

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH  
Kongressbericht

**Band:** 12 (1984)

**Rubrik:** Poster session 7: Steel structures

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 03.01.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**



## **POSTER SESSION 7**

**Steel Structures**

**Structres métalliques**

**Stahltragwerke**

Coordinator: R.S. Stilwell, Canada

# Installation for Runnability on Long Span Bridge

Akira TAKAYAMA, Hiroaki TSURUTA  
Mitsuru GOTO, Eiji KATAYAMA  
Honshu-Shikoku Bridge Authority  
Tokyo, Japan

## Runnability of Train on Transit Girder System.

For development of transit girder system, runnability of train had been studied as mentioned below.

Runnability of trains at the transit girder system can be separately checked for sections of the expansion joint and the dispersion system for angular bend.

At the expansion joint, the structure is designed so that rail tracks may continue to secure a proper gauge line and wheelset load can be structurally supported.

Rail of the inserted girder type expansion joint is cut out partially to keep space for expansion, and the guardrails are arranged to prevent derailment.


For the runnability on the expansion joint, running tests by actual cars were conducted in 1974 to certify safety of trains with speed up to 180 km/hr.

The runnability on the angular bend section is extremely influenced by a vertical and horizontal angular bend. The safety against derailment when a train run on the transit girder with vertical, horizontal angular bend or composite angular bend of the both and passenger's comfortableness for vertical and horizontal vibration had to be investigated.

The investigations for derailment and comfortableness were carried out for criteria of the rate of off-loading of wheels and the lateral pressure and magnitude of the vibration, respectively, and they were numerically analyzed or simulated for various types of cars.

And, important items among them were confirmed by running tests of actual cars and model cars, and results of the running tests and the calculation were compared. As the result of these investigations, relation between the running speed and the limit of angular bend is established as shown in right figure.

For example, when the total angular bend is 10‰ and span of the dispersion girder is 15m, these figures show that allowable velocity ( $V/V_{sr}$ ) of Shinkansen is 1.07 for the rate of off-loading of wheels, in other words, Shinkansen car can run with 1.07 times speed of standard running speed. As for an electric locomotive, it can run with 1.04 times speed of 120 km/hr.



Allowable velocity  $V/V_{sr}$  above is defined as the ratio of investigated result to standard running speed

Fig.  
Allowable speed of various types of cars

And, another is called the moving sleeper type for expansion up to  $\pm 400$  mm of cable stayed bridges.

setting portion |  Inserted girder type  
|  Moving sleeper type



## Beam to-Column-Connections with Composite Beams

**Hiroshi OSANO**

Res. Assoc.  
Tokyo Denki Univ  
Tokyo, Japan

**Masami NAKAO**

Prof. Dr.  
Tokyo Denki Univ.  
Tokyo, Japan

**Sanzo UNNO**

Prof. Dr.  
Tokyo Denki Univ.  
Tokyo, Japan

**Takeo NAKA**

Prof. emeritus  
Univ. of Tokyo  
Tokyo, Japan

This research deals with the contribution of reinforced concrete slab of composite beams on the strength and the deformation capacity of steel beam-to-column connections subjected to seismic loading.

Dimension and configuration of specimens are shown in figure 1 and table 1. Relative yield strength of panel-zone to that of adjoining members is expressed marks "Rpy" and "sRpy" in table 1. Those are considered to be the key parameter on the evaluations of strength, deformation capacity and energy absorption of beam-to-column connections.

Figures 4a-4c are the summary of representative relations between load and shear deformation of panel-zone. Vertical axis represents the ratio of load to calculated yield strength of beam-to-column connection composed of bare steel beams and column, while horizontal axis represents the ratio of shear deformation of panel-zone to calculated yield shear deformation. Dotted lines in figures 4a-4c show the test results of beam-to-column connections of the same configuration without concrete slab. The reinforcing effect of steel beam-to-column connections by the reinforced concrete slabs of composite beam is illustrated.

