

Zeitschrift:	Boissiera : mémoires de botanique systématique
Herausgeber:	Conservatoire et Jardin Botaniques de la Ville de Genève
Band:	30 (1979)
Artikel:	Tentamen revisionis generis Ferulago
Autor:	Bernardi, L.
Kapitel:	The taxonomic relations of Peucedanum, Ferula and Ferulago
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-895589

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 24.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

The taxonomic relations of *Peucedanum*, *Ferula* and *Ferulago*

I will now describe my theory (under the epigraphic shield of the Darwin quotation) concerning the three genera after the evaluation of the four characters.

Briefly: *Peucedanum* is richly endowed with all the four; the other two lack of one of them (but not the same one) or they exaggerate one of them (again not the same one): e.g. in: *Ferula*, the leave sheaths and in *Ferulago*, the hypsophylls.

The morphogenetic wealth of *Peucedanum* covers — in my opinion — both *Ferula* and *Ferulago*. I know very well that for explaining closely-related taxa are usually described as having a “common ancestor”. The pity is that the common ancestor is lying under some sediments still to be worked, or has disappeared, or is represented by a very small and puzzling vestige. I believe instead that *Peucedanum* is that “ancestor” but still full of life, ecologically even more fit than them and also nearer to each than they are to one another: in the same way that two branches divide from the same bole; they are immediate to it, but separated from each other. Paraphrasing G. K. Chesterton,¹ I confess to being attracted to the ideas of J. C. Willis at the start

¹He wrote in some part of his numerous writings about his long cruise in quest of the best religion, as it were the most beautiful Island, and on coming back he discovered that the Roman Catholic was that so-longed-for Island of St. Anselm (of Canterbury, for the English, of Aosta for the Italians).

of my study ("Age and Area", "The Birth and Spread of Plants") and now at the conclusion, I am even more Willisist.

Accepting the distribution of *Peucedanum* (see Fig. 13) to "only" three Continents, Asia, Europe and Africa (granting *Lomatium* to America, more for a kind of botanical Monroe Doctrine, than for other reasons), it remains, nevertheless, an overwhelming larger area than those of *Ferula* (Fig. 12) and *Ferulago* (Fig. 11).

It could be disappointing for an orthodox Willisist to find in the 8th edition of J. C. Willis "Dictionary" (1973) that *Peucedanum*, in spite of its vast area, amounts only to 120 species while *Ferula* 133, but these dubious figures will be discussed later.

In this respect, I have displayed doubts about several *Ferula*, which I think, are *Peucedanum* species; I also believe that E. Korovin, in his beautiful Monograph, described too many species from very few samples. It is an odd but acknowledged fact that with more material at hand, the revising botanist can put many insignificant and ill differentiated species into synonymy. It is even more strange however to find the total of the published *Peucedanum* spp. in "Index Kewensis" (up to date and excluding all the old American species) is 342 binomials!