Zeitschrift:	Beiträge zur nordischen Philologie
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Skandinavische Studien
Band:	59 (2017)
Artikel:	"[]f Sueins .k." : Glælognskviða and its contexts
Autor:	Heslop, Kate
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-858064

### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

**Download PDF: 21.05.2025** 

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

# '[...]f Sueins .k.': Glælognskviða and Its Contexts

## KATE HESLOP (BERKELEY)

Þórarinn loftunga's *Glælognskviða* (Sea-Calm Poem) was first performed in the early 1030s, some time between its subject, Óláfr Haraldsson's, translation on 3 August 1031 and its addressee, Sveinn Álfífuson's, hasty departure from Norway in 1034/5 (Magerøy, 1948: 43-4). Its nine verses are recorded in manuscripts of Snorri's Óláfs saga helga (cf. Louis-Jensen, 1997, on these manuscripts and their interrelation-ships), where v. 1 is introduced as follows: *Pess getr Pórarinn loftunga í kvæði því, er hann orti um Svein Álfífuson, er kallat er Glælognskviða* ("Þórarinn loftunga tells of this in the poem which he composed for/about Sveinn Álfífuson, which is called *Glælognskviða*").

This passage occurs near the top of fol. 1v of AM 47 fol, *Eirspennill*, written in Iceland in the first quarter of the fourteenth century. Although parts of the leaf are missing, the rubric is still partly visible: [...]f Sueins .k. This is the only medieval manuscript to preserve this wording. With missing letters supplied from the Kringla transcript (AM 35 fol, c. 1675-1700), it reads [Uppha]f Sveins konungs ("beginning [of the saga] of King Sveinn"). In this branch of the transmission, then, Óláfs saga helga is interrupted by a saga of the Dane Sveinn Álfífuson, son of Knútr inn ríki and much-disliked ruler of Norway 1030-1034/5. The majority of Óláfs saga helga manuscripts do not go so far. There Sveinn's name often receives a large decorated initial, as it does here, but the key word Upphaf is absent, relegating Sveinn from saga protagonist to a blip in the royal line from Óláfr Haraldsson to his son Magnús Ólafsson. But the unfortunate Sveinn not only ruled Norway under the shadow of his sainted predecessor; his only named skald composed a poem that is, although um Svein Álfífuson, about St Óláfr.

This context goes some way towards explaining Þórarinn's surprising choice of the meter *kviðuháttr* (disputed; perhaps 'poem's meter') for *Glælognskviða*. *Kviðuháttr*, a catalectic variant of *fornyrðislag*, is the meter of 14% of the lines in the encomiastic corpus.<sup>1</sup> And it was the skaldic medium of choice for praising one's addressee by means of a poem about other people altogether, usually dead ones.<sup>2</sup> As Kari Gade has shown (2005), the metrical and syntactical constraints imposed by the brevity of this meter's odd lines gave *kviðuháttr* verses their characteristic shape, that of a concatenated series of subordinate clauses with copious nominal variation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am grateful to Tarrin Wills for this information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Erfikvæði in dróttkvætt address the immediate descendants, usually the sons or brothers, of the dead man. The usual explanation for Þórarinn's choice of kviðuháttr is that its simplicity made the poem comprehensible to his young, English-speaking patron (Lindow, 2008: 112; Townend, 2005: 257).

