Zeitschrift:	IABSE proceedings = Mémoires AIPC = IVBH Abhandlungen
Band:	5 (1981)
Heft:	P-43: Buckling of axially loaded steel columns in fire conditions
Artikel:	Buckling of axially loaded steel columns in fire conditions
Autor:	Vandamme, M. / Janss, J.
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35887

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

Download PDF: 22.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

Buckling of Axially Loaded Steel Columns in Fire Conditions

Flambement de colonnes métalliques chargées axialement, sous l'effet d'incendies

Knicken von axial belasteten Stahlstützen unter Feuereinwirkung

M. VANDAMME

J. JANSS

Scientific Cooperant University of Ghent Ghent, Belgium Chief Engineer C.R.I.F. Liège, Belgium

SUMMARY

A simple method for the design of axially loaded steel columns in fire conditions is presented. The method adopts the European buckling curves for the design of axially loaded bare metal sections as the basic design curves for steel columns in fire conditions.

The method has been compared with experimental results made recently in Belgium and in Denmark and the agreement is shown to be excellent and on the safe side.

RÉSUMÉ

Une méthode analytique simple est proposée pour le calcul des colonnes métalliques chargées axialement et soumises à des températures d'incendie. Dans cette méthode, les courbes européennes de flambement sont adoptées comme courbes de base pour le calcul des colonnes métalliques dans des conditions d'incendie.

La méthode a été comparée avec des résultats d'essai au feu récemment effectués en Belgique et au Danemark. Les charges expérimentales de flambement à haute température concordent très bien avec les valeurs calculées tout en restant du côté de la sécurité.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Die Autoren beschreiben eine analytische Methode für die Berechnung von axial belasteten Stahlstützen unter Feuereinwirkung.

Bei dieser Methode werden die europäischen Knickspannungskurven als Basiskurven für die Berechnung von Stahlstützen unter Feuereinwirkung verwendet. Die Methode wurde mit kürzlich in Belgien und Dänemark durchgeführten Versuchsergebnissen verglichen. Die experimentell ermittelten Knicklasten stimmen sehr gut mit den berechneten Werten überein, welche auf der sicheren Seite bleiben.

1. INTRODUCTION

Up to now there have been few experimental investigations dealing with the stability of steel columns in fire conditions. This is mainly due first to the fact that few laboraties in Europe are equipped with testing apparatus allowing full scale tests; and second to the fact that the main effort has been put on the evaluation of the "fire resistance" of protected columns. Therefore the various existing results concern this last problem [1] and there is few information useful to the solution of the stability problem itself.

In the last years the structural fire engineering design came into existence, the aim of which is to supplement experimental data with analytical methods by which the structural behaviour of steel elements at elevated temperatures can be determined. [2][3][4]

The aim of this paper is to present a simple design method for axially loaded steel columns in fire conditions and to establish the validity of the approach by comparison with the results of an important test programme. The proposed design method is in accordance with the European Recommandations for Steel Construction at ambient temperature. [5]

2. BUCKLING OF STEEL COLUMN AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE

Since Euler's historical approach the design bases of individual compression members have varied widely. The classical approach to the stability of axially loaded hinged steel columns was based on the assumption of a perfectly straight member of homogeneous material without any residual stresses, and of a perfectly centered axial load. In fact the idealized column does not exist and therefore high, more or less arbitrarily determined safety factors have been adopted to cover the decrease of resistance due to the imperfections.

More recently, analyses have been developed to calculate the stability limit of columns with known or assumed imperfections of geometry and loading.

In order to have a common approach to the buckling problem, the European Convention for Constructional Steelwork (E.C.C.S.) decided in the 1960's to carry out an extensive research action concerning compression members with all their geometrical and structural imperfections. The experimental program (more than 1.500 tests done in 7 european countries) and the theoretical investigation were based on statistic and probabilistic principles wherever possible and a computer simulation of the buckling tests using a Monte Carlo method supplemented the theoretical program.

