

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen

Band: 29 (1979)

Artikel: Summary of discussion: session 1

Autor: [s.n.]

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-23535>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 23.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION - SESSION I

The discussion of the contributions to Session I turned in the main about several distinct topics and will be summarized under corresponding headings:

1. Relation between Plasticity Theory and Concrete Behaviour

A. Sawczuk stressed the desirability of following a continuum mechanics approach in formulating failure criteria for concrete, and indicated difficulties arising from cracking, stress histories, etc. He also indicated the need for a stress-strain law at yielding. Z. Sobotka elaborated along similar lines, showing interaction formulae for yielding of orthotropic slabs which include the effects of compressibility.

An exchange between D.H. Clyde and W.F. Chen concerned the effect of assumed tensile concrete strength on the rigour of plasticity theory for concrete.

H. Exner's paper elicited several comments. Clark questioned the applicability of the "effectiveness factor" to narrow plastic shear zones. P. Marti pointed out the inability of the formulation to consider local unloading. He also explained the effectiveness factor as a means of obtaining the average failure stress in a particular strain range. In responding, H. Exner agreed as to the importance of the actual stress- or strain history of the problem.

2. Relation between Plain and Reinforced Concrete Behaviour

S.M. Uzumeri pointed out the difficulty of using plain concrete laws as models for actual situations in reinforced concrete members, such as the effect of confinement. M.P. Collins also observed that the strain field in the vicinity of reinforcement could not easily be represented in tests on plain concrete. W.F. Chen responded that before going on to more complicated problems, we need to know the behaviour of the concrete alone.

3. Tensile Strength

M. Virlogeux enquired into our knowledge of tensile behaviour and was answered by T. Brøndum-Nielsen that tensile stress-strain curves had been obtained in Copenhagen.

K.H. Gerstle commented on the inconsistency of a zero-tension strength assumption for zones of high shear. M.W. Braestrup and M.P. Collins pointed out that even in such cases shear strength without tension strength was possible due to confinement effects.

M.D. Kotsovos, on the other hand, observed that cracking should not only be associated with applied tensile stress but also with internal stress concentrations.



4. Shear Transfer Across Cracks

C.T. Morley and R.P. Johnson (in reference to S.I. Sørensen's paper) enquired into shear strength and stiffness across cracks; R.P. Johnson pointed out that the shear stiffness depended on crack width, and therefore crack spacing. S.I. Sørensen explained that in the absence of test data, he had assumed crack widths between 0.0 and 0.5 mm. G. Mehlhorn cited analyses which indicated incompatibility of results with the actual assumed value, other than zero, of the shear stiffness across cracks.

5. Endochronic Theory

Great interest was shown in S.I. Sørensen's comparison of results of plasticity and endochronic analyses. J. Blaauwendraad questioned whether equal care had been used in formulating both approaches; S.I. Sørensen's reply indicated that his endochronic model was more refined than his plasticity model. Computer time requirements also played a role in assessing relative merits; at present, work was under way in Trondheim to improve the efficiency of the endochronic analysis.

K.H. Gerstle pointed out that Darwin and Pecknold had obtained results similar to Sørensen's using a simpler approach, whereupon V. Cervenka suggested care in such comparisons; his analysis (on which these comparisons were based) had neglected one important crack mode, which might have made his panel too stiff. M.D. Kotsovos, finally, commented favorably on the fundamental rigour of the endochronic theory compared to the other analyses.

K.H. GERSTLE