

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen

Band: 4 (1969)

Artikel: Free discussion

Autor: Baker, A.L.L.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-5962>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 29.03.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

A.L.L. BAKER

Prof.
London

In the field of reinforced concrete, statistics of unit strength are available from laboratory tests and can be used to calculate the probability of failure of a structure made of identical material. The possible differences between site concrete and laboratory test specimens, however, are so unpredictable that the probability of failure of a structure may lie between, say, 10^{-6} and 10^{-3} , according to the reliability of the construction supervisor, and many other factors appertaining to the site. Laboratory statistics, however, are useful for calculating and comparing safety factor values for various materials, assuming appropriate statistical distributions and the same probability of failure, as a basic criterion.

From investigations of failures, it appears that the coincidence of extreme weakness and overload, according to typical statistical distributions, never seems to occur. The cause of failure is always a definite fault, such as omission of reinforcement or serious overload. Present safety factor values, used in design in conjunction with good site control, are therefore satisfactory and will continue to avoid the, say, 1 in 10^{-6} hypothetical failure, which appears at first to be statistically inevitable. In the case of concrete, good site control is practised by limiting deviations of strength in concrete at the mixer and by the rejection, at critical sections, of the structure of any material weaker than, say, 85 per cent of characteristic strength.

The difference in philosophy of the laboratory engineer and site supervisor may be reconciled by recognising that safety depends on a double line of defence, viz. control within specified limits at the mixer and the rejection of weak material at critical sections. In addition, overload tests are necessary, when there is uncertainty.

There is sometimes an inconsistency in codes of practice between principles of safety defined in terms of "acceptable probability of failure" and construction requirements, to ensure the rejection of weak material.

Comparing the statistics of road accidents and their inevitability to building failures is to be deprecated. Young structural engineers are in danger of accepting failures as statistically inevitable and alleviating the contractor of his responsibility to reject weak material and apply test loads, where there is doubt.

Margins of safety, as defined by Safety Factor values, must be sufficient to result in weak material and overloading being fairly obvious. The tails of the strength and load histograms for the structure are then hypothetically cut off, unless there is incompetence or irresponsibility and the probability of failure is virtually reduced to zero.