The systematic theoretical investigation based on experimental data showed a wide scatter of column strength depending on the type of cross-section and the manufacturing procedures. It justified the selection of several representative column curves to which the strength of the most commonly used structural sections can be related. [5]

On the basis of this important research work, five new european non-dimensional buckling curves were proposed by E.C.C.S. (figure 1) [5] [6]



The following analytical expressions for the 5 non-dimensional buckling curves were adopted [7]

$$\overline{N} = \frac{1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0, 2) + \overline{\lambda}^2}{2\overline{\lambda}^2} - \frac{1}{2\overline{\lambda}^2} \sqrt{\left[1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0, 2) + \overline{\lambda}^2\right]^2 - 4\overline{\lambda}^2}$$
(1)

with

Curve	a _o	a	b	С	d	
α	0,125	0,206	0,339	0,489	0,756	



Fig.1. E.C.C.S. non dimensional buckling curves

In several countries, the european buckling curves were introduced in the national codes (for exemple in Belgium : NBN B 51-001 [8]).

3. PROPOSAL FOR A DESIGN METHOD FOR AXIALLY LOADED COLUMNS IN FIRE CONDITIONS

3.1. General

To deal with the behaviour of steel columns in fire conditions it seemed logical to follow the same theoretical procedure as the one developed by E.C.C.S. to establish the buckling curves at ambient temperature. However this proved impossible for several reasons. The simulation programme used in the theoretical investigation of E.C.C.S. [5] [6] requires the evaluation of the geometrical imperfections, the residual stresses, the scatter of the yield-stress within a cross-section, the stress-strain relationship for the steel, etc. All these values are well known for ambient temperature conditions. For example : how do the residual stresses behave ? Is the stress-strain relationship in compression the same as in traction and what kind of relationship should be choosen among the various solutions presented in the recent literature ?

For all these reasons, the authors switched to a simple analytical method directly connected to the E.C.C.S. buckling curves at ambient temperature. This method is described in chapter 3.2.

At the present time some countries as Sweden, France, The Netherlands, Denmark have also presented some simple methods which are described in references [2] [4] [3] [9].

3.2. Proposal of a simple design method in accordance with the Recommandations OF THE E.C.C.S.

The european non dimensional buckling curves at ambient temperature are represented by the well known RONDAL-MAQUOI [7] equation adopted by the E.C.C.S. (see § 2)

$$\overline{N} = \frac{1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0, 2) + \overline{\lambda}^2}{2\overline{\lambda}^2} - \frac{1}{2\overline{\lambda}^2} \sqrt{\left[1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0, 2) + \overline{\lambda}^2\right]^2 - 4\overline{\lambda}^2}$$
(1)

This equation depends on the yield-stress σ_r , the YOUNG's modulus E and the slenderness ratio λ .

Several proposals for the variations of the yield-stress and the YOUNG's modulus with the temperature have been recently presented. [2][3][10], and the following relationships proposed by the E.C.C.S. [11] have been adopted :

$$\frac{\sigma_{r,\theta}}{\sigma_{r}} = 1 + \frac{\theta}{767 \ln \frac{\theta}{1750}} \qquad (0 \le \theta \le 600^{\circ} \text{C}) \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{r,\theta}}{\sigma_{r}} = \frac{108(1 - \frac{\theta}{1000})}{\theta - 440} \qquad (600 \le \theta \le 1000^{\circ} \text{C}) \qquad (2)$$

$$E_{\theta} = E \left[-17, 2.10^{-12} \theta^{4} + 11, 8.10^{-9} \theta^{3} - 34, 5.10^{-7} \theta^{2} + 15, 9.10^{-5} \theta + 1\right] \qquad (N/mm^{2}) \qquad (3)$$

In order to transform the general equation of the non dimensional buckling curves at ambient temperature into an equation fitted to temperature θ it seems logical to substitute $\sigma_{r,\theta}$ to σ_r and E_{θ} to E.

