

Zeitschrift: IABSE structures = Constructions AIPC = IVBH Bauwerke
Band: 11 (1987)
Heft: C-42: Recent structures

Artikel: Kota multiflue chimney (India)
Autor: Prabhaka, N.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-20381>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 08.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>



2. Kota Multiflue Chimney (India)

Owner: Rajasthan State Electricity Board
Project Consultant: Desein (New Delhi) Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi
Consultant on Aero-Dynamic aspect: Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
Engineer & Contractor: Gammon India Limited, Bombay
Construction: 1984 – 1986

Structure

The chimney is 180 m high to serve the 2×210 MW units of the Kota Thermal Power Project, Stage II, Rajasthan, India. It consists of an outer shell in reinforced concrete with an external diameter of 16.6 m at the top tapering to 23.7 m at the base (Fig. 1). The shell thickness varies along its height from 300 mm at the top to 850 mm at the base. The internal flues, 3 in number, consist of a 115 mm thick refractory brick lining with a 4.9 m internal diameter, and wrapped around with 50 mm thick mineral-wool insulation to resist thermal effects due to flue gas temperature of 182°C . The flues are supported on reinforced concrete floors spaced at 10 m centres. Construction of the outer shell was completed in February 1986 using slip-form technique, and while that of the internal floors has been completed in the meantime.




Fig. 1 General arrangement




Fig. 2 Bending moment in shell in kN-M

Design

Wind force forms the major external applied loading in the design of the chimney. The basis for calculation of wind pressures acting on the structure is the Indian Standard Code of Practice IS:875-1964. The chimney is designed for a basic wind pressure of 1.5 kN/sq.m up to 30 m height, and there above increasing in value with height, with a maximum value of 2.14 kN/sq.m at the top. Along-wind moments on the shell are calculated considering a drag coefficient of 0.7 (Fig. 2).

The chimney being a tall structure with low inherent structural damping, an investigation of cross-wind response due to vortex shedding was necessary. In circular chimneys, aero-dynamic problems arise from fluctuating distribution on the shell due to alternate shedding of vortices from airflow at opposite sides of the chimney. Several analytical methods have been developed over the years to analyse cross-wind response of structures. However, a reliable prediction of the response is still not possible in view of uncertain ties in the value of some of the parameters adopted in design. For the analysis of this chimney, a Strouhal number of 0.2, and ratio of Lift Coefficient to Fraction of Critical Damping equal to 16 are considered. The natural frequency in the fundamental mode is 0.51 Hz, and the critical wind speed at this frequency, at one-third height from the top, is 45 m/sec. As this critical speed is lower than the design wind speed of 50 m/sec at the corresponding level, resonance in the fundamental mode is expected to take place, but resonance at higher modes is not likely to occur since the critical wind speed in these cases are more than the design wind speed.

The Mass Damping Parameter (Scruton number) K_s , by which the probability of aero-dynamic stability is assessed, works out to 15.24 for the chimney by considering a Logarithmic Decrement of Damping equal to 0.03, and this K_s value is lower than the usual accepted figure of 20 to 25 as found by Scruton by model studies. If the K_s value is to be increased to say 20, is meant providing an additional mass of 10 500 kN (= 420 cu.m of concrete) as a mass-tuned damper at the top level of the chimney which was not practicable. The resonance moments as seen in Fig. 2, are very high as compared to along-wind moments, and if these are considered in design, it would obviously lead to very thick and uneconomical shell sections. Hence, means of suppressing the vortex excitation was considered necessary.

Suppression of Vortex Shedding

A large number of remedial measures to suppress or alleviate the effects of periodic vortex excitation have been developed. For practical considerations, only Scruton's continuous strakes on steel chimneys have found widest use. More than thirty reinforced concrete chimneys in India have been mounted with discrete helical strakes at the advice of the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, following their extensive testing of models in wind tunnel. For this chimney, a total number of 39 discrete strakes of size 1.8 m wide \times 2.25 m high are provided above 92 m level along three start helices at a vertical spacing of 6.75 m, and displayed by 30° in




Fig. 3 Skakes arrangement




Fig. 4 Jawaharnagar Chimneys

azimuth along each helix (Fig. 3). Each strake is designed for an enhanced wind pressure of 8 kN/sq.m which is equal to three times the design wind pressure at the maximum elevation. The strakes are in mild steel amounting to 32 t of fabrication, and are hot-dip galvanised and further treated with epoxy paint for durability against acid corrosion. In view of increased cross-sectional area presented to the wind by mounting strakes, the drag coefficient considered earlier in the along-wind calculation is enhanced by 25%, and this increase in wind pressures did not pose much of a problem in the design of the shell. Although strakes increase the wind moments in shell, there is very little doubt about their economical advantages over the thick sections resulting from designing for high values of dynamic resonant moments, particularly in large diameter chimneys as this chimney is.

Aero-dynamic Interference

The use of discrete helical strakes on chimneys is extended in situations where there is aero-dynamic interference. In large power stations, it is a common practice to locate two or more chimneys in a line, and research has shown that vortex-induced lateral movements in such a case increase in the upstream chimney due to very strong interference effect on the downstream chimneys. The maximum increase in amplitude over that of an isolated chimney is when spacing of the chimneys is 5 times the chimney diameter, and the magnitude of this increase in amplitude is as much as 10 for 1 in 50 taper chimney, and about 5 for 1 in 40 taper. The interference effect becomes negligible at spacings greater than 20 times the diameter. The size of strakes in such cases depends on the spacing and taper of chimneys, and on magnification of the oscillatory load. Fig. 4 shows two closely-spaced chimneys, 100 m high, at Indian Petro-Chemicals Limited, Jawaharnagar (India), (Engineers: Engineers India Limited, Contractor: Gammon India Limited), where discrete helical strakes in mild steel are mounted on the reinforced concrete shell at top elevation to suppress vortex shedding due to aero-dynamic interference.

(N. Prabhaka)