

More precise design calculation of bridge deck beams

Autor(en): **Semenets, Gennadly Lvovich**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH
Kongressbericht**

Band (Jahr): **14 (1992)**

PDF erstellt am: **22.06.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-853198>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.



More Precise Design Calculation of Bridge Deck Beams

Calculs plus précis d'entretoises de ponts

Genauere Berechnung von Brückenträgern

Gennadiy Lvovich SEMENETS

Leading Scientist
Kharkov Inst. of Railway Eng.
Kharkov, USSR

Precise design calculations of bridge deck beams develop in three general directions, depending on the subject taken into account: there could be, firstly, spatial work of bridge deck, secondly, the influence of span elements on the work of bridge deck elements and thirdly, the peculiarities of the real work of joint elements and, certainly, conditions of load transmission.

Recently the most important direction in calculations is considered to be the third of abovementioned, because the majority of defects in bridge deck appear exactly in places where different elements joint. Only the least defects appear in other places and it is connected with the work of corresponded elements under local load.

But the questions of the local distribution of bridge deck stresses (third direction) could not be touched with out the other aspects of design calculation because all this more precise definitions bring in corresponding changes in distribution of the bridge deck efforts.

All the mentioned problems of design calculation in each direction could be solved by different methods and with different elaboration of details of the structure design scheme. And so, it is difficult to use the same design method for working out all the problems that meets all demands in equal measure.

All these questions could be solved with finite element method. But, in practice, it is not expediently and not desirable. Firstly, the quantity of equation grows considerably justified, secondly, the unification of finite element doesn't allow to consider all peculiarity of the design scheme; and, thirdly, it is appears to be the complicated problem to determine the conditions of joint transmission of load.

General design scheme of the structure is irregular. So it is incorrect to use the finite element method. For this scheme the superelement method would be more appropriate. But in this case it is necessary to determine stiffness matrix of superelement.

For the solution of the general problem the next ways had been planned. For the problems of the first direction variation methods were used. Bridge deck is considered to be the beam-system. This problem



was solved by L.V. Semenets' method. With definite assumptions the received function of surface is the function of two variables and is expressed by trigonometric series. Fitness of the function is well enough. Thus the three members of series is quite enough for acceptable solution.

This function of the surface may be used to determine the bridge deck stiffness matrix, as the matrix of a superelement. It is necessary to take as much members of series as is needed for the independent coefficients quantity to be equal to the quantity of superelement degrees levels of freedom.

The role of such approach is important, especially for wide bridge deck, because taking into account of flexures in transversal section allows to determine the real bending stiffness EI of bridge deck in vertical plane.

Problems of second direction, where the influence of girder elements on load distribution are taken into account, are solved by means of expanding design schemes which was proposed by author of this paper. The very idea of expanding design schemes is to sequentially join the inloaded elements to the loaded part of construction. The influence of the part of construction that was not taken into account on each iteration is interpreted as resilient connections.

Influence of girder elements is substituted by equivalent resilient connections. The method for determination of resilient coefficients of connections was proposed both theoretically and practically. In practice all statistic characteristics are determine during the determination of resilient coefficients.

The method of estimation of the influence of statistic characteristics of resilient connections on the determined efforts values was proposed.

Each superelement which interprets the resilient characteristics may be present in the form of finite undeformable element with resilient connections. For such elements stiffness matrix can be determined.

Problems of the third direction could be solved by means of finite element or superelement method.

For the solution of a problem as a whole the superelement method with stiffness matrix which was determined beforehand is used.

The approach described allows to quite precisely solve the proposed global problem, which the design scheme peculiarities of each component problem's part maximally preserved.