

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH  
Kongressbericht

**Band:** 6 (1960)

**Artikel:** The influence of bond slip in post-tensioned prestressed concrete  
beams

**Autor:** Lorentsen, Mogens

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-7079>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 14.12.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## VI4

### The Influence of Bond Slip in Post-tensioned Prestressed Concrete Beams

*Influence du glissement de l'armature des poutres en béton précontraint*

*Einfluß der Stahlgleitung in Spannbetonbalken*

MOGENS LORENTSEN

Stockholm

#### Introduction

At the ultimate design of a prestressed concrete beam it is generally assumed that sections remain plane during bending. This implies that the following formula shall hold good

$$\frac{x}{h} = \frac{\epsilon_b}{\epsilon_b + \epsilon_{ba}}.$$

In this formula  $x$  means the depth of the compression zone,  $h$  the effective depth of the beam,  $\epsilon_b$  the ultimate concrete strain at the top of the compression zone and  $\epsilon_{ba}$  the concrete strain at the level of the reinforcement.

Now both the values  $\epsilon_b$  and  $\epsilon_{ba}$  are affected by the efficiency of the bond. If the bond is good, the value of  $\epsilon_b$  will be about 4 ‰ — the European concrete committee recommends 3,5 ‰ — and  $\epsilon_{ba}$  will be equal to  $\Delta \epsilon_a$ , i. e. the increase in steel strain counted from the moment when the surrounding concrete has zero strain. If the bond is bad that will not be the case —  $\epsilon_b$  will decrease and  $\epsilon_{ba}$  will be greater than  $\Delta \epsilon_a$ .

#### Pull-out Tests

To study the character of the bond around a Freyssinet prestressing cable, pull-out tests were performed with 18 concrete prisms with square section  $25 \times 25$  cm and a length of 80 cm. The Freyssinet-cable consisted of  $12 \times 5$  mm

wires (ultimate strength 150 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>) enclosed in a corrugated sheetiron tube 0,2 mm thick. Several grout mixes were used. Further details may be found in [1], where these tests — with the authors permission — were first presented by FRITZELL. The mix that showed the best properties as to fluidity and slip consisted of cement + water + intrusion aid. The wet ratio was 0,4 and the intrusion aid amounted to 1 per cent of the cement weight. A typical result of the pull-out tests is shown in Fig. 1. The charge was effected by an ordinary




Fig. 1. Typical Result of the Pull-out Tests.




Fig. 2. Crack Distances

Freyssinet jack. The slips were measured in both ends of the test specimen,  $u_0$  designating the slip in the pulled end and  $u_l$  the slip in the free end. As can be seen from the straight lines, the test result can be fairly well described by the simple theory that the bond stress is proportional to the slip. The slip "modulus" e. g. the relation between bond stress and slip, as calculated from

the tests amounts to about  $600 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ , which is only about one tenth of the value attained with deformed bars (as measured by K. G. BERNANDER [2]). Rupture was obtained at a slip of about 1 mm, and was accompanied by splitting of the concrete whereupon the whole cable — including the tube — could be pulled out of the concrete. The bond must therefore be characterized as rather loose, which means that a prestressing cable of this type is not well suited to distribute cracks in comparison with ordinary mild steel. To give an impression of this, Fig. 2 shows crack distances as measured in two of the beam tests described below, one being reinforced with Freyssinet cables only and the other supplemented with deformed bars. To make the results comparable the crack distance in the latter beam have been corrected so as to give the same ratio of circumference to steel area in both cases. The crack formula used for this correction is due to WÄSTLUND, JOHNSSON and OSTERMAN (cf. [3]). The steel stress in the diagram is the increment above the prestress.

In this case the cable diameter was 32 mm. With larger cables the crack distribution would be more unfavorable.

### Model Test

As mentioned, the concrete strain  $\epsilon_b$  will decrease if the bond is bad, and that is due to the bad crack distribution caused by the bond slip. See Fig. 3.




Fig. 3. Strain Distribution Along the Compression Side of a Beam Subject to Bending Only.

