

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE bulletin = Bulletin AIPC = IVBH Bulletin  
**Band:** 7 (1983)  
**Heft:** B-28: IABSE bulletin

**Vereinsnachrichten:** Engineering forum

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

#### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 23.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## Engineering Forum

**Discussion to "No way out" by Dr. H. Wittfoht**  
 (see IABSE Bulletin B-26/83)  
**sent in by Mr. G. Plümer**

"With pleasure I read about the initiation of the "Engineering Forum" which adds one more interesting facet to IABSE's fine publications, and I shall gladly respond to your call.

Let me start with the note just below your own on page 9 of the Bulletin B-26/83. I heartily agree with your vice-chairman's opinion that the decision (17 U 82/80 of the Superior Court at Frankfurt/Main) is a misjudgement. The owner was asking for a defect-free structure, but of a type which, due to its very nature, and in spite of total compliance with all rules and regulations, a priori can never be built without fault. The contractor therefore had only two ways to choose from: either to select his own method, and incorporate all possible (even future) costs in his bid price, in order to be adequately remunerated, or — refrain from the job.

However, I differ from Mr. Wittfoht's point of view in the two following issues: Firstly one should not lump together reinforced and prestressed concrete, since they behave differently. Secondly cracks in reinforced concrete **should possibly**, cracks in prestressed concrete **must definitely** be avoided by all means, because in the latter they are fatally dangerous.

Which leads me to the other item I am seriously concerned about: Too many renowned and excellent people spend too much time on developing or refining methods of prestressed concrete constructions which are not — and probably never will be — capable of yielding defect-free (that is crackless) prestressed concrete, instead of devoting their efforts to new flawless systems. Was not prestressing meant to relieve us of cracks in concrete once for all?"

*Günther Plümer, Waiblingen,  
 Federal Republic of Germany*

**Comment by Mr. B. Wex,**  
 Chairman of the Technical Committee of IABSE

I am delighted to welcome Mr. Plümer's contribution to the topic raised by Dr. Wittfoht although in my opinion Mr. Plümer's arguments are directed to a particular question rather than a matter of principle. Nonetheless we want this Engineering Forum to be a lively feature and I feel sure my responses to Mr. Plümer will not terminate the discussion of this particular matter or affairs related to it.

Dr. Wittfoht's point was — can the Contractor legally be forced to cover repair costs in the case where he designed and built the structure following strictly all codes and regulations? The Court's decision was "Yes he can" and even worse, the Client is not forced to pay for additional measures taken to adjust apparent deficiencies in codes and regulations. But then again he can oblige the Contractor...?

This is not just a story related to cracks in a bridge. It is a fundamental question to be answered no matter what material or form of construction is used. The first alternative proposed by Mr. Plümer in the last sentence of his 2nd paragraph, namely to incorporate (and charge for) additional material, would be unsuccessful in the long term. If the contract award was on minimum price alone, only a Contractor leaving out precautionary (as opposed to statutory) material would be appointed as his price presumably would be lowest. Therefore in the case of the bridges in question the Client would presumably suffer cracks in all similar structures. Mr. Plümer's second choice — refrain from tendering for such work — might in the long run produce a more rational reaction from the Authorities — but only if all contractors adopted the same policy. The situation in the Federal Republic of Germany is particularly interesting to me, an Englishman. In FRG, construction is operated very much on "the design and build" basis, i. e. the Contractor is responsible for both design and construction. On the other hand in the U.K. design is usually done by a Consulting Engineer, the construction contract being awarded on a competitive bidding basis. I rather think under English law, a Consulting Engineer who has produced a design in accordance with extensive and up-to-date codes might not have to pay for remedying design defects arising from direct obedience to those codes. However, he might well have to pay for failures arising from design matter over which the codes fail to say anything. It is quite sure that under the English system the Contractor would not have to pay for rectification for failures in service since he is in no way responsible for the design.

For a structure, say a bridge, supplied on a design and build basis in the U.K. I think the Contractor would have similar responsibilities in relation to the rectification of design defects as described for the Consulting engineer in my previous paragraph.