It appears immediately that in this transformation the influence of E_{θ} on the value of \overline{N} is negligible so that the resulting equation is as follow

$$\overline{N}_{\theta} = \frac{\sigma_{r,\theta}}{\sigma_{r}} \left[\frac{1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0,2) + \overline{\lambda}^{2}}{2\overline{\lambda}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2\overline{\lambda}^{2}} \sqrt{\left[1 + \alpha(\overline{\lambda} - 0,2) + \overline{\lambda}^{2}\right] - 4\overline{\lambda}^{2}} \right]$$
(4)

IABSE PERIODICA 3/1981



For safety reasons it has been decided to cover presently all classes of profiles by one single curve corresponding to curve "c". This position could be modified in the future when more experimental results covering a wider range of sections and steel qualities are available.

Figure 2 shows the non dimensional buckling curves corresponding to different temperatures. Equation (4) and the curves of figure 2 have the great advantage to be independent of the steel quality and can thus be used to design columns witch different yield strengths in fire conditions.



4. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED DESIGN METHOD

4.1. Test procedure

The buckling tests at elevated temperature were performed using the test equipment of the University of GHENT under leadership of Professor MINNE, director of the Fire Research Station.

In the test equipment and the tests an extreme care has been taken :

- 1° to achieve and to verify a correct axiality of the load;
- 2° to ensure high accuracy concerning the load level;
- 3° to avoid any initial bending moment which may be induced by small inclination of the supporting beams of the frame and the ends of the column itself. For this purpose special end blocks have been developed. These end fixtures provide a perfect rotational restraint at both ends. (figure 3) [12]

In order to verify the points mentionned, load tests at regular intervals at ambient temperature are carried out and the accuracy of the whole loading system is checked by deformation measurements on standard columns as well as some test elements.



Fig. 3 Special end fixture

4.2. Test results

4.2.1. Prelimmary tests

The test programme involved 33 columns (4 tests at ambient temperature and 29 tests in fire conditions) and the choosen parameters were the type of profile and the slenderness ratio λ .

Before testing, the profiles were submitted to the usual measurements in order to check the importance of the geometrical and structural imperfections and it was found that all columns were within the geometrical and structural tolerances adopted by E.C.C.S. [5] [6] for the study of buckling at ambient temperature.

4.2.2. Buckling tests at ambient temperature

In the furnace of the University of GHENT, the columns are placed in a vertical position and clamped in special end fixtures intended to provide a perfect rotational restraint at both ends.

To assess the actual end conditions of the columns - which constitute a very important point for all-subsequent calculation and interpretation - four buckling tests have been made at ambient temperature.

Table 1 and 2 give the geometrical and mechanical properties of the columns tested at ambient temperature and the results of the buckling tests.

	t	ested a ambient	temperature.		
Column id.	Type of profile	Length of the column l en mm	Slenderness ratio λ (*)	Measured yield-stress r N/mm ²	Actual area of the cross section (A _a) mm ² (xx)
0.1	HEB 200	3780	37,28	247,5	7787
0.2 .	HEB 200	3780	37,28	247,5	7787
0.3	HEB 200	3780	37,28	247,5	7787
0.4	HEB 140	3780	53,49	245,0	4300
× λ = -	l 2i it is a	ssumed that the	columns have f	ixed end conditi	ons

Table 1 Mechanical and geometrical properties of the columns

xx values obtained by the weight method assuming a specific weight for steel
 of 7850 kg m⁻³

	Table 2 R	esults o	f the bucki	ng tests at a	ambient to	emperatur	2.
Column id.	Type of profile	λ	Critical load ^P cr KN	Critical buckling stress ^P cr ^o cr ₂ 0 ⁻ A N/mm ²	$\overline{\lambda} = \pi \frac{E}{\sigma_r}$	$\frac{N_{\text{test}}}{\frac{\sigma_{\text{cr},20}}{\sigma_{\text{r}}}}$	$rac{\overline{N}_{test}}{\overline{N}_{theo}}$
0.1	HEB 200	37,28	1774,2	227,84	0,410	0,92	1,034
0.2	HEB 200	37,28	2236,4	287,20	0,410	1,16	1,303
0.3	HEB 200	37,28	2057,2	264,18	0,410	1,07	1,202
0.4	HEB 140	53,49	934,0	217,21	0,591	0,88	1,116

