

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen
Band: 29 (1979)
Artikel: Reinforced concrete corbels - some exact solutions
Autor: Jensen, B.C.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-23566>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 30.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

**V****Reinforced Concrete Corbels — Some Exact Solutions**

Consoles en béton armé — quelques solutions complètes

Stahlbetonkonsolen — einige vollständige Lösungen

B.C. JENSEN

Lic. techn.

Ingeniørfirmaet Axel Nielsen A/S

Odense, Denmark

SUMMARY

The paper presents some equations for the load carrying capacity of reinforced concrete corbels. The solutions are exact solutions based on the classical theory of plasticity.

RESUME

La résistance ultime des consoles en béton armé est examinée en appliquant la théorie classique de la plasticité. Quelques solutions complètes sont obtenues.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Die Tragfähigkeit von Stahlbetonkonsolen wird mit Hilfe der klassischen Plastizitätstheorie untersucht. Einige vollständige Lösungen werden angegeben.



1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper some lower and upper bounds for the load carrying capacity of reinforced concrete corbels will be presented.

The solutions are based on the assumptions of zero tensile strength in concrete and plane stress field. Concrete and reinforcement are idealized to rigid-perfectly plastic materials. The bars carry forces in the axial directions only. The yield criterion of concrete is the well known square yield criterion, see fig. 1. From the work on shear problems carried out in Denmark, it is known that the strength of concrete cannot be expected to be the normal uniaxial compressive strength f_c , see f.ex. [1]. The same condition is well known from normal bending calculations. To take this into account we introduce the effective compressive strength νf_c , where $\nu \leq 1$.




Fig. 1. Square yield criterion for concrete.

2. HORIZONTAL CONCENTRATED REINFORCEMENT

2.1 Lower bound solutions

Consider the stress distribution shown in fig. 2. The force T in the reinforcement is transferred to the concrete at the length EF . In the regions ABC and DEF there are hydrostatic compression. In the region $BCDF$ there is uniaxial compression. The hydrostatic stress is equal to the uniaxial stress, and both are equal to the concrete strength νf_c .




Fig. 2. Stress distribution.

From right-angled triangles in fig. 2 we get

$$\left(a + \frac{x}{2}\right)^2 + (h-y)^2 = \left(a - \frac{x}{2}\right)^2 + h^2 - (x^2 + y^2) \quad (1)$$

From this equation we get

$$x = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + 2y(h-y)} \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi \geq v \frac{h_e}{h} \quad (8b)$$



These lower bound solutions are valid when h_e is less than given in (7). If h_e is greater we cannot use this consideration because the tensile force in the reinforcement now is determined by the distance $h - h_e$. At the limit $h = h_e$ the lower bound in this way will be zero.

If h_e is greater than given in (7) we can use the stress distribution in fig. 4. In this case the tensile force is transferred to the concrete as a shear stress $\tau = T/z$. In the region ABC there is hydrostatic compression and in region BCF there is uniaxial compression. All stresses are equal to the concrete strength νf_c .




Fig. 4. Stress distribution.

From right-angled triangles in fig. 4 we get

$$(a - \frac{x}{2})^2 + (h_e - \frac{y}{2})^2 - x^2 - y^2 = (a + \frac{x}{2})^2 + (h_e - \frac{y}{2})^2 \quad (9)$$

From (9) we find

$$x = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + y(2h_e - y)} \quad (10)$$

Also from geometrical considerations we get

$$z = \frac{h_e \sqrt{a^2 + y(2h_e - y)} + ya - h_e a}{h_e - \frac{y}{2}} \quad (11)$$

Now we regard the equilibrium of the triangle DEF. The moment equation gives

$$\sigma = \nu \sigma_c \frac{x^2 + y^2}{z^2} \quad (12)$$

And then the load P turns out to be

$$P = \sigma z = \nu \sigma_c (-a + \sqrt{a^2 + y(2h_e - y)}) \quad (13)$$

This force is equal to the vertical force on AC.