I must emphasize the above views are my opinions and not based on legal judgements. If I seem to paint a picture of sweet legal reason in U.K. I should say that Consulting Engineers and Architects are very unhappy with our laws in relation to construction responsibility. The designer's liability for defects can go on for ever, and can include the

cost of remedial work necessitated by faults arising from bad workmanship on the part of the Contractor if the latter has gone out of business. The British Group of IABSE is so concerned over the situation as it affects engineers in U.K. that it will be holding a small colloquium at Cambridge in 1984 to discuss the matter of professional responsibility. This colloquium might well be the forerunner of an international event on liability for defects in design and construction if the question proves to be of sufficient interest.

Regarding Mr. Plümer's views upon reinforced and pre-stressed concrete, I think these also are questions which can produce very lengthy debate and perhaps should be discussed in the appropriate committees rather than here. Indeed, since the time of Freyssinet opinions have changed considerably and for various reasons the trend is towards partial pre-stressing."

*Bernard Wex,  
London, UK*

## 1. Activity Report, August 1982 – August 1983

### Rapport d'activité, août 1982 – août 1983

### Tätigkeitsbericht, August 1982 – August 1983

#### Committee Meetings

##### Executive Committee

Washington, DC, September 6/7, 1982

##### Technical Committee

Washington, DC, September 6/7, 1982

Paris, March 17, 1983

##### Permanent Committee

Washington, DC, September 8, 1982

##### Liaison Committee

Bruxelles, November 5, 1982

#### Technical Meetings

- IABSE Workshop  
Tokyo, August 31 – September 1, 1982  
"Health and Safety in Construction"

- IABSE Symposium  
Washington, DC,  
September 9-10, 1982  
"Maintenance, Repair and Rehabilitation of Bridges"

- IABSE Colloquium  
Bergamo, October 6-8, 1982  
"Informatics in Structural Engineering"

- IABSE Colloquium  
Copenhagen, May 30 – June 2, 1983  
"Ship Collision with Bridges and Offshore Structures"

- IABSE Workshop  
Rigi, June 8-10, 1983  
"Quality Assurance within the Building Process"

#### Réunions

##### Comité Exécutif

Washington, DC, 6/7 septembre 1982

##### Comité Technique

Washington, DC, 6/7 septembre 1982

Paris, 17 mars 1983

##### Comité Permanent

Washington, DC, 8 septembre 1982

##### Comité de Liaison

Bruxelles, 5 novembre 1982

#### Manifestations

- Workshop AIPC  
Tokyo, 31 août – 1<sup>er</sup> septembre 1982  
"Santé et sécurité dans la construction"

- Colloque AIPC  
Washington, DC,  
9-10 septembre 1982  
"Entretien, réparation et modification des ponts"

- Séminaire AIPC  
Bergame, 6-8 octobre 1982  
"Informatique et constructions de génie civil"

- Séminaire AIPC  
Copenhague, 30 mai – 2 juin 1983  
"Collisions de bateaux avec des ponts et des constructions en mer"

- Workshop AIPC  
Rigi, 8-10 juin 1983  
"Quality Assurance within the Building Process"

#### Kommisionssitzungen

##### Vorstand

Washington, DC, 6./7. September 1982

##### Technische Kommission

Washington, DC, 6./7. September 1982

Paris, 17. März 1983

##### Ständiger Ausschuss

Washington, DC, 8. September 1982

##### Comité de Liaison

Brüssel, 5. November 1982

#### Technische Veranstaltungen

- IVBH Workshop  
Tokio, 31. August – 1. September 1982  
"Gesundheit und Sicherheit im Bauwesen"
- IVBH Symposium  
Washington, DC,  
9.-10. September 1982  
"Unterhaltung, Instandsetzung und Sanierung von Brücken"
- IVBH Kolloquium  
Bergamo, 6.-8. Oktober 1982  
"Informatik im konstruktiven Ingenieurbau"
- IVBH Kolloquium  
Kopenhagen, 30. Mai – 2. Juni 1983  
"Kollision von Schiffen mit Brücken und Offshore-Bauten"
- IVBH Workshop  
Rigi, 8.-10. Juni 1983  
"Quality Assurance within the Building Process"