The average value of the ration $(\frac{N_{test}}{N_{theo}})_{av}$. between the experimental buckling

stress and the theoretical stress obtained from the corresponding characteristic buckling curve of E.C.C.S. [5] for a fixed ends column is 1,16. As the use of this curve with a confidence level of 97,5 % may seem too

optimistic the average value of the ratio $\frac{N}{N}$ test was also computed between the \overline{N} theo

experimental stress and the stress obtained from the corresponding mean curve of E.C.C.S. which has a confidence level of 50 % and the result obtained in this case is 0,995. These results confirm the assumption of fixed ends for the columns tested in the furnace of the University of GHENT.

4.2.3. Buckling tests at elevated temperatures

For fire testing each column is loaded axially and submitted to the thermal exposure according to the ISO 834 standard. The load is applied to the column at ambient temperature and kept constant for the whole duration of the fire test. The longitudinal expansion of the loaded column under fire is free.

88

a) Failure criterion of axially loaded columns at elevated temperature

Since for loaded columns no failure criterion received international acceptance, a choice had to be made. Is considered as time of failure, the time at which the thermal elongation is annihilated by the shrinkage of the column. This shrinkage can be due to thermal degradation for concrete or thermal flow in the case of steel columns for example (figure 4). This failure criterion shows some advantages over other criteria such as a limitation of the speed of deformation :

- 1° The criterion is easy to apply and to measure. It is not subject to (mis)interpretation.
- 2° Practice showed that the above defined time precedes very closely the full collapse, i.e. the instant when the loading system can not maintain the full load, because of the speed of deformation. The later instant however depends on the caracteristics of the loading system.
- 3° The criterion is totally independent of the length of the column and practically independent of the pump caracteristics of the hydraulic system.
- 4° The point of zero deformation has some physical meaning in terms of a potential redistribution of the forces in the members of a structure.





b) Results of buckling tests at elevated temperature

The buckling tests at elevated temperature are divided in two series :

- 1° a series of eleven short columns with a slenderness ratio λ equal to 25. For this series no measurements of the actual yield stress were made and the guaranteed nominal yield stress was considered.
- 2° a series of eighteen columns with slenderness ratios comprised between 25 and 102. For this second series the actual yield stress of each column was measured.

The geometrical and mechanical properties of the 29 tested columns as well as the test results are summarized in table 3.