Maximum for (13) is found for $y = h_e$. However, horizontal projection gives

$$T = y \nu \sigma_c = \tau z \leq A f_y \quad (14)$$

This means that $y = \phi h / \nu$, but with maximum $y = h_e$. Inserting in (13) we get the same lower bound solutions as in (8), which then is a general lower bound solution. The stress distribution in fig. 4 can of course be used instead of

the stress distribution in fig. 2 and fig. 3. However, the way of transferring the tensile force to the concrete is quite different.

2.2 Upper bound solutions

The failure mechanism is shown in fig. 5. Part II rotates the angle α about A. The yield line AB has pure compression and AC is a tensile crack. The tensile crack reaches the upper side of the corbel at an arbitrary place inside the load. The work equation consist of 3 contributions W_E , W_{IR} , W_{IC} from the external force, the reinforcement and the concrete.




Fig. 5. Failure mechanism.

The contributions are

$$W_E = P(a + x)\alpha \quad (15)$$

$$W_{IR} = A f_y (h_e - y)\alpha \quad (16)$$

$$W_{IC} = \frac{1}{2} v f_c (x^2 + y^2)\alpha \quad (17)$$

The work equation $W_E = W_{IR} + W_{IC}$ then yields

$$\frac{P}{f_c} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} v (x^2 + y^2) + \Phi h (h_e - y)}{a + x} \quad (18)$$

where $\Phi = (A f_y) / (h f_c)$

Minimizing with respect to x and y we get

$$y = \frac{\Phi}{v} h \quad (19)$$

$$x = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{\Phi h}{v} (2 h_e - \frac{\Phi h}{v})} \quad (20)$$

Inserting in (18) we get the minimum

$$\frac{\tau}{\sigma_c} = -v \frac{a}{h} + \sqrt{\left(v \frac{a}{h}\right)^2 + \Phi \left(2 v \frac{h_e}{h} - \Phi\right)} \quad (21a)$$

The upper limit for the validity of (21a) is the contribution 0 from the reinforcement, that is $h_e = y$ or $\Phi = (h_e/h)$. If the degree of reinforcement is greater we get



$$\frac{\tau}{\sigma_c} = -v \frac{a}{h} + v \sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{h}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{h_e}{h}\right)^2} \quad \Phi \geq v \frac{h_e}{h} \quad (21b)$$

The upper bound solutions (21) are identical with the lower bound solutions (8). The solutions therefore are exact.

3. INCLINED REINFORCEMENT

3.1 Lower bound solutions

In case of inclined reinforcement. The stress distribution is shown in fig. 6. In the regions IKFD, KLGF and CDEB there are uniaxial compression and in the regions ABC, DFE and FGE there are hydrostatic compression. All stresses are equal to the concrete strength. The side EF is perpendicular to the reinforcement and the reinforcement is passing through the middle of EF.




Fig. 6. Stress distribution.

From the geometri we can find two different expressions for the diagonal BD. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - \frac{1}{2}(x + x_1))^2 + (h_e - (a + \frac{1}{2}x)\tan \theta + \frac{1}{2}y)^2 - (x^2 + y^2) \\ & = (a + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}x_1)^2 + (h_e - (a + \frac{1}{2}x)\tan \theta - \frac{1}{2}y)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In this we have $x_1 = y \tan \theta$ and from (22) we find

$$x = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + y(2h_e - 2a \tan \theta - y)} \quad (23)$$

The lower bound solutions is thus

$$P = v \sigma_c (x + x_1) \quad (24)$$

and we get

$$\frac{P}{\sigma_c} = v y \tan \theta + v \sqrt{a^2 + y(2h_e - 2a \tan \theta - y)} - v a \quad (25)$$

Maximum for (25) is found for

$$y = h_e - a \tan \theta + \sin \theta \sqrt{h_e^2 + \left(\frac{a}{\cos \theta}\right)^2 - 2a h_e \tan \theta} \quad (26)$$

However the tensile force must be equal to the compression force on the side EF, which yields

$$y \vee f_c \leq A f_y \cos \theta \quad (27)$$

This means that

$$y = \frac{\Phi}{\vee} h \cos \theta \quad (28)$$

and with the maximum given by (26).