A model to take the effect of concrete slab into consideration is proposed in figure 5. In this model, the strength of panel-zone is considered to increase by the enlargement of nominal volume of panel-zone as shown in the figure 5. Relation between "sRpy" (relative yield strength of panel-zone to that of adjoining steel members) and strength, deformation capacity and energy absorption are shown in figures 6a-6d with the other test results of beam-to-column connections composed of bare steel beams and column. The empirical formulas in figures 6a-6d are obtained by regression analyses on the test results of beam-to-column connections composed of bare steel beams and column. Shiftings to the estimated results of yield strength of enlarged panel-zone are indicated by arrows. The seismic behavior of steel beam-to-column connections with composite beams can be evaluated by making use of the model in figure 5 and empirical formulas in figures 6a-6d.

# BEAM-TO-COLUMN CONNECTIONS WITH COMPOSITE BEAMS

Table 1 Specimens

No.	Specimen	Column	Beam	Slab type	R <sub>py</sub>	sR <sub>py</sub>
1	Z0-I	H-300x300x22x22	H-350x175x9x12	I	1.13	0.80
2	A0-I	H-300x300x16x16	H-350x175x9x12	I	0.63	0.62
3	B'0-I	H-250x250x12x16	H-350x175x9x12	I	0.56	0.40
4	B'0-II	ditto	ditto	II	0.56	0.40
5	B'1-I	ditto	ditto	I	0.49	0.80
6	C0-I	H-250x250x9x16	H-350x175x9x12	I	0.33	0.33
7	C0-IIW	ditto	ditto	IIW	0.51	0.33
8	C0-II S	ditto	ditto	II S	0.28	0.33




Fig. 2 Details of Concrete Slabs

$R_{PY} = P_{PY}^C / P_{MY}^C$   $sR_{PY} = sP_{PY}^C / sP_{MY}^C$   $\mu_{20} = \delta_{20} / \delta_Y^C$   $E_0 = sP_{MY}^C \times \delta_Y^C$   
 $P_{PY}^C$ : Yield load of panel-zone  
 $P_U$ : Ultimate strength of frame subassembly  
 $P_{MY}^C$ : Yield load of adjoining members, whichever is smaller  
 $sP_{PY}^C$ : Yield load of adjoining steel members, whichever is smaller  
 $\delta_Y^C$ : Yield deformation of frame subassembly




Fig. 1 Configuration of Specimens




Fig. 5a  $P_U / P_{PY}^C - R_{PY}$  Relation Fig. 5b  $P_{20} / P_{PY}^C - R_{PY}$  Relation

(P, E,  $\delta$ )<sub>20</sub>: At a point where shear deformation of panel-zone became twenty times the yield shear deformation.





Fig. 3 Load-Deflection Curve



(b) Specimen Type B'0 (c) Specimen Type C0




Fig. 6a  $E_{20}/E_0 - R_{PY}$  Relation Fig. 6b  $\mu_{20} - R_{PY}$  Relation

$E$ : Absorbed energy of frame subassembly  
 $\delta$ : Deformation of frame subassembly shown in Fig. 3



## Optimum Design of Double-Layer Space Grids

**Henning AGERSKOV**

Assoc. Prof. of Struct. Eng.  
Technical University of Denmark  
Lyngby, Denmark

In recent years extensive and increasing use has been made of space trusses, especially in the form of double-layer space grids. These types of structures have in many cases been able to compete with more traditional constructions. The main areas of application have been sports halls, swimming pools, exhibition buildings, churches, shopping centres, hangars, factory buildings, etc., where the space grid is used as roof construction.

In the design, almost unlimited possibilities exist in practice for the choice of geometry of space trusses. This forms the background for a research project, in which the optimum design of double-layer space trusses has been investigated. As a first part of the investigation, a study covering what has, until recently, been obtained as regards optimization of double-layer space grids was carried out. With the results of this investigation as a starting point, various geometrical designs were studied in detail to determine the optimum design. Both square and rectangular grids have been investigated, under the assumption of either simple supports along the entire edge or column supports at the corners.