Т

Т	able 3 Bu Me Te	uckling tests echanical an est results	in fire co d geometrio	onditions cal propect	ies of th	e test	t pieces
Column id.	Type of profile	Slenderness ratio λ (x)	Yield Strength N/mm ²	Area of the cross section A a N/mm ²	Applied stress ^o cr,t N/mm ²	R _f min	Critical temperature © (***) ° C
1.1 *** 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 ** 1.10 1.11 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9 2.10 2.11 2.12 2.13 2.14 2.15 2.16 2.17 2.18	HEA 300 HEA 300 HEA 300 HEA 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 HEB 300 IPE 160 IPE 160 IPE 160 IPE 160 IPE 160 IPE 120 HEB 12	25,23 25,23 25,23 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 24,93 102,72 102,72 102,72 102,72 84,83 61,76 61,76 41,36 61,76 41,36 37,95 25,23 34,75 37,77 85,49 53,49 53,49 53,49 53,49	en lex 235 235 235 272,5 272,5 272,0 272,0 272,0 266,5 266,5 279,0 266,5 266,5 279,0 261,0 267,5 252,0 261,0 267,5 252,0 218,0 272,0 261,0 267,5 252,0 218,0 272,0 247,0 272,0 247,0 273,0 273,0 273,0	en lev leuimon 14280 1997 1997 2812 2812 3327 6120 6120 5301 10650 5990 7574 2765 4083 4083 3459 3459	137,3 137,3 137,3 137,3 157,0 176,6 157,0 104,7 78,5 92,3 136,8 125,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 91,3 162,2 89,9 91,3 162,2 89,9 91,9 17,0 152,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 91,9 17,0 152,8 134,1 152,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 91,9 17,0 152,8 134,1 152,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 91,9 17,0 152,8 134,8 125,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 91,9 117,0 132,6 90,9 91,9 117,0 132,6 90,9 91,9 117,0 132,6 90,9 91,9 117,0 10	17 115 157 185 123 110 146 135 17 59 160 58 97 64 92 45 55 130 90 108 85 110 116 231 102 124 115 101 82	610 553 541 559 492 444 510 578 498 582 560 588 564 486 559 394 519 561 616 560 565 561 502 549 250 516 576 522 508
(x) C (xx) U	olumn leng nprotected	yth exposed t d column.	o fire : 3	780 mm; buc	kling len	gth :	1890 mm.
(xxx) T i	he critica n fig. 4	al temperatur	e 0 corres	ponds to th	e failure	time	R _f defined

Most of the columns are insulated in order to have a slower temperature increase. With this method a more accurate temperature measurement is possible. Only two columns are unprotected (n° 1.1 and 1.9).

4.3. Comparison of the test results with the proposed design method

Knowing for each column the actual or nominal yield stress σ_r , the slenderness ratio λ and the critical temperature θ , it is easy to calculate the theoretical buckling stress at the temperature θ : $\sigma_{cr,\theta}$

$$\sigma_{cr,\theta} = \sigma_{r}.\overline{N}_{\theta} \text{ with } \overline{N}_{\theta} = \frac{\sigma_{r,\theta}}{\sigma_{r}} \overline{N}_{20} \quad (\text{equation (4)})$$

and $\overline{N}_{20} = f(\overline{\lambda}_{20}) \quad (\text{equation (1)})$
$$\overline{\lambda}_{20} = \lambda/\pi \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{r}}}$$

The analytical approach for the determination of $\sigma_{\text{cr},\theta}$ is derived from the

E.C.C.S. approach [5][6] which is based on the characteristic value of the mechanical properties of steel columns where the imperfection parameter is choosen in such way the buckling curves are characteristic curves with a 2,3 % confidence level.

A discrepancy arises when the fire resistance of a steel column is determined on one hand by a standard fire resistance test and on the other hand by an analytical approach based on characteristic values - Generally such an analytical method gives more conservative values than the test method.

PETTERSON and WITTEVEEN [13] have developed a correction procedure which leads to an improved consistency between an analytically and experimentally determined fire resistance. In this procedure, for steel columns, the calculated critical buckling stress $\sigma_{cr,\theta}$ is multiplied by a factor of magnification f which includes corrections with respect to representative deviations from the assumptions listed for the real structural element.

The corrected buckling stress

f.σ_{cr,θ}

obtained in this way, can be considered as approximately consistent with the corresponding stress determine in a fire resistance test.

The factor of magnification f columns is given by the formulas [11]

 $f = 1 + \frac{1}{1500} \theta$ $0 < \theta < 300^{\circ} C$ (5) 0 ≥ 300° C (5 bis) f = 1.2

Table 4 lists the comparative test ultimate stresses in fire conditions against the design stresses obtained from equation (4) or figure 2 and corrected with the magnification factor f.

It is noteworthy that a large majority of test buckling stresses in fire conditions are safely predicted by the design curves or by equation (4). It must be reminded however, that for the calculation of the theoretical limit load, the IPE profiles were in some way downgraded, as the curve "c" was used instead of "b", as usual at ambient temperature. Now, despite this apparently conservative measure, the IPE profiles do not show a substantially better behaviour that the HE shapes; two of the three test results which fall below the theoretical values correspond to IPE columns. It appears therefore reasonable at the present time to cover all classes of profiles by one simple curve corresponding to E.C.C.S. buckling curve c.