yn lear altik aynawe tawaya en parago komaral hant odiend ing knuce hot power to at h the lide para than in our take wid rike but ulponada erindi yara hara har nı, kgi narn yê noi. Sidan por fueinal Danmkar hapte bian ho mik no med bin harallos 1 amart anakam ti km bes gent houarin lopunga i kuatcher hour um futabe fer hallarer glalognf kuita puar dullauft hue danur gesto dyoua perus dagin har var larit pirtt avup haris hou mertim ryingte anan drenge auton ber Sidan por soi und z un fin alpra mo fir zy h partagire kus a bino loghar h v ba komin witan ivikina er orna vaftikattatom ? Olarr har pell Sva loca a perdim pin en h kom a hauftid noder hund hat i p har al har tekun tem rad to faroum Bo kgr harte ny log ild um marga blure z p pept i fer lem log u bannik en fum mycklo prekan. Engemade fkyllde at lor para nema m, kgf leype en er pær ha is celle vid ag eigener fif en hon er man var fkyllde hara per vegn ler laufinn evre 4 mais part incluie zoemder arer him ha eignaiter figs are ban to ohum thous hon bon bi paken mali malor ar arm huerto alar ar via brevenom b v kallar vinor tabi afp h an inner a huterepia ho even to boar in ozene ina unkit ar ipene renge um mefta ring Flengtha Bonds & lkyllder ar goes huf has art er kos viller hars ar butho dum finon s fio mi lkylido goza em lidrænnis opra fhoner v uergamall y so eper homlos enga hins in erahar met lkylidt gialtda kgi toupide huadan fem fron en fero v rilkar. Skiphur er pærtar lör borr fkylldt lada kon rum um puer fkup made hun er til ut de pært fk pilor danikur in hapa fva mikil menod morar einf bena virm ikylldi rinda v nordin ) vinn Ener hesilaga terung var birr fallpis ha toku in han av rala hun fina up im or zgoto kun fin imilio mito b ina er a horto or i morrarom vid olar ka taki bru m bænd vinam zlagar knydign bes er bortos við olarkg z rellbur h file vöru hend fride trenar he en nu bari panavo z prælkan 3 pm hoglæpremiengikap En o e gow al mormais la paaller ar ubeprings yav um vaer baro in po e travitul ar gosa uptant mor sve kar bar p met til at men horbu gillada kunt ki fono fina d a abie nagrande z b ma av be nav efigt posteren ul uprestar Bran horon mannet ni svei kol miku a kento in mæft alpico alt f er imou fkapi port en bavader fan pretrag margu min al Clars Hat Fir ban hors in rach ar mangi min 51 for at Olape for users made tanheilage ok saragane mar gar with arhele he horn ba mang a her til Olar? hat um ba luce er the bom (kup ta mait rengu marg m ar bei ahenn bor fum heilfe bor en fum par bana da That er nobten bour al bem

olapf kgf facugna gund

> Manuscript AM 47 fol., 1v (© The Arnamagnæan Collection, Copenhagen. Photograph: Suzanne Reitz)

Along with (and to some extent determined by) this catenulate structure go commonalities in subject-matter and diction that arguably originate in a particular performance setting and social context, namely, the aristocratic funeral: so much so, I would suggest, that we are justified in seeing this poetry as a key medium of funeral memoria.<sup>3</sup>

Þjóðólfr of Hvin's ninth-century composition Ynglingatal (Yt) provided the template, as far as we can tell. Its final verse reveals that it was composed for Rognvaldr heiðumhæri, but it is about 28 notoriously deceased rulers, whose relationship to Rognvaldr is unclear. The Háleygjatal (Hál) of Eyvindr skáldaspillir ('skalds' despoiler'), composed in the late tenth century for Hákon jarl Sigurðarson, reckons its patron's lineage back to Óðinn and Skaði and takes the kviðuháttr memorial in the genealogical direction implied, but nowhere stated, in Ynglingatal. This pattern of imitating and outdoing, seen also in Eyvindr's Hákonarmál vis-à-vis the anonymous Eiríksmál, is likely to be the origin of Eyvindr's wry nickname. Finally Egill Skalla-Grímsson, in his Sonatorrek (St) and Poem for Arinbjorn (Arbj), gives the form a characteristic spin, praising his sons and his friend Arinbjorn in poems that are primarily about the poet himself and his quotidian and mythic adversaries. These are the only kviðuháttr poems that pre-date Glælognskviða, but it continued to be a viable medium for praise poetry, with Sturla Þórðarson's Hákonarkviða (c. 1270) a fascinating late composition in the meter.

