

Zeitschrift: IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH
Kongressbericht

Band: 3 (1948)

Artikel: Critical notes on the calculation and design of cylindrical shells

Autor: Johansen, K.W.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-4126>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 23.02.2026

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

IVc5

Considérations concernant le calcul et le projet des voûtes cylindriques

Kritische Betrachtungen zur Berechnung und zum Entwurf von Zylinderschalen

Critical notes on the calculation and design of cylindrical shells

K. W. JOHANSEN

Dr techn. Copenhagen

The forces and bending moments in cylindrical shells are usually determined by a differential equation of the 8th order. The mathematical work is very complicated, especially the determination of the arbitrary constants at the boundary conditions. The numerical computations must be worked out to six decimals, when the final results are required to have three. The forces and moments thus obtained determine the dimensions and reinforcement of the shell. How this is done is never mentioned in the literature, and, I think, with good reason. The fact is that it is done in a very irrational manner. The tensile stresses are summed up into a tensile force, and the area of reinforcement is determined by dividing this tensile force by the working stress, ignoring the fact that the deformation and the working stress do not correspond, as they are not constant over the entire region of tension. With this serious discrepancy between stress and strain the basis of all the fine mathematical work and all the complicated computations is gone, the basis being the theory of elasticity, where stress and strain correspond. We may put it this way : First we calculate the forces and moments according to the theory of elasticity, and then we design according to assumptions contrary to the same theory. Being very sensitive to the distribution of the shear forces, the transversal bending moments may be multiplied when cracks develop and the bars yield, and we must therefore calculate the shell in a state of rupture at the ultimate load, the more so as the working load must be fixed according to the ultimate load.

For long shells the theory of rupture is very simple. The shell may be considered as a reinforced concrete beam, and the tension of the concrete in the tension zone is not taken into account. The neutral axis is determined




Fig. 1.

as corresponding to the stresses in the concrete and the reinforcement. Then also the moment arm h_i is determined, whereby the tensile force T , equal to the compressive force C , can be calculated, as $M = T \cdot h_i$. On a strip of the shell act the shear forces $-H$ and $H + dH$, calculated according to the elementary theory for reinforced concrete beams. The resultant of $-H$ and $H + dH$ acts as a tangential force $t dx$ on the shell. The forces $t = \frac{dH}{dx}$ and the load p then determine the transverse moments m in the shell. Between the reinforcement and the neutral axis, H , and consequently also t , are constant. For the sake of simplicity the compressive stress is assumed constant, as in the modern methods for calculation of reinforced concrete beams. H will then vary in the compression zone proportionally to the arc length of the normal section.

When calculating the transverse moment m , the normal force n , and




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 4.

the transverse force q we have the following formulae for circular shells

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_t &= \int_0^\varphi r^2 t [1 - \cos(\varphi - \theta)] d\theta; & n_t &= \int_0^\varphi r t \cos(\varphi - \theta) d\theta; \\ q_t &= \int_0^\varphi r t \sin(\varphi - \theta) d\theta. \end{aligned} \right\} (1)$$

For $t = \text{constant}$ are obtained

$$m_t = tr^2(\varphi - \sin \varphi); \quad n_t = tr \sin \varphi; \quad q_t = tr(1 - \cos \varphi). \quad (2)$$

For $t = k \cdot \theta$, i.e. proportional to the arc length, are obtained

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_t &= kr^2 \left(\frac{\varphi^2}{2} - 1 + \cos \varphi \right) - \frac{kr^2 \varphi^4}{24}; & n_t &= kr(1 - \cos \varphi); \\ q_t &= kr(\varphi - \sin \varphi). \end{aligned} \right\} (3)$$

From constant vertical load we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_p &= -pr^2 \left(\frac{\cos \alpha - \cos(\alpha - \varphi)}{\varphi} + \varphi \sin(\alpha - \varphi) \right); & n_p &= -pr \varphi \sin(\alpha - \varphi); \\ q_p &= -pr \varphi \cos(\alpha - \varphi). \end{aligned} \right\} (4)$$

Finally, from support, or from the adjacent part, we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_1 &= m_0 + n_0 r (1 - \cos \varphi) + q_0 r \sin \varphi; & n_1 &= n_0 \cos \varphi - q_0 \sin \varphi; \\ q_1 &= q_0 \cos \varphi + M_0 \sin \varphi. \end{aligned} \right\} (5)$$

The calculation can be made by means of a combination of these formulae. Some of the expressions are not suitable for practical calculations, but can be developed in series as shown, or tables of these expressions may be calculated once and for all.

With a skew load, or unsymmetrical cross-section, the influence of the twisting may become so great that two compression zones may be required. This will complicate the calculations somewhat, but they remain elementary all the same. It is, however, best — and frequently also feasible — to design the shell and the supports in such a way that this case does not occur. As an example, let us consider the well-known saw-tooth roof. The resultant P of the load acts here at a distance a from the resultant — P of the shear forces by mere bending. We then have at twisting moment $P \cdot d$ per unit length of the shell. The best way of getting rid of this is to design the top-lights so that they can transfer a force $F = \frac{Pd}{l}$ per unit length from shell to shell. In a thesis for the doctorate, now in the press, H. Lundgren has offered a further contribution on this point — also as far as short shells are concerned.