In determination of the optimum design, an ordinary mathematical optimization based on a minimum of material consumption, was found to be of little practical interest. The present investigation is based on assumptions concerning nodes, members, supports, loading, etc., which make the results realistic to a practical design. To determine the optimum de-




Fig. 1. Space grid systems, which will in general result in good overall economy.



sign, both the material consumption, the number of nodes, and the number of members in the structure have to be considered, while an optimum design based only on a minimum of material will rarely, if ever, be an economical optimum for a double-layer space grid.

On the basis of the results obtained in the investigation, guidelines for the structural engineer to obtain an optimum design, have been worked out. Guidelines are given for choice of overall geometry of simply supported and corner-supported square and rectangular space grids.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The following general recommendations concerning optimum design of double-layer space grids can be made:

1. The member density must be small. In addition to giving a small material consumption, this leads to a grid with relatively few nodal points and thus least possible production costs for nodes, erection expenses, etc.
2. The system should be chosen so that the space grid is built of relatively long tension members and relatively short compression members.
3. For rectangular, relatively long space grids, optimum design is obtained with systems where the load is mainly carried across the short span. Systems where the members in both top and bottom layer grids are parallel to the edges will generally result in the least material consumption.
4. If both the material consumption and the number of nodes and number of members are considered, the space grid systems shown in Fig. 1 a and b will, generally speaking, result in good overall economy. This could be concluded from investigations on both square and rectangular double-layer space grids, simply supported along the entire edge or column-supported at the corners.

### REFERENCES

1. Agerskov, H., and Gudjonsson, H., "Optimal udformning af rumgitterkonstruktioner", Proceedings of the Scandinavian Conference on Steel Research, Copenhagen, Denmark, Aug., 1979, pp. VI. 5.1-13.
2. Agerskov, H., "Optimum Design of Double-Layer Space Trusses", Report, Dept. of Civil-Structural Engineering, Portland State University, Portland, Or., U.S.A., 1981.
3. Agerskov, H., and Bjørnbak-Hansen, J., "Optimum Design of Corner-Supported Double-Layer Space Trusses", Report No. R 177, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, 1983.
4. Agerskov, H., "Optimum Design of Double-Layer Space Grids", Dept. of Structural Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, Sept., 1984, 21 pp.




## STUB-GIRDER FLOOR SYSTEM




Full Scale Test Program at the University of Saskatchewan



Stub-Girder Floor System



401 West Georgia Project, Vancouver



Integration of Building Services into the Structural System

### ADVANTAGES:


Integration of Building Services  
Simplified Girder to Beam Connection  
Reduced Building Heights, Lower Costs

### APPLICATIONS IN CANADA:

Building	Storeys	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )
Nova Building, Calgary	37	70,000
Manulife Bldg., Edmonton	24	71,000
401 West Georgia Project, Vancouver	22	28,000



### ANALYSIS

Most Common Method of Analysis is to Model the Stub-Girder as a Vierendeel Girder and Then Use a Conventional Stiffness Program




### RESEARCH

Univ. of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada  
Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada  
Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge, U.S.A.  
Univ. of Arizona, Tucson, U.S.A.




Failure Mechanism Observed




Innovative Application of Stub-Girder


# ULTIMATE STRENGTH OF HIGH DEPTH CURVED GIRDERS




AIR VIEW FUTURE OKINAWA CITY MONO-RAIL WHICH WILL BE COMPLETED IN 1987. THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY IS TO INVESTIGATE THE BEHAVIOR OF THE CURVED GIRDERS TO BE CONSTRUCTED WHERE THE GIRDERS ARE DESIGNED WITH THE RADIUS OF 55 M TO 120 M.




LOCAL BUCKLING OF INNER WEB PLATE & DEFORMATION AT OUTER SIDE MODEL C-1





SET-UP OF TEST BEAM MODEL C-1




RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN RATIOS  $\sigma/\sigma_c$  AND  $\tau/\tau_c$



LOAD-LONGITUDINAL STRAIN RELATIONSHIP



LONGITUDINAL STRAIN DISTRIBUTIONS AT MIDSPAN (10 TONS MIDSPAN LOADING)



SHEAR STRAIN DISTRIBUTION AT 500 mm FROM SUPPORT (10 TONS MIDSPAN LOADING)

Leere Seite  
Blank page  
Page vide