The commonality, and mediality, of these poems goes deeper than this, however. Shared characteristics – also shared by much of the later  $kvi\delta uh \acute{a}ttr$  poetry – include:

- 1. the poem is about the dead:
  - *Yt* (the kings), *Hál* (Hákon's ancestors), *St* (Egill's parents, brother and sons).<sup>4</sup>
- 2. it mentions particular landscapes (the place of death and/or burial of the poem's subjects):
  - Yt: á beði Skútu, v. 3, við Fýri, v. 6, í Limafirði, v. 12, at Uppsǫlum vv. 13 and 16, á Vendli, v. 15, á Lófundi, v. 17, etc.; Hál: Straumeyjarnes, v. 5, á Fjǫlum, v. 7, á Qglói, v. 9; St: á nesi, v. 25; Arbj: ?possibly í Fjǫrðum, v. 22.
- 3. it includes complex, unusual kennings, often involving *ofljóst* on personal names:
  - Yt: e.g. slonguþref verðar Sleipnis ("flung grasper of the meal of Sleipnir" [HAY > PITCHFORK], v. 8, mær bróður Býleists ("maiden of the brother of Býleistr") [= Loki > = Hel (hel 'the underworld')], v. 23; Hál: e.g. mogr Hallgarðs [hallr 'stone' = grjót 'gravel', Grjótgarðr > Hákon Grjótgarðs-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. already Wessén, 1915; Ohlmarks, 1944, argues similarly for the *erfikvæði* genre as a whole; objections to this in Fidejestøl, 1982; cf. also Harris, 2006. For a recent argument for funerary practices as embodied memoria, cf. Williams, 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Arinbjorn is still alive at the point that *Arbj* is referred to in *Egils saga*, but the prose framing of *Egils saga*'s long poems is not above suspicion.

son], v. 8, *brúðr valtýs* ("bride of the slaughter-god") [= Óðinn > = Jǫrð (*jǫrð* 'land')], v. 12; *Arbj*: e.g. *bjǫrn bjóða ótta birkis* ("bear of the table of the terror of the birch") [FIRE > HEARTHSTONE (*arinn*), Arinbjǫrn], v. 16.<sup>5</sup>

- 4. it has a list- or chain-like (catenulate) structure:
  - Yt lists 28 kings, the surviving verses of Hál seven, and both poems' names end in -tal ('list'); St mentions the deaths of two sons, father, mother and brother, using the verb telja (v. 5); Arbj, in a self-reflexive moment, observes that valið liggja / tvén ok þrén / á tungu mér ('two or three [ideas] lie chosen on my tongue'), v. 15.
- 5. it mentions the afterlife (Óðinn; Valhǫll) and female psychopomps (Hel, Hildr, Rán):
  - Hel: *Yt* 7, 23, 24, *St* 25; Hildr: *Hál* 7; Rán: *St* 7-8; Óðinn: *Yt* 3, *Hál* 1-2, 6, 8, *St* 21-24, *Arbj* 6-7, 13; Valhǫll: *Arbj* 14, *St* 10-11.
- 6. it mentions commemorative monuments of stone or wood:
  - Yt: sá frǫmuðr \*reyrs Hǫgna vas of horfinn beinum foldar ("that wielder of the reed of Hǫgni [WARRIOR] was surrounded by the bones of the earth [STONES]", v. 19; Hál: náreiðr vingameiðr drúpir á nesi ("the corpse-bearing swaying tree droops on the headland"), fjǫlkunnt Straumeyjarnes merkt steini of hrør fylkis ("well-known Straumeyjarnes [is] marked by a stone over the ruler's body"), v. 5; St: mærðar timbr, máli laufgat ("timbers of praise, adorned with the foliage of speech"), v. 5; Arbj: mærðar efni, auðskæf ómunlokri ("stuff of fame, easily shaped with the voice-plane"), v. 15, lofkǫst ("praise-cairn"), v. 25.