If the crack distances are large and the compression zone is small the average value of the concrete strain will be much smaller than the peak values. To study this effect tests were performed with a plexiglass model that was to represent the concrete lamella between two consecutive cracks, Fig. 4. The model was 16 mm thick, 100 mm wide and 250 mm long. The load was applied by means of steel prisms of different lengths, and the strain was measured with seven strain gages along the upper side of the lamella. The diagram shows the strain distribution at different ratios between the depth of the compression zone and the crack width. In Fig. 5 the ratio of the average strain to the maximum strain is reproduced versus the ratio  $x/s$ . Of course the results from this model test cannot be directly applied to concrete — for several reasons — but it shows the tendency.




Fig. 4. Plexiglass Model and Strain Distribution Along the Compression Side.




Fig. 5. Ratio of Average Strain to Maximum Strain in the Model Test.

### Beam Tests

Beside the above mentioned effect concerning crack distribution the bond slip causes a detachment of the reinforcement towards the ends of a beam. In the extreme case that there is no bond at all, the strain distribution will have the form shown in Fig. 6. The beam is in this example assumed to be loaded by two symmetrically placed concentrated loads. The steel strain  $\Delta \epsilon_a$




Fig. 6. Approximate Strain Distribution in the Tendon ( $\Delta \epsilon_a$ ) and in the Surrounding Concrete ( $\epsilon_{ba}$ ). Two Concentrated Loads.




Fig. 7. Test Beams of T-Section.

is constant from anchorage to anchorage. The concrete strain at the level of the reinforcement is principally concentrated to the part between the loads and is practically zero outside this part. Since the total extension must be the same for the reinforcement as for the concrete, the areas under the diagrams must be equal, which means that the steel strain must be smaller than the concrete strain. In this case the ratio of steel strain to concrete strain, a ratio that may be called bond coefficient, since it expresses the efficiency of the bond, will be approximately  $a/l$ .

In this way the bond slip problem can be divided into two partial problems, one concerning the influence of the crack distribution on the compressive concrete strain and another concerning the bond coefficient. This way to attack the problem seemed to be appropriate in the beam tests shown in Fig. 7 and 8. The beams were of two kinds i.e. T-beams and rectangular beams. They were 8 m long and 0,5 m deep. The tendons were of the Freyssinet type and consisted of  $12 \times 5$  mm wires each. (Ultimate strength 150—184 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>.) Each beam was furnished with two tendons. Two of the T-beams were supplemented with ordinary reinforcement consisting of  $4 \times 12$  mm




Fig. 8. Test Beams of Rectangular Section.




Fig. 9. Crack Distribution in the Non-Grouted T-Beam (T).

deformed bars (yield limit 40 kg/mm<sup>2</sup>). The concrete strength was about 400 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Half the number of beams were injected with the kind of grout mentioned above, and half the number were left ungrouted. Beam characteristics are given in Table 1.

The load was applied in the thirdpoints. Fig. 9, 10 and 11 show the crack distribution in the non-grouted beams; T-beam, rectangular beam and T-beam supplemented with deformed bars. Fig. 12, 13 and 14 show the crack distribution in the grouted beams; T-beam, rectangular beam and T-beam with deformed bars. Table 2 gives the test results in a concentrated form. The ratios  $x/s$  were obtained from the test beams by measuring the depth of the compres-

Table 1

Beam	Cube strength of concrete kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Steel percentage		Steel qual. kg/mm <sup>2</sup> $\sigma_{0,2}/\sigma_{rupt.}$	Prestress kg/mm <sup>2</sup>	
		Tendon	Suppl. Reinf.			
T	394	0,186	—	128/152	70	} ungrouted
R	406	0,615	—	124/150	69	
TM	450	0,184	0,168	128/152	75	
TI	374	0,178	—	164/184	77	
RI	402	0,625	—	134/150	71	} grouted
TIM	428	0,183	0,168	128/152	72	




Fig. 10. Crack Distribution in the Non-Grouted R-Beam (R).



