

Zeitschrift: Asiatische Studien : Zeitschrift der Schweizerischen Asiengesellschaft =
Études asiatiques : revue de la Société Suisse-Asie

Herausgeber: Schweizerische Asiengesellschaft

Band: 45 (1991)

Heft: 2

Erratum: Erratum

Autor: [s.n.]

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. [Mehr erfahren](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. [En savoir plus](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. [Find out more](#)

Download PDF: 27.08.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Erratum

Some serious printing errors crept into the first half of p. 137 of T. Tillemans' article "More on *parārthānumāna*, theses and syllogisms" *Etudes Asiatiques* XLV 1 1991. This page should be corrected to read as follows:

In a recent study on the notion of *pakṣabhāsa* ("fallacies of the thesis") in pre-Dignāga logicians, Dignāga and Dharmakīrti, Masahiro Inami has shown that the use of the *pakṣavacana* can be profitably compared with the development of the closely related concept of *pakṣabhāsa*.⁸ Inami is of the opinion that Dharmakīrti in PV, the *Pramāṇaviniścaya* and the *Nyāyabindu* adhered to the same position as Dignāga in PS III, i.e. he permitted the presence of a thesis, all the while denying that it had any status as a *sādhana*. In this phase Dharmakīrti, like Dignāga before him, also still accepted *pakṣabhāsa*, as we see by the discussions in PV IV, *Pramāṇaviniścaya* III and *Nyāyabindu* III. The ban on thesis-statements comes later in the *Herubindu* and *Vādanyāya* and coincides with his rejection of the theory of *pakṣabhāsa*.

Inami's account is, I think, correct, as it seems reasonable to assume that there must be a correlation between the acceptance and rejection of *pakṣabhāsa* and that of the thesis-statement. After all, it is incongruous to give an account of *pakṣabhāsa* in a chapter on *parārthānumāna* and yet maintain that the thesis-statement can under no circumstances be given in such a logical form! I might remark, though, that in practice, when Dharmakīrti gives actual *parārthānumāna* in texts such as PV IV k. 22, *Nyāyabindu* III, 8, 21, 23, etc., and *Pramāṇaviniścaya* III, he does not give theses, but only presents a two-membered form. The "permissibility" of thesis-statements, then, is at most a theoretical possibility for Dharmakīrti at this stage, but one which he himself did not, to my knowledge, avail himself of in his own argumentation.

Now, Inami's account — to which I am heavily indebted — could be ...[etc.]

⁸ See his article, "On *pakṣabhāsa*", forthcoming in the *Proceedings of the Second International Dharmakīrti Conference*, Vienna.