There is no space here for a full exploration of the links between these features and the archaeological evidence for Viking Age funeral practices, particularly as the latter are highly variable. Key points include, however: the importance of the gravesite as a locus of memory, sometimes over very long periods (cf. e.g. Hållans Stenholm, 2012; Klevnäs, 2016); the associations between stone and the world of the dead (cf. e.g. Kaliff, 1997; Aspeborg, 2005); the fragmentation of bodies in funeral rituals (cf. Lund, 2013), which finds parallels in the fragmentary rhetoric of the kenning, especially in *ofljóst*; the importance of lists (from the runic inscriptions of Rök and Högby to the husbands, daughters, sisters and brothers whose deaths are recalled by the mourners in *Guðrúnarkviða I*; cf. Harris, 2000); and the self-referential rhetoric of the conclusion, in which the skald compares his poetic memorial with the wooden or stone monuments of the gravesite.<sup>6</sup> Bodies, landscapes and monuments are anchors for memory in these poems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> St lacks ofljóst, but the sequence of head-kennings in St 19: 6-7, *i jorðu grímu, rýnnis reið* 'land of the face, chariot of thought' is reminiscent of Yt's chains of riddling kennings (note, though, the emendation of ms. *i aróar grímu*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The saga topos of the audience recording these poems in runes, as in the prose frame of *Sonatorrek* (*Egils saga*, ch. 78), may be a reflex of this feature, cf. Clunies Ross in this volume.

*Glælognskviða* is the earliest poem to celebrate Óláfr's sanctity (cf. Lindow, 2008). By presenting him as *rex perpetuus Norvegiæ*, able to grant Sveinn rule over Norway (v. 9), it argues that the succession of Sveinn to the throne is legitimate (v. 2). The *kviðuháttr* tradition provided the skald with a powerful way of making this point. Stating the location of the grave (*i Prandheimi … Pars Qleifr áðan byggði … ok þar varð kykvasettr* ("in Trøndelag, where Óláfr previously dwelt, and there became enshrined alive"), vv. 2-3) and affirming that the deceased has departed to the afterlife (*hann hvarf til himinríkis* ("he departed to the heavenly kingdom"), v. 3) emphasizes the old king's departure and the legitimacy of the new one. Even the list motif is vestigially present in *Glælognskviða*, an indication of the abiding force of memorial tradition:

Þar vas jarl fyrst at upphafi, ok hverr maðr, es honum fylgði, annarr drengr qðrum betri. (v. 1)

There the jarl [= Haraldr Þorkelsson] was first and foremost, and every man who followed him, each warrior, [was] better than the next.

But Óláfr's sanctity compelled Þórarinn to an innovative re-purposing of the traditional medium of funeral memoria. The saintly king needs no psychopomps, but departs to heaven under his own power: sonr Haralds hafði harðla ráðit sér til himinríkis ("The son of Haraldr [= Óláfr] had powerfully taken himself to the heavenly kingdom", v. 4). Óláfr's corpse is uncorrupted, whole and beautiful (v. 5), unlike the disarticulated, fragmented dead body of pre-Christian funeral ritual (koggla hrørs ("limbs of the corpse"), St 4; the ægir hjarna ("sea of the brains") of King Aðils mixes with the mud of the grave, Yt 16). The repeated deictic par in v. 3 refers to his shrine's place in the middle of Niðaróss (Trondheim), from where his successor Sveinn will æ ævi sína ... byggðum ráða ("always rule the settlements throughout his life") (v. 2); earlier kviðuháttr poetry emphasizes instead the peripheral location of its subjects' burial sites, often close to bodies of water. The postmortem activities of the pre-Christian dead among the living could cause anxiety (McKinnell, 2009, and cf. St v. 18), but St Óláfr is a "mediator" (sættir, v. 4) between God and man. The bulk of Þórarinn's poem is taken up with a sensual evocation of the miraculous new media of communication between heaven and earth that Christianity offered the believer - bells that ring by themselves (v. 6), candles (v. 7), reginnagla máls bóka ("the sacred nail of the language of books") (v. 9: the referent of this, the poem's only elaborate kenning, is disputed) - and the healing power of the heavenly grace that they mediate, manifest in the bodies of believers:

Þar kømr herr, es heilagr es konungr sjalfr, krýpr at gangi. En beiðendr blindir sækja þjóðir máls, en þaðan heilir. (v. 8)

A host comes there, where the holy king himself is, [and] bows down for access. And people, petitioners for speech [and] the blind, make their way [there], and [go] from there whole.

