

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH  
Kongressbericht

**Band:** 2 (1936)

**Artikel:** Design of the ends of a bridge with parallel booms

**Autor:** Hertwig, A.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-3325>

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 08.08.2025

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

# V 10

Design of the Ends of a Bridge with Parallel Booms.

## Ausbildung der Enden einer Brücke mit Parallelträger.

Disposition des extrémités d'un pont à membrures parallèles

Geh. Regierungsrat Dr. Ing. A. Hertwig,  
Professor an der Technischen Hochschule, Berlin.

The design of the ends of bridges with parallel booms is a matter in regard to which marked difference of opinion exists between engineers and architects. Some of the latter prefer, in particular, the arrangement shown in the accompanying sketch for the end features of bridges having parallel booms and rhomboidal web members.




Fig. 1.

In addition to this it is very often demanded that the end portal frame shall be left completely open between the upper and lower boom — in other words that there shall be no intermediate transverse stays. In the design for one of the new bridges recently constructed over the Rhine it was originally proposed to carry down the upper wind bracing as far as the point marked a, but the idea was subsequently discarded and the necessity arose, therefore, to investigate the stability of the end portal frame. It was thereupon discovered that both in practice and in the literature the scope of such calculations is confined to symmetrical deformations (see, for instance, *Bleich*).

It is usual to consider only the most unfavourable assumption, namely that the uprights are hinged at both top and bottom, in which case the buckling load is given by the expression

$$P_k = \frac{\pi^2 E J_v}{h^2}.$$

Assuming, now, that for one sided deformation the moments of inertia  $J_o$ ,  $J_u$  are very large, so that the full restraint of the uprights can be taken into account, we find that the buckling load is the same as before:

$$P_k = \frac{\pi^2 E J_v}{h^2}.$$

As a rule, however, the moments of inertia  $J_o$  and  $J_u$  are not large enough to justify the use of this approximate formula resting on the hypothesis of one-sided deformation.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.

For the purpose of the Rhine bridge aforementioned, it was found necessary to provide a very heavy cross brace at the level of the upper boom, and the kink occurring in the line of the uprights at the end portal frame had a further unfavourable effect.




Fig. 4.

It has to be admitted, therefore, that the solution indicated in the sketch detracts from the quality of the structure at two important points solely for the sake of appearance.

If it is essential for the girder to have its corners cut off it is preferable that the wind bracing should be carried down to point a, and it is better still to design the end of the bridge after the manner of Fig. 4 with stays and braces between a and b.

A precise investigation of the buckling conditions in end frames under one sided deformation is given in the paper by *Hertwig and Pohl* which appeared in *Stahlbau*, 1936.