:

#### 3.2 Proof of the depth of the compressive zone

The procedure is based upon the requirement that the resulting depth of the compression zone in working conditions must be greater than the depth of penetration of water in the watertight concrete, which is produced according to technological points of view. The determination of the depth of the compression zone in working conditions for bending with normal forces leads to a cubical equation if linear elastic behaviour is assumed for concrete and for steel.

Determinant parameters:

the percentage of reinforcement ratio  $\mu_1/\mu_2$ 

the relative edge distances d<sub>1,2</sub>/h the E-moduli ratio  $n=E_s/E_c$ 

the relative eccentricity e/h




Fig. 4 Depth of compression zone under servie load

#### 3.3 Proof of the width of crack

Separating cracks are critical with respect to the watertightness of a concrete structure. All national and international standards have renounced to fix an admissible width of crack w<sub>adm</sub> in relation to watertightness (see paragraph 4). The determination of such a limit value needs the careful examination of the special function of the building and of environment conditions as for example the value of the water pressure acting on the examined structural members. In the references ([3], [4], [5], [7]) however, values for  $w_{adm} = 0.1$  to 0.2 mm are given. There are many theories which calculate the theoretical width of crack at the limit state of serviceability. The principles of equation (7) based on fig. 5 are common to all theories. Based upon this fundamental equation it is possible to control cracking through concrete technological provisions and through the adequate choice of reinforcement (steel stresses, diameters of bars) with minimum steel areas according to the restraint stress resultants given in paragraph 2.

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{rm}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{sm}} \quad (7)$$

w<sub>m</sub>: average width of crack

 $s_{rm}^{m}$ : average distance between cracks  $\epsilon_{sm}$ : average strain of steel






Fig. 5 Stress situation of a cracked concrete member

#### 3.4 Limitation of the concrete tensile stresses in state I

On the one hand this criterium leads to relativ thick strctural members, which are sensible to states of stress due to restraint, and on the other hand the accurate knowledge of the tensile strength of concrete is necessary, although the values show the well known large statistical dispersion. For that reason the proof based on the latter criterium should be given up.

#### 4. COMPARISON OF NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS

Whereas in many standards the production of a concrete with tight texture is mentioned, as Tab. 1 shows, nearly all standards which have been studied have renounced to define requirements for a numerical proof of the watertightness. The corresponding proof of limitation of crack width from most standards serves therefore only to insure the durability.

| Code/Country/ Year | Water –<br>tightness | Permeability of Concrete | Minimum reinforcement | Crackwidth+<br>Control | Tensile stresses<br>of Concrete |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| MC - 90 / - / 1990 | No                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | No                              |
| EC - 2 / - / 1989  | No                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | No                              |
| DIN 1045/D / 1988  | No                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | No                              |
| SIA 162 / CH/ 1989 | Yes                  | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | No                              |
| CP-110/GB/ 1972    | No                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | Yes                             |
| B 4200 / A / 1979  | No                   | Yes                      | No                    | No                     | No                              |
| ACI 318/USA/1983   | No                   | Yes                      | Yes                   | Yes                    | No                              |

Tab. 1 Comparison of standards

#### REFERENCES

- 1. SPRINGENSCHMIDT R., BREITENBÜCHER R:, Investigation of a thermal cracking frame. Material and Structures, No. 23/1990.
- 2. KRAUS D., Wasserundurchlässiger Beton. VBI-Kolloquium, March 1990.
- 3. DAFSTB, Erläuterungen zu DIN 1045 Beton und Stahlbeton, Bemessung und Ausführung, Ausgabe Juli 1988. DAfStb-Heft 400, Januar 1989.
- 4. DBV, DBV-Merkblatt: Wasserundurchlässige Baukörper aus Beton, Fassung August 1989
- 5. TROST H., CORDES H., Zur Wasserundurchlässigkeit von Stahlbetonbauteilen mit Trennrissen. Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 84/1989, Heft 3, Seite 60-63.
- 6. DBV, DBV-Merkblatt: Begrenzung der Rißbildung im Stahlbeton- und Spannbetonbau, Fassung April 1986.
- 7. WICKE M., Performance Requirements, IABSE-Colloquium "Structural Concrete", April 1990.