

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen

Band: 4 (1969)

Artikel: Free discussion

Autor: Beer, hermann

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-5946>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 23.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

coming up heads on any flip. If your life depended on your prediction of a single flip, you would probably devote your career to the indicated mechanics and computers, not the mathematical probability theory of independent Bernoulli trials.

So, too, in engineering, probability should be used because it is the most effective way known to treat uncertainty in design. Its use should not interfere with or obscure engineering scientists in their search for better and more useful understanding of the governing physics or phenomenological evidence. Nor need confirmed engineering probabilists despair. These scientific results will reduce but never eliminate our profession's uncertainty. Nature is too complicated to be predicted by the handbook formulas necessary for conventional design practice.

V

Free Discussion / Discussion libre / Freie Diskussion

HERMANN BEER

Prof. Dr.
Graz

In their very interesting paper, Professor Tall and Dr. Alpsten mentioned that it is possible to predict the behaviour of a compression member provided that we have a thorough knowledge of the properties and geometry of the material. I would like to extend this statement by considering the entire safety problem. In his General Report, presented at the 8th IABSE-Congress, one of the leading statisticians in engineering science, Professor A.M. Freudenthal, stated that the principal theoretical problems are the existence of non-random phenomena and the impossibility of observing the relevant random phenomena within the ranges that are significant for safety analysis. Furthermore, statistical results can lead to errors if sufficient data are not available. The behaviour of a structure is somewhat complicated and there are so many different types of structural components and structures that it seems to be impossible to consider the safety problem in a purely probabilistic manner. Therefore, we have to utilise all the deterministic methods that may be helpful in predicting the behaviour of the structure until failure occurs.