Fatigue Behaviour of Riveted Joints

Comportement à la fatigue d'assemblages rivetés

Ermüdungsverhalten genieteter Verbindungen

H.M.C.M. van MAARSCHALKERWAART
Netherlands Railways
Utrecht, the Netherlands

SUMMARY
Previously, most dynamically loaded steel structures, such as bridges and cranes, were designed in accordance with the specifications in force at that time. The increasing of loads has posed the question of expected reserve life. In order to assemble more information concerning fatigue life prediction, a review of data available in current literature is given in this paper with regard to the fatigue behaviour of riveted joints and the variables affecting fatigue strength.

RESUME
De nombreuses constructions métalliques actuelles, telles que ponts et ponts-roulants, soumises à des charges dynamiques, ont été dimensionnés sur la base des normes de calcul d'alors. L'augmentation des charges dynamiques, ont été dimensionnées sur la base des normes de calcul d'alors. L'augmentation des charges met en question la durée de vie restante de l'ouvrage. Afin de fournir une meilleure information fatigue d'assemblages rivetés et aux paramètres influençant la résistance à la fatigue.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG
Viele bestehende, dynamisch beanspruchte Metallkonstruktionen wie Brücken und Kranbahnträger sind aufgrund älterer Bemessungsgrundlagen erbaut worden. Infolge der Belastungszunahme im Laufe der Zeit stellt sich heute die Frage bezüglich der Restlebensdauer. Um bessere Informationsgrundlagen für die Vorhersage der Lebensdauer zu erhalten wird hier ein Überblick über Angaben aus neuerer Literatur betreffend das Ermüdungsverhalten genieteter Verbindungen sowie die Einflussparameter auf die Dauerfestigkeit gegeben.

1. INTRODUCTION

Extensive fatigue tests of riveted joints were carried out in Germany in the 1930's. Well known are the testseries of O. Graf[5] and K. Klöppel [3].
In the USA there were studies conducted at the University of Illionois and Northwestern University[8]. Several investigations in the USA were reported in AREA Proceedings and Transactions ASCE[4],[7],[11].

The variables studied by the investigators were the effect of the clamping force, bearing-tension ratio (B/T) and the shear-tension ratio (S/T) on the fatigue strength of double lap and single lap riveted joints.

Also were studied the influence of the ratio R = min.stress /max.stress and the effect of paint on the contact surfaces (red lead).
The specimens were made of mild steel (A7, St37) or low alloy steel (A242, St52).

The results of the fatigue tests of riveted joints show a great scatter.
In his contribution to the AREA Seminar of 1968 [1] W.H. Munse gives a scatterband of riveted joints. In the present paper the scatterband for the ratio R = 0 of the study of W.H. Munse is used as a reference in all presented figures to establish the influences of the variables mentioned above.
In a double logarithmic network the upper bound has a slope of k = 8,3, the slope of the lower bound is k = 6,1. Fig. 1 shows these bounds, and gives also as a reference the results of fatigue tests on specimens with new drilled open holes.

NEW DRILLED HOLES

STRESS RANGE Δσ (N/mm²) NET SECTION

R=0 AMERICAN TESTS

R=0 GERMAN TESTS

CYCLES TO FAILURE

△ STEEL: A 7   REF [2]
O   " : A 242

△ STEEL: St 37   REF [3]
O   " : St 52

Fig. 1 Comparison new drilled holes

DOUBLE LAP RIVETED JOINTS

STRESS RANGE Δσ (N/mm²) NET SECTION

T.S.B.   1: 0,75 :1,57
GRIP: 30mm
R = 0
AMERICAN TESTS

T.S.B. 1 : 0,70 : 1,56
GRIP: 28mm
R=0 / R= +0,2
GERMAN TESTS

CYCLES TO FAILURE

△ STEEL: A 7   REF. [2] [4]
O   " : A 242

△ STEEL: St 37   REF. [3]
O   " : St 52

Fig. 2 Comparison riveted joints

The data of the fatigue behaviour of riveted joints presented in this paper concern connections with hot driven rivets.
The experimental data obtained from American and German double lap fatigue tests having a tension : shear : bearing ratio (T : S : B) of about 1,00 : 0,75 : 1,60, according to the standard specification for design of riveted structures, are given in fig. 2.
These data are lying within the scatterband.