90

Tab	le 4 (Compariso	on betw	een te	est re	sults ar	nd the pr	oposed d	esign me	thod
Column id.	λ	σr N/mm ²	™ ₂₀	°C	^σ r,0 ^σ r	^σ cr,Θ N/mm ²	f.σ _{cr⊙} N/mm ²	Test result ^σ cr,t N/mm ²	(*) <u>^ocr,t</u> f.o _{cr0}	(x)(x) ^o cr,t f.o _{crð}
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 1.10 1.11	25,23 25,23 25,23 24,93 24,93 24,93 25,54 24,93 24,93 24,93	Nominal value 532	0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965 0,965	610 553 541 559 492 444 510 578 498 582 560	0,25 0,37 0,40 0,36 0,49 0,58 0,46 0,32 0,48 0,31 0,36	56,69 83,91 90,71 81,64 111,12 131,53 104,32 72,57 108,85 70,30 81,64	68,03 100,69 108,85 97,97 133,34 157,84 125,18 87,08 130,62 84,36 97,97	137,3 137,3 137,3 137,3 157,0 176,6 157,0 157,0 157,0 157,0 157,0		2,01 1,36 1,26 1,40 1,18 1,12 1,25 1,80 1,20 1,86 1,54
				Mea	an val	ue				1,452
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.10 2.11 2.12 2.13 2.14 2.15 2.16 2.17 2.18	24,93 102,72 102,72 84,83 61,76 61,76 41,36 37,95 25,23 34,75 37,77 85,49 53,49 53,49 53,49 72,22 72,22	274,0 272,5 272,5 272,0 266,5 279,0 266,5 279,0 279,0 279,0 218,0 272,0 218,0 272,0 218,0 272,0 218,0 272,0 218,0 273,0 247,0 273,0	0,956 0,447 0,553 0,553 0,719 0,719 0,856 0,856 0,884 0,956 0,951 0,905 0,547 0,795 0,795 0,795 0,635 0,635	588 564 486 559 394 519 561 616 560 565 561 502 549 250 516 576 522 508	0,30 0,35 0,51 0,36 0,44 0,36 0,36 0,36 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,36 0,38 0,38 0,38 0,48 0,38 0,45 0,32 0,44 0,46	78,58 42,63 62,12 54,15 99,27 84,31 68,98 54,93 85,98 80,75 92,06 110,20 74,97 123,49 88,36 62,84 76,28 79,74	94,30 51,16 74,54 64,98 119,12 101,17 82,78 65,92 103,18 96,90 110,48 132,20 89,96 144,48 106,40 75,40 91,53 95,69	134,1 56,5 75,3 69,9 93,3 104,7 78,5 92,3 136,8 125,8 133,9 162,2 89,9 117,0 132,6 90,9 91,9 118,5	1,42 1,10 1,01 1,08 0,78 1,03 0,95 1,40 1,33 1,30 1,21 1,23 1,00 0,81 1,25 1,21 1,00 1,24	1,66 1,16 1,08 1,15 0,85 1,13 1,05 1,61 1,54 1,32 0,93 0,87 1,31 1,22 1,11 1,24
				Mea	in val	ue			1,13	1,23
(*) ^σ c (**) ^σ c	r,0 ^{is} r,0 ^{is}	calculat calculat	ed wit ed wit	h the h the	actua nomin	l value al value	of the y of the	ield str vield st	ess ress	

The average values of the ratio of the experimental buckling stress ($\sigma_{cr,t}$) to the corrected calculated stress (f. $\sigma_{\text{cr},\theta})$ are respectively :

- for the first test series of 11 columns with a slenderness ratio equal to 25 and a nominal yield stress of 235 $\ensuremath{\text{N/mm}}^2$:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{cr,t}}{f_{\sigma_{cr,\theta}}}\right)_{av}$$
 = 1,452; s = 0,307; V = 21 % and a variance of 0,0857