Inserting in (25), and using $\tau = P/h$, we get the lower bound solutions

$$\frac{\tau}{\sigma_c} = \begin{cases} \Phi \sin \theta - \vee \frac{a}{h} + \sqrt{(\vee \frac{a}{h})^2 + \Phi \cos \theta (2 \frac{h_e}{h} \vee - 2 \frac{a}{h} \vee \tan \theta - \Phi \cos \theta)} \\ \vee \frac{h_e}{h} \tan \theta - \vee \frac{a}{h} (1 + \tan^2 \theta) + \frac{\vee}{\cos \theta} \sqrt{(\frac{h_e}{h})^2 + (\frac{a}{h \cos \theta})^2} - \frac{2 a h_e}{h^2} \tan \theta \end{cases} \quad (29a)$$

$$\frac{\tau}{\sigma_c} = \begin{cases} \vee \frac{h_e}{h} \tan \theta - \vee \frac{a}{h} (1 + \tan^2 \theta) + \frac{\vee}{\cos \theta} \sqrt{(\frac{h_e}{h})^2 + (\frac{a}{h \cos \theta})^2} - \frac{2 a h_e}{h^2} \tan \theta \end{cases} \quad (29b)$$

(29a) is valid when

$$\Phi \cos \theta \leq \vee \frac{h_e}{h} - \vee \frac{a}{h} \tan \theta + \frac{\vee}{h} \sin \theta \sqrt{h_e^2 + (\frac{a}{\cos \theta})^2} - 2 a h_e \tan \theta \quad (30)$$

3.2 Upper bound solutions

The failure mechanism is the same as in fig. 5, see fig. 7.

The contributions to the work equation from concrete and from the external load are given by (17) and (16). The contribution from the reinforcement is determined by the distance from A perpendicular to the reinforcement. This distance is $(h_e - y) \cos \theta + x \sin \theta$, and the work equation then is




Fig. 7. Failure mechanism.

$$\frac{P}{\sigma_c} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \vee (x^2 + y^2) + \Phi h ((h_e - y) \cos \theta + x \sin \theta)}{a + x} \quad (31)$$

Minimum is found for

$$y = \frac{\Phi}{\vee} h \cos \theta \quad (32)$$

$$x = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{\Phi}{\vee} h \cos \theta (2 h_e - 2 a \tan \theta - \frac{\Phi}{\vee} h \cos \theta)} \quad (33)$$

Inserting in (31) we find an upper bound solution equal to (29a). The upper limit for the validity of this equation is the contribution 0 from the reinforcement, that is

$$(h_e - y) \cos \theta + x \sin \theta = 0 \quad (34)$$

Inserting (33) in (31) we get (26), and then we get the same limit as in (30). If (30) is not fulfilled, the upper bound solution is (29b).



4. CONCLUSION

The developed upper bound solutions are identical with lower bound solutions. Therefore the solutions (8) and (29) are exact solutions.

In the same way as here, the load carrying capacity of corbels with destributed reinforcement and with combined horizontal and inclined reinforcement can be found. Fig. 8 shows the stress destribution with horizontal and inclined reinforcement.

It is noteworthy that the failure mechanism in all cases is a sort of bending-shear failure. Only in a very special case, a sliding failure gives the right upper bound solution. The sliding failure mechanism corresponds to the stress destribution in fig. 2, where the yield line will appear between C and D.

The load carrying capacity for corbels presented in [1] is therefore only exact when (7) is fulfilled.

The results presented here can of course be transferred to the shear capacity of beams without shear reinforcement.

Just now we carry out a comparesion of the equations with tests.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Nielsen, M.P., M.W. Bræstrup, B.C. Jensen, & F. Bach: Concrete Plasticity, Special Publication, Danish Society of Structural Science and Engineering, Copenhagen 1978, pp 129.




Fig. 8. Stress destribution.