2. EFFECT OF VARIABLES

2.1 Clamping force

One of the most important factors affecting the
fatigue strength of a riveted joint is the clamping force. When the clamping force is great the
main part of the load is transferred by friction
between the plates and the rivets are less in
bearing. The resulting stress concentrations
near the holes are in that case much less severe.
An increase in the clamping force of the rivets
results in an increase in the fatigue strength
of the joint.
Theoretically the clamping stress in the rivet
is independent of the length of grip. However
shrinkage after driving causes some deformation
of the rivet heads through which the clamping
stress decreases. For a longer grip this deformation is a smaller part of the total length
shrinkage of the rivet than for a shorter grip.

Fig. 3 Clamping stress

That is why the clamping force of hot driven rivets increases with the
length of grip.
For a grip of about
100 mm the clamping
stress approaches
the yield stress
(fig. 3). The shorter grips show a
wide spread with lower clamping stresses. There is no assurance that a long
grip will always
develop a high clamping force in the
whole joint.
The clamping stresses given in fig. 3
concern rivets of
low carbon steel
(A141) which has
more or less the
same properties as
steel St.34.
Rivets of alloy
steel may develop in
some cases a very low
clamping force.

Fig. 4 Influence length of grip

Fig. 4 shows the influence of variable grip length on fatigue. The results of the tests with reduced clamping are lying at the lower bound.

2.2 Tension : Shear : Bearing ratio (T : S : B)

For a proper design the American specifications require a tension-shear-

DOUBLE LAP RIVETED JOINTS INFLUENCE RATIO T:S:B

T	S	B		
○ 1 : 0,80 : 2,55	R = 0	(St.52) REF.[5]
■ 1 : 1,08 : 2,19	R = +0,2(St.37)	" [6]
□ 1 : 1,09 : 2,44	R = +0,2(St.37)	" [6]
▲ 1 : 1,26 : 2,44	R = 0	" [7]
▽ 1 : 2,52 : 2,24	R = 0	" [7]

Fig. 5 Influence ratio T:S:B

DOUBLE LAP RIVETED JOINTS INFLUENCE RATIO $\sigma_{min}/\sigma_{max}$

B/T ‹2,00 ; S/T ‹0,80
| △ STEEL | R= +0,50 REF.[8] |
| ○ " (St.52) R= +0,67 " [5] |
| ● " (St.52) R= +0,81 " [5] |

Fig. 6 Influence ratio R

bearing relationship of 1 : 0,75 : 1,50.
The German specifications allow for this ratio 1 : 0,8 : 2,00.
The results of fatigue tests on double lap joints with these ratios are concentrated within the mentioned scatterband.
Having a ratio of S/T = 0,8, the fatigue strength will decrease byond ratios of about B/T = 2,0. This is much severe in proportion to less clamping. Combined high bearing and high shear stress will further reduce the fatigue strength.
Fig. 5 shows some examples.

2.3 Ratio R = σ min/σ max

The adopted scatterband of Munse [1] for zero to tension (R = 0) represents the scatterband of the stress range for this ratio.
A comparison of the testdata shows that when the ratio R increases the stress range decreases.
This behaviour can be explained by the absence of residual stresses.
The largest stress range is found for R = -1,0.
Fig. 6 shows that the plotted data of the example for R = +0,5 with ratios B/T < 2,0 and S/T < 0,8 are within the scatterband of the stress range for R = 0.
Byond the ratio R = +0,5 the stress ranges decreases rapidly.