## References

### **Primary sources**

- Egill Skallagrímsson, Poem about Arinbjorn, cited from Finnur Jónsson, ed. 1912-1915. Den norsk-islandske skjaldedigtning (Copenhagen: Gyldendal), Vol. I, pp. 38-41.
- Egill Skallagrímsson, *Sonatorrek*, cited from E. O. G. Turville-Petre, ed. 1976. *Scaldic Poetry* (Oxford: Clarendon), pp. 28-41.
- Eyvindr skáldaspillir, Háleygjatal, ed. by R. Poole, cited from D. Whaley, ed. 2007-. Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages (Turnhout: Brepols), I, pp. 195-212.
- Þjóðolfr ór Hvini, *Ynglingatal*, ed. by E. Marold et al., cited from D. Whaley, ed. 2007-. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages* (Turnhout: Brepols), I, pp. 3-59.
- Þórarinn loftunga, *Glælognskviða*, ed. by M. Townend, cited from D. Whaley, ed. 2007-. *Skaldic Poetry of the Scandinavian Middle Ages* (Turnhout: Brepols), I, pp. 863-875.

## Secondary literature

- Aspeborg, Håkan. 2005. "The Dead in the Hills", in *Dealing with the Dead: Archaeological Perspectives on Prehistoric Scandinavian Burial Ritual*, ed. T. Artelius and F. Svanberg (Stockholm: National Heritage Board), pp. 201-220.
- Fidjestøl, Bjarne. 1982. Det norrøne fyrstediktet (Øvre Ervik: Alvheim & Eide).
- Gade, Kari Ellen. 2005. "The Syntax of Old Norse Kviðuháttr Meter", Journal of Germanic Linguistics, 17: 155-181.
- Harris, Joseph. 2000. "The Bällsta-Inscriptions and Old Norse Literary History", in International Scandinavian and Medieval Studies in Memory of Gerd Wolfgang Weber, ed. M. Dallapiazza et al. (Trieste: Parnaso), pp. 223-239.
- Harris, Joseph. 2006. "Erfikvæði myth, ritual, elegy", in Old Norse Religion in Long-Term Perspectives: Origins, Changes and Interactions, ed. A. Andrén et al. (Lund: Nordic Academic Press), pp. 267-271.
- Kaliff, Anders. 1997. Grav och kultplats. Eskatologiska föreställningar under yngre bronsålder och äldre järnålder i Östergötland. AUN 24. (Uppsala: Uppsala University).
- Klevnäs, Alison M. 2016. "'Imbued with the Essence of the Owner': Personhood and Possessions in the Reopening and Reworking of Viking-Age Burials", *European Journal of Archaeology*, 19: 456-476.

- Lindow, John. 2008. "St Olaf and the Skalds", in *Sanctity in the North: Saints, Lives, and Cults in Medieval Scandinavia*, ed. by Thomas A. DuBois (Toronto: University of Toronto Press), pp. 103-127.
- Louis-Jensen, Jonna. 1997. "Heimskringla Et værk av Snorri Sturluson?", Nordica Bergensia, 14: 230-245.
- Lund, Julie. 2013. "Fragments of a Conversion: Handling Bodies and Objects in Pagan and Christian Scandinavia AD 800-1100", *World Archaeology*, 45: 46-63.
- Magerøy, Hallvard. 1948. *Glælognskvida av Toraren Lovtunge*. Bidrag til nordisk filologi av studerende ved Universitetet i Oslo, 12 (Oslo: Aschehoug).
- McKinnell, John. 2009. "Ynglingatal a minimalist interpretation", *Scripta islandica*, 60: 23-48.
- Ohlmarks, Åke. 1944. "Till frågan om den fornnordiska skaldediktningens ursprung", Arkiv för nordisk filologi, 57: 178-207.
- Stenholm, Ann-Mari Hållans. 2012. Fornminnen. Det förflutnas roll i det kristna och förkristna Mälardalen (Lund: Nordic Academic Press).
- Townend, Matthew. 2005. "Knútr and the Cult of St Oláfr: Poetry and Patronage in Eleventh-Century Norway and England", *Viking and Medieval Scandinavia*, 1: 251-279.

Wessén, Elias. 1915. "Om kuiða i namn på fornnordiska dikter", Edda, 4: 127-141.

Williams, Howard. 2010. "At the funeral", in Signals of Belief in Early England: Anglo-Saxon Paganism Revisited, ed. M. Carver, S. Semple and A. Sanmark (Oxford: Oxbow), pp. 67-82.