- for the second test series of 18 columns with slenderness ratio comprised between 25 and 102 and using the actual value of the yield stress of each column

 $\left(\frac{\sigma_{cr,t}}{f \cdot \sigma_{cr,\theta}}\right)_{av}$ = 1,13; s = 0,187; V = 17 % and a variance of 0,030

- for this second series of 18 columns the same calculation is made with a nominal yield stress of 235 N/mm^2 :

$$\left(\frac{cr,t}{f.\sigma_{cr,\theta}}\right)_{av}$$
 = 1,23; s = 0,238 and V = 20 % and a variance of 0,0530

- for the 29 tests and considering a nominal yield stress of 235 N/mm² : $\left(\frac{\sigma_{cr,t}}{f.\sigma_{cr,\theta}}\right)_{av} = 1,31; s = 0,284 and V = 22 \% and a variance of 0,0770$

Figure 5 shows the results of the 29 tests against the theoretical 45° straight line representing perfect concordance between test and theory.



Fig. 5 Comparison between experimental and theoretical results

It can be concluded from this series of 29 tests, that the proposed simple design method for the critical loads of steel columns exposed to fire is in good agreement with the experimental results.

4.4. Comparison of the proposed method with tests made recently in Denmark

In a recent paper of the Institute of Building Technology and Structural Engineering of the Aalborg Universitetcenter a series of full scale tests on centrally loaded steel columns at elevated temperatures is described. [8]

The columns were tested in a horizontal position in a special furnace. In these tests the load was increased with a constant loading rate until buckling while the temperature of the furnace was kept constant (20° C; 200° C; 400° C and 500° C).

The results of these tests are summarized in table 5.

Ta	ble 5 Resu	ilts of	the dan	ish buck	ling te	ests at el	evated	temperatu	re
Column id.	Type of profile	λ	°r N/mm ²	ocr,t N/mm ²	e °C	№ ₂₀	^σ r,⊙ ^σ r	^σ cr,∋ N/mm ²	^c cr,t f.c _{cr,0}
H24.06 H24.07 H24.09 H24.10 H36.02 H36.03 H36.04 H36.05 H42.01 H42.02 H42.03 H42.05	HEA 100	95 95 94 144 143 143 143 143 167 166 167	Nominal value [9] 070 072	108 175 60 110 86 67 36 62 29 58 50 60	400 200 550 200 400 550 440 550 440 550 400 460 200	0,5263 0,5263 0,5315 0,5263 0,3078 0,3109 0,3139 0,3139 0,3139 0,2432 0,2432 0,2432 0,2432	0,65 0,88 0,38 0,48 0,65 0,38 0,58 0,58 0,55 0,55 0,88	82,10 111,15 48,47 60,63 65,01 48,50 28,63 43,28 22,18 37,94 32,41 51,36	1,10 1,31 1,03 1,51 1,10 1,15 1,05 1,19 1,09 1,27 1,29 0,97
Mean value 1,1							1,17		

The concordance of the danish test results with the proposed design method is also excellent. It can be seen that the average value of $\frac{\sigma_{cr,t}}{f.\sigma_{cr,\Theta}}$ for the 12 buckling tests on HEA profiles at elevated temperature is equal to 1,17 with a standard deviation of 0,16 and a coefficient of variation of 13 %.

CONCLUSIONS

A simple design method for steel columns under concentric loading in fire conditions is presented. The method is in accordance with the Recommandations of the European Convention of Constructional Steelwork (E.C.C.S.) for the design of steel columns at ambient temperature. The general equation of E.C.C.S. buckling curves is modified in order to take into account the effect of the temperature on the steel properties and the obtained design method is independant of the yield strength of the steel columns. The modification leads to the same expression as that used for bare steel columns and enables these curves to be used as the base design curves in fire conditions. For safety reasons, only curve "c" is recommended at the present time.

