

Zeitschrift: IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH
Kongressbericht

Band: 13 (1988)

Artikel: Universal composite construction, a new successful technology

Autor: Schleich, J.B.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-12958>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 27.12.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Universal Composite Construction, a New Successful Technology

Construction mixte universelle, nouvelle technologie performante

Die universale Verbundbauweise, eine neue erfolgreiche Technologie

J.B. SCHLEICH

Department Manager
ARBED-Research Centre
Luxembourg



J.B. Schleich, born 1942, obtained his civil engineering degree at Liège-University where he was involved for two years in teaching and research. He was active for eleven years in the construction steelwork industry. Since 1984 he is responsible for research on steel construction at ARBED-Research Centre. He has served as President of ECCS and is now Chairman of TC5 on CAD/CAM of the same European Association.

SUMMARY

The paper deals with a new and powerful approach to fire resistance engineering, which allows full advantage to be taken of composite construction based on rolled H-sections mainly concreted between the flanges. This leads to a new functional and aesthetic architecture, reinstating the use of visible steel.

RÉSUMÉ

Cette contribution donne un aperçu sur une nouvelle approche puissante de détermination de la résistance au feu, permettant de profiter pleinement des avantages de la construction mixte à base de profils laminés H bétonnés entre les ailes. Ceci conduit à une nouvelle architecture fonctionnelle et esthétique réhabilitant l'acier visible.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Dieser Text gibt eine Übersicht über eine neue Methode der Feuerwiderstandsbemessung, welche es gestattet, die Vorteile der auf I-Walzträgern basierenden und zwischen den Flanschen ausbetonierten Verbundkonstruktion voll auszunutzen. Dies wiederum erlaubt eine neue funktionelle und aesthetische Architektur, mit der erneuten Verwendung von sichtbarem Stahl.



1. INTRODUCTION

At the Department Bridges and Structural Engineering of the University of LIEGE (Belgium) new developments have been done on steel and composite structures within a C.E.C. research, under the leadership of ARBED-LUXEMBOURG [1,2]. The first aim of this research was to establish a computer program for the analysis of steel and composite structures under fire conditions. This numerical code is based on the finite element method using beam elements with subdivision of the cross section in a rectangular mesh. The thermal problem is solved by a finite difference method based on the heat balance between adjacent mesh-elements of the cross section.

This program CEFICOSS-which means "Computer Engineering of the Fire resistance for COMposite and Steel Structures"-has to be considered as a general thermo-mechanical numerical computer code allowing to predict the behaviour under fire conditions of structural building parts such as columns, beams or frames. These structural elements could be composed either of bare steel profiles or of steel sections protected by any insulation, either of any composite cross-section type. In order to verify the simulation results given by CEFICOSS and to estimate with greater accuracy the fundamental physical parameters, it was decided to perform a new series of full scale fire tests based on the ISO-834 heating curve. Thus a better comparison was guaranteed between test and simulation results and most interesting informations got available on a new type of composite structure developed by ARBED.

2. FIRE RESISTANCE ENGINEERING

2.1. Theoretical background

As explained in detail by [2], this numerical code is based first on the finite element method using beam elements. Therefore any frame structure composed of interconnected columns and beams could be discretized as shown in Fig. 1. The chosen beam element has 2 nodes and 3 degrees of freedom at each node. The cross-section itself of this beam element is divided into a rectangular mesh, which allows to know exactly in function of time the stress and strain history of each mesh-element. Furthermore this cross-section subdivision allows to analyse finally any type of column or beam. The same rectangular mesh is directly used when calculating the evolution in function of time of the differential cross-section temperature field. Temperatures are obtained by a finite difference method, the second main numerical procedure used in this computer code.

2.2. Thermo-mechanical analysis

CEFICOSS is a new tool, which at last makes feasible a lot of new investigations, seriously improving our knowledge on the fire safety level of real structures. First of all as internal temperature and stress fields can be established for any cross section, the optimum fire design is provided without paying for excessive fire protection. Steel reinforcements could be foreseen at more convenient places as temperature fields would be known. Internal stress fields could give us the correct physical explanation for certain failure behaviours. Moreover the global deformation of structures can be calculated in order to show either its evolution in function of elapsed time, either the situation just before failure [3].

Most important is of course the prediction of the real failure time. In this respect it is worthwhile to underline the perfect duality existing in CEFICOSS

between the mathematical failure expression and its physical meaning. Indeed failure is mathematically reached when the value of the Determinant related to the Structure Stiffness Matrix (DSSM) falls to zero. Physically this means that a static equilibrium can no more be obtained because either the buckling of a column is occurring, either a plastic hinge has formed in a statically determinate beam. In both situations we assist to rapidly growing deformations leading to the collapse of the structural element.

In real structures the failure understanding becomes of course more difficult but failure is still obtained when the value of DSSM falls to zero. Physically the failure of a global frame corresponds to the successive formation of plastic hinges leading with or without a column buckling to a so-called structural mechanism [4].






