

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH  
Kongressbericht

**Band:** 2 (1936)

**Artikel:** Bridge problems in Albania

**Autor:** Giadri, G.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-3308>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 18.04.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**

## IVb 8

### Bridge Problems in Albania.

### Über Brückenprobleme in Albanien.

### Le calcul des ponts en Albanie.

G. Giadri, Ingenieur,

Generalsekretär des Arbeitsministeriums Tirana.

The problem under discussion here has reference to special statical investigations carried out on a hingeless reinforced concrete arch bridge of 55 m span, built at Gomsice in Northern Albania and opened to public traffic in 1933 (Fig. 10). Normally, in Albania, the more important structures are designed by engineers of the Ministry of Public Works, but in the present case the Statical Office had the task of checking the plans put forward by the Italian-Albanian firm who were contractors for the work, and of confirming its stability by reference to first principles. An account will be given here of the methods adopted by the structural department in accomplishing this object, which were partly of their own devising.

To determine the three supernumerary unknowns for the built-in arch reference was made simultaneously to two statically indeterminate systems, based respectively on the assumption of a built-in beam and on that of a two-hinged arch.

A knowledge of the influence lines for the moments  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$  of the built-in beam, together with that for the horizontal thrust  $H_o$  in the two-hinged arch, made it possible to determine the influence lines for the moments at the springing  $M_a$  and  $M_b$ , and, also for the horizontal thrust  $H$  of the built-in arch, directly and definitely, without making use of the centre of gravity of the elastic weights.

The first step in determining  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$  was to determine the moment  $M_\beta$  in a beam freely supported on one end and built-in at the other end, after which the fixation was assumed to be cut through in the usual way and the *Maxwell-Mohr* deflection line was determined from the load ordinates  $\frac{x}{l} \cdot \frac{1}{J}$  due to an auxiliary moment  $M_\beta = 1$ , subsequently dividing by the elastic reaction at the support where the end fixation had been cut through (Fig. 4).

In this way  $x_s$  represented the length of the arch, corresponding to the distance measured between the left-hand support and the load ordinate (Fig. 2). From  $M_a$  which was the lateral inversion of  $M_\beta$ , and from  $M_\beta$  itself, the Statical Office were now able by a simple method to derive the influence lines of  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$  for the built-in beam.

Here the governing factor was the end inclination  $\tau$  of the influence lines for  $M_a$  and  $M_\beta$  (Fig. 4). On applying the moments  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$  to this angle  $\tau$  for

the built-in beam,  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$  were made to operate to the right and left of the points of fixation of the arch.

Reference to the condition of equilibrium  $\Sigma M = 0$  served to determine the relationship  $M_{ob} = M_{\beta} - M_{oa} \cdot \tau$  on the right-hand side, and the relationship  $M_{oa} = M_{\alpha} - M_{ob} \cdot \tau$  on the left. On solving these two equations for  $M_{oa}$  and  $M_{ob}$ , and on substituting  $M_{oc} = \frac{M_{oa} + M_{ob}}{2}$  and

$$M_{od} = \frac{M_{oa} - M_{ob}}{2}, \text{ the result is } M_{oc} = \frac{M_{\alpha} + M_{\beta}}{2(1 + \tau)} \text{ and } M_{od} = \frac{M_{\alpha} - M_{\beta}}{2(1 - \tau)}$$

These simple expressions enabled the influence lines for  $M_a$  and  $M_b$  of the built-in arch to be calculated without reference to other loading schemes except that required for determining the moments in the beam due to the horizontal thrust  $H_o$  of the two-hinged arch. The expression  $M_{od} = M_d$  could be regarded as a final result, enabling the effects of one-sided loading of the built-in arch to be taken into account.  $M_d = \frac{M_a - M_b}{2}$  acts quite independently and causes no horizontal thrust when the two-hinged arch is loaded in either of its hinges. Hence  $M_d$  could be separated from  $M_c = \frac{M_a + M_b}{2}$  which gives rise to a horizontal thrust (Fig. 5).