2.4 Painting of the contact surfaces

Most of the tested riveted specimens were assembled without painting of the contact surfaces and had the mill scale intact.
There are some experiments with riveted joints of which the joined parts were painted with red lead prior to assembly.
The coefficient of friction of surfaces with mill scale varies from about 0,30 to 0,40. The friction coefficient of surfaces with red lead is about 0,10.
Low frictional resistance brings the rivets in more bearing and causes a decrease of the fatigue strength.
Results of fatigue tests on specimens with painted contact surfaces are shown in fig. 7.

2.5 Drifting of holes

When holes for con-
nection do not coin-
cide and are drifted
by a pin, there is
an elongation at one
side of the holes
that may develop
initial cracks.
Drifting of holes
during manufacturing
and construction
greatly reduces the
fatigue strength.
Fig. 8 shows the
results of fatigue
tests on specimens
with drifted open
holes, coming from
removed parts of an
existing bridge [9].
One result is given
of a fatigue test on
a specimen with
drifted holes con-
taining bolts in bearing with no clamping [11].
Punching of holes has a similar effect as drifting.

DOUBLE LAP RIVETED JOINTS
CONTACT SURFACES PAINTED

GRIP 28mm

T   S   B
● 1 : 0,70 : 156 R=+0 1 (St.52) REF. [3]
□ 1 : 0,70 : 1,56 R=+0,3 (St.52) „ [3]
○ 1 : 0,70 : 1,56 R=+0,1 (St.52) - [5]
▲ 1 : 0,38 : 1,00 R= 0 (St.37) „ [9]

Fig. 7 Influence paint

DRIFTED HOLES

○ R=+0,1/+0,2 (St.37) REF. [9]
✳ R= 0   REF. [11]

Fig. 8 Influence drifting

2.6 Single lap joints

In the middle of the 1940's fatigue failures were found in floorbeam hangers of railway bridges in the USA. These failures all occured in ri-
veted single lap joints.
An extensive investigation was started in 1947 and continued to 1954.
The failures were explained by the fact of eccentric bearing, causing high stress concentrations at the edge of the holes, coupled with low clamping. This was proved by fatigue tests of Wyly and Carter with single lap connections having bolts in bearing with no clamping [11]. The re-
sults of these tests are shown in fig. 9b.
Single lap connections as beams with riveted cover plates and connections of beams to gusset plates always have some eccentricity. Even in the case of sufficient clamping the effect of the addition of bending will reduce the fatigue strength. Fig. 9a presents some examples.

SINGLE LAP RIVETED JOINTS

Fig. 9a – Fig. 9b Single lap riveted joints

2.7 Grade of steel

There is no significant difference between the fatigue strength of rive-
ted joints of steel A7 and A242, and St.37 and St.52 respectively.

3. CHOISE OF S-N CURVES

In order to get a more rea-
sonable prediction of fatigue
life the various types of rive-
ted steel joints are dis-
tinguished.
Fig. 11 shows four S-N curves.
The S-N curve for double lap
joints①is similar to the lo-
wer confidence limit of the stu-
dy of Munse [1] and has a slope
k = 6.
The S-N curves②,③and④also
represent more or less lower
confidence limits and have with
respect to higher stress concen-
tration steeper slopes with
values assumed k = 5 and k = 4
respectively.
To take in account the influence
of the ratio R, a difference is
made between R = 0 and R = +0,5
The choice between the two S-N
curves for the single lap joints
depends on the rate of stiffness
of the sustaining parts. Not all
cases are covered by these S-N
curves. When there are severe
secondary stresses due from

S-N CURVES
STEEL RIVETED JOINTS

CHOISE S-N CURVES STEEL RIVETED JOINTS S/T‹0,8; B/T‹2,0		
TYPE OF JOINT	R=0	R=~+0,5
DOUBLE LAP	①	②
DOUBLE LAP (PAINTED)	②	③
SINGLE LAP	② ③	③ ④

Fig. 10 S-N curves steel riveted joints

eccentricity, these stresses have to be taken in account.