The method has been compared with a large number of experimental results obtained in Belgium and in Denmark, on steel columns in fire conditions with slenderness ratio varying between 25 and 167. A correction procedure has been introduced to achieve an improved consistency between the analytical and experimental fire resistance. The agreement is shown to be excellent on the safe side.

Due to the fact that up to now no criterion for buckling in fire conditions is available in national or international Standards, it is proposed to consider as time of failure, the time at which the thermal elongation is annihilated by the skrinkage of the column. This criterion has the advantage to be easy to apply and to measure and to be independent of the column length. It is not subject to interpretation and it is based on scientific experience.

94 IABSE PROCEEDINGS P-43/81

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research was possible with the financial support of the Belgian Ministry of Interior (Department of Civil Protection), the Organisation for Scientic Research for Industry and Agriculture (IWONL-IRSIA) and the Center for Scientific and Technical Research in the Metalworking Industry (CRIF). Several industrial companies have also collaborated. The authors wish to express their gratitude to all persons and organisations who made this work possible.

NOTATIONS

А	:	nominal area of cross section
Aa	:	actual area of cross section
E	:	modulus of elasticity
Pcr	:	column strength for axial load at ambient temperature
R _f	:	failure time of a column
٧	:	coefficient of variation
S	:	standard deviation
t	:	time
Θ	:	critical temperature corresponding to the failure time ${\rm R}_{\rm f}$
σr	:	yield strength of steel at ambient temperature
^σ r,Θ	:	yield strength of steel at temperature $\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle\ominus}$
^σ cr,20	:	theoretical buckling stress at ambient temperature
^σ cr,t	:	experimental buckling stress at elevated temperature
^σ cr,Θ	:	theoretical buckling stress at temperature Θ
λ	:	slenderness ratio
δ	:	thermal elongation



REFERENCES

- 1. BOURGUIGNON M. et BEHETS F. : Guide pour la protection des éléments de construction en acier contre l'incendie. C.B.L.I.A. Brussels 1974
- PETTERSON O., MAGNUSSON S. and THOR J. : Fire Engineering Design of Steel Structures. Swedish Institute of Steel Construction. Stockholm 1976
- WITTEVEEN J., TWILT L. : Brandveiligheid Staalconstructies. Staal Centrum Nederland 1980
- KRUPPA J. : Calcul des températures critiques des structures en acier. Revue Construction Métallique. Septembre 1976
- European Recommandations for steel constructions : European Convention for Constructional Steelwork (E.C.C.S.) 1978
- Second International Colloquium on Stability E.C.C.S. Introductory Report. Liège, April 1977.
- RONDAL J., MAQUOI R. : Formulations d'Ayrton-Perry pour le flambement des barres métalliques. Construction Métallique n° 4. 1979.
- 8. NBN B 51-001 Charpentes en acier IBN-Brussels.
- 9. OLESEN F.B. : Fire tests on steel columns. Institute of Building Technology and Structural Engineering. Aalborg-Denmark 1980.
- KLINGSCH W. : Traglastberechnung instationär thermisch belasteter schlanker Stahlbetondruckglieder mittels zwei – und dreidimensionaler Diskretisierung. Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Baustoffe, Massivbau und Brandschutz der Technischen Universität Braunschweig. Heft 33, 1976.
- 11. Final Draft of the European Recommendations for the design of steel structures exposed to the standard fire E.C.C.S. TC 3 July 1979.
- MINNE R., VANDAMME M. : De Weerstand tegen Brand van Stalen Kolommen -R.U.G. (State University of GHENT) Laboratorium voor Aanwending der Brandstoffen en Warmte-Overdracht. Proefverslagen n° 3648 - 3659 Oktober 1979.
- PETTERSON O., WITTEVEEN J. : On the fire resistance of structural steel elements, derived from standard fire tests or by calculation. Fire safety Journal 2, 1979/80 Elsevier Sequoia S.A. Lausanne.

95