Fig.1 Real structure with finite element division

SECTION A A



DISCRETIZATION OF THE
STRUCTURE IN
FINITE ELEMENTS $\alpha, \beta, \dots, y, z$
CONNECTED BY NODES 1, 2, ..., m, n

Buckling and bending around the strong axis y-y




Fig.2 Example of N-M Interaction Failure Diagram for service condition (Fo) and the ISO-fire classes (F30 to F120)

2.3. N-M Interaction Diagrams

For direct use by engineers and architects, practical design tools are being established in case of four different composite column cross-section types [5]. Vertical loads and bending moments are considered simultaneously, allowing to elaborate N-M Interaction Failure Diagrams covering the whole static field from pure axial loads to pure bending moments. It is for the first time that such N-M Interaction Failure Diagrams are elaborated for fire conditions (F30 to F120) while considering the buckling effect (see Fig. 2). Because of the perfectly working failure duality, CEFICOSS gives for the whole N-M field a clear mathematical failure, corresponding either to a Column Buckling in the area of axial loads and up to higher eccentricities (60 to 180 cm), either to a Plastic Hinge Failure in the area of highest eccentricities and pure moments.

- an extremely high construction speed can be achieved as complete prefabrication is really possible, thus allowing a by far earlier construction finishing than with pure concrete,
- the smallest possible building element cross-sections are conceivable, thus leading to more slender constructions and offering more space for the practical use of the building.




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

Fig. 4, 5, 6, 7 Possible column cross-sections considered by the Universal Composite Construction

Fig. 8 Composite beams connected to a composite column




Fig. 8

4. FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Various studies have been undertaken in order to increase the application field of this Universal Composite Construction. So it could be concluded from first calculations, that composite structures have a very promising behaviour in face of Natural Fires [3]. Indeed it could be demonstrated that the inner part of composite cross-sections is heated up to a rather low maximum temperature and that a Critical Load Level exists below which the structural composite element will not fail any more.

Furthermore a first successful attempt was done to establish for a given structure the equivalent time of ISO-fire exposure, t_{eq}^{ISO} , for which the load bearing capacity under ISO-fire is identical to the minimum load bearing capacity under a given natural fire [3]. This Load Bearing Equivalence is of course by far more accurate and useful than the Temperature Equivalence proposed up to now but which is completely inadequate for composite construction.



Finally credible studies will allow to find out the conditions under which even Unprotected Steel Sections are fire resistant. On one side indeed heavy steel profiles could be classified in the Fire Class F 60 [9], whereas light steel sections as a part of complete structures could even have by far Higher Fire Resistances (see chapter 2.4 and Fig. 9).




Fig.9 Composite space frame with naked steel beams, fire resistant up to F120 under localized fire.

At last a C.E.C. Research has been started, in order to adapt this Universal Composite Construction to Earthquake Conditions [10]. The idea is to combine the good ductility of rolled sections with the damping qualities of concrete, and to take advantage of the concrete filling between the profile-flanges in order to improve local steel buckling. It will be a challenge to develop beam-column connections with a high Cyclic Bending Moment Capacity and a simultaneous Prefabrication Ability.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Several of the before mentioned studies are based on the four C.E.C. Researches 7210-SA/502, 504, 505 and 506 which, finished or still under way, could profit of an important financial support from the COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. SCHLEICH J.B., REFAO-CAFIR, Computer Assisted Analysis of the Fire Resistance of Steel and Composite Concrete-Steel Structures, C.E.C. Research 7210-SA/502. Final Report EUR 10828 EN, Luxembourg 1987.
2. FRANSSEN J.M., Etude du comportement au feu des structures mixtes acier-béton, Thèse de Doctorat. Université de Liège, Février 1987.
3. SCHLEICH J.B., Numerische Simulation-zukunftsorientierte Vorgehensweise zur Feuersicherheitsbeurteilung von Stahlbauten. Allianz Versicherungs-AG, Der Maschinenschaden 60, Heft 4, 1987.
4. SCHLEICH J.B., CEFICOSS, a Computer program for the fire engineering of steel structures; International Conference on Mathematical Models for Metals and Materials Applications. THE INSTITUTE OF METALS, London, October 1987.
5. SCHLEICH J.B., Practical design tools for composite steel-concrete construction elements, submitted to ISO-fire, considering the interaction between axial load N and bending moment M; Intermediate Reports RT3 and RT4 of C.E.C. Research 7210-SA/504. ARBED-Research Centre, Luxembourg, 1987.
6. SCHLEICH J.B., Global Behaviour of Steel Structures under Local Fires; R.P.S. Working Documents. ARBED Research Centre, Luxembourg, 1987-88.
7. SCHLEICH J.B., L'acier face aux incendies. Congrès National du Syndicat National des Architectes Agréés et des Maîtres d'Oeuvre en Bâtiment, Angers, Mai 1987.
8. BAUS R., SCHLEICH J.B., Prédétermination de la résistance au feu des Constructions mixtes. Annales de l'Institut Technique du Bâtiment et des Travaux Publics, N°457, Septembre 1987.
9. SCHLEICH J.B., Practical design tools for unprotected steel columns, submitted to ISO-fire, considering the interaction between axial load N and bending moment M; Intermediate Reports RT1 and RT2 of C.E.C. Research 7210-SA/505. ARBED Research Centre, Luxembourg, 1987.
10. ARBED Research Centre, Seismic Resistance of Composite Structures. C.E.C. Research 7210-SA/506, B-G-I-L, 1987-90.