

**Zeitschrift:** IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH  
Kongressbericht

**Band:** 14 (1992)

**Artikel:** More precise design calculation of bridge deck beams

**Autor:** Semenets, Gennadly Lvovich

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-853198>

### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

### **Terms of use**

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

**Download PDF:** 23.03.2026

**ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>**



### More Precise Design Calculation of Bridge Deck Beams

Calculs plus précis d'entretoises de ponts

Genauere Berechnung von Brückenträgern

#### Gennadiy Lvovich SEMENETS

Leading Scientist  
Kharkov Inst. of Railway Eng.  
Kharkov, USSR

Precise design calculations of bridge deck beams develop in three general directions, depending on the subject taken into account: there could be, firstly, spatial work of bridge deck, secondly, the influence of span elements on the work of bridge deck elements and thirdly, the peculiarities of the real work of joint elements and, certainly, conditions of load transmission.

Recently the most important direction in calculations is considered to be the third of abovementioned, because the majority of defects in bridge deck appear exactly in places where different elements joint. Only the least defects appear in other places and it is connected with the work of corresponded elements under local load.

But the questions of the local distribution of bridge deck stresses (third direction) could not be touched with out the other aspects of design calculation because all this more precise definitions bring in corresponding changes in distribution of the bridge deck efforts.

All the mentioned problems of design calculation in each direction could be solved by different methods and with different elaboration of details of the structure design scheme. And so, it is difficult to use the same design method for working out all the problems that meets all demands in equal measure.

All these questions could be solved with finite element method. But, in practice, it is not expediently and not desirable. Firstly, the quantity of equation grows considerably justified, secondly, the unification of finite element doesn't allow to consider all peculiarity of the design scheme; and, thirdly, it is appears to be the complicated problem to determine the conditions of joint transmission of load.

General design scheme of the structure is irregular. So it is incorrect to use the finite element method. For this scheme the superelement method would be more appropriate. But in this case it is necessary to determine stiffness matrix of superelement.

For the solution of the general problem the next ways had been planned. For the problems of the first direction variation methods were used. Bridge deck is considered to be the beam-system. This problem



was solved by L.V. Semenets' method. With definite assumptions the received function of surface is the function of two variables and is expressed by trigonometric series. Fitness of the function is well enough. Thus the three members of series is quite enough for acceptable solution.

This function of the surface may be used to determine the bridge deck stiffness matrix, as the matrix of a superelement. It is necessary to take as much members of series as is needed for the independent coefficients quantity to be equal to the quantity of superelement degrees levels of freedom.

The role of such approach is important, especially for wide bridge deck, because taking into account of flexures in traversal section allows to determine the real bending stiffness  $EI$  of bridge deck in vertical plane.

Problems of second direction, where the influence of girder elements on load distribution are taken into account, are solved by means of expanding design schemes which was proposed by author of this paper. The very idea of expanding design schemes is to sequentially join the inloaded elements to the loaded part of construction. The influence of the part of construction that was not taken into account on each iteration is interpreted as resilient connections.

Influence of girder elements is substituted by equivalent resilient connections. The method for determination of resilient coefficients of connections was proposed both theoretically and practically. In practice all statistic characteristics are determine during the determination of resilient coefficients.

The method of estimation of the influence of statistic characteristics of resilient connections on the determined efforts values was proposed.

Each superelement which interprets the resilient characteristics may be present in the form of finite undeformable element with resilient connections. For such elements stiffness matrix can be determined.

Problems of the third direction could be solved by means of finite element or superelement method.

For the solution of a problem as a whole the superelement method with stiffness matrix which was determined beforehand is used.

The approach described allows to quite precisely solve the proposed global problem, which the design scheme peculiarities of each component problem's part maximally preserved.