The stresses being proportional to the load, it is possible to divide by the coefficient of safety, whereby the permissible stresses and the permissible loads will be included in the calculation instead of the rupture values.

Example. — A circular shell of the cross-section shown in the figure is calculated for symmetrical loading. Its length is 30.0 m, and the load is :




Fig. 5.

Circular Part :

Self-weight	$0.08 \cdot 2\,400 = 192$	kg/sq.m	} For the whole arc $2 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 8.85 \cdot 320 = 3\,400$ kg/m
Insulation, etc.	53	"	
Snow	75	"	
In total	<u>320</u>	kg/sq.m	

Vertical Part :

Reinforced concrete	$0.15 \cdot 2\,400 = 360$	kg/sq.m	} For the whole edge girder $2 \cdot 0.9 \cdot 400 = 720$ kg/m
Insulation, etc.	40	"	
In total	<u>400</u>	kg/sq.m	

For the whole cross-section $P = 4\,120$ kg/m. $M = 1/8 \cdot 4\,120 \cdot 30^2 = 464\,000$ kg/m. The neutral axis is assumed to correspond to $\beta = 0.3$, i.e. 0.40 m under the top. The

centre of pressure is then $8.85 \left(1 - \frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right) - \frac{1}{6} \cdot 8.85 \cdot \beta^2 = 0.13$ m

under the top, so that the moment arm h_t is $2.30 - 0.13 = 2.17$ m. The tensile force and the compressive force will then be $464\,000 : 2.17 = 214\,000$ kg. The concrete stress will be

$$\sigma_b = \frac{214\,000}{2 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 8.85 \cdot 8} = 50.5 \text{ kg/sq. cm.}$$

With the reinforcement rod tension $= 1\,800$ kg/sq.cm, $\frac{214\,000}{2 \cdot 1\,800} = 59.4$ sq.cm be required for each edge girder, for instance 19 reinforcement rods of 20 mm dia.

Owing to the symmetry, $H = \frac{Q}{2 \cdot h_t}$, where Q is the transverse force in the shell considered as beam with 30 m span. We then have $t = \frac{1}{2 h_t} \cdot \frac{dQ}{dx} = \frac{P}{2 h_t}$, where P is the load per unit length, i.e. $t = \frac{4\,120}{2 \cdot 2.17} = 950$ kg/sq.m between the reinforcement and the neutral axis. From the latter to the top it decreases to zero proportionally to θ . The factor of proportionality k is $950 : 0.3 = 3\,170$ kg/m. In the edge girder we get no moment, and the resultant of t and the load is $R = 950 \cdot 0.75 - 400 \cdot 0.9 = 353$ kg/m. The following transverse moment is then found

$$m = R (5.00 - 8.85 \sin \theta) + m_p + m_t,$$

where m_p is calculated from formula (4), m_t from (2), which, for points over the neutral axis, is corrected by means of formula (3). n and q are calculated correspondingly. As a check serves that $q = 0$ at the top, i.e. $q_t = 1/2 \cdot 4\,120 - 0.75 \cdot 950 = 1\,345$ kg/m $= tr (1 - \cos \alpha) - \frac{tr}{3} (\beta - \sin \beta) = 950 \cdot 8.85 \cdot 0.16$, which tallies. tr^2 being $= 950 \cdot 8.85^2 = 74\,400$ kg. $1/24 kr^2 = 103\,000$ kg, and $pr^2 = 320 \cdot 8.85^2 = 25\,100$ kg, we get in kg




Fig. 6.

θ	0.60	0.55	0.50	0.45	0.40	0.35	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.05	0
m_R	0	131	266	405	547	691	840	991	1145	1290	1450	1610	1765
m_P	0	-28	-107	-246	-448	-712	-1036	-1430	-1888	-2411	-3006	-3662	-4386
m_t	0	2	13	42	99	193	332	528	785	1120	1525	2030	2620
corr.	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-1	-5	-16	-40	-83
m	0	105	172	201	198	172	136	89	41	-6	-47	-62	-84

It will be seen that the location of the neutral axis can have little influence on m , the correction terms in the compression zone being rather small.

Résumé

On calcule en général les voûtes cylindriques en se basant sur la théorie d'élasticité, mais les dimensions choisies ne sont pas en accord avec cette théorie. C'est contraire à la logique. Comme la charge de rupture est à la base de la détermination de la charge utile, l'auteur indique une méthode de calcul se basant sur l'état de rupture. Il l'applique à un exemple numérique.

Zusammenfassung

Zylinderschalen werden gewöhnlich nach der Elastizitätstheorie berechnet, aber unter Annahmen dimensioniert, die mit dieser Theorie nicht übereinstimmen. Dies ist nicht logisch. Da die Bruchlast als Grundlage für die Bestimmung der Tragkraft genommen werden muss, wird gezeigt, wie eine einfache Berechnungsmethode, die vom Bruchstadium ausgeht, entwickelt werden kann. Sie wird angewendet auf ein Beispiel.

Summary

Cylindrical reinforced concrete shells are usually calculated on the theory of elasticity, but are dimensioned under assumptions at variance with this theory. This is irrational. As the ultimate load must be the starting point for the estimation of the carrying capacity, it is shown how a simple calculation-method based on the rupture stage can be developed. It is illustrated by an example.