The remaining problem was then limited to the examination of a doubly indeterminate statical system, the available basis of reference being the two-hinged arch. Here the end slope  $\tau_o$  of the influence line for horizontal thrust  $H_o$  played a similar part to the end




Fig. 1-9. Influence lines for the indeterminate quantities  $H$ ,  $H_a$  and  $M_b$ .

slope angle  $\tau$  in the influence line for  $M_\beta$  in Fig. 3. On loading the hinges of the two-hinged arch with the redundant  $H$  and  $M_c$  and, equating the horizontal forces at one hinge, the relationship  $H = H_o - M_c \cdot 2 \tau_o$  is reached, from which the influence line of horizontal thrust  $H$  may be determined. The influence line of  $M_c$  could then rapidly be determined.

Adopting the notation  $X_c = M_a + M_b$  and introducing at both hinges  $M_c = -\frac{1}{2}$  due to an auxiliary force  $X_c = -1$ , there was obtained firstly the equation

$$M_c = + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\int \left( \frac{1}{2} - \tau_o \cdot y \right) \frac{M_o ds}{J}}{\int \left( \frac{1}{2} - \tau_o \cdot y \right)^2 \frac{ds}{J}}$$

wherein  $\int \left( \frac{1}{2} - \tau_o \cdot y \right)^2 \frac{ds}{J}$  is identified with the constant value  $\delta_{cc}$ .




Fig. 10.  
Gomsice-Bridge.

On separating the dividend term into two factors and introducing  $M_{oc} \cdot \delta_{zz} = \int \frac{M_o \cdot ds}{J}$  and  $H_o \cdot \delta_{hh} = \int \frac{y \cdot M_o \cdot ds}{J}$  the value of  $M_c$  appears as a function of known magnitudes and there is obtained

$$M_c = \frac{M_{oc} \cdot \delta_{zz}}{4 \delta_{cc}} - \frac{H_o \cdot \tau_o \cdot \delta_{hh}}{2 \delta_{cc}}$$

wherein the two constants  $\delta_{zz}$  and  $\delta_{hh}$  were found from  $\int \frac{ds}{J}$  and  $\int y^2 \frac{ds}{J} + \int \frac{ds}{F}$  respectively (Fig. 7). By the value  $\int \frac{ds}{F}$  account was taken of the influence of normal forces. In the case of the dividend terms representing redundant

quantities the effect of the normal forces was neglected, since the bridge had a rise of 17.85 m. Finally from  $M_c + M_a$  the influence line for the redundant moment  $M_a$  could be determined. The influence line for the unknown quantity  $M_b$  was found by analogy from  $M_a$  (Fig. 9).

A temperature effect of  $t = \pm 20^\circ \text{C}$  could easily be calculated from  $\delta_{hh}$ ,  $\delta_{cc}$  and  $E \propto t$ .

On the basis of these considerations it was desired to reach an immediate verdict as to the statical performance of the arch. The usual method of calculating built-in arches is simple enough, but it requires the calculation of beam moments for three loading systems. The treatment of the three supernumerary quantities independently of one another is bound up with the condition that the displacements represented by terms of opposite sign cancel out, and this involves a fourth operation, namely, the determination of the centre of gravity of the elastic weights. The supernumerary quantities must themselves be regarded as deriving from general equations which cannot be independently evolved. In confining the calculation of the beam moment to only two systems of loading, and in eliminating reference to the centre of gravity of the elastic weights, the Statical Office of the Albanian Ministry of Public Works believe they have discovered a simplified process for the calculation of influence lines of the supernumerary quantities for built-in arches.

Finally it should be mentioned that the Statical Office have likewise arrived at their own formulae for the calculation of continuous girders. By means of the formulae the influence lines for the moments over the supports in girders which are continuous over three or four spans can be very easily determined, provided that the influence line of the moments over the supports in a girder continuous over two spans is already known. The method has been applied to the solution of various problems in reinforced concrete. It offers the advantage that an alteration in the moment of inertia can very easily be taken into account, and also that it dispenses with the unilluminating tables which are otherwise necessary.