4. WROUGHT IRON RIVETED JOINTS

Most of the bridges built in the 19th century have been made of wrought iron.
During the last decennia in various countries fatigue tests were carried out on specimens of wrought iron. Fig. 12 shows the results of testseries in the Netherlands [10].
For comparison the scatterband of the steel riveted joints as used previously is plotted in this figure.
As one can see the results illustrate in comparison to steel a lower fatigue strength for the wrought iron specimens with new drilled open holes. The same tendency show the results of the tests with the wrought iron riveted joints taken from old bridges.
To determine the lower confidence limit the results of the test on the riveted joints are assimilated in a modified Goodman diagram for 2.000.000 cycles (Fig. 12).
An assumption of the lower limit is obtained dividing the mean values by a factor of 1,4.
Fig. 12 also shows the corresponding equations of the S-N curves.

5. FINAL REMARKS

The fatigue strength of riveted joints was shown to be affected by several factors.
One of the dominant factors is the clamping force of the rivets.
Most sensitive to fatigue are the single lap connections.

WROUGHT IRON

R = +0,10/+0,35

R = +0,5

CYCLES TO FAILURE   CYCLES TO FAILURE
o SPECIMENS WITH NEW DRILLED HOLES
●   „   „   RIVETS   } REF. [10]
✦ RIVETED JOINTS

Fig. 11 Fatigue tests wrought iron

WROUGHT IRON RIVETED JOINTS S/T ‹ 0,8; B/T ‹ 2,0 S-N CURVES	
R = 0	R = +0,5
Log N = 15,52 − 5 Log $\Delta\sigma$	Log N = 14,80 − 5 Log $\Delta\sigma$

Fig. 12 Fatigue behaviour wrought iron riveted joints

REFERENCES

1   MUNSE, W.H.
Structural Fatigue and Steel Railroad Bridges
Proceedings of AREA Seminar 1968 p. 14

2   HANSEN, N.G.
Fatigue Tests of Joints of High-Strength Steel
Transactions ASCE 1961 part II, p. 755 and p. 757

3   KLÖPPEL, K.
Gemeinschaftsversuche zur Bestimmung der Schwellzugfestigkeit,
gelochter und genieteter Stäbe aus St.37 und St.52
Der Stahlbau 1936, Heft 13/14

4   BARON, F. and LARSON, JR., W.
The Effect of Grip on the Fatigue Strength of Riveted and Bolted
Joints
AREA Proceedings 1953, Vol. 54, p. 175
Transactions ASCE 1955, Vol. 120, p. 1322

5   GRAF, O.
Dauerversuche mit Nietverbindungen
Deutscher Stahlbau-Verband 1935

6   STEINHARDT, O., VALTINAT, G.
Versuche an Nietloch-Schweissverbindungen
Der Bauingenieur 1965, Heft 9, p. 368, Tab. 4

7   Report of Committee 15 - Iron and Steel Structures
Fatigue Strength of Various Details Used for the Repair of Bridge
Members
AREA Proceedings 1952, Vol. 53, p. 513

8   University of Illinois, Bulletin 481

9   Tests on specimens of existing bridges (St.37)
Lab. Netherlands Railways (CTO)
Not published

10   Test on specimens of existing wrought iron bridges in the Netherlands
Stevin Lab. TH-Delft and Lab. Netherlands Railways
Not published

11   CARTER, J.W., LENZEN, K.H., WYLY, L.T.
Fatigue in Riveted and Bolted Single-Lap Joints
Transactions ASCE 1955, Vol. 120, p. 1353

12   SCHULZ, E.H., BUCHHOLZ, H.
Ueber die Dauerfestigkeit von genieteten und geschweissten Verbin-
dungen aus Baustahl St.52
Internationale Vereinigung für Brücken und Hochbau 1933/34, p. 394

ASCE = American Society of Civil Engineers
AREA = American Railway Engineer Association