Table 2. Moments Are Given in mt

Beam	T	TI	TM	TIM	R	RI
$x/s$	0,04	0,18	0,25	0,26	0,26	0,35
$F$	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	1
$F \epsilon_{ave}$	0,2	2,2	0,8	2,5	0,8	2,8
calc. $M_{rupt.}$	23,5	36,4	36,7	40,0	21,5	25,3
obs. $M_{rupt.}$	23,6	37,0	37,0	36,6	21,5	24,6
obs. calc.	1,00	1,02	1,00	0,92	1,00	0,97



Fig. 11. Crack Distribution in the Non-Grouted T-Beam with Supplementary Mild Steel (TM).




Fig. 12. Crack-Distribution in the Grouted T-Beam (TJ).




Fig. 13. Crack Distribution in the Grouted R-Beam (RI).




Fig. 14. Crack Distribution in the Grouted T-Beam with Supplementary Mild Steel (TIM).

sion zone at rupture, and by taking the average crack distance between the loads.

The bond coefficient  $F$  was chosen to  $1/3$  in the non-grouted beams and to 1 in the grouted beams. The next row shows that instead of using the ultimate strain value of the order of  $3,5\text{‰}$  it is necessary to use smaller values, especially in beam T, which is ungrouted and has a very unfavourable crack distribution. The values of  $\epsilon_{ave}$  were taken from the curve in Fig. 5 that resulted from the model test with  $\epsilon_{max} = 3,5\text{‰}$ . The ultimate bending moments were calculated according to a STÜSSI stress distribution with  $\alpha = 0,72$  and  $\beta = 0,42$ . Cf. [4]. As can be seen the test results conform quite well with the theory. TIM is an exception which is due to the fact that the test set-up used for that beam did not allow it to be ruptured completely.

### Bibliography

1. FRITZELL, G., Discussion Session Ia, Second Congress of the Fédération Internationale de la Précontrainte 1955.
2. BERNANDER, K. G., The Influence of Cam Area and Cam Distances on Bond of Reinforcement Bars (in Swedish), the Journal Betong, Vol. 1, Stockholm 1952.
3. LORENTSEN, M., The Influence of Bond on the Structural Behaviour of a Prestressed Beam (in Swedish), Stockholm 1958.
4. HABERSTOCK, K. B., Die  $n$ -freien Berechnungsweisen des einfach bewehrten, rechteckigen Stahlbetonbalkens, Deutscher Ausschuss für Stahlbeton, Heft 103, Berlin 1951.

### Summary

The contribution describes tests that have been carried out at the institution of structural engineering and bridge building at the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden. The tests comprise pull-out tests, plexiglass model tests and beam tests. The Freyssinet post-tensioning system with cables of  $12 \times 5$  mm wires was used. It is shown that the cable as a crack distributor is inferior to ordinary reinforcement, and that the crack distribution may have a marked influence on the rupture load of a prestressed beam.

### Résumé

La contribution décrit des recherches effectuées à l'Ecole Royale Polytechnique Supérieure de Stockholm (section des ponts et charpentes). Il s'agit d'essais d'arrachement (pull-out tests), d'essais sur modèles en plexiglas et d'essais d'adhérence par effort tranchant (beam tests). On utilisa des câbles Freyssinet comprenant 12 fils de  $\varnothing 5$  mm.

Ces essais ont montré que les câbles de précontrainte répartissent moins bien les fissures que les armatures ordinaires et que cette répartition peut exercer une influence marquée sur la charge de rupture d'une poutre précontrainte.

### **Zusammenfassung**

Der Aufsatz beschreibt Versuche, die in der Abteilung für Brücken- und Hochbau der Kgl. Technischen Hochschule Stockholms durchgeführt worden sind. Die Versuche umfassen Ausziehversuche, Plexiglas-Modellversuche und Balkenversuche. Das Freyssinet-Spannbetonsystem mit Kabeln aus  $12 \times 5$ -mm-Drähten wurde benutzt. Es wird gezeigt, daß das Kabel als Risseverteiler der gewöhnlichen schlaffen Bewehrung unterlegen ist, und daß die Risseverteilung einen deutlichen Einfluß auf die Bruchlast eines Spannbetonbalkens haben kann.